Acta Crystallographica Section E **Structure Reports** Online

ISSN 1600-5368

Di-n-propyl 4,4'-dihydroxy-3,3'-{[(3aRS,7aRS)-2,3,3a,4,5,6,7,7a-octahydro-1H-benzimidazole-1,3-diyl]bis(methylene)}dibenzoate

Augusto Rivera,^a* Diego Quiroga,^a Jaime Ríos-Motta,^a Karla Fejfarová^b and Michal Dušek^b

^aDepartamento de Química, Universidad Nacional de Colombia, Ciudad Universitaria, Bogotá, Colombia, and ^bInstitute of Physics ASCR, v.v.i., Na Slovance 2, 182 21 Praha 8. Czech Republic

Correspondence e-mail: ariverau@unal.edu.co

Received 4 August 2011; accepted 6 September 2011

Key indicators: single-crystal X-ray study; T = 120 K; mean σ (C–C) = 0.002 Å; R factor = 0.039; wR factor = 0.105; data-to-parameter ratio = 13.6.

The title compound, C₂₉H₃₈N₂O₆, was prepared as model for studying intramolecular hydrogen-bonding interactions. Molecules of the title compound are located on a crystallographic twofold rotation axis, which passes through the C atom linked to the two N atoms on the imidazolidine ring. The molecular structure shows the existence of two intramolecular O-H...N hydrogen-bonding interactions between the two N atoms of the imidazolidine moiety and the hydroxy groups in the aromatic rings. The crystal structure shows the strain of ring fusion in the perhydrobenzimidazole moiety according to the endocyclic bond angles and the torsion angles, which evidence a puckering of the cyclohexane ring with respect to normal tetrahedral bond angles in an ideal chair conformation.

Related literature

For a related structure, see: Rivera et al. (2010). For crystallographic data of n-propyl 4-hydroxybenzoate, see: Zhou et al. (2010); Feng & Grant (2006). For background chemistry to this work, see: Lu et al. (2006); Geise et al. (1971). For the synthesis of the precursor, see: Murray-Rust & Riddell (1975).



of

Experimental

$V = 2620.70 (14) \text{ Å}^{3}$ Z = 4 Cu K\alpha radiation $\mu = 0.73 \text{ mm}^{-1}$ T = 120 K $0.43 \times 0.18 \times 0.10 \text{ mm}$
18471 measured reflections 2339 independent reflections 1855 reflections with $I > 3\sigma(I)$ $R_{int} = 0.044$
H atoms treated by a mixture o independent and constrained refinement $\Delta \rho_{\rm max} = 0.21$ e Å ⁻³ $\Delta \rho_{\rm min} = -0.17$ e Å ⁻³

Table 1

Hydrogen-bond geometry (Å, °).

$D - H \cdots A$	D-H	$H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	$D \cdots A$	$D - \mathbf{H} \cdots A$
O3−H3···N1	0.93 (2)	1.82 (2)	2.6810 (14)	153 (2)

Data collection: CrysAlis PRO (Agilent, 2010); cell refinement: CrysAlis PRO; data reduction: CrysAlis PRO; program(s) used to solve structure: SIR2002 (Burla et al., 2003); program(s) used to refine structure: JANA2006 (Petříček et al., 2006); molecular graphics: DIAMOND (Brandenburg & Putz, 2005); software used to prepare material for publication: JANA2006.

We acknowledge the Dirección de Investigaciones, Sede Bogotá (DIB) de la Universidad Nacional de Colombia, for financial support of this work, as well as the Institutional research plan No. AVOZ10100521 of the Institute of Physics and the Praemium Academiae project of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic. DO acknowledges the Vicerrectoría Académica de la Universidad Nacional de Colombia for a fellowship.

Supplementary data and figures for this paper are available from the IUCr electronic archives (Reference: NK2109).

References

Agilent (2010). CrysAlis PRO. Agilent Technologies, Yarnton, England. Brandenburg, K. & Putz, H. (2005). DIAMOND. Crystal Impact GbR,

Postfach 1251, D-53002 Bonn, Germany.

