organic compounds

Acta Crystallographica Section E Structure Reports Online

ISSN 1600-5368

1,3-Benzothiazole-2(3H)-selone

Gunay Z. Mammadova,^a* Zhanna V. Matsulevich,^b Vladimir K. Osmanov,^b Alexander V. Borisov^b and Victor N. Khrustalev^c

^aBaku State University, Z. Khalilov Street 23, Baku AZ-1148, Azerbaijan, ^bR. E. Alekseev Nizhny Novgorod State Technical University, 24 Minin Street, Nizhny Novgorod 603950, Russian Federation, and ^cX-ray Structural Centre, A. N. Nesmeyanov Institute of Organoelement Compounds, Russian Academy of Sciences, 28 Vavilov Street, Moscow 119991, Russian Federation Correspondence e-mail: gunka479@mail.ru

Received 10 October 2011; accepted 19 October 2011

Key indicators: single-crystal X-ray study; T = 100 K; mean σ (C–C) = 0.002 Å; R factor = 0.016; wR factor = 0.041; data-to-parameter ratio = 23.2.

The title compound, C_7H_5NSSe , is the product of the reaction of 2-chlorobenzothiazole with sodium hydroselenide. The molecule is almost planar (r.m.s. deviation = 0.018 Å) owing to the presence of the long chain of conjugated bonds (Se=C-N-C=C-C=C-C=C). The geometrical parameters correspond well to those of the analog *N*-methylbenzothiazole-2(*3H*)-selone, demonstrating that the S atom does not take a significant role in the electron delocalization within the molecule. In the crystal, molecules form centrosymmetric dimers by means of intermolecular N-H···Se hydrogen bonds. The dimers have a nonplanar ladder-like structure. Furthermore, the dimers are linked into ribbons propagating in [010] by weak attractive Se···S [3.7593 (4) Å] interactions.

Related literature

For selones as potential antithyroid drugs, see: Taurog *et al.* (1994); Roy & Mugesh (2005, 2006); Roy *et al.* (2007, 2011). For 2,3-dihydro-1,3-benzothiazolo-2-selone synthesis, see: Warner (1963); Shibata & Mitsunobu (1992). For related compounds, see: Guziec & Guziec (1994); Husebye *et al.* (1997); Landry *et al.* (2006); Nakanishi *et al.* (2008).



Experimental

Crystal data

 C_7H_5NSSe V = 728.50 (6) Å³

 $M_r = 214.15$ Z = 4

 Monoclinic, $P2_1/n$ Mo K α radiation

 a = 8.0420 (4) Å
 $\mu = 5.35 \text{ mm}^{-1}$

 b = 6.0818 (3) Å
 T = 100 K

 c = 15.1836 (7) Å
 $0.30 \times 0.21 \times 0.18 \text{ mm}$
 $\beta = 101.195$ (1)°
 $0.30 \times 0.21 \times 0.18 \text{ mm}$

Data collection

Bruker SMART 1K CCD diffractometer Absorption correction: multi-scan (*SADABS*; Sheldrick, 1998) $T_{\rm min} = 0.297, T_{\rm max} = 0.446$

Refinement

$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.016$	91 parameters
$wR(F^2) = 0.041$	H-atom parameters constrained
S = 1.00	$\Delta \rho_{\rm max} = 0.44 \ {\rm e} \ {\rm \AA}^{-3}$
2108 reflections	$\Delta \rho_{\rm min} = -0.29 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$

8129 measured reflections

 $R_{\rm int} = 0.020$

2108 independent reflections

2042 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$

Table 1

Hydrogen-bond geometry (Å, $^{\circ}$).

Symmetry code: (i) -x + 2, -y, -z + 1.

Data collection: *SMART* (Bruker, 1998); cell refinement: *SAINT* (Bruker, 1998); data reduction: *SAINT*; program(s) used to solve structure: *SHELXTL* (Sheldrick, 2008); program(s) used to refine structure: *SHELXTL*; molecular graphics: *SHELXTL*; software used to prepare material for publication: *SHELXTL*.

