metal-organic compounds

Acta Crystallographica Section E Structure Reports Online

ISSN 1600-5368

(Acetylacetonato- $\kappa^2 O, O'$)dichloridobis(methanolato- κO)niobium(V)

Leandra Herbst,* Hendrik G. Visser, Andreas Roodt and Carla Pretorius

Department of Chemistry, University of the Free State, PO Box 339, Bloemfontein, 9300, South Africa

Correspondence e-mail: HerbstL@ufs.ac.za

Received 10 October 2012; accepted 11 October 2012

Key indicators: single-crystal X-ray study; T = 100 K; mean σ (C–C) = 0.003 Å; R factor = 0.026; wR factor = 0.060; data-to-parameter ratio = 22.9.

In the title compound, $[Nb(CH_3O)_2(C_5H_7O_2)Cl_2]$, a slightly distorted octahedral coordination geometry is observed around the Nb^V atom with Nb–O distances in the range of 1.8254 (16)–2.0892 (16) Å and Nb–Cl distances of 2.3997 (14) and 2.4023 (12) Å. The O–Nb–O angles vary between 81.36 (7) and 172.65 (7) °, while the *trans* Cl–Nb–Cl angle is 167.34 (2)°. There are no hydrogen bonds observed.

Related literature

For synthetic background, see: Herbst *et al.* (2010; 2011); Davies *et al.* (1999). For applications of acetylacetone-type ligands in industry, see: Steyn *et al.* (1992, 1997, 2008); Otto *et al.* (1998); Roodt & Steyn (2000); Brink *et al.* (2010); Viljoen *et al.* (2008, 2009*a*,*b*, 2010). For related niobium complexes, see: Sokolov *et al.* (1999, 2005); Antinolo *et al.* (2000); Dahan *et al.* (1976).



Experimental

Crystal data

$$\begin{split} & [\mathrm{Nb}(\mathrm{CH}_3\mathrm{O})_2(\mathrm{C}_5\mathrm{H}_7\mathrm{O}_2)\mathrm{Cl}_2] \\ & M_r = 324.98 \\ & \mathrm{Monoclinic}, P2_1/c \\ & a = 7.7985 \ (2) \\ & \dot{\mathrm{A}} \\ & b = 11.6028 \ (3) \\ & \dot{\mathrm{A}} \\ & c = 14.6819 \ (2) \\ & \dot{\mathrm{A}} \\ & \beta = 111.279 \ (1)^\circ \end{split}$$

Data collection

Bruker APEXII CCD diffractometer $V = 1237.91 (5) Å^{3}$ Z = 4 Mo K\alpha radiation \mu = 1.39 mm^{-1} T = 100 K 0.38 \times 0.13 \times 0.08 mm

Absorption correction: multi-scan (SADABS; Bruker, 2004) $T_{min} = 0.810, T_{max} = 0.895$ 25521 measured reflections 2995 independent reflections

Refinement

 $R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.026$ $wR(F^2) = 0.060$ S = 1.042995 reflections

2873 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$

 $R_{\rm int} = 0.024$

131 parameters H-atom parameters constrained $\Delta \rho_{max} = 1.90 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$ $\Delta \rho_{min} = -1.14 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$

Data collection: *APEX2* (Bruker, 2005); cell refinement: *SAINT-Plus* (Bruker, 2004); data reduction: *SAINT-Plus*; program(s) used to solve structure: *SIR92* (Sheldrick, 2008); program(s) used to refine structure: *SHELXL97* (Sheldrick, 2008); molecular graphics: *DIAMOND* (Brandenburg & Putz, 2004); software used to prepare material for publication: *WinGX* (Farrugia, 1999).

Financial assistance from the Advanced Metals Initiative (AMI) of the Department of Science and Technology (DST) of South Africa, through the New Metals Development Network (NMDN) coordinated by the South African Nuclear Energy Corporation Limited (Necsa) and the University of the Free State is gratefully acknowledged.

Supplementary data and figures for this paper are available from the IUCr electronic archives (Reference: BT6846).