Burla, M. C., Camalli, M., Carrozzini, B., Cascarano, G. L., Giacovazzo, C., Polidori, G. & Spagna, R. (2003). J. Appl. Cryst. 36, 1103.

Feng, Y. & Grant, D. J. W. (2006). Pharm. Res. 23, 1608-1616.

Geise, H. J., Buys, H. R. & Mijhoff, F. C. (1971). J. Mol. Struct. 9, 447-454.

Lu, Y. X., Zou, J.-W., Jin, Z.-M., Wang, Y.-H., Zhang, H.-X., Jiang, Y.-J. & Yu, Q.-S. (2006). J. Phys. Chem. A, 110, 9261-9266.

Murray-Rust, P. & Riddell, F. G. (1975). Can. J. Chem. 53, 1933–1935.Petříček, V., Dušek, M. & Palatinus, L. (2006). JANA2006. Institute of Physics, Praha, Czech Republic. Rivera, A., Quiroga, D., Ríos-Motta, J., Dušek, M. & Fejfarová, K. (2010). Acta Cryst. E66, 0931.

Zhou, Y., Matsadiq, G., Wu, Y., Xiao, J. & Cheng, J. (2010). Acta Cryst. E66, 0485.

supporting information

Acta Cryst. (2011). E67, o2627–o2628 [https://doi.org/10.1107/S1600536811036385]

Di-*n*-propyl 4,4'-dihydroxy-3,3'-{[(3a*RS*,7a*RS*)-2,3,3a,4,5,6,7,7a-octahydro-1*H*-benzimidazole-1,3-diyl]bis(methylene)}dibenzoate

Augusto Rivera, Diego Quiroga, Jaime Ríos-Motta, Karla Fejfarová and Michal Dušek

S1. Comment

Hydrogen bonding involving phenols has been the subject of extensive experimental and theoretical studies because hydrogen-bonding interactions of phenol itself can be regarded as a prototype to understand the attraction between the lone pair of the amine nitrogen atom and the phenolic hydroxyl proton. (Lu *et al.* 2006). Continuing our studies on the synthesis and structural analysis of Mannich bases derived from phenols, the title compound, (I), was obtained from *n*-propyl-4-hydroxybenzoate and (2R,7R,11S,16S)-1,8,10,17- tetraazapentacyclo[$8.8.1.1^{8,17}0^{2,7}$.0^{11,16}]icosane.

The molecular structure and atom-numbering scheme for (I) are shown in Fig. 1. The six-membered ring exists in a chair conformation with a C2—C3—C4 [107.6 (1)°] bond angle which is slightly distorted respect to the normal tetrahedral bond angles in a ideal chair conformation [111.1°] (Geise, *et al.* 1971). These values suggest a constraint of the cyclohexane ring, which is minimized by an increasing of the C3—C4—C4ⁱ bond angle [113.4 (1)°]. The imidazolidine moiety has a half-chair conformation (*C2*) with intraanular bond angles ranging from 105.1 (1)° to 106.6 (1)° which are shorter respect the tetrahedrical normal bond angles, indicating that the heterocyclic ring is also strained. This conformation is adopted because the nitrogen lone pairs are oriented *anti-axial* to avoid electronic repulsions. The bond length and bond angle values in the propoxycarbonyl group are in a good agreement with the values observed in the crystal structure of *n*-propyl 4-hydroxybenzoate (Feng & Grant, 2006; Zhou, *et al.* 2010).

Intramolecular hydrogen bonds are present between the phenolic hydroxyl groups and nitrogen atoms, the N···O distance [2.6810 (14) Å] is in a good agreement with the corresponding N···O distance in the phenol derivative [2.7096 (14) Å] (Rivera, *et al.* 2010).

