

Acta Crystallographica Section E **Structure Reports** Online

ISSN 1600-5368

Tetramethyl N,N'-(2,2,3,3,4,4-hexafluoro-1,5-dioxopentane-1,5-diyl)bis-(phosphoramidate)

Victor A. Trush,^a* Kateryna E. Gubina,^a Yaroslav O. Gumeniuk,^b Tetyana Yu. Sliva^a and Irina S. Konovalova^c

^aNational Taras Shevchenko University, Department of Chemistry, Volodymyrska str. 64, 01601 Kyiv, Ukraine, ^bNational University of Life and Environmental Sciences of Ukraine, Heroiv Oboroni str. 15, 03041 Kyiv, Ukraine, and ^cSTC "Institute for Single Crystals", 60 Lenina ave., Khar'kov 61001, Ukraine Correspondence e-mail: trush@univ.kiev.ua

Received 20 February 2012; accepted 14 March 2012

Key indicators: single-crystal X-ray study; T = 293 K; mean σ (C–C) = 0.002 Å; R factor = 0.037; wR factor = 0.106; data-to-parameter ratio = 20.0.

The molecule of the title compound, $C_9H_{14}F_6N_2O_8P_2$, lies on a twofold rotation axis that passes through the middle C atom of the three-atom fluoromethylene unit. The carbonyl and phosphoryl groups are in an antiperiplanar conformation. In the crystal, $N-H \cdots O = P$ hydrogen bonds link the molecules into polymeric chains parallel to the c axis.

Related literature

For background to the chemistry of phosphorus-organic compounds, see: Ly & Woollins (1998). For the biological and pharmacological properties of carbacylamidophosphate derivatives, see: Adams et al. (2002). For details of the synthesis and properties of phosphoramide derivatives, see: Kirsanov & Levchenko (1957); For structural analogues of phosphorylated carbacylamides and their properties, see: Trush et al. (2005); Gubina et al. (2000). For the synthesis and properties of fluorinated compounds, see: Leontieva et al. (2002).



Experimental

Crystal data $C_9H_{14}F_6N_2O_8P_2$

 $M_r = 454.16$

Monoclinic, $C2/c$	
a = 19.7862 (13) Å	
b = 5.2801 (4) Å	
c = 16.9943 (11) Å	
$\beta = 100.427 \ (6)^{\circ}$	
V = 1746.1 (2) Å ³	

Data collection

Oxford Diffraction Xcalibur3	2504 independent reflections
diffractometer	1669 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$
Absorption correction: multi-scan	$R_{\rm int} = 0.023$
(CrysAlis PRO; Oxford	2 standard reflections every 50
Diffraction, 2010)	reflections
$T_{\min} = 0.872, \ T_{\max} = 0.966$	intensity decay: 0.3%
7084 measured reflections	

Refinement

 $R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.037$ 125 parameters $wR(F^2) = 0.106$ H-atom parameters constrained S = 0.93 $\Delta \rho_{\rm max} = 0.29 \text{ e} \text{ Å}^{-3}$ $\Delta \rho_{\rm min} = -0.24 \text{ e} \text{ Å}^{-3}$ 2504 reflections

Table 1

Hydrogen-bond geometry (Å, °).

 $D - H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$ D-H $H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$ $D \cdots A$ $D - H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$ $N1 - H1NA \cdots O4^{i}$ 0.86 1.93 2.7750 (17) 168

Symmetry code: (i) -x + 1, -y, -z.

Data collection: CrysAlis CCD (Oxford Diffraction, 2006); cell refinement: CrysAlis CCD; data reduction: CrysAlis RED (Oxford Diffraction, 2006); program(s) used to solve structure: SHELXS97 (Sheldrick, 2008); program(s) used to refine structure: SHELXL97 (Sheldrick, 2008); molecular graphics: XP in SHELXTL (Sheldrick, 2008); software used to prepare material for publication: PLATON (Spek, 2009).

Supplementary data and figures for this paper are available from the IUCr electronic archives (Reference: FJ2523).

