

Acta Crystallographica Section E **Structure Reports** Online

ISSN 1600-5368

### (2,4-Dihydroxy-6-methoxyphenyl)(3,5dihydroxyphenyl)methanone monohydrate

### Jamila Nargis,<sup>a</sup> Keng-Chong Wong,<sup>a</sup> Melati Khairuddin,<sup>a</sup> Suchada Chantrapromma<sup>b</sup>‡ and Hoong-Kun Fun<sup>c\*</sup>§

<sup>a</sup>School of Chemical Sciences, Universiti Sains Malaysia, 11800 USM, Penang, Malaysia, <sup>b</sup>Crystal Materials Research Unit, Department of Chemistry, Faculty of Science, Prince of Songkla University, Hat-Yai, Songkhla 90112, Thailand, and <sup>c</sup>X-ray Crystallography Unit, School of Physics, Universiti Sains Malaysia, 11800 USM, Penang, Malaysia

Correspondence e-mail: hkfun@usm.my

Received 13 September 2011; accepted 16 September 2011

Key indicators: single-crystal X-ray study; T = 100 K; mean  $\sigma$ (C–C) = 0.002 Å; disorder in solvent or counterion; R factor = 0.052; wR factor = 0.131; data-toparameter ratio = 21.6.

The title benzophenone compound, C14H12O6·H2O, was isolated from the bark of Garcinia hombroniana Pierre (Guttiferae). The molecule is twisted, the dihedral angle between the two benzene rings being 59.13  $(7)^{\circ}$ . The methoxy group is approximately coplanar with the attached benzene ring, with a C-O-C-C torsion angle of  $1.91 (18)^{\circ}$ . The water molecule is disordered over two positions in a 0.555 (19):0.445 (19) ratio. An intramolecular  $O-H \cdots O$ hydrogen bond generates an S(6) ring motif. The crystal structure is stabilized by intermolecular O-H···O hydrogen bonds. These interactions link the molecules into sheets parallel to the *ac* plane. The sheets are stacked along the *b* axis by  $\pi - \pi$  interactions, with centroid-centroid distances of 3.6219 (7) Å. A weak  $O - H \cdot \cdot \pi$  interaction was also noted.

### **Related literature**

For details of hydrogen-bond motifs, see: Bernstein et al. (1995). For background to benzophenones and their bioactivity, see: Khanum et al. (2009); Pereira et al. (2010); Tzanova et al. (2009). For background to Guttiferae plants, see: Javaprakasha et al. (2006); Mahabusarakum et al. (1983); Ngoupayo et al. (2009); Pereira et al. (2010); Phongpaichit et al. (1994); Smitinand (2001); Zadernowski et al. (2009); Zhang et al. (2010). For related structures, see: Betz et al. (2011); Li et al. (2010). For stability of the temperature controller used in the data collection, see Cosier & Glazer (1986).



 $\gamma = 67.842 \ (1)^{\circ}$ 

Z = 2

 $V = 652.49 (1) \text{ Å}^3$ 

Mo  $K\alpha$  radiation

 $0.42 \times 0.33 \times 0.10 \text{ mm}$ 

 $\mu = 0.12 \text{ mm}^{-1}$ 

T = 100 K

### **Experimental**

Crystal data  $C_{14}H_{12}O_6 \cdot H_2O$  $M_r = 294.25$ Triclinic,  $P\overline{1}$ a = 7.7087 (1) Å b = 8.4050 (1) Å c = 11.2380(1) Å  $\alpha = 82.401 \ (1)^{\circ}$  $\beta = 75.570 (1)^{\circ}$ 

#### Data collection

Bruker APEXII CCD area-detector	19157 measured reflections
diffractometer	4696 independent reflections
Absorption correction: multi-scan	4220 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$
(SADABS; Bruker, 2005)	$R_{\rm int} = 0.023$
$T_{\min} = 0.951, \ T_{\max} = 0.988$	

#### Refinement

$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.052$	H atoms treated by a mixture of
$wR(F^2) = 0.131$	independent and constrained
S = 1.17	refinement
4696 reflections	$\Delta \rho_{\rm max} = 0.51 \ {\rm e} \ {\rm \AA}^{-3}$
217 parameters	$\Delta \rho_{\rm min} = -0.31 \text{ e} \text{ Å}^{-3}$

### Table 1

Hydrogen-bond geometry (Å, °).

