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In the title compound (systenatic name: 3,4,5-trihydroxybenzoic acid pyridine monosolvate), $\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{~N} \cdot \mathrm{C}_{7} \mathrm{H}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{5}$, the gallic acid molecule is essentially planar (r.m.s deviation $=$ $0.0766 \AA$ for non- H atoms) and is linked to the pyridine molecule by an $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{N}$ hydrogen bond. An intramolecular $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{O}$ hydrogen bond occurs in the gallic acid molecule. The gallic acid and pyridine mean planes make a dihedral angle $12.6(3)^{\circ}$. Intermolecular $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{O}$ and $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{N}$ hydrogen bonding involving the hydroxy and carboxyl groups and the pyridine molecule, and $\pi-\pi$ interactions between inversion-related pyridines [centroid-centroid distance $=$ 3.459 (6) $\AA$ ] and between pyridine and benzene rings [centroid-centroid distance $=3.548(6) \AA$ ] , lead to a threedimensional network in the crystal.

## Related literature

For the biological activity of gallic acid, see: Souza et al. (2011); Ozcelik et al. (2011); Liu et al. (2011). For previous reports on the crystal structures of gallic acid monohydrate and gallic acid monopyridine solvate, see: Clarke et al. (2011); Jiang et al. (2000). For $\pi-\pi$ interactions in natural flavonoids, see: Jiang et al. (2002, 2009).


## Experimental

## Crystal data

## $\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{~N} \cdot \mathrm{C}_{7} \mathrm{H}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{5}$

$M_{r}=249.22$
Monoclinic, $P 2_{1} / n$
$a=9.335$ (1) $\AA$
$Z=4$
$T=293 \mathrm{~K}$
Mo $K \alpha$ radiation
$\mu=0.12 \mathrm{~mm}^{-1}$
Data collection
Bruker SMART CCD 1000 diffractometer
Absorption correction: multi-scan (SADABS; Sheldrick, 2004) $T_{\text {min }}=0.821, T_{\text {max }}=0.986$

## Refinement

$R\left[F^{2}>2 \sigma\left(F^{2}\right)\right]=0.066$

## 166 parameters

$w R\left(F^{2}\right)=0.172$
H -atom parameters constrained
$S=1.02$
1944 reflections
$0.34 \times 0.20 \times 0.12 \mathrm{~mm}$

> 2601 measured reflections 1944 independent reflections 1031 reflections with $I>2 \sigma(I)$ $R_{\text {int }}=0.057$

Table 1
Hydrogen-bond geometry $\left(\AA^{\circ},^{\circ}\right)$.

| $D-\mathrm{H} \cdots A$ | $D-\mathrm{H}$ | $\mathrm{H} \cdots A$ | $D \cdots A$ | $D-\mathrm{H} \cdots A$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{O} 1-\mathrm{H} 1 A \cdots \mathrm{O} 2^{\mathrm{i}}$ | 0.82 | 2.12 | 2.869 (3) | 152 |
| $\mathrm{O} 1-\mathrm{H} 1 A \cdots \mathrm{O} 2$ | 0.82 | 2.34 | 2.736 (4) | 110 |
| $\mathrm{O} 2-\mathrm{H} 2 A \cdots \mathrm{O}{ }^{\text {ii }}$ | 0.82 | 1.87 | 2.675 (4) | 166 |
| $\mathrm{O} 3-\mathrm{H} 3 A \cdots \mathrm{O} 4^{\text {iii }}$ | 0.82 | 1.91 | 2.718 (3) | 169 |
| $\mathrm{O} 4-\mathrm{H} 4 A \cdots \mathrm{~N} 1$ | 0.82 | 1.92 | 2.730 (4) | 169 |
| Symmetry codes: $x-\frac{1}{2},-y+\frac{1}{2}, z-\frac{1}{2}$. | $-x,$ | $z+1 ;$ | $-x+\frac{1}{2}, y$ | $+\frac{1}{2} ; \quad$ (iii) |

Data collection: SMART (Bruker, 1998); cell refinement: SAINT (Bruker, 1998); data reduction: SAINT; program(s) used to solve structure: SHELXTL (Sheldrick, 2008); program(s) used to refine structure: SHELXTL; molecular graphics: XP in SHELXTL; software used to prepare material for publication: SHELXTL.
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## Gallic acid pyridine monosolvate