References

- Adams, L. A., Cox, R. J., Gibson, J. S., Mayo-Martin, M. B., Walter, M. & Whittingham, W. (2002). Chem. Commun. pp. 2004-2005.
- Gubina, K. E., Ovchynnikov, V. A., Amirkhanov, V. M., Skopenko, V. V. & Shishkin, O. V. (2000). Z. Naturforsh. Teil B, 55, 495-498.
- Kirsanov, A. & Levchenko, E. (1957). Zh. Obshch. Khim. 27, 2585-2590.
- Leontieva, O. S., Chapurkin, V. V. & Baklanov, A. V. (2002). Russ. J. Org. Chem. 38, 1375-1376.
- Ly, T. Q. & Woollins, J. D. (1998). Coord. Chem. Rev. 176, 451-481.
- Oxford Diffraction (2006). CrysAlis CCD and CrysAlis RED. Oxford
- Diffraction, Abingdon, England. Oxford Diffraction (2010). CrysAlis PRO. Oxford Diffraction Ltd, Yarnton, England.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (2008). Acta Cryst. A64, 112-122.
- Spek, A. L. (2009). Acta Cryst. D65, 148-155.
- Trush, V. A., Gubina, K. E., Amirkhanov, V. M., Swiatek-Kozlowska, J. & Domasevitch, K. V. (2005). Polyhedron, 24, 1007-1014.

Mo $K\alpha$ radiation

 $0.40 \times 0.20 \times 0.10 \text{ mm}$

50

 $\mu = 0.35 \text{ mm}^{-1}$

T = 293 K

Z = 4

supporting information

Acta Cryst. (2012). E68, o1127 [https://doi.org/10.1107/S1600536812011191]

Tetramethyl *N*,*N*'-(2,2,3,3,4,4-hexafluoro-1,5-dioxopentane-1,5-diyl)bis-(phosphoramidate)

Victor A. Trush, Kateryna E. Gubina, Yaroslav O. Gumeniuk, Tetyana Yu. Sliva and Irina S. Konovalova

S1. Comment

Tetramethyl (2,2,3,3,4,4-hexafluoro-1,5-dioxopentane-1,5-diyl)bis(amidophosphate) is a representative of the carbacylamidophospates (CAPh), a family of compounds containing C(O)NHP(O) group. The presence of a peptide group in the carbacylamidophospates causes its diverse biological activity. (Adams *et al.*, 2002). CAPh may be regarded as powerful chelating ligand systems. There has recently been a resurgence of interest in their coordination chemistry as a consequence of the steric control that this ligand system may impart compared to, for example, β -diketonates. The wide range of coordination compounds were synthesized and described in detailes (Ly & Woollins, 1998).

The crystal structure of tetramethyl (2,2,3,3,4,4-hexafluoro-1,5-dioxopentane-1,5-diyl)bis(amidophosphate) (1) reveals, that the molecule of phosphorylated amide consists of symmetric moiety lying on a twofold rotation axis that passes through the middle atom of the three-atom fluoromethylene unit (-x,y,-z + 1/2). CF₂– groups are situated in the retarded conformation to each other with the values of dihedral FCCF angles in the range 57.76 - 68.62° (Fig.2). In the molecule, the carbonyl and phosphoryl groups are in antiperiplanar conformation. The frame O4—P1—N1—C3—O1 is almost flat (the values of dihedral O4—P1—N1—C3 and O1—C3—N1—P1 angles are -169.54 and -0.05°, respectively) as it has been observed for the most CAPh (Gubina *et al.*, 2000; Trush *et al.* 2005). The crystal is composed from polymer chains which are built from molecules linked *via* intermolecular hydrogen N—H…O=P bonds (Fig.3). The parameters of the intermolecular hydrogen bond are listed in Table 1.

S2. Experimental

The compound tetramethyl(2,2,3,3,4,4-hexafluoro-1,5-dioxopentane-1,5-diyl)bis(amidophosphate) (1) can be synthesized by multistep reaction (Fig.1) starting from dimethyl hexafluoropentanedioate (Leontieva *et al.* 2002). The solution of 26.81 g (0.1 mol) dimethyl hexafluoropentanedioate in 40 ml of methanol was added drop-wise to the well stirred saturated solution of ammonia in methanol (~ 200 ml) under cooling. The obtained mixture was allowed to stand for a weak. Then solvent was removed under reduced pressure to give the crude product. Recrystallization from water gave the white powder of 2,2,3,3,4,4-hexafluoropentanediamide (22.6 g, 95%). Subsequently, the meticulous dried diamide (11.91 g, 0.05 mol) was involved in phosphoroazo-reaction (Kirsanov & Levchenko, 1957) with 20.82 g (0.1 mol) of PCl₅ in 10 ml CCl₄. The treatment of crude hexachloroanhydride with NaOCH₃ (0.3 mol) in methanol solution leads to obtain hexaester with good yield. Further alkaline hydrolysis and acidification gave the final product - tetramethyl(2,2,3,3,4,4hexafluoro-1,5-dioxopentane-1,5-diyl)bis(amidophosphate) (yield 13.6 g, 60%). The single crystals of **1** suitable for Xray analysis were grown from aqueous-methanol solution (1:1).

