metal-organic compounds

Acta Crystallographica Section E **Structure Reports** Online

ISSN 1600-5368

catena-Poly[iron(II)-bis{u-5-carboxy-2-[(1H-1,2,4-triazol-1-vl)methvl]-1Himidazole-4-carboxylato}]

Yan Tong^a* and Hui-Jie Wang^b

^aDepartment of Quality Examination and Management, Zhengzhou College of Animal Husbandry Engineering, Zhengzhou, Henan, 450011, People's Republic of China, and ^bDepartment of Biological Engineering, Zhengzhou College of Animal Husbandry Engineering, Zhengzhou, Henan, 450011, People's Republic of China Correspondence e-mail: tongyan4200@163.com

Received 4 July 2011; accepted 4 September 2011

Key indicators: single-crystal X-ray study; T = 293 K; mean σ (C–C) = 0.002 Å; R factor = 0.027; wR factor = 0.073; data-to-parameter ratio = 11.9.

In the title coordination polymer, $[Fe(C_8H_6N_5O_4)_2]_n$ {or $[FeL_2]_n$, where $HL = 2 \cdot [(1H-1,2,4-triazol-1-yl) methyl] \cdot 1H$ imidazole-4,5-dicarboxylic acid)}, the Fe^{II} ion, located on an inversion centre, is six-coordinated by two O atoms and four N atoms from two L^{-} ligands in a distorted octahedral geometry [Fe-O = 2.1452 (13), Fe-N = 2.1316 (14) and 2.2484 (15) Å].There is an intramolecular $O-H \cdots O$ hydrogen bond in each L^{-} ligand. Being an effective tridentate bridging ligand, the deprotonated L^{-} anions link two Fe^{II} atoms, yielding a chainlike polymer propagating along [100]. In the crystal, these polymer chains are linked via N-H···N hydrogen bonds, forming a two-dimensional network.

Related literature

For the design and self-assembly of metal-organic coordination polymers (MOCP's), see: Batten & Robson (1998); Eddaoudi et al. (2001). For related structures, see: Wang et al. (2008); Meng et al. (2009); Zhang, Li et al. (2010); Zhang, Ma et al. (2010); Feng et al. 2010); Li et al. (2010); Chen et al. (2010); Jing et al. (2010).





 $D - H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$

Experimental

Crystal data

$[\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{r}}(\mathbf{C},\mathbf{U},\mathbf{N},\mathbf{O})]$	$V_{1} = 0(9, (2))^{3}$
$[Fe(C_8H_6N_5O_4)_2]$	V = 908.0 (3) A
$M_r = 528.21$	Z = 2
Monoclinic, $P2_1/c$	Mo $K\alpha$ radiation
a = 7.1790 (14) Å	$\mu = 0.85 \text{ mm}^{-1}$
b = 13.490 (3) Å	T = 293 K
c = 10.129 (2) Å	$0.30 \times 0.15 \times 0.10 \text{ mm}$
$\beta = 99.11 \ (3)^{\circ}$	

Data collection

Rigaku Mercury CCD diffractometer Absorption correction: multi-scan (CrystalClear; Rigaku, 2000) $T_{\min} = 0.784, T_{\max} = 0.919$

Refinement

$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.027$	160 parameters
$wR(F^2) = 0.073$	H-atom parameters constrained
S = 1.08	$\Delta \rho_{\rm max} = 0.25 \text{ e} \text{ Å}^{-3}$
1900 reflections	$\Delta \rho_{\rm min} = -0.25 \ {\rm e} \ {\rm \AA}^{-3}$

 $R_{\rm int} = 0.020$

10179 measured reflections

1900 independent reflections

1847 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$

Table 1 Hydrogen-bond geometry (Å, °).

$D - H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$ D - H $H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$ $D \cdots A$

 $O3-H3A\cdots O2$ 0.82 2.5175 (19) 179 1.70 $N5-H5A\cdots N2^{i}$ 0.86 2.01 2.850 (2) 166

Symmetry code: (i) $x, -y - \frac{1}{2}, z - \frac{1}{2}$.