Fu-Yue Dong, Jie Wu, Hai-Yan Tian, Qing-Mei Ye and Ren-Wang Jiang

## S1. Comment

Gallic acid, a dietary polyphenol, is widely distributed in many edible and medicinal plants. It can exist as a single molecule or as a structural unit of hydrolysable tannins. It has been found to show strong pharmacological activities including antioxidant (Souza, et al. 2011), antiviral (Ozcelik, et al., 2011) and antitumor properties (Liu, et al., 2011). This compound contains two of the most common functional groups in natural products, e.g. carboxylic acid and phenolic groups. Crystal engineering studies have revealed interesting polymorphism. Four polymorphs of the monohydrate of gallic acid with three space groups ( $\mathrm{P} 2_{1} / \mathrm{c}, \mathrm{P} 2 / \mathrm{n}$, and $\mathrm{P} \overline{1}$ ), and an anhydrous form with space group C $2 / \mathrm{c}$ have been reported (Clarke et al., 2011). We report herein the pyridine monosolvate of gallic acid.
The gallic acid molecule is essentially planar. The mean deviation of the benzene ring is $0.0030 \AA$, which is similar to that in gallic acid monohydrate ( $0.0028 \AA$ ), and its dihedral angle with the plane of the carboxyl group is $9.8(3)^{\circ}$, which is larger than that in gallic acid monohydrate ( $2.9^{\circ}$ ) (Jiang, et al., 2000). The gallic acid and pyridine molecules make a dihedral angle of 12.8 (4) ${ }^{\circ}$. The bond distances are all normal.
Within the asymmetric unit, the gallic acid molecule and pyridine molecule are linked through hydrogen bond O4$\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{N} 1$. Intermolecular $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{O}$ and $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{N}$ hydrogen-bonding interactions involving the hydroxyl and carboxylic acid groups and the pyridine molecule (Table 1) form a supramolecular assembly. A short intramolecular C-H $\cdots \mathrm{O}$ interaction between the C10 methine and a hydroxyl O acceptor is also present $[\mathrm{C} 10-\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{O} 5,3.169(18) \AA \AA ; \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{O}$, $\left.162.0(5)^{\circ}\right]$. It is noteworthy that $\pi-\pi$ interactions play an important role in the molecular packing. The gallic acid molecules show $\pi-\pi$ interactions with the pyridine molecules [centroid-centroid distance 3.548 (6) $\AA$ and displacement angle $12.8(3)^{\circ}$ ], and inversion-related pyridine molecules are also linked by $\pi$ - $\pi$ interactions [centroid-centroid distance $=3.459(6) \AA]$. The centroid-centroid distances observed in gallic acid monopyridine solvate are significantly shorter than those in natural flavonoids (Jiang, et al., 2009 and 2002).

## S2. Experimental

The title compound was extracted from the whole plant of Polygonum chinense L. The dried plant material ( 5 kg ) was powdered and extracted with $95 \%$ ethanol at room temperature to afford the crude extract, which was suspended in distilled water and partitioned with petroleum ether, ethyl acetate and n-butanol. The n-butanol fraction (100g) was subjected to macroporous resin, reverse phase silica gel chromatography to give compound I ( 21 mg ), which was recrystallized in pyridine to afford the monopyridine solvate of gallic acid.

## S3. Refinement

The C -bound H atoms were positioned geometrically and were included in the refinement in the riding-model approximation, with $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}=0.96 \AA\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$ and $U_{\mathrm{iso}}(\mathrm{H})=1.5 U_{\mathrm{eq}}(\mathrm{C}) ; 0.97 \AA\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$ and $U_{\mathrm{iso}}(\mathrm{H})=1.2 U_{\mathrm{eq}}(\mathrm{C}) ; 0.93 \AA$ (aryl $\mathrm{H})$ and $U_{\mathrm{iso}}(\mathrm{H})=1.2 U_{\mathrm{eq}}(\mathrm{C}) ; \mathrm{O}-\mathrm{H}=0.82 \AA$ and $U_{\mathrm{iso}}(\mathrm{H})=1.5 U_{\mathrm{eq}}(\mathrm{O})$.


Figure 1
The molecular structure of the title compound showing $30 \%$ probability displacement ellipsoids.


Figure 2
The packing diagram viewed approximately down the $c$-axis.

