

Acta Crystallographica Section E Structure Reports Online

ISSN 1600-5368

Ammonium imidazolium dichromate

Run-Qiang Zhu

Ordered Matter Science Research Center, College of Chemistry and Chemical Engineering, Southeast University, Nanjing 211189, People's Republic of China Correspondence e-mail: zhurunqiang@163.com

Received 24 February 2012; accepted 4 March 2012

Key indicators: single-crystal X-ray study; T = 293 K; mean σ (C–C) = 0.005 Å; R factor = 0.037; wR factor = 0.085; data-to-parameter ratio = 15.1.

In the crystal structure of the title compound, $(C_3H_5N_2)$ -(NH₄)[Cr₂O₇], the anions and cations are linked through N– H···O hydrogen bonds, resulting in a three-dimensional structure which contains three kinds of layers parallel to (001). One layer contains imidazole cations, the other two layers the ammonium cations and dichromate anions. The dichromate anion has an eclipsed conformation with a dihedral angle of 14.65 (18)° between the mean planes of the O–P–O–P–O backbone.

Related literature

The title compound was synthesized as part of a search for ferroelectric materials. For general background to ferroelectric compounds with metal-organic framework structures, see: Fu *et al.* (2009); Ye *et al.* (2006); Zhang *et al.* (2008, 2010). For graph-set motifs, see: Bernstein *et al.* (1995).



Experimental

Crystal data

 $\begin{array}{l} ({\rm C_3H_5N_2})({\rm NH_4})[{\rm Cr_2O_7}] \\ M_r = 303.13 \\ {\rm Monoclinic}, P2_1/c \\ a = 5.6260 \ (11) \ {\rm \AA} \end{array}$

b = 8.2749 (17) Å c = 21.593 (4) Å $\beta = 91.90 (3)^{\circ}$ $V = 1004.7 (3) \text{ Å}^{3}$

metal-organic compounds

 $0.32 \times 0.27 \times 0.22 \text{ mm}$

10091 measured reflections 2297 independent reflections

1907 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$

T = 293 K

 $R_{\rm int} = 0.047$

Z = 4Mo $K\alpha$ radiation $\mu = 2.18 \text{ mm}^{-1}$

Data collection

Rigaku SCXmini diffractometer
Absorption correction: multi-scan
(CrystalClear; Rigaku, 2005)
$T_{\rm min} = 0.502, T_{\rm max} = 0.618$

Refinement

$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.037$	H atoms treated by a mixture of
$wR(F^2) = 0.085$	independent and constrained
S = 1.09	refinement
2297 reflections	$\Delta \rho_{\rm max} = 0.34 \ {\rm e} \ {\rm \AA}^{-3}$
152 parameters	$\Delta \rho_{\rm min} = -0.52 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$

 Table 1

 Hydrogen-bond geometry (Å, °).

$D - H \cdots A$	D-H	$H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	$D \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	$D - \mathbf{H} \cdots A$
N2-H2 B ···O7 ⁱ	0.86	2.16	3.011 (4)	170
$N3 - H3B \cdots O1$ $N1 - H1B \cdots O7$	0.86 0.79 (5)	2.04 2.16 (5)	2.827 (4) 2.940 (4)	152 169 (5)
$N1 - H1C \cdots O4^{iii}$ $N1 - H1D \cdots O3^{iv}$	0.83 (5) 0.71 (5)	2.19 (5) 2.29 (5)	2.943 (4) 3.004 (5)	151 (5) 176 (5)
$N1 - H1E \cdots O6^{v}$	0.74 (5)	2.15 (5)	2.895 (4)	174 (5)

Symmetry codes: (i) $-x + 1, y + \frac{1}{2}, -z + \frac{1}{2}$; (ii) $x, -y + \frac{3}{2}, z - \frac{1}{2}$; (iii) x + 1, y, z; (iv) $-x + 1, y - \frac{1}{2}, -z + \frac{1}{2}$; (v) $-x, y + \frac{1}{2}, -z + \frac{1}{2}$.

Data collection: *CrystalClear* (Rigaku, 2005); cell refinement: *CrystalClear*; data reduction: *CrystalClear*; program(s) used to solve structure: *SHELXS97* (Sheldrick, 2008); program(s) used to refine structure: *SHELXL97* (Sheldrick, 2008); molecular graphics: *DIAMOND* (Brandenburg & Putz, 2005); software used to prepare material for publication: *SHELXL97*.

This work was supported by Southeast University.

Supplementary data and figures for this paper are available from the IUCr electronic archives (Reference: FJ2526).