Data collection: CrystalClear (Rigaku, 2000); cell refinement: CrystalClear; data reduction: CrystalClear; program(s) used to solve structure: SHELXS97 (Sheldrick, 2008); program(s) used to refine structure: SHELXL97 (Sheldrick, 2008); molecular graphics: SHELXTL (Sheldrick, 2008); software used to prepare material for publication: SHELXTL.

This work was sponsored by the Natural Science Foundation of Henan Province (No. 200510469005) and the start-up fund for scientific research of Zhengzhou College of Animal Husbandry Engineering.

Supplementary data and figures for this paper are available from the IUCr electronic archives (Reference: SU2292).

References

Batten, S. R. & Robson, R. (1998). Chem. Commun. pp. 1067-1068.

- Chen, L. Z., Huang, Y., Xiong, R. G. & Hu, H. W. (2010). J. Mol. Struct. 963, 16 - 21
- Eddaoudi, M., Moler, D. B., Li, H. L., Chen, B. L., Reineke, T. M. & Yaghi, O. M. (2001). Acc. Chem. Res. 13, 319-330.
- Feng, X., Zhao, J. S., Liu, B., Wang, L. Y., Zhang, G., Wang, J. G., Shi, X. G. & Liu, Y. Y. (2010). Cryst. Growth Des. 10, 1399-1408.
- Jing, X. M., Zhang, L. R., Ma, T. L., Li, G. H., Yu, Y., Huo, Q. S., Eddaoudi, M. & Liu, Y. L. (2010). Cryst. Growth Des. 10, 492-494.
- Li, X., Wu, B. L., Wang, R. Y., Zhang, H. Y., Niu, C. Y., Niu, Y. Y. & Hou, H. H. (2010). Inorg. Chem. 49, 2600-2613.
- Meng, C. X., Li, D. S., Zhao, J., Fu, F., Zhang, X. N., Tang, L. & Wang, Y. Y. (2009). Inorg. Chem. Commun. 12, 793-795.

Rigaku (2000). CrystalClear. Rigaku Corporation, Tokyo, Japan.

Acta Cryst. (2011). E67, m1462-m1463

Sheldrick, G. M. (2008). Acta Cryst. A64, 112–122. Wang, S. A., Zhang, L. R., Li, G. H., Huo, Q. S. & Liu, Y. L. (2008). CrystEngComm, 10, 1662–1666.

Zhang, F. W., Li, Z. F., Ge, T. Z., Yao, H. C., Li, G., Lu, H. J. & Zhu, Y. (2010). *Inorg. Chem.* **49**, 3776–3788.

Zhang, L. P., Ma, J. F., Yang, J., Pang, Y. Y. & Ma, J. C. (2010). Inorg. Chem. 49, 1535–1550.

supporting information

Acta Cryst. (2011). E67, m1462–m1463 [https://doi.org/10.1107/S1600536811036026] *catena*-Poly[iron(II)-bis{µ-5-carboxy-2-[(1*H*-1,2,4-triazol-1-yl)methyl]-1*H*imidazole-4-carboxylato}]

Yan Tong and Hui-Jie Wang

S1. Comment

The design and self-assembly of metal-organic coordination polymers (MOCP's) has received much attention since the early work of (Batten & Robson, 1998) and the group of Yaghi (Eddaoudi *et al.*, 2001). The selection of suitable bi- or multi-dentate bridging ligands plays a crucial role in the construction of MOCP's, through tuning their structural dimensionalities and stereochemistry with different coordination sites. In this work, the semi-rigid ligand, 2-[(1*H*-1,2,4-triazol-1-yl) methyl]-1*H*-imidazole-4,5-dicarboxylic acid (HL), was synthesized. Similar ligands, which differ only in the groups on the 2-position of the imidazole ring, such as 2-ethyl-1*H*-imidazole-4,5-dicarboxylic acid, 2-propyl-1*H*-imidazole-4,5-dicarboxylic acid (Wang *et al.*, 2008; Meng *et al.*, 2009; Zhang, Li *et al.*, 2010; Zhang, Ma *et al.*, 2010; Feng, *et al.*, 2010), and 2-pyridinyl-1*H*-imidazole -4,5-dicarboxylic acid (Li *et al.*, 2010; Chen *et al.*, 2010; Jing *et al.*, 2010), have been studied extensively. We report herein on the crystal structure of the title one-dimensional coordination polymer.