## 3,4,5-trihydroxybenzoic acid pyridine monosolvate

## Crystal data

$\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{~N} \cdot \mathrm{C}_{7} \mathrm{H}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{5}$
$M_{r}=249.22$
Monoclinic, $P 2_{1} / n$
Hall symbol: -P 2yn
$a=9.335$ (1) $\AA$
$b=10.435(2) \AA$
$c=11.8581(15) \AA$
$\beta=107.632(8)^{\circ}$
$V=1100.9(3) \AA^{3}$
$Z=4$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& F(000)=520 \\
& D_{\mathrm{x}}=1.504 \mathrm{Mg} \mathrm{~m}^{-3} \\
& \text { Mo Ka radiation, } \lambda=0.71073 \AA \\
& \text { Cell parameters from } 2601 \text { reflections } \\
& \theta=2.5-25.0^{\circ} \\
& \mu=0.12 \mathrm{~mm}^{-1} \\
& T=293 \mathrm{~K} \\
& \text { Prism, colorless } \\
& 0.34 \times 0.20 \times 0.12 \mathrm{~mm}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Data collection

## Bruker SMART CCD 1000

diffractometer
Radiation source: fine-focus sealed tube
Graphite monochromator
$\omega$ scan
Absorption correction: multi-scan
(SADABS; Sheldrick, 2004)
$T_{\text {min }}=0.821, T_{\text {max }}=0.986$

> 2601 measured reflections
> 1944 independent reflections
> 1031 reflections with $I>2 \sigma(I)$
> $R_{\text {int }}=0.057$
> $\theta_{\max }=25.0^{\circ}, \theta_{\min }=2.5^{\circ}$
> $h=-1 \rightarrow 11$
> $k=-1 \rightarrow 12$
> $l=-14 \rightarrow 13$

## Refinement

Refinement on $F^{2}$
Least-squares matrix: full
$R\left[F^{2}>2 \sigma\left(F^{2}\right)\right]=0.066$
$w R\left(F^{2}\right)=0.172$
$S=1.02$
1944 reflections
166 parameters
0 restraints
Primary atom site location: structure-invariant direct methods
Secondary atom site location: difference Fourier map

Hydrogen site location: inferred from
neighbouring sites
H -atom parameters constrained
$w=1 /\left[\sigma^{2}\left(F_{0}{ }^{2}\right)+(0.0724 P)^{2}\right]$
where $P=\left(F_{\mathrm{o}}^{2}+2 F_{\mathrm{c}}^{2}\right) / 3$
$(\Delta / \sigma)_{\max }<0.001$
$\Delta \rho_{\text {max }}=0.36$ e $\AA^{-3}$
$\Delta \rho_{\text {min }}=-0.30$ e $\AA^{-3}$
Extinction correction: SHELXTL (Sheldrick, 2008), $\mathrm{Fc}^{*}=\mathrm{kFc}\left[1+0.001 \mathrm{xFc}^{2} \lambda^{3} / \sin (2 \theta)\right]^{-1 / 4}$

Extinction coefficient: 0.026 (5)

## Special details

Geometry. All esds (except the esd in the dihedral angle between two l.s. planes) are estimated using the full covariance matrix. The cell esds are taken into account individually in the estimation of esds in distances, angles and torsion angles; correlations between esds in cell parameters are only used when they are defined by crystal symmetry. An approximate (isotropic) treatment of cell esds is used for estimating esds involving l.s. planes.
Refinement. Refinement of $\mathrm{F}^{2}$ against ALL reflections. The weighted R -factor wR and goodness of fit S are based on $\mathrm{F}^{2}$, conventional R-factors $R$ are based on $F$, with $F$ set to zero for negative $F^{2}$. The threshold expression of $F^{2}>2 \operatorname{sigma}\left(\mathrm{~F}^{2}\right)$ is used only for calculating R-factors(gt) etc. and is not relevant to the choice of reflections for refinement. R-factors based on $\mathrm{F}^{2}$ are statistically about twice as large as those based on F , and R - factors based on ALL data will be even larger.

Fractional atomic coordinates and isotropic or equivalent isotropic displacement parameters ( $\AA^{2}$ )

