

Acta Crystallographica Section E

Structure Reports

Online

ISSN 1600-5368

## [6]Cyclo-2,7-naphthylene: a redetermination

Waka Nakanishi, Jing Yang Xue, Tomoaki Yoshioka and Hiroyuki Isobe\*

Department of Chemistry, Tohoku University, Aoba-ku, Sendai, 980-8578, Japan  
Correspondence e-mail: isobe@m.tohoku.ac.jp


Received 31 May 2011; accepted 16 June 2011

Key indicators: single-crystal X-ray study;  $T = 100$  K; mean  $\sigma(\text{C}-\text{C}) = 0.004$  Å;  $R$  factor = 0.066;  $wR$  factor = 0.181; data-to-parameter ratio = 16.1.

Single crystals of a macrocyclic hydrocarbon, [6]cyclo-2,7-naphthylene ([6]CNAP,  $\text{C}_{60}\text{H}_{36}$ ) were prepared from anthracene melt with a prolonged time for the recrystallization. The crystal of improved quality led to the correction of the space-group assignment to  $Cmca$  from  $P\bar{1}$  in the original determination [Nakanishi *et al.* (2011) *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **50**, 5323–5326] and the refinement of anisotropic displacement parameters of all C atoms. The refined molecular structure with  $C_{2h}$  point symmetry indicated that the strain on the naphthyl rings of [6]CNAP is smallest among the congeners. Despite the large macrocyclic structure, molecules are packed in a ubiquitous herringbone motif. A short C–C distance of 3.119 (4) Å was found in the stacking direction, and a short C–H distance of 2.80 Å was found in the intercolumnar contact.

### Related literature

Superior quality crystals of the title compound were obtained by re-optimizing the crystallization conditions. For the synthesis and preceding crystallographic analysis, see: Nakanishi *et al.* (2011). For the original method of recrystallization, see: Miyahara & Shimizu (2001). For a review of C–H $\cdots\pi$  contacts in crystals, see: Nishio (2004).



### Experimental

#### Crystal data

$\text{C}_{60}\text{H}_{36}$	$V = 3864.7$ (12) Å <sup>3</sup>
$M_r = 756.89$	$Z = 4$
Orthorhombic, $Cmca$	Mo $K\alpha$ radiation
$a = 34.224$ (6) Å	$\mu = 0.07$ mm <sup>-1</sup>
$b = 7.4629$ (14) Å	$T = 100$ K
$c = 15.131$ (3) Å	$0.40 \times 0.12 \times 0.06$ mm

#### Data collection

Bruker APEXII CCD area-detector diffractometer	20522 measured reflections
Absorption correction: multi-scan (SADABS; Sheldrick, 1996)	2234 independent reflections
$T_{\min} = 0.686$ , $T_{\max} = 0.996$	1667 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$
	$R_{\text{int}} = 0.035$

#### Refinement

$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.066$	139 parameters
$wR(F^2) = 0.181$	H-atom parameters constrained
$S = 1.06$	$\Delta\rho_{\text{max}} = 0.20$ e Å <sup>-3</sup>
2234 reflections	$\Delta\rho_{\text{min}} = -0.35$ e Å <sup>-3</sup>

Data collection: APEX2 (Bruker, 2006); cell refinement: SAINT (Bruker, 2004); data reduction: SAINT; program(s) used to solve structure: SHELXS97 (Sheldrick, 2008); program(s) used to refine structure: SHELXL97 (Sheldrick, 2008); molecular graphics: ORTEP-3 (Farrugia, 1997) and Mercury (Macrae *et al.*, 2008); software used to prepare material for publication: SHELXL97 and Yadokari-XG 2009 (Kabuto *et al.*, 2009) and publCIF (Westrip, 2010).

This study was partly supported by KAKENHI (21685005, 20108015 to HI and 22550094 to WN). We thank Professor T. Iwamoto for the use of the X-ray instrument.

Supplementary data and figures for this paper are available from the IUCr electronic archives (Reference: NR2007).