S3. Refinement

All H atoms were placed at calculated positions and treated as riding on their parent atoms [C—H = 0.96 Å, and $U_{iso}(H) = 1.5U_{eq}(C)$, N—H = 0.86 Å and $U_{iso}(H) = 1.2U_{eq}(N)$].



Figure 1

Scheme of synthesis of tetramethyl (2,2,3,3,4,4-hexafluoro-1,5-dioxopentane-1,5-diyl)bis(amidophosphate).



Figure 2

A view of tetramethyl(2,2,3,3,4,4-hexafluoro-1,5-dioxopentane-1,5-diyl)bis(amidophosphate). Symmetry transformation used to generate equivalent atoms: i - x + 1, y, -z + 1/2.



Figure 3

A system of hydrogen bonds as a one-dimensional polymeric ladder chains.

Tetramethyl *N*,*N*'-(2,2,3,3,4,4-hexafluoro-1,5-dioxopentane- 1,5-diyl)bis(phosphoramidate)

F(000) = 920

 $\theta = 2.9 - 30^{\circ}$ $\mu = 0.35 \text{ mm}^{-1}$

T = 293 K

 $R_{\rm int} = 0.023$

 $k = -7 \rightarrow 7$ $l = -23 \rightarrow 22$

Block, colourless

 $0.40 \times 0.20 \times 0.10$ mm

 $\theta_{\text{max}} = 30.0^{\circ}, \ \theta_{\text{min}} = 2.9^{\circ}$ $h = -27 \rightarrow 27$

intensity decay: 0.3%

2504 independent reflections 1669 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$

2 standard reflections every 50 reflections

 $D_{\rm x} = 1.728 {\rm Mg} {\rm m}^{-3}$

Mo *K* α radiation, $\lambda = 0.71073$ Å

Cell parameters from 2504 reflections

Crystal data

C₉H₁₄F₆N₂O₈P₂ $M_r = 454.16$ Monoclinic, C2/c Hall symbol: -C 2yc a = 19.7862 (13) Å b = 5.2801 (4) Å c = 16.9943 (11) Å $\beta = 100.427$ (6)° V = 1746.1 (2) Å³ Z = 4

Data collection

Oxford Diffraction Xcalibur3
diffractometer
Radiation source: fine-focus sealed tube
Graphite monochromator
ω scans
Absorption correction: multi-scan
(CrysAlis PRO; Oxford Diffraction, 2010)
$T_{\min} = 0.872, \ T_{\max} = 0.966$
7084 measured reflections

Refinement

Refinement on F^2 Least-squares matrix: full $R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.037$ $w^{R}(F^2) = 0.106$	Secondary atom site location: difference Fourier map Hydrogen site location: inferred from
$WR(F^2) = 0.106$ S = 0.93 2504 reflections 125 parameters	H-atom parameters constrained $w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.0667P)^2]$ where $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_o^2)/3$
0 restraints Primary atom site location: structure-invariant direct methods	$(\Delta/\sigma)_{max} = 0.001$ $\Delta\rho_{max} = 0.29 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$ $\Delta\rho_{min} = -0.24 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$

Special details

Experimental. Analysis found: IR (KBr pellet, cm⁻¹): 3095(s, NH), 2925(ns, CH), 1190(*s*), 1746(s, C=O), 1478(s CN); 1291 (as, CF), 1212 (s, PO), 1141 (s, CF). NMR - ¹H (DMSO-d⁶): C—H 3.74 (*d*) 12H, ³J_{PH} = 11.6 Hz; NH 11.38 (*d*) 2H; ³¹P (DMSO-d⁶): -0.28 (hept) ³J_{HP} = 11.6 Hz; ¹³C (DMSO-d⁶): C(O) 159.68, CF 108.6 - 105.9, CH₃ 54.74.

Geometry. All s.u.'s (except the s.u. in the dihedral angle between two l.s. planes) are estimated using the full covariance matrix. The cell s.u.'s are taken into account individually in the estimation of s.u.'s in distances, angles and torsion angles; correlations between s.u.'s in cell parameters are only used when they are defined by crystal symmetry. An approximate (isotropic) treatment of cell s.u.'s is used for estimating s.u.'s involving l.s. planes.