S2. Experimental

The aminal (2R,7R,11S,16S)-1,8,10,17- tetraazapentacyclo[8.8.1.1^{8,17}.0^{2,7}.0^{11,16}]icosane (276 mg, 1.00 mmol) prepared previously following described procedures (Murray-Rust & Riddell, 1975), was dissolved in dioxane (3 ml) at 70 °C with vigorous stirring. A solution of *n*-propyl 4-hydroxybenzoate (360 mg, 2.00 mmol) in dioxane (3 ml) was added dropwise for about 30 min, and then water (4 ml) was added. After the addition, the reaction mixture was refluxed for about 12 h. The reaction mixture was treated with chloroform by discontinuous liquid-liquid extraction (5 × 20 ml). The combined extracts were concentrated under reduced pressure until a residue appeared. The product was purified by chromatography on a silica column, and subjected to gradient elution with benzene:ethyl acetate (yield 19%, m.p. = 449–450 K).Single crystals of racemic (I) were grown from a CHCl₃:MeOH solution by slow evaporation of the solvent at room temperature over a period of about two weeks.

S3. Refinement

All hydrogen atoms were discernible in difference Fourier maps and could be refined to reasonable geometry. According to common practice H atoms bonded to C atoms were kept in ideal positions with C–H distance 0.96 Å during the refinement. The methyl H atoms were allowed to rotate freely about the adjacent C—C bonds. The hydroxyl H atoms were found in difference Fourier maps and their coordinates were refined freely. All H atoms were refined with thermal displacement coefficients $U_{iso}(H)$ set to 1.5Ueq(C, O) for methyl and hydroxyl groups and to to 1.2Ueq(C) for the CH– and CH2- groups.



Figure 1

A view of (I). Displacement ellipsoids are drawn at the 50% probability level.

Di-*n*-propyl 4,4'-dihydroxy-3,3'-{[(3a*RS*,7a*RS*)-2,3,3a,4,5,6,7,7a-octahydro -1*H*-benzimidazole-1,3-diyl]bis(methylene)}dibenzoate

Crystal data
$C_{29}H_{38}N_2O_6$
$M_r = 510.6$
Monoclinic, $C2/c$
Hall symbol: -C 2yc
a = 15.8047 (4) Å
b = 8.7762 (3) Å
<i>c</i> = 19.0108 (6) Å
$\beta = 96.353 \ (2)^{\circ}$
$V = 2620.70 (14) \text{ Å}^3$
Z = 4

. .

F(000) = 1096 $D_x = 1.294 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$ Cu K\alpha radiation, $\lambda = 1.5418 \text{ Å}$ Cell parameters from 6637 reflections $\theta = 3.5-67.1^{\circ}$ $\mu = 0.73 \text{ mm}^{-1}$ T = 120 KPlate, colourless $0.43 \times 0.18 \times 0.10 \text{ mm}$ Data collection

Agilent Gemini A Ultra diffractometer Radiation source: Enhance Ultra (Cu) X-ray Source Mirror monochromator Detector resolution: 10.3784 pixels mm ⁻¹ Rotation method data acquisition using ω scans Absorption correction: multi-scan (<i>CrysAlis PRO</i> ; Agilent, 2010) <i>Refinement</i>	$T_{\min} = 0.638, T_{\max} = 1$ 18471 measured reflections 2339 independent reflections 1855 reflections with $I > 3\sigma(I)$ $R_{int} = 0.044$ $\theta_{\max} = 67.2^{\circ}, \theta_{\min} = 4.7^{\circ}$ $h = -18 \rightarrow 18$ $k = -10 \rightarrow 9$ $l = -22 \rightarrow 22$
Refinement on F^2 $R[F > 3\sigma(F)] = 0.039$ wR(F) = 0.105 S = 1.57 2339 reflections 172 parameters 0 restraints 73 constraints	H atoms treated by a mixture of independent and constrained refinement Weighting scheme based on measured s.u.'s $w = 1/(\sigma^2(I) + 0.0016I^2)$ $(\Delta/\sigma)_{max} = 0.010$ $\Delta\rho_{max} = 0.21$ e Å ⁻³ $\Delta\rho_{min} = -0.17$ e Å ⁻³ Extinction correction: B-C type 1 Lorentzian isotropic (Becker & Coppens, 1974) Extinction coefficient: 1100 (300)

Special details

Refinement. The refinement was carried out against all reflections. The conventional *R*-factor is always based on *F*. The goodness of fit as well as the weighted *R*-factor are based on *F* and F^2 for refinement carried out on *F* and F^2 , respectively. The threshold expression is used only for calculating *R*-factors *etc*. and it is not relevant to the choice of reflections for refinement.

