Acta Crystallographica Section E Structure Reports Online

ISSN 1600-5368

# Di- $\mu$ -nitrito- $\kappa^4$ O:O-bis[bis(1-ethyl-1*H*-imidazole- $\kappa N^3$ )(nitrito- $\kappa$ O)copper(II)]

### **Run-Qiang Zhu**

Ordered Matter Science Research Center, College of Chemistry and Chemical Engineering, Southeast University, Nanjing 211189, People's Republic of China Correspondence e-mail: zhurunqiang@163.com

Received 21 March 2011; accepted 30 May 2011

Key indicators: single-crystal X-ray study; T = 293 K; mean  $\sigma$ (C–C) = 0.005 Å; R factor = 0.049; wR factor = 0.127; data-to-parameter ratio = 18.1.

In the structure of the title compound,  $[Cu_2(NO_2)_4(C_5H_8N_2)_4]$ , the asymmetric unit consists of two moieties containing one Cu ion, two nitrite ions and two 1-ethyl-1*H*-imidazole molecules associated *via* weak Cu–O interactions. Each Cu<sup>II</sup> atom displays an elongted square-pyramidal CuN<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> coordination geometry with a slight tetrahedral distortion in the basal plane. The dimeric units are linked into a threedimensional network by C–H···O hydrogen bonds.

### **Related literature**

For general background on ferroelectric metal–organic compounds with framework structures, see: Fu *et al.* (2009); Ye *et al.* (2006); Zhang *et al.* (2008, 2010). For a related structure, see: Costes *et al.* (1995).



Mo  $K\alpha$  radiation

 $0.30 \times 0.25 \times 0.20$  mm

 $\mu = 1.46 \text{ mm}^-$ T = 293 K

Z = 8

### **Experimental**

### Crystal data

$[Cu_2(NO_2)_4(C_5H_8N_2)_4]$ M <sub>r</sub> = 695.64	
Tetragonal, $I4_1/a$	
a = 28.136(7) Å	
c = 7.669 (2) Å	
V = 6071 (3) Å <sup>3</sup>	

#### Data collection

Rigaku SCXmini CCD<br/>diffractometer31845 measured reflections<br/>3462 independent reflectionsAbsorption correction: multi-scan<br/>(*CrystalClear*; Rigaku, 2005)<br/> $T_{min} = 0.651, T_{max} = 0.746$ 3184 reflections with  $I > 2\sigma(I)$ <br/> $R_{int} = 0.049$ 

### Refinement

 $\begin{array}{ll} R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.049 & 191 \text{ parameters} \\ wR(F^2) = 0.127 & H\text{-atom parameters constrained} \\ S = 1.15 & \Delta\rho_{\max} = 0.82 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3} \\ 3462 \text{ reflections} & \Delta\rho_{\min} = -0.59 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3} \end{array}$ 

Data collection: *CrystalClear* (Rigaku, 2005); cell refinement: *CrystalClear*; data reduction: *CrystalClear*; program(s) used to solve structure: *SHELXS97* (Sheldrick, 2008); program(s) used to refine structure: *SHELXL97* (Sheldrick, 2008); molecular graphics: *SHELXTL* (Sheldrick, 2008); software used to prepare material for publication: *SHELXL97*.

This work was supported by Southeast University.

Supplementary data and figures for this paper are available from the IUCr electronic archives (Reference: MW2004).

### References

Costes, J. P., Dahan, F., Ruiz, J. & Laurent, J. P. (1995). Inorg. Chim. Acta, 239, 53–59.

Fu, D.-W., Ge, J.-Z., Dai, J., Ye, H.-Y. & Qu, Z.-R. (2009). Inorg. Chem. Commun. 12, 994–997.

Rigaku (2005). CrystalClear. Rigaku Corporation, Tokyo, Japan.

Sheldrick, G. M. (2008). Acta Cryst. A64, 112-122.

Ye, Q., Song, Y.-M., Wang, G.-X., Chen, K. & Fu, D.-W. (2006). J. Am. Chem. Soc. 128, 6554–6555.

Zhang, W., Xiong, R.-G. & Huang, S.-P. D. (2008). J. Am. Chem. Soc. 130, 10468–10469.

Zhang, W., Ye, H.-Y., Cai, H.-L., Ge, J.-Z. & Xiong, R.-G. (2010). J. Am. Chem. Soc. 132, 7300–7302.