|  | $x$ | $y$ | $z$ | $U_{\mathrm{iso}} * / U_{\mathrm{eq}}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| O1 | $0.2507(3)$ | $0.4817(3)$ | $0.5719(2)$ | $0.0455(9)$ |
| H1A | 0.1613 | 0.4918 | 0.5650 | $0.068^{*}$ |
| O2 | $0.0218(3)$ | $0.5026(3)$ | $0.3644(3)$ | $0.0478(9)$ |
| H2A | -0.0003 | 0.5559 | 0.3111 | $0.09(2)^{*}$ |
| O3 | $0.0523(3)$ | $0.3953(3)$ | $0.1596(2)$ | $0.0443(9)$ |
| H3A | 0.0722 | 0.3559 | 0.1064 | $0.026(12)^{*}$ |
| O4 | $0.6443(3)$ | $0.2100(3)$ | $0.4836(2)$ | $0.0447(9)$ |
| H4A | 0.7301 | 0.2041 | 0.4794 | $0.067^{*}$ |
| O5 | $0.5775(3)$ | $0.1955(3)$ | $0.2878(2)$ | $0.0372(8)$ |
| C1 | $0.4139(4)$ | $0.3050(4)$ | $0.3759(3)$ | $0.0272(10)$ |
| C2 | $0.3957(4)$ | $0.3632(4)$ | $0.4761(3)$ | $0.0335(10)$ |
| H2B | 0.4718 | 0.3581 | 0.5478 | $0.040^{*}$ |
| C3 | $0.2654(4)$ | $0.4285(4)$ | $0.4696(3)$ | $0.0319(10)$ |
| C4 | $0.1511(4)$ | $0.4397(4)$ | $0.3634(3)$ | $0.0293(10)$ |
| C5 | $0.1690(4)$ | $0.3824(4)$ | $0.2629(3)$ | $0.0299(10)$ |


|  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| C6 | $0.2988(4)$ | $0.3151(4)$ | $0.2682(3)$ | $0.0308(10)$ |
| H6A | 0.3096 | 0.2768 | 0.2004 | $0.037^{*}$ |
| C7 | $0.5536(4)$ | $0.2314(4)$ | $0.3809(3)$ | $0.0298(10)$ |
| N1 | $0.9126(4)$ | $0.1750(4)$ | $0.4402(4)$ | $0.0467(10)$ |
| C8 | $1.1809(5)$ | $0.0925(5)$ | $0.4259(5)$ | $0.0508(13)$ |
| H8A | 1.2731 | 0.0630 | 0.4216 | $0.061^{*}$ |
| C9 | $1.1691(5)$ | $0.1366(5)$ | $0.5296(5)$ | $0.0544(14)$ |
| H9A | 1.2532 | 0.1387 | 0.5962 | $0.065^{*}$ |
| C10 | $1.0337(6)$ | $0.1779(5)$ | $0.5367(4)$ | $0.0516(14)$ |
| H10A | 1.0251 | 0.2082 | 0.6082 | $0.062^{*}$ |
| C11 | $0.9235(6)$ | $0.1326(5)$ | $0.3370(4)$ | $0.0529(14)$ |
| H11A | 0.8389 | 0.1312 | 0.2708 | $0.063^{*}$ |
| C12 | $1.0599(6)$ | $0.0908(5)$ | $0.3285(4)$ | $0.0542(14)$ |
| H12A | 1.0685 | 0.0619 | 0.2567 | $0.065^{*}$ |