The program used for refinement, Jana2006, uses the weighting scheme based on the experimental expectations, see _refine_ls_weighting_details, that does not force S to be one. Therefore the values of S are usually larger than the ones from the *SHELX* program.

	x	у	Ζ	$U_{ m iso}$ */ $U_{ m eq}$	
01	0.44235 (7)	0.33457 (13)	0.45643 (6)	0.0351 (4)	
O2	0.34718 (6)	0.50352 (12)	0.48867 (5)	0.0273 (3)	
O3	0.29215 (7)	-0.02617 (13)	0.70432 (6)	0.0297 (4)	
N1	0.44367 (5)	-0.16285 (11)	0.70300 (4)	0.0242 (4)	
C1	0.5	-0.06183 (13)	0.75	0.0278 (7)	
C2	0.48251 (9)	-0.31564 (17)	0.71167 (8)	0.0242 (5)	
C3	0.42460 (9)	-0.45085 (18)	0.69460 (8)	0.0279 (5)	
C4	0.47714 (10)	-0.59581 (18)	0.71258 (8)	0.0293 (5)	
C5	0.43457 (10)	-0.11400 (18)	0.62864 (8)	0.0278 (5)	
C6	0.38601 (9)	0.03362 (18)	0.61649 (7)	0.0246 (4)	
C7	0.40693 (9)	0.13502 (18)	0.56533 (8)	0.0251 (5)	
C8	0.36156 (9)	0.26911 (18)	0.55025 (7)	0.0245 (5)	
C9	0.29302 (9)	0.30241 (17)	0.58796 (8)	0.0248 (5)	
C10	0.27134 (9)	0.20348 (18)	0.63976 (8)	0.0262 (5)	
C11	0.31679 (9)	0.06936 (18)	0.65395 (8)	0.0251 (4)	
C12	0.38833 (9)	0.36954 (18)	0.49413 (8)	0.0257 (5)	
C13	0.37042 (9)	0.59939 (18)	0.43146 (8)	0.0279 (5)	

Fractional atomic coordinates and isotropic or equivalent isotropic displacement parameters $(Å^2)$

C14	0.31759 (10)	0.74213 (19)	0.42731 (8)	0.0327 (5)
C15	0.33797 (12)	0.8368 (2)	0.36391 (10)	0.0401 (6)
H1a	0.533905	-0.000814	0.721944	0.0334*
H2	0.52318	-0.329477	0.678263	0.029*
H3a	0.40456	-0.450366	0.645051	0.0335*
H3b	0.378108	-0.44685	0.723052	0.0335*
H4a	0.440725	-0.683417	0.706234	0.0352*
H4b	0.517975	-0.608248	0.679206	0.0352*
H5a	0.406522	-0.192525	0.599622	0.0334*
H5b	0.489912	-0.103289	0.612879	0.0334*
H7	0.454193	0.112066	0.539465	0.0302*
H9	0.260801	0.394109	0.577963	0.0297*
H10	0.224629	0.227666	0.666045	0.0314*
H13a	0.360587	0.544993	0.387508	0.0335*
H13b	0.429613	0.625746	0.440121	0.0335*
H14a	0.330535	0.800246	0.46993	0.0392*
H14b	0.258305	0.715585	0.42174	0.0392*
H15a	0.302902	0.926349	0.36018	0.0602*
H15b	0.396838	0.866083	0.370217	0.0602*
H15c	0.326969	0.77735	0.321488	0.0602*
Н3	0.3366 (14)	-0.095 (2)	0.7126 (10)	0.0446*