We thank Professor Abel M. Maharramov for fruitful discussions and help in this work.

Supplementary data and figures for this paper are available from the IUCr electronic archives (Reference: RK2309).

References

- Bruker (1998). SMART and SAINT. Bruker AXS Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.
- Guziec, F. S. & Guziec, L. J. (1994). J. Org. Chem. 59, 4691-4692.
- Husebye, S., Lindeman, S. V. & Rudd, M. D. (1997). Acta Cryst. C53, 809–811.
- Landry, V. K., Minoura, M., Pang, K., Buccella, D., Kelly, B. V. & Parkin, G. (2006). J. Am. Chem. Soc. 128, 12490–12497.
- Nakanishi, W., Hayashi, S., Morinaka, S., Sasamori, T. & Tokitoh, N. (2008). New J. Chem. 32, 1881–1889.
- Roy, G., Bhabak, K. P. & Mugesh, G. (2011). Cryst. Growth Des. 11, 2279-2286.
- Roy, G., Das, D. & Mugesh, G. (2007). Inorg. Chim. Acta, 360, 303-316.
- Roy, G. & Mugesh, G. (2005). J. Am. Chem. Soc. 127, 15207-15217.
- Roy, G. & Mugesh, G. (2006). Bioorg. Chem. Appl. 1-9.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1998). SADABS. Bruker AXS Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (2008). Acta Cryst. A64, 112-122.
- Shibata, K. & Mitsunobu, O. (1992). Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn, 65, 3163-3173.
- Taurog, A., Dorris, M. L., Guziec, L. J. & Guziec, F. S. (1994). Biochem. Pharmacol. 48, 1447–1453.
- Warner, J. S. (1963). J. Org. Chem. 28, 1642-1644.

supporting information

Acta Cryst. (2011). E67, o3050 [doi:10.1107/S1600536811043339]

1,3-Benzothiazole-2(3H)-selone

Gunay Z. Mammadova, Zhanna V. Matsulevich, Vladimir K. Osmanov, Alexander V. Borisov and Victor N. Khrustalev

S1. Comment

In the last years, the selone derivatives have attracted considerable attention owing to their antithyroid properties (Taurog *et al.*, 1994; Roy & Mugesh, 2005, 2006; Roy *et al.*, 2007, 2011) as well as selone-selenol tautomerism (Guziec & Guziec, 1994; Husebye *et al.*, 1997; Landry *et al.*, 2006).

This article describes the structure of 2,3-dihydro-1,3-benzothiazolo-2-selone, which was obtained by a reaction of 2chlorobenzothiazole with sodium hydroselenide. It should be noted that, before us, the attempt to prepare this compound by the same reaction was unsuccessful (Shibata & Mitsunobu, 1992). Moreover, the preparation method of the title compound by the reaction of o-aminobenzothiol with CSe₂ was previously known, but conclusive evidences were not provided (Warner, 1963).

The molecule of C_7H_5NSSe , **I**, is practically planar (r.m.s. deviation = 0.018Å) due to the presence of the long chain of conjugated bonds (Se1=C2-N3-C3A=C4-C5=C6-C7=C7A, Fig. 1). The geometrical parameters of **I** correspond well to those of the closer analog of **I** - *N*-methylbenzothiazole-2(3*H*)-selone (Husebye *et al.*, 1997) demonstrating that the sulfur atom does not take a significant part in the electron delocalization within the molecule.

In the crystal, the molecules of **I** form centrosymmetrical dimers by the intermolecular N3–H3···Se1ⁱ hydrogen bonds (Fig. 2, Table 1). It is interesting to point out that the dimers have non-planar *ladder*-like structure (Fig. 3). The dimers are further linked into ribbons propagating in [0 1 0] by the weak attractive intermolecular Se1···S1ⁱⁱ [Se···S distances are 3.7593 (4)Å] interactions (Nakanishi *et al.*, 2008) (Fig. 3). Symmetry codes: (i) -*x*+2, -*y*, -*z*+1; (ii) *x*, -1+*y*, *z*.