## References

- Bruker (2004). *SAINT*. Bruker AXS Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.
- Bruker (2006). *APEX2*. Bruker AXS Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.
- Farrugia, L. J. (1997). *J. Appl. Cryst.* **30**, 565.
- Kabuto, C., Akine, S., Nemoto, T. & Kwon, E. (2009). *J. Cryst. Soc. Jpn.* **51**, 218–224.
- Macrae, C. F., Bruno, I. J., Chisholm, J. A., Edgington, P. R., McCabe, P., Pidcock, E., Rodriguez-Monge, L., Taylor, R., van de Streek, J. & Wood, P. A. (2008). *J. Appl. Cryst.* **41**, 466–470.
- Miyahara, T. & Shimizu, M. (2001). *J. Cryst. Growth*, **229**, 553–557.
- Nakanishi, W., Yoshioka, T., Taka, H., Xue, J. Y., Kita, H. & Isobe, H. (2011). *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **50**, 5323–5326.
- Nishio, M. (2004). *CrystEngComm*, **6**, 130–158.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1996). *SADABS*. University of Göttingen, Germany.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (2008). *Acta Cryst.* **A64**, 112–122.
- Westrip, S. P. (2010). *J. Appl. Cryst.* **43**, 920–925.

## supporting information

*Acta Cryst.* (2011). E67, o1762–o1763 [doi:10.1107/S1600536811023427]

## [6]Cyclo-2,7-naphthylene: a redetermination

Waka Nakanishi, Jing Yang Xue, Tomoaki Yoshioka and Hiroyuki Isobe

### S1. Comment


Polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons are important compounds for the development of organic electronics. As new bipolar carrier transport materials for organic light emitting diodes, we recently reported [*n*]cyclo-2,7-naphthylenes ([*n*]CNAP; Nakanishi *et al.*, 2011). The unique macrocyclic structures of [*n*]CNAPs (*n* = 5, 6 and 7) were revealed by X-ray crystallographic analysis of the single crystals, but we deferred detailed discussion of the most abundant compounds, [6]CNAP, because of insufficient quality of available data mainly due to weak reflections from the previous crystals. We now obtained single crystals of [6]CNAP with superior quality by re-optimizing the crystallization conditions and successfully corrected the space group assignment to *Cmca*. The molecular structure of title compound is shown in Fig. 1, and the packing structure is shown in Fig. 2. Most importantly, the refined molecular structure with  $C_{2h}$  point symmetry shows that [6]CNAP has the smallest deformation in the planar naphthyl rings with the average bend angle of  $2.3^\circ$  which is smaller than  $16^\circ$  and  $5^\circ$  of [5]- and [7]CNAPs, respectively. To form the strain-free macrocycle, the naphthyl rings are twisted alternately with dihedral angles of  $33.1(3)^\circ$  and  $25.6(4)^\circ$ . Despite the large macrocyclic structure, molecules are packed in a ubiquitous herringbone motif. A short C—C distance of  $3.119(4) \text{ \AA}$  was found in the stacking direction, and a short C—H distance of  $2.80 \text{ \AA}$  was found in the intercolumnar contact.

### S2. Experimental


The title compound was synthesized by a nickel promoted coupling reaction of 2,7-dibromonaphthalene and separated as reported in literature (Nakanishi *et al.*, 2011). A single crystal suitable for X-ray crystallographic analysis was obtained by a solid solvent growth method, as reported except that the time for crystal growth was extended: A mixture of anthracene (200 mg) and [6]CNAP (4 mg) was sealed in a glass tube. The whole glass tube was heated at  $350 \text{ }^\circ\text{C}$  for 2 h. The subsequent crystal-growing time at  $210 \text{ }^\circ\text{C}$  was extended from 2 h to 3 h, and the tube was cooled gradually to ambient temperature. A half of the glass tube was then heated at  $200 \text{ }^\circ\text{C}$  to eliminate anthracene and afford crystals of [6]CNAP. For the original method of recrystallization, see: Miyahara & Shimizu (2001).

### S3. Refinement

H atoms were included in calculated positions and treated as riding atoms, with C—H =  $0.95 \text{ \AA}$  (aromatic) and  $U_{\text{iso}}(\text{H}) = 1.2U_{\text{eq}}(\text{C})$ .

**Figure 1**

The molecular structure of the title compound with displacement ellipsoids drawn at the 50% probability level. Symmetry codes: (i)  $x, -y + 2, -z + 1$ ; (ii)  $-x + 1, y, z$ ; (iii)  $-x + 1, -y + 2, -z + 1$ .

**Figure 2**

The packing structure of the title compound, viewed along the  $a$  axis.