As shown in Fig. 1, the asymmetric unit of the title coordination polymer contains half a Fe^{II} ion, located on an inversion centre, and a deprotonated L^{-} ligand. The local coordination geometry around the Fe^{II} centre can be described as distorted octahedral. The equatorial plane is formed by two imidazole N atoms (N4 and N4d) and two carboxylate O atoms (O1 and O1d) from two L⁻ ligands, while the axial positions are occupied by two triazolate N atoms (N3b and N3c). The *cis* bond angles around each Fe^{II} centre are in the range 78.11 (5)° to 101.89 (5)°.

Two Fe^{II} centers are linked together by two identical L^2 ligands through triazolate N-donors, imidazole N-donors and carboxylate O-donors into a 14-membered box-like macrocycle with the Fe1···Fe1ⁱⁱseparation being 7.179 Å. The symmetrically related triazolyl rings are parallel to one another, and the shortest distance between atoms is 3.662 Å, indicating a weak π - π interaction. Being an effective tridentate bridging ligand, the deprotonated L^2 anions link two Fe^{II} centers to yield a one-dimensional chain-like polymer propagating along [100].

In the crystal the one-dimensional polymer chains are linked by classical N-H…N hydrogen bonds, involving the uncoordinated triazolate N atoms and the imidazole N atoms, resulting in the formation of a two-dimensional supramolecular network propagating in the *bc*-plane (Table 1 and Fig. 2).

S2. Experimental

The title coordination polymer was synthesized by adding 1.0 mmol of 2-[(1*H*-1,2,4-triazol-1-yl) methyl]-1*H*imidazole-4,5-dicarboxylic acid, (HL), to 5 mL water. Then FeSO₄(0.5 mmol) was added to the above solution, and the mixture was heated to 393 K for 3 days, and then cooled to room temperature. Yellow crystals, suitable for X-ray analysis, were obtained in 46% yield. Anal. Calcd (%) for $C_{16}H_{12}FeN_{10}O_8$: C, 36.38; H, 2.29; N, 26.52. Found (%): C, 36.52; H, 2.45; N, 26.36.

S3. Refinement

The H atoms were included in calculated positions and treated as riding atoms: C-H = 0.93 Å for the triazole and 0.97 Å for the methylene H atoms with $U_{iso}(H) = 1.2U_{eq}(C)$; O-H = 0.82 Å and N-H = 0.86 Å, with $U_{iso}(H) = 1.5U_{eq}(O)$ and $1.2U_{eq}(N)$.



Figure 1

A view of the molecular structure of the title coordination polymer, showing 30% probability displacement ellipsoids and the atom-numbering [symmetry codes: (a) = -1+x, y, z; (b) = 1+x, y, z; (c) = -1-x, -y, -z; (d) = -x, -y, -z].



Figure 2

A view perpendicular to the two-dimensional network structure of the title polymer, formed via N-H…N hydrogen bonds (dashed lines; see Table 1 for details).

catena-Poly[iron(II)-bis{µ-5-carboxy-2-[(1H-1,2,4-triazol-1- yl)methyl]-1H-imidazole-4-carboxylato}]