References

- Bernstein, J., Davis, R. E., Shimoni, L. & Chang, N.-L. (1995). Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl. 34, 1555–1573.
- Brandenburg, K. & Putz, H. (2005). *DIAMOND*. Crystal Impact GbR, Bonn, Germany.
- Fu, D.-W., Ge, J.-Z., Dai, J., Ye, H.-Y. & Qu, Z.-R. (2009). Inorg. Chem. Commun. 12, 994–997.
- Rigaku (2005). CrystalClear. Rigaku Corporation, Tokyo, Japan.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (2008). Acta Cryst. A64, 112-122.
- Ye, Q., Song, Y.-M., Wang, G.-X., Chen, K. & Fu, D.-W. (2006). J. Am. Chem. Soc. 128, 6554–6555.
- Zhang, W., Xiong, R.-G. & Huang, S.-P. D. (2008). J. Am. Chem. Soc. 130, 10468–10469.
- Zhang, W., Ye, H.-Y., Cai, H.-L., Ge, J.-Z. & Xiong, R.-G. (2010). J. Am. Chem. Soc. 132, 7300–7302.

supporting information

Acta Cryst. (2012). E68, m389 [https://doi.org/10.1107/S1600536812009506]

Ammonium imidazolium dichromate

Run-Qiang Zhu

S1. Comment

We synthesized the title compound to find ferroelectric material by dielectric measurements of compound as a function of temperature(Fu *et al.*, 2009; Ye *et al.*, 2006; Zhang *et al.*, 2008; Zhang *et al.*, 2010). In the range from 190 K to near its melting point (m.p. >370 K), no dielectric anomaly was observed.

A view of the title compound is shown in Fig.1. The structure is consolidated by multiple intermolecular and intramolecular hydrogen bonds between N and O. This hydrogen bondings (table 1, Fig.2) produces a three-dimensional net work. The N···O distances of the hydrogen bonding are in the range of 2.827 (4) – 3.011 (4) for table 1. Hydrogen bonding is the most reliable desigen element in the non-covalent assembly of molecules with donor and accept functionalities, and as such it is the most important interaction in crystal engineering (Bernstein *et al.*, 1995).

S2. Experimental

A mixture of imidazole (0.68 g, 10 mmol), ammonium dichromate (2.5 g, 10 mmol) in water was stirred for several days at ambient temperature, red sheet crystals were obtained.

S3. Refinement

Hydrogen atom positions were calculated and allowed to ride on their parent atoms with aromtic C–H = 0.93 Å and N–H = 0.86 Å, and with Uiso(H)=1.2Ueq(C or N).The H atoms on N1 were freely refined.



Figure 1

The molecular structure of the title compound, with the displacement ellipsoids drawn at the 30% probability level.



Figure 2

Packing diagram of the title compound, showing the structure along the *a* axis. Hydrogen bonds are shown as dashed lines.

Ammonium imidazolium dichromate

Crystal data

 $\begin{array}{l} (C_{3}H_{5}N_{2})(NH_{4})[Cr_{2}O_{7}]\\ M_{r} = 303.13\\ \text{Monoclinic, } P2_{1}/c\\ \text{Hall symbol: -P 2ybc}\\ a = 5.6260 (11) \text{ Å}\\ b = 8.2749 (17) \text{ Å}\\ c = 21.593 (4) \text{ Å}\\ \beta = 91.90 (3)^{\circ}\\ V = 1004.7 (3) \text{ Å}^{3}\\ Z = 4 \end{array}$

Data collection

Rigaku SCXmini	10091 measured reflections
diffractometer	2297 independent reflections
Radiation source: fine-focus sealed tube	1907 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$
Graphite monochromator	$R_{\rm int} = 0.047$
CCD Profile fitting scans	$\theta_{\rm max} = 27.5^{\circ}, \theta_{\rm min} = 3.1^{\circ}$
Absorption correction: multi-scan	$h = -7 \rightarrow 7$
(CrystalClear; Rigaku, 2005)	$k = -10 \rightarrow 10$
$T_{\min} = 0.502, \ T_{\max} = 0.618$	$l = -28 \rightarrow 27$

Refinement

Refinement on F^2 Least-squares matrix: full $R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.037$ $wR(F^2) = 0.085$ S = 1.092297 reflections 152 parameters 0 restraints Primary atom site location: structure-invariant direct methods F(000) = 608 $D_x = 2.004 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$ Mo K α radiation, $\lambda = 0.71073 \text{ Å}$ Cell parameters from 2297 reflections $\theta = 3.1-27.5^{\circ}$ $\mu = 2.18 \text{ mm}^{-1}$ T = 293 KPlane, red $0.32 \times 0.27 \times 0.22 \text{ mm}$