**[6]Cyclo-2,7-naphthylene***Crystal data*C<sub>60</sub>H<sub>36</sub> $M_r = 756.89$ Orthorhombic, *Cmca*

Hall symbol: -C 2bc 2

 $a = 34.224$  (6) Å $b = 7.4629$  (14) Å $c = 15.131$  (3) Å $V = 3864.7$  (12) Å<sup>3</sup> $Z = 4$  $F(000) = 1584$  $D_x = 1.301$  Mg m<sup>-3</sup>Mo  $K\alpha$  radiation,  $\lambda = 0.71073$  Å

Cell parameters from 5445 reflections

 $\theta = 2.4$ – $27.2^\circ$  $\mu = 0.07$  mm<sup>-1</sup> $T = 100$  K

Plate, colourless

 $0.40 \times 0.12 \times 0.06$  mm*Data collection*Bruker APEXII CCD area-detector  
diffractometerRadiation source: Bruker TXS fine-focus  
rotating anodeBruker Helios multilayer confocal mirror  
monochromatorDetector resolution: 8.333 pixels mm<sup>-1</sup> $\varphi$  and  $\omega$  scansAbsorption correction: multi-scan  
(*SADABS*; Sheldrick, 1996) $T_{\min} = 0.686$ ,  $T_{\max} = 0.996$ 

20522 measured reflections

2234 independent reflections

1667 reflections with  $I > 2\sigma(I)$  $R_{\text{int}} = 0.035$  $\theta_{\max} = 27.5^\circ$ ,  $\theta_{\min} = 2.4^\circ$  $h = -43 \rightarrow 43$  $k = -9 \rightarrow 9$  $l = -19 \rightarrow 19$ *Refinement*Refinement on  $F^2$ 

Least-squares matrix: full

 $R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.066$  $wR(F^2) = 0.181$  $S = 1.06$ 

2234 reflections

139 parameters

0 restraints

Primary atom site location: structure-invariant  
direct methodsSecondary atom site location: difference Fourier  
mapHydrogen site location: inferred from  
neighbouring sites

H-atom parameters constrained

 $w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.0565P)^2 + 7.0687P]$ where  $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$  $(\Delta/\sigma)_{\max} < 0.001$  $\Delta\rho_{\max} = 0.20$  e Å<sup>-3</sup> $\Delta\rho_{\min} = -0.35$  e Å<sup>-3</sup>*Special details*

**Geometry.** All e.s.d.'s (except the e.s.d. in the dihedral angle between two l.s. planes) are estimated using the full covariance matrix. The cell e.s.d.'s are taken into account individually in the estimation of e.s.d.'s in distances, angles and torsion angles; correlations between e.s.d.'s in cell parameters are only used when they are defined by crystal symmetry. An approximate (isotropic) treatment of cell e.s.d.'s is used for estimating e.s.d.'s involving l.s. planes.

**Refinement.** Refinement of  $F^2$  against ALL reflections. The weighted  $R$ -factor  $wR$  and goodness of fit  $S$  are based on  $F^2$ , conventional  $R$ -factors  $R$  are based on  $F$ , with  $F$  set to zero for negative  $F^2$ . The threshold expression of  $F^2 > \sigma(F^2)$  is used only for calculating  $R$ -factors(gt) etc. and is not relevant to the choice of reflections for refinement.  $R$ -factors based on  $F^2$  are statistically about twice as large as those based on  $F$ , and  $R$ -factors based on ALL data will be even larger.

*Fractional atomic coordinates and isotropic or equivalent isotropic displacement parameters (Å<sup>2</sup>)*

	<i>x</i>	<i>y</i>	<i>z</i>	$U_{\text{iso}}^*/U_{\text{eq}}$
C1	0.28550 (9)	0.7008 (6)	0.37933 (17)	0.0855 (12)
H1	0.2623	0.6634	0.3504	0.103*
C2	0.28488 (8)	0.8521 (5)	0.43007 (18)	0.0805 (11)