Crystal data
$$[Fe(C_8H_6N_5O_4)_2]$$
 $F(000) = 536$ $M_r = 528.21$ $D_x = 1.811 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$ Monoclinic, $P_{21/c}$ Mo Ka radiation, $\lambda = 0.71073 \text{ Å}$ Hall symbol: -P 2ybcCell parameters from 3321 reflections $a = 7.1790 (14) \text{ Å}$ $\theta = 2.0-31.1^{\circ}$ $b = 13.490 (3) \text{ Å}$ $\mu = 0.85 \text{ mm}^{-1}$ $c = 10.129 (2) \text{ Å}$ $T = 293 \text{ K}$ $\beta = 99.11 (3)^{\circ}$ Prism, yellow $V = 968.6 (3) \text{ Å}^3$ $0.30 \times 0.15 \times 0.10 \text{ mm}$ $Z = 2$ Data collectionRigaku Mercury CCD
diffractometer10179 measured reflectionsRadiation source: fine-focus sealed tube1847 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$ Graphite monochromator $R_{int} = 0.020$ ω scans $\theta_{max} = 26.0^{\circ}, \theta_{min} = 2.5^{\circ}$ Absorption correction: multi-scan $h = -8 \rightarrow 8$ $(CrystalClear; Rigaku, 2000)$ $k = -16 \rightarrow 16$ $T_{min} = 0.784, T_{max} = 0.919$ $l = -12 \rightarrow 12$

Refinement

Refinement on F^2	Secondary atom site location: difference Fourier
Least-squares matrix: full	map
$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.027$	Hydrogen site location: inferred from
$wR(F^2) = 0.073$	neighbouring sites
S = 1.08	H-atom parameters constrained
1900 reflections	$w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.0398P)^2 + 0.4416P]$
160 parameters	where $P = (F_{o}^{2} + 2F_{c}^{2})/3$
0 restraints	$(\Delta/\sigma)_{ m max} < 0.001$
Primary atom site location: structure-invariant	$\Delta ho_{ m max} = 0.25 \ { m e} \ { m \AA}^{-3}$
direct methods	$\Delta ho_{\min} = -0.25 \text{ e} \text{ Å}^{-3}$

Special details

Geometry. Bond distances, angles etc. have been calculated using the rounded fractional coordinates. All su's are estimated from the variances of the (full) variance-covariance matrix. The cell esds are taken into account in the estimation of distances, angles and torsion angles

Refinement. Refinement of F^2 against ALL reflections. The weighted *R*-factor *wR* and goodness of fit *S* are based on F^2 , conventional *R*-factors *R* are based on *F*, with *F* set to zero for negative F^2 . The threshold expression of $F^2 > \sigma(F^2)$ is used only for calculating *R*-factors(gt) *etc.* and is not relevant to the choice of reflections for refinement. *R*-factors based on F^2 are statistically about twice as large as those based on *F*, and *R*- factors based on ALL data will be even larger.

Fractional atomic coordinates and isotropic or equivalent isotropic displacement parameters $(Å^2)$

	x	У	Ζ	$U_{ m iso}$ */ $U_{ m eq}$
Fe1	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.0218 (1)
01	0.06876 (16)	0.10364 (9)	-0.14553 (12)	0.0289 (3)
O2	-0.00859 (18)	0.15001 (9)	-0.35930 (13)	0.0354 (4)
O3	-0.19925 (18)	0.08021 (10)	-0.56865 (12)	0.0349 (4)
O4	-0.38127 (18)	-0.05047 (10)	-0.62554 (12)	0.0351 (4)
N1	-0.51150 (18)	-0.17230 (10)	-0.08531 (13)	0.0226 (4)
N2	-0.5674 (2)	-0.23038 (11)	0.01037 (16)	0.0345 (5)
N3	-0.75834 (19)	-0.09910 (10)	-0.02990 (14)	0.0261 (4)
N4	-0.16330 (18)	-0.05447 (9)	-0.17946 (13)	0.0217 (4)
N5	-0.32936 (18)	-0.11788 (10)	-0.36005 (13)	0.0235 (4)
C1	-0.7157 (3)	-0.18384 (14)	0.0396 (2)	0.0361 (6)
C2	-0.6267 (2)	-0.09475 (12)	-0.10846 (16)	0.0248 (5)
C3	-0.3469 (2)	-0.20523 (12)	-0.14359 (18)	0.0272 (5)
C4	-0.2792 (2)	-0.12666 (11)	-0.22693 (16)	0.0216 (4)
C5	-0.1404 (2)	0.00355 (11)	-0.28705 (16)	0.0217 (5)
C6	-0.0165 (2)	0.09232 (12)	-0.26313 (16)	0.0245 (4)
C7	-0.2437 (2)	-0.03540 (12)	-0.40038 (16)	0.0228 (5)
C8	-0.2797 (2)	-0.00276 (12)	-0.54165 (17)	0.0259 (5)
H1A	-0.78580	-0.20710	0.10290	0.0430*
H2A	-0.61660	-0.04490	-0.17040	0.0300*
H3A	-0.13760	0.10250	-0.50000	0.0520*
H3B	-0.38060	-0.26360	-0.19810	0.0330*
H3C	-0.24600	-0.22340	-0.07230	0.0330*
H5A	-0.40260	-0.15730	-0.41090	0.0280*