Atomic displacement parameters $(Å^2)$

	U^{11}	U^{22}	U^{33}	U^{12}	U^{13}	U^{23}
01	0.0361 (6)	0.0382 (7)	0.0322 (6)	0.0103 (5)	0.0094 (5)	0.0056 (5)
O2	0.0295 (5)	0.0255 (6)	0.0271 (6)	0.0032 (4)	0.0043 (4)	0.0048 (4)
O3	0.0301 (6)	0.0282 (7)	0.0311 (6)	0.0003 (5)	0.0038 (4)	0.0057 (5)
N1	0.0276 (6)	0.0206 (7)	0.0232 (7)	0.0008 (5)	-0.0025 (5)	-0.0003 (5)
C1	0.0318 (11)	0.0226 (12)	0.0277 (11)	0	-0.0024 (9)	0
C2	0.0267 (7)	0.0210 (8)	0.0245 (8)	0.0026 (6)	0.0013 (6)	0.0006 (6)
C3	0.0300 (8)	0.0246 (9)	0.0278 (8)	-0.0012 (6)	-0.0029 (6)	-0.0015 (6)
C4	0.0354 (8)	0.0203 (8)	0.0318 (9)	-0.0009 (6)	0.0009 (7)	-0.0023 (6)
C5	0.0340 (8)	0.0259 (9)	0.0226 (8)	0.0050 (7)	-0.0010 (6)	-0.0002 (6)
C6	0.0265 (7)	0.0237 (8)	0.0219 (7)	0.0026 (6)	-0.0047 (6)	-0.0034 (6)
C7	0.0261 (7)	0.0274 (9)	0.0213 (8)	0.0036 (6)	-0.0002 (6)	-0.0027 (6)
C8	0.0262 (7)	0.0247 (8)	0.0214 (7)	-0.0002 (6)	-0.0026 (6)	-0.0018 (6)
C9	0.0244 (7)	0.0219 (8)	0.0267 (8)	0.0025 (6)	-0.0029 (6)	-0.0033 (6)
C10	0.0228 (7)	0.0280 (9)	0.0276 (8)	0.0001 (6)	0.0018 (6)	-0.0021 (6)
C11	0.0265 (7)	0.0258 (9)	0.0219 (7)	-0.0032 (6)	-0.0021 (6)	-0.0010 (6)
C12	0.0267 (7)	0.0265 (9)	0.0226 (8)	0.0024 (6)	-0.0027 (6)	-0.0002 (6)
C13	0.0270 (7)	0.0306 (9)	0.0263 (8)	-0.0012 (6)	0.0036 (6)	0.0071 (7)
C14	0.0389 (9)	0.0289 (9)	0.0313 (9)	0.0029 (7)	0.0087 (7)	0.0033 (7)
C15	0.0486 (10)	0.0339 (10)	0.0400 (10)	0.0082 (8)	0.0147 (8)	0.0097 (8)

Geometric parameters (Å, °)