Cg2 is the centroid of the C8–C13 ring	ζ.	
--	----	--

$D - H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	D-H	$H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	$D \cdots A$	$D - \mathbf{H} \cdot \cdot \cdot A$
O2−H1 <i>O</i> 2···O1	0.89 (3)	1.72 (3)	2.5453 (14)	152 (3)
$O2-H1O2\cdotsO1^{i}$	0.89 (3)	2.45 (3)	2.9554 (16)	117 (3)
O3−H1 <i>O</i> 3···O5 <sup>ii</sup>	0.85 (2)	1.90 (2)	2.7440 (15)	177 (3)
$O5-H1O5\cdots O1W^{iii}$	0.83 (3)	1.76 (3)	2.574 (7)	166 (3)
$O6-H1O6\cdots O2^{iv}$	0.79 (3)	1.98 (3)	2.7220 (15)	157 (3)
$O1W - H1W1 \cdots O6^{ii}$	0.89	1.91	2.746 (8)	156
$C14-H14C\cdots O1^{v}$	0.98	2.55	3.4507 (19)	152
$O1WX - H2WX \cdots Cg2^{vi}$	0.86	2.89	3.402 (7)	120

Symmetry codes: (i) -x, -y, -z + 1; (ii) x + 1, y, z - 1; (iii) x - 1, y - 1, z + 1; (iv) -x, -y + 1, -z + 1; (v) x + 1, y, z; (vi) -x + 1, -y + 1, -z + 1.

Data collection: APEX2 (Bruker, 2005); cell refinement: SAINT (Bruker, 2005); data reduction: SAINT; program(s) used to solve structure: SHELXTL (Sheldrick, 2008); program(s) used to refine structure: SHELXTL; molecular graphics: SHELXTL; software used to prepare material for publication: SHELXTL and PLATON (Spek, 2009).

NJ, WKC and MK wish to acknowledge the research grant RU1001/PKIMIA/811187 provided by Universiti Sains Malaysia (USM). NJ also thanks USM and the Third World Academy of Sciences (TWAS) for the award of a TWAS-USM PG fellowship. The authors also thank USM for the Research University Grant No. 1001/PFIZIK/811160.

<sup>‡</sup> Thomson Reuters ResearcherID: A-5085-2009.

<sup>§</sup> Thomson Reuters ResearcherID: A-3561-2009.

Supplementary data and figures for this paper are available from the IUCr electronic archives (Reference: TK2790).

### References

- Bernstein, J., Davis, R. E., Shimoni, L. & Chang, N.-L. (1995). Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl. 34, 1555–1573.
- Betz, R., Gerber, T. & Schalekamp, H. (2011). Acta Cryst. E67, 01897.
- Bruker (2005). APEX2, SAINT and SADABS. Bruker AXS Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.
- Cosier, J. & Glazer, A. M. (1986). J. Appl. Cryst. 19, 105-107.
- Jayaprakasha, G. K., Negi, P. S. & Jena, B. S. (2006). Innovative Food Sci. Emerg. Technol. 7, 246–250.
- Khanum, S. A., Girish, V., Suparshwa, S. S. & Khanum, N. F. (2009). Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett. 19, 1887–1891.
- Li, H.-P., Yang, Y.-X. & Ng, S. W. (2010). Acta Cryst. E66, o2867.