S2. Experimental

To a suspension of selenium (1.92 g, 24.3 mmol) in water (15 ml) was added a solution of NaBH₄ (1.93 g, 50.8 mmol) in water (15 ml) with stirring at room temperature under argon. After 10 min, 2-chlorobenzothiazole (2.6 ml, 20 mmol) was added. The mixture was heated 3 h at 353 K and cooled to room temperature. Then to the solution was added 1M H₂SO₄ (20 ml) to give yellow precipitate (Figure 4). The crystalline powder was separated by filtration, washed with water and dried on air at 413 K. The solid was recrystallized from CH₂Cl₂ to give the selone as pale-yellow prisms. Yield is 73%. M.P. = 346-347 K. IR (KBr), v (cm⁻¹): 3431, 3010, 2343, 1595, 1490, 1456, 1421, 1319, 1244, 983, 750, 669; ¹H NMR (DMSO-d₆, 600 MHz, 303 K): δ = 7.34 (t, 1H, H6, J = 7.3), 7.41 (t, 1H, H5, J = 7.3), 7.47 (d, 1H, H7, J = 7.3), 7.75 (d, 1H, H4, J = 7.3), 14.40 (s, 1H, H3). Anal. Calcd. for C₇H₅NSSe: C, 39.27; H, 2.35; N, 6.54. Found: C, 39.18; H, 2.30; N, 6.47.

S3. Refinement

The amino hydrogen atom was localized in the difference Fourier map and included in the refinement with fixed positional and isotropic displacement parameters $U_{iso}(H) = 1.2 U_{eq}(N)$. The other hydrogen atoms were placed in

calculated positions with C–H = 0.95Å and refined in the riding model with fixed isotropic displacement parameters $U_{iso}(H) = 1.2U_{eq}(C)$.



Figure 1

Molecular structure of title compound with the atom numbering scheme. Displacement ellipsoids are shown at the 50% probability level. The H atoms are presented as a small cyrcles of arbitrary radius.



Figure 2

The centrosymmetrical dimers in crystal structure of **I**. Dashed lines indicate the intermolecular hydrogen bonds N3– $H3\cdots$ Se1ⁱ. Symmetry code: (i) -*x*+2, -*y*, -*z*+1.



Figure 3

Crystal packing of dimers of I. Dashed lines indicate the intermolecular N–H…Se hydrogen bonding and weak attractive Se…S interactions.



Figure 4

Reaction of 2-chlorobenzothiazole with sodium hydroselenide.

1,3-Benzothiazole-2(3H)-selone

Crystal data

C7H5NSSe $M_r = 214.15$ Monoclinic, $P2_1/n$ Hall symbol: -P 2yn a = 8.0420 (4) Åb = 6.0818 (3) Å c = 15.1836(7) Å $\beta = 101.195 (1)^{\circ}$ V = 728.50 (6) Å³ Z = 4

Data collection

Bruker SMART 1K CCD diffractometer Radiation source: fine-focus sealed tube Graphite monochromator φ and ω scans Absorption correction: multi-scan (SADABS; Sheldrick, 1998) $T_{\rm min} = 0.297, \ T_{\rm max} = 0.446$

Primary atom site location: structure-invariant

Refinement

S = 1.00

 $l = -20 \rightarrow 21$ Refinement on F^2 Least-squares matrix: full map $R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.016$ $wR(F^2) = 0.041$ H-atom parameters constrained 2108 reflections where $P = (F_0^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$ $(\Delta/\sigma)_{\rm max} = 0.001$

direct methods

Special details

91 parameters

0 restraints

Geometry. All s.u.'s (except the s.u. in the dihedral angle between two l.s. planes) are estimated using the full covariance matrix. The cell s.u.'s are taken into account individually in the estimation of s.u.'s in distances, angles and torsion angles; correlations between s.u.'s in cell parameters are only used when they are defined by crystal symmetry. An approximate (isotropic) treatment of cell s.u.'s is used for estimating s.u.'s involving l.s. planes.