References

- Antinolo, A., Carrillo-Hermosilla, F., Fernandez-Baeza, J., Otero, A., Palomares, E., Rodriguez, A. M. & Sanchez-Barba, L. F. (2000). J. Organomet. Chem. 603, 194–198.
- Brandenburg, K. & Putz, H. (2004). *DIAMOND*. Crystal Impact GbR, Bonn, Germany.
- Brink, A., Visser, H. G., Steyl, G. & Roodt, A. (2010). Dalton Trans. 39, 5572– 5578.
- Bruker (2004). SAINT-Plus and SADABS. Bruker AXS Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.
- Bruker (2005). APEX2. Bruker AXS Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.
- Dahan, F., Kergoat, R., Senechal-Tocquer, M. C. & Guerchais, J. E. (1976). J. Chem. Soc. Dalton Trans. pp. 2202–2209.
- Davies, H. O., Leedham, T. J., Jones, A. C., O'Brien, P., White, A. J. P. & Williams, D. J. (1999). *Polyhedron*, 18, 3165–3172.
- Farrugia, L. J. (1999). J. Appl. Cryst. 32, 837-838.
- Herbst, L., Koen, R., Roodt, A. & Visser, H. G. (2010). Acta Cryst. E66, m801– m802.
- Herbst, L., Visser, H. G., Roodt, A. & Muller, T. J. (2011). Acta Cryst. E67, m1669-m1670.
- Otto, S., Roodt, A., Swarts, J. C. & Erasmus, J. C. (1998). Polyhedron, 17, 2447–2453.
- Roodt, A. & Steyn, G. J. J. (2000). Recent Research Developments in Inorganic Chemistry. Vol. 2, pp. 1–23. Trivandrum, India: Transworld Research Network.

Sheldrick, G. M. (2008). Acta Cryst. A64, 112-122.

Sokolov, M., Gushchin, A. L., Tkachev, S. V., Naumov, D. Yu., Nunez, P., Gili, P., Platas, J. G. & Fedin, V. P. (2005). *Inorg. Chim. Acta*, **358**, 2371–2376.

Sokolov, M., Imoto, H., Saito, T. & Fedorov, V. (1999). J. Chem. Soc. Dalton Trans. pp. 85–92.

- Steyn, G. J. J., Roodt, A. & Leipoldt, J. G. (1992). Inorg. Chem. 31, 3477-3481.
- Steyn, G. J. J., Roodt, A., Poletaeva, I. A. & Varshavsky, Y. S. (1997). J. Organomet. Chem. 536–537, 197–205.
- Steyn, M., Roodt, A. & Steyl, G. (2008). Acta Cryst. E64, m827.
- Viljoen, J. A., Muller, A. & Roodt, A. (2008). Acta Cryst. E64, m838–m839.
- Viljoen, J. A., Visser, H. G. & Roodt, A. (2010). Acta Cryst. E66, m603-m604.
- Viljoen, J. A., Visser, H. G., Roodt, A. & Steyn, M. (2009a). Acta Cryst. E65, m1514–m1515.
- Viljoen, J. A., Visser, H. G., Roodt, A. & Steyn, M. (2009b). Acta Cryst. E65, m1367-m1368.

supporting information

Acta Cryst. (2012). E68, m1392 [doi:10.1107/S1600536812042638]

(Acetylacetonato- $\kappa^2 O, O'$)dichloridobis(methanolato- κO)niobium(V)

Leandra Herbst, Hendrik G. Visser, Andreas Roodt and Carla Pretorius

S1. Comment

Acetylacetone and other β -diketones are strong chelating agents that find applications in homogenous catalysis and the separations industry (Steyn *et al.*, 1992; 1997; Otto *et al.*, 1998; Roodt & Steyn, 2000; Brink *et al.*, 2010). This study forms part of ongoing research to investigate the interaction of transition metals used in the nuclear industry, specifically zirconium, hafnium, niobium and tantalum, with *O*,*O*'- and *N*,*O*-bidentate ligands. (Steyn *et al.*, 2008; Viljoen *et al.*, 2008; 2009*a*,*b*; 2010; Herbst *et al.*, 2010; 2011).