Secondary atom site location: difference Fourier map Hydrogen site location: inferred from neighbouring sites H atoms treated by a mixture of independent and constrained refinement $w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.0321P)^2 + 0.944P]$ where $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$ $(\Delta/\sigma)_{max} < 0.001$ $\Delta\rho_{max} = 0.34$ e Å⁻³ $\Delta\rho_{min} = -0.52$ e Å⁻³

Special details

Geometry. All e.s.d.'s (except the e.s.d. in the dihedral angle between two l.s. planes) are estimated using the full covariance matrix. The cell e.s.d.'s are taken into account individually in the estimation of e.s.d.'s in distances, angles and torsion angles; correlations between e.s.d.'s in cell parameters are only used when they are defined by crystal symmetry. An approximate (isotropic) treatment of cell e.s.d.'s is used for estimating e.s.d.'s involving l.s. planes.

Refinement. Refinement of F^2 against ALL reflections. The weighted *R*-factor *wR* and goodness of fit *S* are based on F^2 , conventional *R*-factors *R* are based on *F*, with *F* set to zero for negative F^2 . The threshold expression of $F^2 > \sigma(F^2)$ is used only for calculating *R*-factors(gt) *etc.* and is not relevant to the choice of reflections for refinement. *R*-factors based on F^2 are statistically about twice as large as those based on *F*, and *R*- factors based on ALL data will be even larger.

	x	у	Ζ	$U_{ m iso}$ */ $U_{ m eq}$
C1	0.3469 (6)	0.7554 (4)	0.06526 (17)	0.0396 (8)
H1A	0.2345	0.7447	0.0956	0.048*
C2	0.6599 (6)	0.8268 (4)	0.01522 (17)	0.0390 (8)
H2A	0.8034	0.8757	0.0060	0.047*
C3	0.5350 (7)	0.7289 (5)	-0.02122 (18)	0.0473 (9)
H3A	0.5725	0.6965	-0.0610	0.057*
N1	0.5234 (6)	0.7387 (4)	0.24161 (17)	0.0325 (6)
N2	0.5405 (5)	0.8437 (4)	0.06922 (14)	0.0437 (7)
H2B	0.5851	0.9023	0.1004	0.052*
N3	0.3399 (5)	0.6843 (3)	0.01056 (15)	0.0449 (8)
H3B	0.2299	0.6200	-0.0030	0.054*
01	0.0282 (4)	0.9810 (3)	0.42701 (10)	0.0378 (5)
O2	-0.0350 (5)	1.1228 (3)	0.31828 (11)	0.0422 (6)
O3	0.3641 (4)	0.9650 (3)	0.34399 (12)	0.0429 (6)
O4	-0.0528 (4)	0.7910 (2)	0.32273 (11)	0.0375 (5)
O5	-0.0001 (5)	0.5720 (3)	0.41884 (11)	0.0458 (6)
O6	-0.2197 (4)	0.4834 (3)	0.31508 (12)	0.0425 (6)
O7	0.2496 (4)	0.5232 (3)	0.32071 (11)	0.0415 (6)
Cr1	0.08035 (8)	0.97044 (5)	0.35383 (2)	0.02452 (14)
Cr2	-0.00312 (8)	0.58625 (5)	0.34477 (2)	0.02334 (14)
H1B	0.439 (8)	0.692 (6)	0.264 (2)	0.066 (16)*
H1C	0.644 (9)	0.783 (6)	0.256 (2)	0.081 (18)*
H1D	0.556 (8)	0.677 (6)	0.221 (2)	0.051 (15)*
H1E	0.439 (8)	0.796 (6)	0.226 (2)	0.059 (15)*

Fractional atomic coordinates and isotropic or equivalent isotropic displacement parameters $(Å^2)$

Atomic displacement parameters $(Å^2)$

	U^{11}	U^{22}	U^{33}	U^{12}	U^{13}	U^{23}
C1	0.0366 (18)	0.0382 (19)	0.044 (2)	-0.0071 (15)	0.0056 (15)	0.0040 (16)
C2	0.0287 (16)	0.0377 (18)	0.051 (2)	-0.0066 (14)	0.0055 (15)	0.0081 (16)
C3	0.051 (2)	0.051 (2)	0.040(2)	0.0087 (18)	0.0061 (17)	-0.0013 (18)
N1	0.0337 (17)	0.0280 (16)	0.0357 (17)	0.0060 (14)	-0.0008 (14)	0.0038 (14)
N2	0.0505 (18)	0.0362 (16)	0.0438 (18)	-0.0065 (14)	-0.0080 (14)	-0.0024 (13)
N3	0.0370 (16)	0.0365 (16)	0.060 (2)	-0.0108 (13)	-0.0108 (14)	-0.0028 (15)
01	0.0463 (13)	0.0415 (13)	0.0256 (11)	0.0090 (11)	0.0029 (10)	-0.0019 (10)
02	0.0659 (16)	0.0254 (11)	0.0352 (13)	0.0112 (11)	0.0000 (11)	0.0048 (10)