- Mahabusarakum, W., Phongpaichit, S., Jansakul, C. & Wiriyachitra, P. (1983). Songklanakarin J. Sci. Technol. 5, 337–340.
- Ngoupayo, J., Tabopda, T. K. & Ali, M. S. (2009). Bioorg. Med. Chem. 17, 5688–5695.
- Pereira, I. O., Marques, M. J., Pavan, A. L. R., Codonho, B. S., Barbiéri, C. L., Beijo, L. A., Doriguetto, A. C., D'Martin, E. C. & dos Santos, M. H. (2010). *Phytomedicine*, **17**, 339–345.
- Phongpaichit, S., Ongsakul, M., Nilrat, L., Tharavichitkul, P., Bunchoo, S., Chauprapaisilp, T. & Wiriyachitra, P. (1994). Songklanakarin J. Sci. Technol. 16, 399–405.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (2008). Acta Cryst. A64, 112-122.
- Smitinand, T. (2001). *Thai Plant Names*, p. 152. Prachachon, Bangkok, Thailand.
- Spek, A. L. (2009). Acta Cryst. D65, 148-155.
- Tzanova, T., Gerova, M., Petrov, O., Karaivanova, M. & Bagrel, D. (2009). Eur. J. Med. Chem. 44, 2724–2730.
- Zadernowski, R., Czaplicki, S. & Naczk, M. (2009). Food Chem. 112, 685-689.
- Zhang, Y., Song, Z., Hao, J., Qiu, S. & Xu, Z. (2010). Fitoterapia, 81, 595-599.

# supporting information

Acta Cryst. (2011). E67, o2717–o2718 [https://doi.org/10.1107/S1600536811037913]

(2,4-Dihydroxy-6-methoxyphenyl)(3,5-dihydroxyphenyl)methanone monohydrate

## Jamila Nargis, Keng-Chong Wong, Melati Khairuddin, Suchada Chantrapromma and Hoong-Kun Fun

### S1. Comment

*Garcinia* is traditionally used for the treatment of abdominal pain, dysentery, diarrhea, suppuration, infected wounds, leucorrhoea, chronic ulcers and gonorrhea (Jayaprakasha *et al.*, 2006). Most *Garcinia* species are trees but some are shrubs or treelets and are rich sources of bioactive compounds including xanthones, flavonoids, benzophenones, lactones and phenolic acids (Mahabusarakum *et al.*, 1983; Ngoupayo *et al.*, 2009; Pereira *et al.*, 2010; Phongpaichit *et al.*, 1994; Zadernowski *et al.*, 2009; Zhang *et al.*, 2010). *Garcinia hombroniana* Pierre (Guttiferae), commonly called seashore mangosteen or pokok bruas (Malay), is indigenous in Malaysia and widely distributed in the southern part of Thailand where it is known as "wa" (Smitinand, 2001). During the course of our investigation of *G. hombroniana*, we have isolated the title benzophenone compound (I) from the ethyl acetate extract of the bark. Benzophenones have been found to possess antioxidant (Tzanova *et al.*, 2009), anti-inflammatory (Khanum *et al.*, 2009) and leishmanicidal (Pereira *et al.*, 2010) activities.

The molecule of the title benzophenone which crystallized as a monohydrate (Fig. 1) is twisted with the dihedral angle between the 2,4-dihydroxy-6-methoxyphenyl and 3,5-dihydroxyphenyl rings being 59.13 (7)°, whereas the triangular  $C_{aryl}$ -C(=O)- $C_{aryl}$  fragment (C1/C7/C8/O1) makes dihedral angles of 25.16 (7) and 43.44 (8)° with the 2,4-dihydroxy-6-methoxyphenyl and 3,5-dihydroxyphenyl rings, respectively. The two hydroxy groups and the methoxy groups of the 2,4-dihydroxy-6-methoxyphenyl residue are co-planar with the benzene ring with a *r.m.s.* of 0.0459 (1) Å for the ten non-H atoms and the torsion angle C14–O1–C6–C5 = 1.91 (18)°. The two hydroxy groups of the 3,5-dihydroxyphenyl are also co-planar with the *r.m.s.* of 0.0083 (1) Å for the eight non-H atoms. An intramolecular O2–H1O2…O1 hydrogen bond generates a S(6) ring motif (Bernstein *et al.*, 1995) (Fig. 1 and Table 1). The bond distances are comparable with the related structures (Betz *et al.*, 2011; Li *et al.*, 2010). The water molecule is disordered over two positions in a 0.555 (19):0.445 (19) ratio.