The title complex crystallizes in the monoclinic space group $P2_1/c$ with Z = 4. The assymetric unit consists of a niobium(V) atom surrounded by two methanolate groups, two chlorido ligands and an *O*,*O*'-bonded acetylacetonato ligand (Figure 1). The octahedral environment around the niobium metal centre is slightly disordered with Nb—O distances varying between 1.8254 (16) and 2.0892 (16) Å, while the Nb—Cl distances are 2.3997 (14) and 2.4023 (12) Å respectively. The O—Nb—O angles vary between and 81.36 (7) and 172.65 (7) °, while the *trans* Cl—Nb—Cl angle is 167.34 (2) °. All the bond distances and angles are similar to other relevant niobium(V) structures (Herbst *et al.*, 2010; 2011; Sokolov *et al.*, 1999; 2005; Antinolo *et al.*, 2000 and Dahan *et al.*, 1976).

S2. Experimental

 $NbCl_5$ (0.3134 g; 1.16 mmol) was carefully dissolved in absolute methanol (5 ml) (Care: exothermic reaction). Acetylacetone (0.119 ml; 1.16 mmol) was added to the solution. The colourless solution was stirred for 1 h at room temperature and the solution was left to stand at 252 K for 24 h after which pale-yellow crystals, suitable for X-ray diffraction were obtained.

S3. Refinement

The methyl and aromatic H atoms were placed in geometrically idealized positions and constrained to ride on their parent atoms, with C—H = 0.95 and 0.98 Å and $U_{iso}(H) = 1.5U_{eq}(C)$ and $1.2U_{eq}(C)$, respectively. The highest peak is located 0.74 Å from Nb1 and the deepest hole is situated 0.65 Å from Nb1.



Figure 1

Molecular structure of the title compound. Displacement ellipsoids are drawn at the 50% probability displacement level.

(Acetylacetonato- $\kappa^2 O, O'$)dichloridobis(methanolato- κO)niobium(V)

Crystal data

[Nb(CH₃O)₂(C₅H₇O₂)Cl₂] $M_r = 324.98$ Monoclinic, $P2_1/c$ Hall symbol: -P 2ybc a = 7.7985 (2) Å b = 11.6028 (3) Å c = 14.6819 (2) Å $\beta = 111.279$ (1)° V = 1237.91 (5) Å³ Z = 4

Data collection

Bruker APEXII CCD diffractometer Graphite monochromator φ and ω scans Absorption correction: multi-scan (*SADABS*; Bruker, 2004) $T_{\min} = 0.810, T_{\max} = 0.895$ 25521 measured reflections

Refinement

Refinement on F^2 Least-squares matrix: full $R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.026$ $wR(F^2) = 0.060$ F(000) = 648 $D_x = 1.744 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$ Mo K α radiation, $\lambda = 0.71069 \text{ Å}$ Cell parameters from 9867 reflections $\theta = 2.3-32.9^{\circ}$ $\mu = 1.39 \text{ mm}^{-1}$ T = 100 KCubiod, yellow $0.38 \times 0.13 \times 0.08 \text{ mm}$

2995 independent reflections 2873 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$ $R_{int} = 0.024$ $\theta_{max} = 28^\circ, \theta_{min} = 2.3^\circ$ $h = -10 \rightarrow 9$ $k = -14 \rightarrow 15$ $l = -19 \rightarrow 19$

S = 1.042995 reflections 131 parameters 0 restraints

Primary atom site location: structure-invariant	H-atom parameters constrained
direct methods	$w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.0177P)^2 + 2.6018P]$
Secondary atom site location: difference Fourier	where $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$
map	$(\Delta/\sigma)_{\rm max} = 0.001$
Hydrogen site location: inferred from	$\Delta \rho_{\rm max} = 1.90 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$
neighbouring sites	$\Delta \rho_{\rm min} = -1.14 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$

Special details

Experimental. The intensity data was collected on a Bruker X8 ApexII 4 K Kappa CCD diffractometer using an exposure time of 60 s/frame. A total of 1033 frames were collected with a frame width of 0.5° covering up to $\theta = 28.32^{\circ}$ with 99.8% completeness accomplished.

Geometry. All s.u.'s (except the s.u. in the dihedral angle between two l.s. planes) are estimated using the full covariance matrix. The cell s.u.'s are taken into account individually in the estimation of s.u.'s in distances, angles and torsion angles; correlations between s.u.'s in cell parameters are only used when they are defined by crystal symmetry. An approximate (isotropic) treatment of cell s.u.'s is used for estimating s.u.'s involving l.s. planes.