Atomic displacement parameters $\left(\AA^{2}\right)$

|  | $U^{11}$ | $U^{22}$ | $U^{33}$ | $U^{12}$ | $U^{13}$ | $U^{23}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| O1 | $0.0410(17)$ | $0.066(2)$ | $0.0299(16)$ | $0.0120(17)$ | $0.0106(13)$ | $-0.0052(16)$ |
| O2 | $0.0428(18)$ | $0.065(2)$ | $0.0382(17)$ | $0.0205(18)$ | $0.0164(14)$ | $0.0152(18)$ |
| O3 | $0.0319(16)$ | $0.067(2)$ | $0.0288(16)$ | $0.0128(16)$ | $0.0009(13)$ | $-0.0029(17)$ |
| O4 | $0.0269(15)$ | $0.071(2)$ | $0.0322(16)$ | $0.0146(17)$ | $0.0036(13)$ | $0.0038(16)$ |
| O5 | $0.0367(16)$ | $0.051(2)$ | $0.0277(15)$ | $0.0034(15)$ | $0.0150(12)$ | $-0.0043(15)$ |
| C1 | $0.024(2)$ | $0.032(2)$ | $0.026(2)$ | $-0.0016(19)$ | $0.0081(17)$ | $0.0049(18)$ |
| C2 | $0.027(2)$ | $0.044(3)$ | $0.024(2)$ | $-0.001(2)$ | $-0.0009(17)$ | $-0.002(2)$ |
| C3 | $0.036(2)$ | $0.036(3)$ | $0.026(2)$ | $-0.001(2)$ | $0.0132(19)$ | $-0.0036(19)$ |
| C4 | $0.027(2)$ | $0.035(3)$ | $0.026(2)$ | $0.009(2)$ | $0.0088(17)$ | $0.0079(19)$ |
| C5 | $0.022(2)$ | $0.038(3)$ | $0.026(2)$ | $-0.003(2)$ | $0.0023(17)$ | $0.0054(19)$ |
| C6 | $0.026(2)$ | $0.043(3)$ | $0.0227(19)$ | $-0.005(2)$ | $0.0056(16)$ | $0.0005(19)$ |
| C7 | $0.0213(19)$ | $0.039(3)$ | $0.026(2)$ | $-0.005(2)$ | $0.0024(17)$ | $0.003(2)$ |
| N1 | $0.036(2)$ | $0.043(3)$ | $0.065(3)$ | $0.0048(19)$ | $0.021(2)$ | $0.005(2)$ |
| C8 | $0.042(3)$ | $0.039(3)$ | $0.078(4)$ | $0.006(2)$ | $0.028(3)$ | $0.013(3)$ |
| C9 | $0.037(3)$ | $0.054(3)$ | $0.060(3)$ | $-0.008(3)$ | $-0.003(2)$ | $0.011(3)$ |
| C10 | $0.067(3)$ | $0.048(3)$ | $0.047(3)$ | $-0.012(3)$ | $0.028(3)$ | $-0.009(3)$ |
| C11 | $0.054(3)$ | $0.048(3)$ | $0.043(3)$ | $-0.003(3)$ | $-0.006(2)$ | $0.012(3)$ |
| C12 | $0.077(4)$ | $0.049(3)$ | $0.049(3)$ | $0.004(3)$ | $0.038(3)$ | $0.002(3)$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Geometric parameters $\left(\AA,{ }^{\circ}\right)$

| O1-C3 | $1.379(5)$ | $\mathrm{C} 4-\mathrm{C} 5$ | $1.388(5)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| O1-H1A | 0.8200 | $\mathrm{C} 5-\mathrm{C} 6$ | $1.385(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{O} 2-\mathrm{C} 4$ | $1.377(5)$ | $\mathrm{C} 6-\mathrm{H} 6 \mathrm{~A}$ | 0.9300 |
| $\mathrm{O} 2-\mathrm{H} 2 \mathrm{~A}$ | 0.8200 | $\mathrm{~N} 1-\mathrm{C} 11$ | $1.333(6)$ |
| $\mathrm{O} 3-\mathrm{C} 5$ | $1.378(4)$ | $\mathrm{N} 1-\mathrm{C} 10$ | $1.343(6)$ |
| $\mathrm{O} 3-\mathrm{H} 3 \mathrm{~A}$ | 0.8200 | $\mathrm{C} 8-\mathrm{C} 9$ | $1.348(7)$ |
| $\mathrm{O} 4-\mathrm{C} 7$ | $1.275(4)$ | $\mathrm{C} 8-\mathrm{C} 12$ | $1.349(7)$ |
| O4-H4A | 0.8200 | $\mathrm{C} 8-\mathrm{H} 8 \mathrm{~A}$ | 0.9300 |
| O5-C7 | $1.248(4)$ | $\mathrm{C} 9-\mathrm{C} 10$ | $1.362(7)$ |