H2	0.2610	0.9154	0.4371	0.097*
C3	0.31917 (7)	0.9174 (4)	0.47282 (14)	0.0599 (7)
C4	0.35317 (7)	0.8204 (3)	0.46178 (14)	0.0521 (6)
H3	0.3764	0.8613	0.4897	0.062*
C5	0.35467 (8)	0.6627 (4)	0.41049 (14)	0.0546 (7)
C6	0.32004 (9)	0.5987 (5)	0.36887 (15)	0.0705 (9)
C7	0.32231 (11)	0.4362 (5)	0.32059 (16)	0.0842 (12)
H4	0.2997	0.3918	0.2916	0.101*
C8	0.35645 (11)	0.3425 (4)	0.31496 (15)	0.0777 (11)
H5	0.3570	0.2332	0.2828	0.093*
C9	0.39145 (9)	0.4048 (3)	0.35623 (14)	0.0599 (8)
C10	0.38962 (8)	0.5646 (3)	0.40197 (13)	0.0518 (6)
H6	0.4127	0.6100	0.4286	0.062*
C11	0.46345 (13)	0.0185 (3)	0.35550 (17)	0.0872 (12)
H7	0.4628	-0.1088	0.3562	0.105*
C12	0.42913 (13)	0.1103 (4)	0.35358 (16)	0.0781 (11)
H8	0.4052	0.0460	0.3522	0.094*
C13	0.42846 (10)	0.3024 (3)	0.35367 (14)	0.0602 (8)
C14	0.46407 (8)	0.3892 (3)	0.35413 (14)	0.0542 (7)
H9	0.4643	0.5165	0.3534	0.065*
C15	0.5000	0.2979 (4)	0.35555 (19)	0.0556 (10)
C16	0.5000	0.1066 (4)	0.3565 (2)	0.0708 (13)

*Atomic displacement parameters (Å<sup>2</sup>)*

	$U^{11}$	$U^{22}$	$U^{33}$	$U^{12}$	$U^{13}$	$U^{23}$
C1	0.0610 (18)	0.162 (4)	0.0339 (13)	-0.048 (2)	-0.0068 (12)	0.0195 (17)
C2	0.0528 (16)	0.151 (3)	0.0375 (13)	-0.0189 (18)	-0.0027 (11)	0.0297 (17)
C3	0.0481 (13)	0.100 (2)	0.0322 (11)	-0.0087 (13)	-0.0009 (9)	0.0234 (11)
C4	0.0553 (14)	0.0695 (15)	0.0314 (10)	-0.0175 (12)	-0.0064 (9)	0.0157 (10)
C5	0.0663 (16)	0.0706 (16)	0.0270 (10)	-0.0286 (13)	-0.0066 (10)	0.0130 (10)
C6	0.0719 (18)	0.114 (2)	0.0260 (10)	-0.0495 (17)	-0.0031 (10)	0.0138 (13)
C7	0.094 (2)	0.129 (3)	0.0301 (12)	-0.074 (2)	-0.0006 (13)	0.0028 (15)
C8	0.116 (3)	0.088 (2)	0.0281 (11)	-0.071 (2)	0.0065 (14)	-0.0042 (12)
C9	0.098 (2)	0.0553 (14)	0.0264 (10)	-0.0412 (15)	-0.0006 (11)	0.0023 (9)
C10	0.0732 (16)	0.0537 (13)	0.0286 (10)	-0.0308 (12)	-0.0056 (10)	0.0062 (9)
C11	0.197 (4)	0.0250 (12)	0.0396 (13)	-0.0237 (18)	0.0074 (18)	-0.0075 (10)
C12	0.160 (3)	0.0402 (14)	0.0340 (12)	-0.0427 (18)	0.0088 (16)	-0.0048 (10)
C13	0.114 (2)	0.0398 (12)	0.0267 (10)	-0.0318 (14)	0.0039 (12)	-0.0045 (9)
C14	0.106 (2)	0.0247 (9)	0.0321 (10)	-0.0139 (11)	0.0003 (11)	-0.0036 (8)
C15	0.114 (3)	0.0230 (14)	0.0300 (14)	0.000	0.000	-0.0045 (11)
C16	0.157 (4)	0.0237 (15)	0.0318 (16)	0.000	0.000	-0.0046 (12)

*Geometric parameters (Å, °)*

C1—C2	1.365 (5)	C8—H5	0.9500
C1—C6	1.416 (5)	C9—C10	1.381 (3)
C1—H1	0.9500	C9—C13	1.480 (4)