Refinement. Refinement of F^2 against ALL reflections. The weighted *R*-factor *wR* and goodness of fit *S* are based on F^2 , conventional *R*-factors *R* are based on *F*, with *F* set to zero for negative F^2 . The threshold expression of $F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)$ is used only for calculating *R*-factors(gt) *etc.* and is not relevant to the choice of reflections for refinement. *R*-factors based on F^2 are statistically about twice as large as those based on *F*, and *R*-factors based on ALL data will be even larger.

	x	у	Ζ	$U_{ m iso}$ */ $U_{ m eq}$
C1	0.5973 (4)	0.0556 (2)	0.41589 (19)	0.0291 (5)
H1A	0.5041	0.0091	0.3698	0.044*
H1B	0.5461	0.0949	0.4577	0.044*
H1C	0.697	0.0073	0.4547	0.044*
C2	0.6671 (3)	0.14217 (19)	0.36226 (16)	0.0209 (4)
C3	0.6842 (3)	0.25776 (19)	0.38971 (15)	0.0217 (4)
Н3	0.6428	0.2801	0.439	0.026*
C4	0.7590 (3)	0.34165 (18)	0.34795 (15)	0.0189 (4)
C5	0.7749 (3)	0.4644 (2)	0.38144 (17)	0.0256 (5)
H5A	0.8962	0.4925	0.3915	0.038*
H5B	0.7531	0.4689	0.4416	0.038*
H5C	0.6856	0.5104	0.3326	0.038*
C6	1.0638 (4)	0.3334 (2)	0.1093 (2)	0.0351 (6)
H6A	1.0417	0.4104	0.1263	0.053*
H6B	1.0441	0.3302	0.0409	0.053*
H6C	1.1884	0.3119	0.1467	0.053*
C7	0.7155 (4)	-0.0737 (2)	0.12959 (19)	0.0315 (5)
H7A	0.6627	-0.0836	0.1788	0.047*
H7B	0.8087	-0.131	0.1379	0.047*
H7C	0.6213	-0.0817	0.0661	0.047*
O1	0.7114 (2)	0.10264 (14)	0.29243 (12)	0.0260 (3)
O2	0.8199 (2)	0.32042 (13)	0.27942 (12)	0.0236 (3)
O3	0.9420 (2)	0.25678 (14)	0.12973 (12)	0.0249 (3)
O4	0.7944 (2)	0.03654 (13)	0.13835 (12)	0.0235 (3)
Cl1	1.11377 (9)	0.13058 (6)	0.32569 (5)	0.03473 (14)
Cl2	0.51757 (8)	0.23365 (5)	0.10144 (4)	0.02774 (12)
Nb1	0.82643 (3)	0.178209 (17)	0.198700 (15)	0.02255 (7)

Fractional atomic coordinates and isotropic or equivalent isotropic displacement parameters $(Å^2)$

	1711	1/22	I 1 ³³	1/12	1/13	L /23
<u></u>	0.0297 (12)	0.0244 (11)	0.022((12)	0.0020 (10)	0.0241 (11)	0.0074 (0)
CI	0.0387(13)	0.0244 (11)	0.0336 (12)	0.0020 (10)	0.0241 (11)	0.0074 (9)
C2	0.0234 (10)	0.0220 (10)	0.0208 (10)	0.0033 (8)	0.0122 (8)	0.0047 (8)
C3	0.0271 (11)	0.0233 (10)	0.0194 (10)	0.0032 (8)	0.0142 (9)	0.0006 (8)
C4	0.0204 (10)	0.0202 (10)	0.0166 (9)	0.0022 (8)	0.0074 (8)	-0.0015 (7)
C5	0.0325 (12)	0.0212 (10)	0.0277 (11)	-0.0021 (9)	0.0162 (10)	-0.0065 (9)
C6	0.0348 (13)	0.0404 (14)	0.0344 (13)	-0.0160 (11)	0.0177 (11)	-0.0021 (11)
C7	0.0391 (14)	0.0204 (11)	0.0358 (13)	-0.0076 (10)	0.0145 (11)	-0.0050 (10)
O1	0.0399 (9)	0.0187 (7)	0.0296 (8)	-0.0034 (7)	0.0247 (8)	-0.0019 (6)
O2	0.0349 (9)	0.0186 (7)	0.0246 (8)	-0.0050 (6)	0.0194 (7)	-0.0042 (6)
03	0.0307 (8)	0.0245 (8)	0.0274 (8)	-0.0071 (7)	0.0199 (7)	-0.0046 (6)
O4	0.0291 (8)	0.0184 (7)	0.0279 (8)	-0.0037 (6)	0.0161 (7)	-0.0059 (6)
Cl1	0.0379 (3)	0.0295 (3)	0.0374 (3)	-0.0022 (2)	0.0144 (3)	-0.0032 (2)
Cl2	0.0297 (3)	0.0293 (3)	0.0283 (3)	-0.0040 (2)	0.0154 (2)	-0.0029 (2)
Nb1	0.03316 (12)	0.01790 (10)	0.02524 (11)	-0.00586(8)	0.02096 (9)	-0.00534(7)