| C1-C2 | 1.391 (5) |
| :---: | :---: |
| C1-C6 | 1.402 (5) |
| C1-C7 | 1.499 (5) |
| C2-C3 | 1.375 (5) |
| C2-H2B | 0.9300 |
| C3-C4 | 1.387 (5) |
| $\mathrm{C} 3-\mathrm{O} 1-\mathrm{H} 1 \mathrm{~A}$ | 109.5 |
| $\mathrm{C} 4-\mathrm{O} 2-\mathrm{H} 2 \mathrm{~A}$ | 109.5 |
| C5-O3-H3A | 109.5 |
| C7-O4-H4A | 109.5 |
| C2-C1-C6 | 119.2 (4) |
| C2- $\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{C} 7$ | 121.3 (3) |
| C6- $\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{C} 7$ | 119.5 (3) |
| C3-C2-C1 | 120.2 (3) |
| $\mathrm{C} 3-\mathrm{C} 2-\mathrm{H} 2 \mathrm{~B}$ | 119.9 |
| $\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{C} 2-\mathrm{H} 2 \mathrm{~B}$ | 119.9 |
| C2-C3-O1 | 118.2 (3) |
| $\mathrm{C} 2-\mathrm{C} 3-\mathrm{C} 4$ | 121.1 (4) |
| $\mathrm{O} 1-\mathrm{C} 3-\mathrm{C} 4$ | 120.7 (4) |
| $\mathrm{O} 2-\mathrm{C} 4-\mathrm{C} 3$ | 117.9 (4) |
| $\mathrm{O} 2-\mathrm{C} 4-\mathrm{C} 5$ | 123.1 (3) |
| C3-C4-C5 | 118.9 (4) |
| O3-C5-C6 | 122.3 (4) |
| O3-C5-C4 | 116.9 (4) |
| C6-C5-C4 | 120.7 (3) |
| C5-C6-C1 | 119.8 (4) |
| C5-C6-H6A | 120.1 |
| C6-C1-C2-C3 | 0.9 (6) |
| $\mathrm{C} 7-\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{C} 2-\mathrm{C} 3$ | -179.0 (4) |
| $\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{C} 2-\mathrm{C} 3-\mathrm{O} 1$ | 178.3 (4) |
| $\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{C} 2-\mathrm{C} 3-\mathrm{C} 4$ | -1.2 (6) |
| $\mathrm{C} 2-\mathrm{C} 3-\mathrm{C} 4-\mathrm{O} 2$ | 177.8 (4) |
| $\mathrm{O} 1-\mathrm{C} 3-\mathrm{C} 4-\mathrm{O} 2$ | -1.6 (6) |
| C2-C3-C4-C5 | 0.8 (6) |
| $\mathrm{O} 1-\mathrm{C} 3-\mathrm{C} 4-\mathrm{C} 5$ | -178.7 (4) |
| $\mathrm{O} 2-\mathrm{C} 4-\mathrm{C} 5-\mathrm{O} 3$ | 2.7 (6) |
| $\mathrm{C} 3-\mathrm{C} 4-\mathrm{C} 5-\mathrm{O} 3$ | 179.6 (4) |
| O2-C4-C5-C6 | -177.0 (4) |
| C3-C4-C5-C6 | -0.1 (7) |
| O3-C5-C6-C1 | -179.9 (4) |


| C9—H9A | 0.9300 |
| :--- | :--- |
| C10-H10A | 0.9300 |
| C11-C12 | $1.379(7)$ |
| C11-H11A | 0.9300 |
| C12-H12A | 0.9300 |

C1-C6-H6A 120.1
123.2 (4)
120.3 (3)
116.5 (3)
120.8 (4)
120.4 (5)
119.8
119.8
119.9 (5)
120.1
120.1
119.8 (4)
120.1
120.1
120.0 (5)
120.0
120.0
119.1 (5)
120.5
120.5
-0.2 (6)
C4-C5-C6-C1
-0.2 (6)
179.7 (4)
-169.7 (4)
10.4 (6)
9.5 (6)
-170.3 (4)
1.0 (8)
-0.4 (7)
-0.2 (8)
0.2 (7)
-1.2 (8)
0.6 (7)

Hydrogen-bond geometry ( $A,{ }^{\circ}$ )

| $D — \mathrm{H} \cdots A$ | $D-\mathrm{H}$ | $\mathrm{H} \cdots A$ | $D \cdots A$ | $D-\mathrm{H} \cdots A$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{O} 1 — \mathrm{H} 1 A \cdots \mathrm{O} 2^{\mathrm{i}}$ | 0.82 | 2.12 | $2.869(3)$ | 152 |
| $\mathrm{O} 1 — \mathrm{H} 1 A \cdots \mathrm{O} 2$ | 0.82 | 2.34 | $2.736(4)$ | 110 |

## supporting information

| $\mathrm{O} 2 — \mathrm{H} 2 A \cdots \mathrm{O} 5^{\text {ii }}$ | 0.82 | 1.87 | $2.675(4)$ | 166 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{O} 3 — \mathrm{H} 3 A \cdots \mathrm{O} 4^{\text {iii }}$ | 0.82 | 1.91 | $2.718(3)$ | 169 |
| $\mathrm{O} 4-\mathrm{H} 4 A \cdots \mathrm{~N} 1$ | 0.82 | 1.92 | $2.730(4)$ | 169 |

Symmetry codes: (i) $-x,-y+1,-z+1$; (ii) $-x+1 / 2, y+1 / 2,-z+1 / 2$; (iii) $x-1 / 2,-y+1 / 2, z-1 / 2$.