Refinement. Refinement of F^2 against ALL reflections. The weighted *R*-factor w*R* and goodness of fit S are based on F^2 , conventional R-factors R are based on F, with F set to zero for negative F^2 . The threshold expression of $F^2 > \sigma F^2$ is used only for calculating R-factors(gt) etc. and is not relevant to the choice of reflections for refinement. R-factors based on F^2 are statistically about twice as large as those based on F, and R-factors based on ALL data will be even larger.

Fractional atomic coordinates and isotropic or equivalent isotropic displacement parameters (\tilde{A}^2)

	x	у	Ζ	$U_{\rm iso}$ */ $U_{\rm eq}$
Se1	1.277060 (14)	0.076249 (19)	0.579287 (8)	0.01478 (5)

F(000) = 416 $D_{\rm x} = 1.952 {\rm Mg m^{-3}}$ Melting point = 346-347 K Mo *K* α radiation, $\lambda = 0.71073$ Å Cell parameters from 6338 reflections $\theta = 2.6 - 30.0^{\circ}$ $\mu = 5.35 \text{ mm}^{-1}$ T = 100 KPrism, pale-yellow $0.30 \times 0.21 \times 0.18$ mm

8129 measured reflections 2108 independent reflections 2042 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$ $R_{\rm int} = 0.020$ $\theta_{\rm max} = 30.0^\circ, \ \theta_{\rm min} = 2.7^\circ$ $h = -11 \rightarrow 11$ $k = -8 \rightarrow 8$

Secondary atom site location: difference Fourier Hydrogen site location: difference Fourier map $w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.023P)^2 + 0.371P]$ $\Delta \rho_{\rm max} = 0.44 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$ $\Delta \rho_{\rm min} = -0.29 \ {\rm e} \ {\rm \AA}^{-3}$

S1	1.17970 (3)	0.51668 (5)	0.661496 (19)	0.01522 (6)
C2	1.12217 (14)	0.27701 (18)	0.60187 (7)	0.01301 (19)
N3	0.95281 (12)	0.26504 (16)	0.57732 (6)	0.01391 (18)
Н3	0.9022	0.1543	0.5457	0.017*
C3A	0.86204 (14)	0.43853 (18)	0.60496 (8)	0.0126 (2)
C4	0.68634 (15)	0.4594 (2)	0.59126 (8)	0.0155 (2)
H4	0.6135	0.3500	0.5601	0.019*
C5	0.62189 (15)	0.6465 (2)	0.62499 (8)	0.0170 (2)
Н5	0.5026	0.6659	0.6160	0.020*
C6	0.72815 (15)	0.8072 (2)	0.67190 (8)	0.0167 (2)
H6	0.6801	0.9335	0.6940	0.020*
C7	0.90347 (15)	0.7839 (2)	0.68649 (8)	0.0160 (2)
H7	0.9762	0.8923	0.7185	0.019*
C7A	0.96916 (14)	0.59737 (19)	0.65275 (8)	0.0133 (2)