supporting information

	U^{11}	U^{22}	U^{33}	U^{12}	U^{13}	U^{23}
Fe1	0.0216 (2)	0.0231 (2)	0.0203 (2)	0.0023 (1)	0.0022 (1)	-0.0003 (1)
01	0.0284 (6)	0.0284 (6)	0.0293 (6)	-0.0064 (5)	0.0031 (5)	-0.0015 (5)
O2	0.0361 (7)	0.0321 (7)	0.0375 (7)	-0.0095 (5)	0.0041 (5)	0.0108 (5)
O3	0.0412 (7)	0.0376 (7)	0.0263 (6)	-0.0011 (6)	0.0069 (5)	0.0070 (5)
O4	0.0399 (7)	0.0399 (7)	0.0240 (6)	0.0047 (6)	0.0009 (5)	-0.0041 (5)
N1	0.0236 (7)	0.0206 (7)	0.0247 (7)	0.0014 (5)	0.0070 (5)	0.0047 (5)
N2	0.0359 (8)	0.0312 (8)	0.0406 (9)	0.0099 (7)	0.0191 (7)	0.0174 (7)
N3	0.0258 (7)	0.0249 (7)	0.0282 (7)	0.0049 (5)	0.0061 (6)	0.0023 (6)
N4	0.0217 (6)	0.0217 (7)	0.0224 (6)	-0.0002(5)	0.0053 (5)	0.0006 (5)
N5	0.0236 (7)	0.0229 (7)	0.0241 (7)	-0.0025 (5)	0.0045 (5)	-0.0039 (5)
C1	0.0365 (10)	0.0333 (10)	0.0435 (11)	0.0095 (8)	0.0214 (8)	0.0130 (8)
C2	0.0284 (8)	0.0225 (8)	0.0238 (8)	0.0040 (6)	0.0051 (6)	0.0035 (6)
C3	0.0268 (8)	0.0215 (8)	0.0360 (9)	0.0023 (6)	0.0132 (7)	0.0023 (7)
C4	0.0204 (7)	0.0205 (7)	0.0250 (8)	0.0016 (6)	0.0073 (6)	-0.0006 (6)
C5	0.0207 (8)	0.0229 (8)	0.0224 (8)	0.0007 (6)	0.0062 (6)	0.0021 (6)
C6	0.0210 (7)	0.0248 (8)	0.0289 (8)	0.0003 (6)	0.0073 (6)	0.0010 (6)
C7	0.0214 (8)	0.0248 (8)	0.0232 (8)	0.0014 (6)	0.0069 (6)	-0.0002 (6)
C8	0.0243 (8)	0.0310 (9)	0.0236 (8)	0.0079 (6)	0.0077 (7)	0.0015 (6)

Atomic displacement parameters $(Å^2)$

Geometric parameters (Å, °)