supporting information

03	0.0323(12)	0.0482(14)	0.0485(15)	-0.0032(11)	0.0072(11)	-0.0030(12)
04	0.0325(12)	0.0402(14)	0.0463(13)	-0.0002(11)	-0.0130(11)	0.0030(12)
04	0.0403(13)	0.0199(10)	0.0432(14)	0.0002(9)	0.0130(11)	0.0003(9)
05	0.0338(13)	0.0303(13)	0.0334(13)	0.0077(12)	0.0042(11)	0.0037(11)
06	0.0324 (12)	0.0254 (11)	0.0686 (17)	-0.00/5(9)	-0.0132(11)	0.0037 (11)
07	0.0290 (12)	0.0459 (14)	0.0501 (15)	0.0066 (10)	0.0109 (11)	0.0050 (11)
Crl	0.0307 (3)	0.0190 (2)	0.0239 (3)	0.00146 (18)	0.00126 (19)	-0.00066 (18)
Cr2	0.0199 (2)	0.0209 (2)	0.0292 (3)	0.00099 (17)	0.00006 (18)	0.00220 (18)

Geometric parameters (Å, °)

C1—N2	1.312 (4)	N1—H1E	0.74 (5)
C1—N3	1.319 (5)	N2—H2B	0.8600
C1—H1A	0.9300	N3—H3B	0.8600
C2—C3	1.316 (5)	O1—Cr1	1.619 (2)
C2—N2	1.372 (5)	O2—Cr1	1.602 (2)
C2—H2A	0.9300	O3—Cr1	1.618 (2)
C3—N3	1.364 (5)	O4—Cr2	1.779 (2)
С3—НЗА	0.9300	O4—Cr1	1.784 (2)
N1—H1B	0.79 (5)	O5—Cr2	1.603 (2)
N1—H1C	0.83 (5)	O6—Cr2	1.602 (2)
N1—H1D	0.71 (5)	O7—Cr2	1.616 (2)
N2—C1—N3	107.8 (3)	C2—N2—H2B	125.8
N2—C1—H1A	126.1	C1—N3—C3	109.2 (3)
N3—C1—H1A	126.1	C1—N3—H3B	125.4
C3—C2—N2	107.7 (3)	C3—N3—H3B	125.4
C3—C2—H2A	126.1	Cr2—O4—Cr1	129.15 (13)
N2—C2—H2A	126.1	O2—Cr1—O3	110.17 (13)
C2—C3—N3	106.8 (3)	O2—Cr1—O1	109.98 (12)
С2—С3—НЗА	126.6	O3—Cr1—O1	109.94 (13)
N3—C3—H3A	126.6	O2—Cr1—O4	108.44 (11)
H1B—N1—H1C	119 (5)	O3—Cr1—O4	109.34 (12)
H1B—N1—H1D	102 (5)	O1—Cr1—O4	108.94 (12)
H1C—N1—H1D	110 (5)	O6—Cr2—O5	110.06 (14)
H1B—N1—H1E	102 (4)	O6—Cr2—O7	111.42 (13)
H1C—N1—H1E	113 (5)	O5—Cr2—O7	108.47 (13)
H1D—N1—H1E	110 (5)	O6—Cr2—O4	106.74 (11)
C1—N2—C2	108.5 (3)	O5—Cr2—O4	109.46 (12)
C1—N2—H2B	125.8	O7—Cr2—O4	110.69 (12)

Hydrogen-bond geometry (Å, °)

D—H···A	<i>D</i> —Н	H···A	$D \cdots A$	D—H··· A
N2—H2B····O7 ⁱ	0.86	2.16	3.011 (4)	170
N3—H3 <i>B</i> ···O1 ⁱⁱ	0.86	2.04	2.827 (4)	152
N1—H1 <i>B</i> …O7	0.79 (5)	2.16 (5)	2.940 (4)	169 (5)
N1—H1C····O4 ⁱⁱⁱ	0.83 (5)	2.19 (5)	2.943 (4)	151 (5)

supporting information

N1—H1 D ···O3 ^{iv}	0.71 (5)	2.29 (5)	3.004 (5)	176 (5)
N1— $H1E$ ···O6 ^v	0.74 (5)	2.15 (5)	2.895 (4)	174 (5)

Symmetry codes: (i) -x+1, y+1/2, -z+1/2; (ii) x, -y+3/2, z-1/2; (iii) x+1, y, z; (iv) -x+1, y-1/2, -z+1/2; (v) -x, y+1/2, -z+1/2.