Atomic displacement parameters $(Å^2)$

	U^{11}	U^{22}	U^{33}	U^{12}	U^{13}	U ²³
Sel	0.01251 (7)	0.01418 (7)	0.01708 (7)	0.00142 (4)	0.00145 (4)	-0.00172 (4)
S1	0.01046 (12)	0.01552 (13)	0.01887 (13)	-0.00113 (9)	0.00088 (10)	-0.00449 (10)
C2	0.0133 (5)	0.0130 (5)	0.0125 (5)	0.0000 (4)	0.0020 (4)	0.0006 (4)
N3	0.0125 (4)	0.0132 (4)	0.0155 (4)	-0.0010 (3)	0.0015 (3)	-0.0021 (3)
C3A	0.0121 (5)	0.0134 (5)	0.0123 (5)	-0.0008(4)	0.0022 (4)	0.0001 (4)
C4	0.0124 (5)	0.0177 (5)	0.0158 (5)	-0.0016 (4)	0.0013 (4)	0.0001 (4)
C5	0.0128 (5)	0.0219 (6)	0.0168 (5)	0.0018 (4)	0.0040 (4)	0.0019 (4)
C6	0.0172 (5)	0.0179 (5)	0.0159 (5)	0.0032 (4)	0.0052 (4)	0.0001 (4)
C7	0.0160 (5)	0.0163 (5)	0.0154 (5)	0.0000 (4)	0.0024 (4)	-0.0026 (4)
C7A	0.0114 (5)	0.0149 (5)	0.0134 (5)	-0.0012 (4)	0.0021 (4)	-0.0009(4)

Geometric parameters (Å, °)

Se1—C2	1.8236 (11)	C4—C5	1.3890 (17)
S1—C2	1.7308 (12)	C4—H4	0.9500
S1—C7A	1.7430 (12)	C5—C6	1.3986 (17)
C2—N3	1.3425 (14)	С5—Н5	0.9500
N3—C3A	1.3933 (14)	C6—C7	1.3913 (16)
N3—H3	0.8800	С6—Н6	0.9500
C3A—C4	1.3935 (16)	C7—C7A	1.3906 (16)
C3A—C7A	1.3997 (15)	С7—Н7	0.9500
C2—S1—C7A	92.31 (5)	C4—C5—C6	121.69 (11)
N3—C2—S1	110.16 (8)	C4—C5—H5	119.2
N3—C2—Se1	127.21 (9)	С6—С5—Н5	119.2
S1—C2—Se1	122.63 (6)	C7—C6—C5	120.67 (11)
C2—N3—C3A	115.97 (10)	С7—С6—Н6	119.7
C2—N3—H3	122.0	С5—С6—Н6	119.7
СЗА—N3—H3	122.0	C7A—C7—C6	118.04 (11)
N3—C3A—C4	126.82 (10)	С7А—С7—Н7	121.0

N3—C3A—C7A C4—C3A—C7A C5—C4—C3A C5—C4—H4 C3A—C4—H4	111.91 (10) 121.25 (10) 117.37 (11) 121.3 121.3	C6—C7—H7 C7—C7A—C3A C7—C7A—S1 C3A—C7A—S1	121.0 120.96 (11) 129.39 (9) 109.64 (8)
C7A—S1—C2—N3 C7A—S1—C2—Se1 S1—C2—N3—C3A Se1—C2—N3—C3A C2—N3—C3A—C4 C2—N3—C3A—C4 C2—N3—C3A—C7A N3—C3A—C4—C5 C7A—C3A—C4—C5 C3A—C4—C5—C6 C4—C5—C6—C7	0.42 (9) -179.05 (7) -1.00 (13) 178.44 (8) -177.14 (11) 1.19 (14) 179.72 (11) 1.53 (17) -0.77 (18) -0.13 (18)	C5—C6—C7—C7A C6—C7—C7A—C3A C6—C7—C7A—S1 N3—C3A—C7A—C7 C4—C3A—C7A—C7 N3—C3A—C7A—S1 C4—C3A—C7A—S1 C2—S1—C7A—C7 C2—S1—C7A—C3A	0.29 (18) 0.46 (17) -178.39 (9) -179.85 (10) -1.41 (17) -0.80 (12) 177.64 (9) 179.17 (12) 0.22 (9)

Hydrogen-bond geometry (Å, °)

D—H···A	<i>D</i> —Н	H···A	$D \cdots A$	<i>D</i> —H··· <i>A</i>
N3—H3…Sel ⁱ	0.88	2.56	3.4165 (10)	163

Symmetry code: (i) -x+2, -y, -z+1.