Refinement. Refinement of F^2 against ALL reflections. The weighted *R*-factor *wR* and goodness of fit *S* are based on F^2 , conventional *R*-factors *R* are based on *F*, with *F* set to zero for negative F^2 . The threshold expression of $F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)$ is used only for calculating *R*-factors(gt) *etc.* and is not relevant to the choice of reflections for refinement. *R*-factors based on F^2 are statistically about twice as large as those based on *F*, and *R*-factors based on ALL data will be even larger.

Fractional atomic coordinates and isotropic or equivalent isotropic displacement parameters (\hat{A}^2)

	x	у	Ζ	$U_{\rm iso}$ */ $U_{\rm eq}$
F1	0.54045 (6)	-0.1156 (2)	0.30276 (6)	0.0559 (3)

supporting information

F2	0.58399 (6)	-0.5823 (2)	0.25478 (7)	0.0568 (3)	
F3	0.50776 (6)	-0.5503 (2)	0.14516 (6)	0.0495 (3)	
P1	0.61282 (2)	0.06932 (8)	0.04812 (2)	0.03549 (13)	
N1	0.57021 (7)	-0.1298 (3)	0.09836 (8)	0.0368 (3)	
H1NA	0.5280	-0.1619	0.0784	0.044*	
01	0.65572 (7)	-0.2202 (3)	0.20427 (8)	0.0509 (3)	
O2	0.67108 (6)	-0.0844 (2)	0.01929 (7)	0.0470 (3)	
03	0.65216 (6)	0.2593 (2)	0.10883 (7)	0.0458 (3)	
04	0.56309 (6)	0.1876 (3)	-0.01514 (7)	0.0463 (3)	
C1	0.5000	-0.2656 (4)	0.2500	0.0349 (5)	
C2	0.54710 (9)	-0.4170 (3)	0.20389 (9)	0.0368 (3)	
C3	0.59784 (8)	-0.2443 (3)	0.16861 (9)	0.0359 (3)	
C4	0.65304 (12)	-0.2569 (4)	-0.04796 (13)	0.0609 (6)	
H4C	0.6191	-0.3749	-0.0369	0.091*	
H4B	0.6933	-0.3475	-0.0561	0.091*	
H4A	0.6349	-0.1621	-0.0953	0.091*	
C5	0.72440 (10)	0.2612 (5)	0.14340 (16)	0.0672 (6)	
H5C	0.7340	0.4008	0.1799	0.101*	
H5B	0.7509	0.2787	0.1017	0.101*	
H5A	0.7363	0.1054	0.1716	0.101*	

Atomic displacement parameters $(Å^2)$

	U^{11}	U^{22}	U ³³	U^{12}	U^{13}	U^{23}
F1	0.0650 (7)	0.0573 (7)	0.0483 (6)	-0.0249 (6)	0.0182 (6)	-0.0188 (5)
F2	0.0620(7)	0.0545 (7)	0.0567 (7)	0.0208 (6)	0.0179 (6)	0.0233 (5)
F3	0.0586 (7)	0.0482 (6)	0.0447 (6)	-0.0125 (5)	0.0172 (5)	-0.0133 (5)
P1	0.0276 (2)	0.0451 (2)	0.0324 (2)	-0.00321 (17)	0.00186 (15)	0.00114 (16)
N1	0.0276 (7)	0.0485 (8)	0.0325 (6)	-0.0032 (6)	0.0008 (5)	0.0049 (6)
01	0.0375 (7)	0.0623 (8)	0.0471 (7)	-0.0022 (6)	-0.0077 (6)	0.0082 (6)
O2	0.0322 (6)	0.0634 (8)	0.0463 (7)	-0.0026 (6)	0.0093 (5)	-0.0114 (6)
03	0.0347 (6)	0.0518 (7)	0.0479 (7)	-0.0046 (5)	-0.0009 (5)	-0.0094 (5)
04	0.0367 (6)	0.0573 (7)	0.0413 (7)	-0.0064 (6)	-0.0026 (5)	0.0145 (5)
C1	0.0389 (12)	0.0357 (11)	0.0296 (10)	0.000	0.0045 (9)	0.000
C2	0.0420 (9)	0.0365 (8)	0.0311 (7)	0.0032 (7)	0.0042 (6)	0.0042 (6)
C3	0.0337 (8)	0.0413 (8)	0.0317 (7)	0.0025 (7)	0.0032 (6)	0.0009 (6)
C4	0.0603 (13)	0.0614 (13)	0.0622 (13)	-0.0049 (11)	0.0143 (11)	-0.0208 (10)
C5	0.0381 (10)	0.0707 (14)	0.0843 (15)	-0.0082 (10)	-0.0121 (10)	-0.0189 (12)