Fe1—O1	2.1452 (13)	N3—C2	1.330 (2)
Fe1—N4	2.1316 (14)	N3—C1	1.352 (2)
Fe1—N3 ⁱ	2.2484 (15)	N4—C4	1.321 (2)
Fe1—N3 ⁱⁱ	2.2484 (15)	N4—C5	1.373 (2)
Fe1—O1 ⁱⁱⁱ	2.1452 (13)	N5—C7	1.365 (2)
Fe1—N4 ⁱⁱⁱ	2.1316 (14)	N5—C4	1.345 (2)
01—C6	1.259 (2)	N5—H5A	0.8600
O2—C6	1.255 (2)	C3—C4	1.484 (2)
O3—C8	1.308 (2)	C5—C6	1.489 (2)
O4—C8	1.213 (2)	С5—С7	1.369 (2)
O3—H3A	0.8200	С7—С8	1.480 (2)
N1—C2	1.331 (2)	C1—H1A	0.9300
N1—C3	1.471 (2)	C2—H2A	0.9300
N1—N2	1.356 (2)	С3—Н3В	0.9700
N2—C1	1.310 (3)	С3—НЗС	0.9700
O1—Fe1—N4	78.11 (6)	C7—N5—H5A	126.00
O1—Fe1—N3 ⁱ	91.64 (6)	N2—C1—N3	114.38 (18)
O1—Fe1—N3 ⁱⁱ	88.36 (6)	N1—C2—N3	109.91 (14)
O1-Fe1-O1 ⁱⁱⁱ	180.00	N1—C3—C4	111.65 (13)
O1-Fe1-N4 ⁱⁱⁱ	101.89 (6)	N5—C4—C3	125.00 (14)
N3 ⁱ —Fe1—N4	90.69 (6)	N4—C4—N5	110.69 (14)
N3 ⁱⁱ —Fe1—N4	89.31 (6)	N4—C4—C3	124.31 (15)
O1 ⁱⁱⁱ —Fe1—N4	101.89 (6)	N4—C5—C7	109.26 (14)