The crystal is stabilized by intermolecular O—H···O hydrogen bonds. These interactions link the molecules into sheets parallel to the *ac* plane (Fig. 2). These sheets are stacked along the *b* axis by  $\pi$ ··· $\pi$  interaction with the Cg<sub>1</sub>···Cg<sub>1</sub> distance of 3.6219 (7) Å (symmetry code 1-x, -y, 1-z) where Cg<sub>1</sub> is the centroid of the C1–C6 benzene ring. A weak O—H··· $\pi$  interaction was also observed (Table 1).

### **S2. Experimental**

The air-dried and ground bark (5.2 kg) of *G. hombroniana* was extracted (Soxhlet) successively with n-hexane, dichloromethane, ethyl acetate and methanol. The ethyl acetate extract (18 g) was subjected to silica gel column chromatography (CC) using hexane-chloroform-ethyl acetate-methanol gradient, affording 40 fractions (FE1- FE40). Fractions FE23FE29 were combined and fractionated using silica gel CC with chloroform-acetone gradient which afforded another fraction FEb. The title compound (I) was isolated from the fraction FEb in the form of shiny yellow crystals by silica gel CC with chloroform-acetone (3:2 v/v) as eluting solvent. The melting point determined was 516-519 K.

### **S3. Refinement**

Hydroxy H atoms were located from a Fourier difference map and isotropically refined. The C-bound H atoms were placed in calculated positions with d(C-H) = 0.95 Å for aromatic and 0.98 Å for CH<sub>3</sub> atoms. The  $U_{iso}$  values were constrained to be  $1.5U_{eq}$  of the carrier atom for O and methyl H atoms, and  $1.2U_{eq}$  for the remaining H atoms. A rotating group model was used for the methyl groups. The water molecule was found to be disordered over two sites in a 0.555 (19): 0.445 (19) occupancy ratio (from refinement). The water-bound H1W1, H2W1, H1WX and H2WX atoms were located from a difference Fourier map and fixed in these positions with  $U_{iso}(H) = 1.5 U_{eq}(O)$ 





### Figure 1

The molecular structure of the title compound, with 50% probability displacement ellipsoids and the atom-numbering scheme. Open bonds show the minor component of the disordered water molecule. The hydrogen bond is shown as a dashed line.



Figure 2

The crystal packing of the title compound with only the major component of the water molecule shown, viewed down the b axis, showing sheets parallel to the ac plane. Hydrogen bonds are shown as dashed lines.

(2,4-Dihydroxy-6-methoxyphenyl)(3,5-dihydroxyphenyl)methanone monohydrate

Crystal data

C<sub>14</sub>H<sub>12</sub>O<sub>6</sub>·H<sub>2</sub>O  $M_r = 294.25$ Triclinic, *P*I Hall symbol: -P 1 a = 7.7087 (1) Å b = 8.4050 (1) Å c = 11.2380 (1) Å a = 82.401 (1)°  $\beta = 75.570$  (1)°  $\gamma = 67.842$  (1)° V = 652.49 (1) Å<sup>3</sup>

### Data collection

Bruker APEXII CCD area-detector diffractometer Radiation source: sealed tube Graphite monochromator  $\varphi$  and  $\omega$  scans Absorption correction: multi-scan (*SADABS*; Bruker, 2005)  $T_{\min} = 0.951, T_{\max} = 0.988$  Z = 2 F(000) = 308  $D_x = 1.498 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$ Melting point = 516–519 K Mo Ka radiation,  $\lambda = 0.71073 \text{ Å}$ Cell parameters from 4696 reflections  $\theta = 1.9-32.5^{\circ}$   $\mu = 0.12 \text{ mm}^{-1}$ T = 100 K Plate, yellow  $0.42 \times 0.33 \times 0.10 \text{ mm}$ 

19157 measured reflections 4696 independent reflections 4220 reflections with  $I > 2\sigma(I)$  $R_{int} = 0.023$  $\theta_{max} = 32.5^\circ$ ,  $\theta_{min} = 1.9^\circ$  $h = -11 \rightarrow 11$  $k = -12 \rightarrow 12$  $l = -16 \rightarrow 16$  Refinement