Geometric parameters (Å, °)

F1—C1	1.3461 (16)	O3—C5	1.444 (2)	
F2—C2	1.3469 (18)	$C1$ — $F1^i$	1.3461 (16)	
F3—C2	1.3475 (18)	C1—C2 ⁱ	1.545 (2)	
P1—O4	1.4598 (12)	C1—C2	1.545 (2)	
P1—O3	1.5444 (12)	C2—C3	1.555 (2)	
P1—O2	1.5595 (13)	C4—H4C	0.9600	
P1—N1	1.6751 (14)	C4—H4B	0.9600	

supporting information

N1—C3	1.361 (2)	C4—H4A	0.9600
N1—H1NA	0.8600	С5—Н5С	0.9600
O1—C3	1.202 (2)	С5—Н5В	0.9600
O2—C4	1.454 (2)	С5—Н5А	0.9600
O4—P1—O3	113.89 (8)	F3—C2—C1	108.98 (12)
O4—P1—O2	115.43 (8)	F2—C2—C3	108.38 (13)
O3—P1—O2	103.65 (7)	F3—C2—C3	110.33 (12)
O4—P1—N1	108.04 (7)	C1—C2—C3	112.52 (14)
O3—P1—N1	107.84 (7)	O1—C3—N1	126.17 (16)
O2—P1—N1	107.59 (7)	O1—C3—C2	119.38 (14)
C3—N1—P1	124.51 (11)	N1—C3—C2	114.45 (13)
C3—N1—H1NA	117.7	O2—C4—H4C	109.5
P1—N1—H1NA	117.7	O2—C4—H4B	109.5
C4—O2—P1	118.85 (13)	H4C—C4—H4B	109.5
C5—O3—P1	128.21 (14)	O2—C4—H4A	109.5
$F1$ — $C1$ — $F1^i$	107.92 (19)	H4C—C4—H4A	109.5
$F1-C1-C2^{i}$	107.89 (7)	H4B—C4—H4A	109.5
$F1^{i}$ — $C1$ — $C2^{i}$	107.56 (8)	O3—C5—H5C	109.5
F1—C1—C2	107.56 (8)	O3—C5—H5B	109.5
F1 ⁱ -C1-C2	107.89 (7)	H5C—C5—H5B	109.5
$C2^{i}$ — $C1$ — $C2$	117.67 (19)	O3—C5—H5A	109.5
F2—C2—F3	108.08 (13)	H5C—C5—H5A	109.5
F2	108.44 (12)	H5B—C5—H5A	109.5
O4—P1—N1—C3	-169.53 (14)	$F1^{i}$ — $C1$ — $C2$ — $F3$	-57.75 (17)
O3—P1—N1—C3	-46.00 (15)	$C2^{i}$ — $C1$ — $C2$ — $F3$	64.07 (10)
O2—P1—N1—C3	65.23 (15)	F1—C1—C2—C3	-51.23 (15)
O4—P1—O2—C4	-45.46 (17)	$F1^{i}$ — $C1$ — $C2$ — $C3$	64.95 (15)
O3—P1—O2—C4	-170.70 (14)	$C2^{i}$ — $C1$ — $C2$ — $C3$	-173.22 (13)
N1—P1—O2—C4	75.24 (15)	P1—N1—C3—O1	-0.1 (3)
O4—P1—O3—C5	-137.75 (19)	P1—N1—C3—C2	178.97 (11)
O2—P1—O3—C5	-11.5 (2)	F2—C2—C3—O1	-22.1 (2)
N1—P1—O3—C5	102.4 (2)	F3—C2—C3—O1	-140.28 (16)
F1—C1—C2—F2	68.63 (17)	C1—C2—C3—O1	97.78 (17)
$F1^{i}$ — $C1$ — $C2$ — $F2$	-175.18 (13)	F2—C2—C3—N1	158.77 (14)
$C2^{i}$ — $C1$ — $C2$ — $F2$	-53.36 (10)	F3—C2—C3—N1	40.62 (19)
F1—C1—C2—F3	-173.94 (12)	C1—C2—C3—N1	-81.33 (15)

Symmetry code: (i) -x+1, y, -z+1/2.

Hydrogen-bond geometry (Å, °)

D—H···A	<i>D</i> —Н	H···A	$D \cdots A$	D—H···A
N1—H1NA····O4 ⁱⁱ	0.86	1.93	2.7750 (17)	168

Symmetry code: (ii) -x+1, -y, -z.