N4—Fe1—N4 ⁱⁱⁱ	180.00	N4—C5—C6	118.24 (14)
N3 ⁱ —Fe1—N3 ⁱⁱ	180.00	C6—C5—C7	132.51 (15)
O1 ⁱⁱⁱ —Fe1—N3 ⁱ	88.36 (6)	O1—C6—O2	125.73 (15)
N3 ⁱ —Fe1—N4 ⁱⁱⁱ	89.31 (6)	O1—C6—C5	116.10 (14)
O1 ⁱⁱⁱ —Fe1—N3 ⁱⁱ	91.64 (6)	O2—C6—C5	118.15 (15)
N3 ⁱⁱ —Fe1—N4 ⁱⁱⁱ	90.69 (6)	C5—C7—C8	133.23 (15)
O1 ⁱⁱⁱ —Fe1—N4 ⁱⁱⁱ	78.11 (6)	N5—C7—C5	105.78 (14)
Fe1—O1—C6	116.15 (11)	N5—C7—C8	120.92 (14)
С8—О3—НЗА	109.00	O3—C8—O4	122.94 (16)
N2—N1—C3	117.39 (13)	O3—C8—C7	116.19 (14)
C2—N1—C3	133.07 (14)	O4—C8—C7	120.86 (15)
N2—N1—C2	109.52 (13)	N2—C1—H1A	123.00
N1 - N2 - C1	103.17(15)	N3—C1—H1A	123.00
Fel ^{iv} —N3—C1	123 27 (13)	N1—C2—H2A	125.00
$Fe1^{iv}$ N3 $C2$	133 72 (11)	$N_3 C_2 H_2 A$	125.00
C1 - N3 - C2	103.01(15)	N1-C3-H3B	109.00
E_{e1} N4 C_{5}	111 20 (10)	N1_C3_H3C	109.00
CA NA $C5$	106 21 (13)	$C_4 C_3 H_{3B}$	109.00
$E_{4} = N_{4} = C_{3}$	100.21(13) 142.58(11)	$C_4 = C_3 = H_3C$	109.00
C4 N5 $C7$	142.36(11) 108.07(12)	H^{2} H^{2} H^{2} H^{2} H^{2} H^{2} H^{2}	109.00
C4 = N5 = H5A	106.07 (15)	пзв—сз—пэс	108.00
C4—NJ—HJA	120.00		
N4 E ₂ 1 O1 C6	2.22(11)	$E_{2}1$ N4 C4 C2	25(2)
$N_{2i} = F_{2i} = 01 - C_{0i}$	3.33(11)	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	3.3(3)
N3 - FeI - OI - Co	93.09 (12)	C_{3} N4 C_{4} C_{2}	0.72(17)
$N3^{n}$ —FeI—OI—C6	-86.31(12)	C_{3} N_{4} C_{4} C_{3} C_{4} C_{5} C_{6}	-1/8.19(14)
$N4^{m}$ —FeI—OI—C6	-1/6.6/(11)	Fel—N4—C5—C6	-1.63 (17)
OI = FeI = N4 = C4	177.56 (18)	Fel—N4—C5—C7	178.49 (10)
01—Fe1—N4—C5	-0.67(10)	C4—N4—C5—C6	1/9.49 (13)
N3 ¹ —Fel—N4—C4	86.04 (18)	C4—N4—C5—C7	-0.39 (17)
$N3^{-}$ Fel— $N4$ — $C5$	-92.20 (11)	C/—N5—C4—N4	-0.78 (18)
$N3^{n}$ —Fe1—N4—C4	-93.96 (18)	C7—N5—C4—C3	178.12 (14)
$N3^{n}$ —Fe1—N4—C5	87.80 (11)	C4—N5—C7—C5	0.50 (17)
$O1^{m}$ —Fe1—N4—C4	-2.44 (18)	C4—N5—C7—C8	-176.74 (14)
$O1^{iii}$ —Fe1—N4—C5	179.33 (10)	N1—C3—C4—N4	84.25 (18)
Fe1—O1—C6—O2	173.29 (13)	N1—C3—C4—N5	-94.50 (18)
Fe1—O1—C6—C5	-5.11 (17)	N4—C5—C6—O1	4.6 (2)
C2—N1—N2—C1	0.14 (19)	N4—C5—C6—O2	-173.91 (14)
C3—N1—N2—C1	-178.66 (15)	C7—C5—C6—O1	-175.54 (16)
N2—N1—C2—N3	0.34 (18)	C7—C5—C6—O2	5.9 (3)
C3—N1—C2—N3	178.87 (16)	N4—C5—C7—N5	-0.07 (17)
N2—N1—C3—C4	-169.17 (14)	N4—C5—C7—C8	176.68 (16)
C2—N1—C3—C4	12.4 (2)	C6—C5—C7—N5	-179.92 (15)
N1—N2—C1—N3	-0.6 (2)	C6—C5—C7—C8	-3.2 (3)
C2—N3—C1—N2	0.8 (2)	N5—C7—C8—O3	176.25 (14)
Fe1 ^{iv} —N3—C1—N2	-178.52 (12)	N5-C7-C8-O4	-2.5 (2)
C1—N3—C2—N1	-0.64 (18)	C5—C7—C8—O3	-0.1 (3)

supporting information

Fe1 ^{iv} —N3—C2—N1	178.54 (11)	C5—C7—C8—O4	-178.83 (17)
Fe1—N4—C4—N5	-177.57 (13)		

Symmetry codes: (i) x+1, y, z; (ii) -x-1, -y, -z; (iii) -x, -y, -z; (iv) x-1, y, z.

Hydrogen-bond geometry (Å, °)

D—H···A	<i>D</i> —Н	H···A	D···A	<i>D</i> —H··· <i>A</i>
O3—H3A…O2	0.82	1.70	2.5175 (19)	179
N5—H5A····N2 ^v	0.86	2.01	2.850 (2)	166

Symmetry code: (v) x, -y-1/2, z-1/2.