Refinement on $F^2$	Secondary atom site location: difference Fourier
Least-squares matrix: full	map
$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.052$	Hydrogen site location: inferred from
$wR(F^2) = 0.131$	neighbouring sites
S = 1.17	H atoms treated by a mixture of independent
4696 reflections	and constrained refinement
217 parameters	$w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.038P)^2 + 0.633P]$
0 restraints	where $P = (F_{o}^{2} + 2F_{c}^{2})/3$
Primary atom site location: structure-invariant	$(\Delta/\sigma)_{\rm max} = 0.001$
direct methods	$\Delta \rho_{\rm max} = 0.51 \ {\rm e} \ {\rm \AA}^{-3}$
	$\Delta \rho_{\rm min} = -0.31 \text{ e} \text{ Å}^{-3}$

### Special details

**Experimental**. The crystal was placed in the cold stream of an Oxford Cryosystems Cobra open-flow nitrogen cryostat (Cosier & Glazer, 1986) operating at 120.0 (1) K.

**Geometry**. All esds (except the esd in the dihedral angle between two l.s. planes) are estimated using the full covariance matrix. The cell esds are taken into account individually in the estimation of esds in distances, angles and torsion angles; correlations between esds in cell parameters are only used when they are defined by crystal symmetry. An approximate (isotropic) treatment of cell esds is used for estimating esds involving l.s. planes.

**Refinement**. Refinement of F<sup>2</sup> against ALL reflections. The weighted R-factor wR and goodness of fit S are based on F<sup>2</sup>, conventional R-factors R are based on F, with F set to zero for negative F<sup>2</sup>. The threshold expression of  $F^2 > 2$ sigma(F<sup>2</sup>) is used only for calculating R-factors(gt) etc. and is not relevant to the choice of reflections for refinement. R-factors based on F<sup>2</sup> are statistically about twice as large as those based on F, and R- factors based on ALL data will be even larger.

Fractional atomic coordinates and	isotropic or equival	lent isotropic displacemer	<i>nt parameters</i> $(Å^2)$
	1 1	1 1	

	x	У	Ζ	$U_{ m iso}$ */ $U_{ m eq}$	Occ. (<1)
01	0.02024 (14)	0.10822 (14)	0.58801 (9)	0.01558 (19)	
O2	0.18999 (14)	0.13186 (13)	0.36467 (9)	0.01292 (18)	
H1O2	0.114 (4)	0.106 (4)	0.432 (3)	0.044 (7)*	
O3	0.74976 (15)	0.27372 (15)	0.28766 (10)	0.0168 (2)	
H1O3	0.757 (4)	0.250 (3)	0.215 (2)	0.032 (6)*	
O4	0.40238 (14)	0.24500 (14)	0.69771 (9)	0.01396 (19)	
O5	-0.23219 (17)	0.21024 (15)	1.05072 (9)	0.0191 (2)	
H1O5	-0.247 (4)	0.123 (3)	1.035 (2)	0.036 (7)*	
O6	-0.12705 (15)	0.70856 (13)	0.85592 (10)	0.01557 (19)	
H1O6	-0.114 (4)	0.751 (4)	0.789 (3)	0.040 (7)*	
C1	0.27991 (17)	0.20544 (16)	0.53565 (11)	0.0104 (2)	
C2	0.31206 (17)	0.17912 (16)	0.40884 (11)	0.0106 (2)	
C3	0.46478 (18)	0.20447 (17)	0.32314 (12)	0.0125 (2)	
H3A	0.4796	0.1921	0.2380	0.015*	
C4	0.59587 (18)	0.24845 (17)	0.36499 (12)	0.0121 (2)	
C5	0.57783 (18)	0.26523 (17)	0.49021 (12)	0.0128 (2)	
H5A	0.6706	0.2921	0.5172	0.015*	
C6	0.42273 (17)	0.24217 (16)	0.57445 (11)	0.0110 (2)	
C7	0.10655 (17)	0.19008 (16)	0.61790 (12)	0.0110 (2)	
C8	0.01674 (17)	0.28193 (16)	0.73582 (11)	0.0107 (2)	
С9	-0.06148 (18)	0.19737 (17)	0.83798 (12)	0.0126 (2)	
H9A	-0.0505	0.0816	0.8341	0.015*	