01—C12	1.2134 (19)	С5—Н5b	0.96
O2—C12	1.3422 (19)	C6—C7	1.385 (2)
O2—C13	1.4539 (19)	C6—C11	1.405 (2)
O3—C11	1.3615 (19)	С7—С8	1.391 (2)
O3—H3	0.93 (2)	С7—Н7	0.96
N1—C1	1.4835 (11)	C8—C9	1.395 (2)
N1—C2	1.4763 (17)	C8—C12	1.481 (2)
N1—C5	1.4689 (17)	C9—C10	1.384 (2)
C1—H1a	0.96	С9—Н9	0.96
C1—H1a ⁱ	0.96	C10—C11	1.390 (2)
C2C2 ⁱ	1.500 (2)	C10—H10	0.96
C2—C3	1.512 (2)	C13—C14	1.503 (2)
С2—Н2	0.96	C13—H13a	0.96
C3—C4	1.537 (2)	C13—H13b	0.96
С3—Н3а	0.96	C14—C15	1.527 (3)
C3—H3b	0.96	C14—H14a	0.96
$C4-C4^{i}$	1.523 (2)	C14—H14b	0.96
C4—H4a	0.96	C15—H15a	0.96
C4—H4b	0.96	C15—H15b	0.96
C5—C6	1.511 (2)	C15—H15c	0.96
С5—Н5а	0.96		
C12—O2—C13	113.86 (12)	C7—C6—C11	118.18 (14)
С11—О3—Н3	104.6 (13)	C6—C7—C8	122.04 (14)
C1—N1—C2	105.14 (8)	С6—С7—Н7	118.9808
C1—N1—C5	113.18 (9)	С8—С7—Н7	118.9799
C2—N1—C5	111.58 (10)	C7—C8—C9	118.90 (14)
$N1$ — $C1$ — $N1^i$	106.60 (9)	C7—C8—C12	118.03 (13)
N1—C1—H1a	109.4712	C9—C8—C12	123.07 (14)
N1—C1—H1a ⁱ	109.4713	C8—C9—C10	120.12 (14)
N1 ⁱ —C1—H1a	109.4713	С8—С9—Н9	119.9408
N1 ⁱ —C1—H1a ⁱ	109.4712	С10—С9—Н9	119.9415
H1a—C1—H1a ⁱ	112.196	C9—C10—C11	120.42 (14)
$N1-C2-C2^{i}$	102.26 (11)	C9—C10—H10	119.7917
N1—C2—C3	117.04 (11)	C11—C10—H10	119.7918
N1—C2—H2	109.9711	O3—C11—C6	121.21 (13)
$C2^{i}$ — $C2$ — $C3$	110.96 (12)	O3—C11—C10	118.45 (13)
$C2^{i}$ — $C2$ — $H2$	116.1607	C6—C11—C10	120.34 (14)
C3—C2—H2	101.0977	O1—C12—O2	122.85 (14)
C2—C3—C4	107.61 (12)	O1—C12—C8	123.42 (14)
С2—С3—Н3а	109.4708	O2—C12—C8	113.73 (13)
C2—C3—H3b	109.4712	O2—C13—C14	109.68 (13)
С4—С3—Н3а	109.4711	O2—C13—H13a	109.4707
C4—C3—H3b	109.4719	O2—C13—H13b	109.4714
Н3а—С3—Н3ь	111.2673	C14—C13—H13a	109.4706
C3—C4—C4 ⁱ	113.37 (13)	C14—C13—H13b	109.4711

C3—C4—H4a	109.4715	H13a—C13—H13b	109.2656
C3—C4—H4b	109.4718	C13—C14—C15	109.29 (14)
C4 ⁱ —C4—H4a	109.4713	C13—C14—H14a	109.4708
C4 ⁱ —C4—H4b	109.4702	C13—C14—H14b	109.4715
H4a—C4—H4b	105.271	C15—C14—H14a	109.4706
N1—C5—C6	113.04 (12)	C15—C14—H14b	109.4717
N1—C5—H5a	109.4711	H14a—C14—H14b	109.6526
N1—C5—H5b	109.4709	C14—C15—H15a	109.4714
С6—С5—Н5а	109.4723	C14—C15—H15b	109.4714
С6—С5—Н5b	109.471	C14—C15—H15c	109.4713
H5a—C5—H5b	105.6475	H15a—C15—H15b	109.4713
C5—C6—C7	120.11 (13)	H15a—C15—H15c	109.4713
C5—C6—C11	121.66 (13)	H15b—C15—H15c	109.4708

Symmetry code: (i) -x+1, y, -z+3/2.

Hydrogen-bond geometry (Å, °)

D—H···A	D—H	H···A	D····A	D—H…A
O3—H3…N1	0.93 (2)	1.82 (2)	2.6810 (14)	153 (2)