C2—C3	1.426 (4)	C10—H6	0.9500
C2—H2	0.9500	C11—C12	1.360 (5)
C3—C4	1.380 (3)	C11—C16	1.413 (4)
C3—C3 <sup>i</sup>	1.482 (6)	C11—H7	0.9500
C4—C5	1.410 (4)	C12—C13	1.434 (4)
C4—H3	0.9500	C12—H8	0.9500
C5—C10	1.408 (4)	C13—C14	1.380 (4)
C5—C6	1.425 (3)	C14—C15	1.406 (3)
C6—C7	1.418 (5)	C14—H9	0.9500
C7—C8	1.364 (5)	C15—C14 <sup>ii</sup>	1.406 (3)
C7—H4	0.9500	C15—C16	1.428 (4)
C8—C9	1.429 (4)	C16—C11 <sup>ii</sup>	1.413 (4)
C2—C1—C6	121.4 (3)	C10—C9—C8	117.5 (3)
C2—C1—H1	119.3	C10—C9—C13	119.9 (2)
C6—C1—H1	119.3	C8—C9—C13	122.6 (3)
C1—C2—C3	121.7 (3)	C9—C10—C5	122.3 (2)
C1—C2—H2	119.2	C9—C10—H6	118.9
C3—C2—H2	119.2	C5—C10—H6	118.9
C4—C3—C2	117.4 (3)	C12—C11—C16	122.0 (2)
C4—C3—C3 <sup>i</sup>	120.22 (15)	C12—C11—H7	119.0
C2—C3—C3 <sup>i</sup>	122.4 (2)	C16—C11—H7	119.0
C3—C4—C5	122.3 (2)	C11—C12—C13	121.2 (3)
C3—C4—H3	118.8	C11—C12—H8	119.4
C5—C4—H3	118.8	C13—C12—H8	119.4
C10—C5—C4	121.0 (2)	C14—C13—C12	117.1 (3)
C10—C5—C6	119.4 (3)	C14—C13—C9	120.9 (2)
C4—C5—C6	119.5 (3)	C12—C13—C9	122.0 (3)
C1—C6—C7	124.3 (3)	C13—C14—C15	123.0 (2)
C1—C6—C5	117.7 (3)	C13—C14—H9	118.5
C7—C6—C5	118.0 (3)	C15—C14—H9	118.5
C8—C7—C6	121.2 (3)	C14 <sup>ii</sup> —C15—C14	122.0 (3)
C8—C7—H4	119.4	C14 <sup>ii</sup> —C15—C16	118.99 (13)
C6—C7—H4	119.4	C14—C15—C16	118.99 (13)
C7—C8—C9	121.6 (3)	C11 <sup>ii</sup> —C16—C11	124.5 (4)
C7—C8—H5	119.2	C11 <sup>ii</sup> —C16—C15	117.71 (19)
C9—C8—H5	119.2	C11—C16—C15	117.71 (19)
C6—C1—C2—C3	1.9 (4)	C4—C5—C10—C9	176.39 (19)
C1—C2—C3—C4	-0.7 (4)	C6—C5—C10—C9	-1.7 (3)
C1—C2—C3—C3 <sup>i</sup>	179.2 (3)	C16—C11—C12—C13	-0.9 (4)
C2—C3—C4—C5	0.1 (3)	C11—C12—C13—C14	1.2 (4)
C3 <sup>i</sup> —C3—C4—C5	-179.8 (2)	C11—C12—C13—C9	-176.8 (2)
C3—C4—C5—C10	-178.7 (2)	C10—C9—C13—C14	-33.1 (3)
C3—C4—C5—C6	-0.6 (3)	C8—C9—C13—C14	148.8 (2)
C2—C1—C6—C7	177.0 (2)	C10—C9—C13—C12	144.8 (2)
C2—C1—C6—C5	-2.3 (4)	C8—C9—C13—C12	-33.2 (3)
C10—C5—C6—C1	179.8 (2)	C12—C13—C14—C15	-0.8 (3)

---

C4—C5—C6—C1	1.7 (3)	C9—C13—C14—C15	177.2 (2)
C10—C5—C6—C7	0.4 (3)	C13—C14—C15—C14 <sup>ii</sup>	178.65 (17)
C4—C5—C6—C7	-177.7 (2)	C13—C14—C15—C16	0.1 (4)
C1—C6—C7—C8	-178.5 (2)	C12—C11—C16—C11 <sup>ii</sup>	-177.8 (2)
C5—C6—C7—C8	0.8 (4)	C12—C11—C16—C15	0.1 (4)
C6—C7—C8—C9	-0.9 (4)	C14 <sup>ii</sup> —C15—C16—C11 <sup>ii</sup>	-0.3 (4)
C7—C8—C9—C10	-0.3 (3)	C14—C15—C16—C11 <sup>ii</sup>	178.3 (2)
C7—C8—C9—C13	177.8 (2)	C14 <sup>ii</sup> —C15—C16—C11	-178.3 (2)
C8—C9—C10—C5	1.6 (3)	C14—C15—C16—C11	0.3 (4)
C13—C9—C10—C5	-176.57 (19)		

---

Symmetry codes: (i)  $x, -y+2, -z+1$ ; (ii)  $-x+1, y, z$ .