C10	-0.15600 (19)	0.28606 (17)	0.94574 (12)	0.0131 (2)	
C11	-0.17726 (19)	0.45713 (17)	0.95104 (12)	0.0137 (2)	
H11A	-0.2429	0.5169	1.0247	0.016*	
C12	-0.10135 (18)	0.53944 (16)	0.84732 (12)	0.0117 (2)	
C13	-0.00183 (18)	0.45267 (17)	0.73944 (12)	0.0120 (2)	
H13A	0.0524	0.5089	0.6696	0.014*	
C14	0.54604 (19)	0.27856 (19)	0.73956 (13)	0.0159 (2)	
H14A	0.5188	0.2715	0.8297	0.024*	
H14B	0.5450	0.3939	0.7101	0.024*	
H14C	0.6725	0.1932	0.7076	0.024*	
O1W	0.6637 (7)	0.9646 (10)	0.0189 (7)	0.0211 (11)	0.555 (19)
H1W1	0.7461	0.8666	-0.0151	0.032*	0.555 (19)
H2W1	0.5642	0.9957	-0.0002	0.032*	0.555 (19)
O1WX	0.6960 (8)	0.9169 (9)	0.0518 (6)	0.0125 (9)	0.445 (19)
H1WX	0.6896	0.8759	0.1225	0.019*	0.445 (19)
H2WX	0.7964	0.8353	0.0173	0.019*	0.445 (19)

Atomic displacement parameters  $(Å^2)$ 

	$U^{11}$	U <sup>22</sup>	U <sup>33</sup>	$U^{12}$	$U^{13}$	$U^{23}$
01	0.0153 (4)	0.0209 (5)	0.0142 (4)	-0.0110 (4)	-0.0001 (3)	-0.0048 (4)
O2	0.0146 (4)	0.0173 (4)	0.0104 (4)	-0.0096 (4)	-0.0028 (3)	-0.0006 (3)
03	0.0162 (4)	0.0256 (5)	0.0107 (4)	-0.0129 (4)	0.0013 (3)	0.0001 (4)
O4	0.0120 (4)	0.0231 (5)	0.0088 (4)	-0.0084 (4)	-0.0019 (3)	-0.0021 (3)
05	0.0306 (6)	0.0212 (5)	0.0093 (4)	-0.0173 (4)	0.0021 (4)	-0.0008 (4)
O6	0.0230 (5)	0.0115 (4)	0.0118 (4)	-0.0067 (4)	-0.0022 (4)	-0.0006 (3)
C1	0.0097 (5)	0.0119 (5)	0.0097 (5)	-0.0046 (4)	-0.0009 (4)	-0.0013 (4)
C2	0.0112 (5)	0.0110 (5)	0.0102 (5)	-0.0041 (4)	-0.0029 (4)	-0.0013 (4)
C3	0.0134 (5)	0.0154 (5)	0.0096 (5)	-0.0068 (4)	-0.0012 (4)	-0.0005 (4)
C4	0.0114 (5)	0.0142 (5)	0.0110 (5)	-0.0063 (4)	-0.0007 (4)	0.0002 (4)
C5	0.0122 (5)	0.0161 (5)	0.0113 (5)	-0.0071 (4)	-0.0015 (4)	-0.0011 (4)
C6	0.0110 (5)	0.0131 (5)	0.0095 (5)	-0.0050 (4)	-0.0020 (4)	-0.0013 (4)
C7	0.0103 (5)	0.0121 (5)	0.0104 (5)	-0.0041 (4)	-0.0017 (4)	-0.0013 (4)
C8	0.0098 (5)	0.0133 (5)	0.0091 (5)	-0.0045 (4)	-0.0015 (4)	-0.0014 (4)
С9	0.0132 (5)	0.0137 (5)	0.0117 (5)	-0.0062 (4)	-0.0011 (4)	-0.0015 (4)
C10	0.0148 (5)	0.0166 (6)	0.0093 (5)	-0.0082 (5)	-0.0014 (4)	0.0002 (4)
C11	0.0159 (5)	0.0156 (6)	0.0098 (5)	-0.0066 (5)	-0.0006 (4)	-0.0019 (4)
C12	0.0122 (5)	0.0117 (5)	0.0114 (5)	-0.0038 (4)	-0.0029 (4)	-0.0017 (4)
C13	0.0124 (5)	0.0129 (5)	0.0105 (5)	-0.0053 (4)	-0.0008 (4)	-0.0008 (4)
C14	0.0139 (5)	0.0225 (6)	0.0136 (6)	-0.0070 (5)	-0.0043 (4)	-0.0046 (5)
O1W	0.0180 (11)	0.0201 (19)	0.026 (2)	-0.0063 (12)	-0.0030 (12)	-0.0079 (18)
O1WX	0.0132 (13)	0.0121 (16)	0.0115 (15)	-0.0032 (12)	-0.0041 (10)	0.0009 (12)