Atomic displacement parameters $(Å^2)$

Geometric parameters (Å, °)

C1—C2	1.495 (3)	С6—Н6А	0.96	
C1—H1A	0.96	C6—H6B	0.96	
C1—H1B	0.96	С6—Н6С	0.96	
C1—H1C	0.96	C7—O4	1.405 (3)	
C2—O1	1.280 (3)	С7—Н7А	0.96	
С2—С3	1.393 (3)	С7—Н7В	0.96	
C3—C4	1.387 (3)	С7—Н7С	0.96	
С3—Н3	0.93	O1—Nb1	2.0892 (16)	
C4—O2	1.283 (3)	O2—Nb1	2.0429 (16)	
C4—C5	1.497 (3)	O3—Nb1	1.8254 (16)	
С5—Н5А	0.96	O4—Nb1	1.8410 (17)	
С5—Н5В	0.96	Cl1—Nb1	2.4023 (12)	
С5—Н5С	0.96	Cl2—Nb1	2.3997 (14)	
С6—О3	1.411 (3)			
C2—C1—H1A	109.5	H6B—C6—H6C	109.5	
C2—C1—H1B	109.5	O4—C7—H7A	109.5	
H1A—C1—H1B	109.5	O4—C7—H7B	109.5	
C2—C1—H1C	109.5	H7A—C7—H7B	109.5	
H1A—C1—H1C	109.5	O4—C7—H7C	109.5	
H1B—C1—H1C	109.5	H7A—C7—H7C	109.5	
O1—C2—C3	123.49 (19)	H7B—C7—H7C	109.5	
O1—C2—C1	115.9 (2)	C2—O1—Nb1	133.05 (15)	
C3—C2—C1	120.6 (2)	C4—O2—Nb1	134.78 (14)	
C4—C3—C2	124.00 (19)	C6—O3—Nb1	159.91 (16)	
С4—С3—Н3	118	C7—O4—Nb1	146.66 (15)	
С2—С3—Н3	118	O3—Nb1—O4	100.77 (7)	
O2—C4—C3	123.2 (2)	O3—Nb1—O2	92.28 (7)	

O2—C4—C5	115.49 (19)	O4—Nb1—O2	166.62 (7)
C3—C4—C5	121.35 (19)	O3—Nb1—O1	172.65 (7)
C4—C5—H5A	109.5	O4—Nb1—O1	85.79 (7)
C4—C5—H5B	109.5	O2—Nb1—O1	81.36 (7)
H5A—C5—H5B	109.5	O3—Nb1—Cl2	97.31 (7)
C4—C5—H5C	109.5	O4—Nb1—Cl2	91.23 (6)
H5A—C5—H5C	109.5	O2—Nb1—Cl2	84.13 (5)
H5B—C5—H5C	109.5	O1—Nb1—Cl2	85.76 (6)
O3—C6—H6A	109.5	O3—Nb1—Cl1	92.06 (7)
O3—C6—H6B	109.5	O4—Nb1—Cl1	95.37 (6)
H6A—C6—H6B	109.5	O2—Nb1—Cl1	87.03 (5)
O3—C6—H6C	109.5	O1—Nb1—Cl1	83.97 (7)
Н6А—С6—Н6С	109.5	Cl2—Nb1—Cl1	167.34 (2)