### Geometric parameters (Å, °)

O1—C7	1.2437 (16)	С5—Н5А	0.9500
O2—C2	1.3626 (15)	C7—C8	1.4972 (18)
O2—H1O2	0.89 (3)	C8—C13	1.3925 (18)

O3—C4	1.3546 (15)	C8—C9	1.3961 (17)
O3—H1O3	0.85 (3)	C9—C10	1.3937 (18)
O4—C6	1.3570 (15)	С9—Н9А	0.9500
O4—C14	1.4344 (16)	C10-C11	1.3920 (19)
O5—C10	1.3715 (16)	C11—C12	1.3900 (18)
O5—H1O5	0.83 (3)	C11—H11A	0.9500
O6—C12	1.3724 (16)	C12—C13	1.3923 (17)
O6—H1O6	0.79 (3)	С13—Н13А	0.9500
C1—C2	1.4168 (17)	C14—H14A	0.9800
C1—C6	1.4244 (17)	C14—H14B	0.9800
C1—C7	1.4628 (17)	C14—H14C	0.9800
C2—C3	1.3888 (17)	O1W—H1W1	0.8916
C3—C4	1.3942 (18)	O1W—H2W1	0.7867
С3—НЗА	0.9500	O1WX—H1WX	0.8209
C4—C5	1.3998 (18)	O1WX—H2WX	0.8602
C5—C6	1.3876 (17)		
C2—O2—H1O2	104.3 (18)	C13—C8—C9	121.23 (12)
C4—O3—H1O3	109.9 (16)	C13—C8—C7	120.11 (11)
C6—O4—C14	117.37 (10)	C9—C8—C7	118.45 (11)
C10—O5—H1O5	110.8 (18)	C10—C9—C8	118.71 (12)
C12—O6—H1O6	109 (2)	С10—С9—Н9А	120.6
C2—C1—C6	116.96 (11)	С8—С9—Н9А	120.6
C2—C1—C7	118.58 (11)	O5—C10—C11	116.99 (12)
C6—C1—C7	124.45 (11)	O5—C10—C9	122.05 (12)
O2—C2—C3	116.67 (11)	C11—C10—C9	120.96 (12)
O2—C2—C1	121.07 (11)	C12—C11—C10	119.21 (12)
C3—C2—C1	122.23 (11)	C12—C11—H11A	120.4
C2—C3—C4	118.45 (12)	C10-C11-H11A	120.4
С2—С3—НЗА	120.8	O6—C12—C11	117.41 (11)
С4—С3—НЗА	120.8	O6—C12—C13	121.52 (12)
O3—C4—C3	122.18 (12)	C11—C12—C13	121.06 (12)
O3—C4—C5	116.18 (11)	C12—C13—C8	118.81 (12)
C3—C4—C5	121.63 (12)	C12—C13—H13A	120.6
C6—C5—C4	119.19 (12)	C8—C13—H13A	120.6
С6—С5—Н5А	120.4	O4—C14—H14A	109.5
С4—С5—Н5А	120.4	O4—C14—H14B	109.5
O4—C6—C5	122.54 (11)	H14A—C14—H14B	109.5
O4—C6—C1	116.13 (11)	O4—C14—H14C	109.5
C5—C6—C1	121.26 (12)	H14A—C14—H14C	109.5
O1—C7—C1	120.63 (11)	H14B—C14—H14C	109.5
O1—C7—C8	117.04 (11)	H1W1—O1W—H2W1	112.7
C1—C7—C8	122.17 (11)	H1WX—O1WX—H2WX	97.6
C6—C1—C2—O2	175.50 (11)	C6—C1—C7—O1	-157.57 (13)
C7—C1—C2—O2	-3.32 (18)	C2-C1-C7-C8	-154.00 (12)
C6—C1—C2—C3	-6.35 (19)	C6—C1—C7—C8	27.27 (19)
C7—C1—C2—C3	174.83 (12)	O1—C7—C8—C13	-132.18 (13)

$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	-178.32 (11) 3.46 (19) 179.32 (12) 0.8 (2) 179.57 (12) -1.8 (2) 1.91 (18) 178.89 (11) 175.49 (12) -1.3 (2)	$C1-C7-C8-C13 \\ O1-C7-C8-C9 \\ C1-C7-C8-C9 \\ C13-C8-C9-C10 \\ C7-C8-C9-C10 \\ C8-C9-C10-O5 \\ C8-C9-C10-C11 \\ O5-C10-C11-C12 \\ C9-C10-C11-C12 \\ C9-C10-C11-C12 \\ C10-C11-C12 \\ C10-C12 \\ C1$	43.14 (18) 42.51 (17) -142.18 (13) -1.36 (19) -175.99 (11) -178.31 (12) 1.8 (2) 179.54 (12) -0.5 (2) 179.35 (12)
C3-C4-C5-C6	-1.8 (2)	C8-C9-C10-O5	-178.31 (12)
C14-O4-C6-C5	1.91 (18)	C8-C9-C10-C11	1.8 (2) 179 54 (12)
C4—C5—C6—O4	175.49 (12)	C9—C10—C11—C12	-0.5 (2)
C4—C5—C6—C1	-1.3(2) -17178(11)	C10-C11-C12-O6	179.35 (12)
C7-C1-C6-04	6.96 (19)	06-C12-C13-C8	-1.2(2) -178.96(12)
C2-C1-C6-C5 C7-C1-C6-C5	5.23 (19) -176.02 (12)	C11—C12—C13—C8 C9—C8—C13—C12	1.58 (19) -0.30 (19)
C2-C1-C7-01	21.15 (19)	C7—C8—C13—C12	174.24 (11)

Hydrogen-bond geometry (Å, °)

Cg2 is the centroid of the C8–C13 ring.

D—H···A	D—H	Н…А	$D^{\dots}A$	D—H···A
02—H1 <i>0</i> 2…O1	0.89 (3)	1.72 (3)	2.5453 (14)	152 (3)
O2—H1O2···O1 <sup>i</sup>	0.89 (3)	2.45 (3)	2.9554 (16)	117 (3)
O3—H1 <i>O</i> 3…O5 <sup>ii</sup>	0.85 (2)	1.90 (2)	2.7440 (15)	177 (3)
O5—H1 <i>O</i> 5…O1 <i>W</i> <sup>iii</sup>	0.83 (3)	1.76 (3)	2.574 (7)	166 (3)
O6—H1 <i>O</i> 6···O2 <sup>iv</sup>	0.79 (3)	1.98 (3)	2.7220 (15)	157 (3)
O1W—H1 $W1$ ···O6 <sup>ii</sup>	0.89	1.91	2.746 (8)	156
C14— $H14C$ ···O1 <sup>v</sup>	0.98	2.55	3.4507 (19)	152
$O1WX$ — $H2WX$ ··· $Cg2^{vi}$	0.86	2.89	3.402 (7)	120

Symmetry codes: (i) -x, -y, -z+1; (ii) x+1, y, z-1; (iii) x-1, y-1, z+1; (iv) -x, -y+1, -z+1; (v) x+1, y, z; (vi) -x+1, -y+1, -z+1.