## supporting information

Acta Cryst. (2011). E67, m869 [doi:10.1107/S1600536811020745]

### Di- $\mu$ -nitrito- $\kappa^4 O$ :O-bis[bis(1-ethyl-1*H*-imidazole- $\kappa N^3$ )(nitrito- $\kappa O$ )copper(II)]

### **Run-Qiang Zhu**

### S1. Comment

As part of our ongoing study of potential ferroelectric phase change materials we have determined the structures of several copper complexes and examined the changes in their dielectric constants with temperature. This is the usual method for detecting such behavior. (Fu *et al.*, 2009; Ye *et al.*, 2006; Zhang *et al.*, 2008; Zhang *et al.*, 2010). Unfortunately, the dielectric constant for (I) does not show any behavior indicating the onset of a ferroelectric phase change over the range 80 K to 298 K (m.p.219–229).

As shown in Fig. 1, the Cu ion adopts an elongated square pyramidal geometry with a slight tetrahedral distortion in the basal plane which is primarily associated with the coordination of the nitrite ions (O1—Cu1—O3 = 164.12 (11)°). This displaces O3 from the ideal coordination plane towards the centrosymmetrically-related copper atom (Cu1') resulting in an O3—Cu1' distance of 2.637 (2) Å. While this distance is considerably longer than the in-plane Cu1—O1 and Cu—O3 bond lengths of 2.025 (3) Å and 2.058 (5) Å, respectively, the direction of displacement of O3 and the orientations of the two nitrite ligands which place both O1 and O4 on the opposite side of the coordination plane from Cu1', suggests that there is a weak association of one Cu(NO<sub>2</sub>)<sub>2</sub>(C<sub>3</sub>H<sub>8</sub>N<sub>2</sub>)<sub>2</sub>unit with its centrosymmetrically-related counterpart. A similar weak association has been postulated to occur between two similar centrosymmetrically related Cu(NO<sub>2</sub>) (OC(CH<sub>3</sub>)CHC(CH<sub>3</sub>)N(CH<sub>2</sub>)<sub>2</sub>NH<sub>2</sub>) units (Cu—O = 2.014 (4) Å, Cu'—O = 2.634 (3) Å) (Costes, *et al.* 1995).

### S2. Experimental

An aqueous solution of 1-ethyl imidazole (2.4 g, 25 mmol) and  $H_2SO_4(12.5 \text{ mmol})$  was treated with CuSO<sub>4</sub> (250 g, 12.5 mmol). After the mixture was stirred for a few minutes, Ba(NO<sub>2</sub>)<sub>2</sub> (6.18 g, 25 mmol) was added to give a blue solution. Slow evaporation of the solution following removal of the precipitated BaSO<sub>4</sub> yielded blue crystals after a few days.

### **S3. Refinement**

All H atoms were placed in geometrically idealized positions and constrained to ride on their parent atoms with C—H = 0.93-0.96 Å, and with  $U_{iso}(H) = 1.2 U_{iso}(C)$  or  $1.5 U_{iso}(C)$  for ethy H atoms.



### Figure 1

A view of the title compound with the displacement ellipsoids drawn at the 30% probability level.



### Figure 2

Packing diagram of the title compound. The weak Cu-O interactions and the hydrogen bonds are shown as dashed lines.

### Di- $\mu$ -nitrito- $\kappa^4$ O:O-bis[bis(1-ethyl-1H- imidazole- $\kappa N^3$ )(nitrito- $\kappa O$ )copper(II)]

Crystal data	
$[Cu_{2}(NO_{2})_{4}(C_{5}H_{8}N_{2})_{4}]$ $M_{r} = 695.64$ Tetragonal, $I4_{1}/a$ Hall symbol: -I 4ad a = 28.136 (7) Å c = 7.669 (2) Å V = 6071 (3) Å <sup>3</sup> Z = 8 F(000) = 2864	$D_x = 1.522 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$ Mo K $\alpha$ radiation, $\lambda = 0.71073 \text{ Å}$ Cell parameters from 7423 reflections $\theta = 2.3-27.5^{\circ}$ $\mu = 1.46 \text{ mm}^{-1}$ T = 293  K Prism, blue $0.30 \times 0.25 \times 0.20 \text{ mm}$
Data collection	
Rigaku SCXmini CCD diffractometer Radiation source: fine-focus sealed tube Graphite monochromator ω scans	Absorption correction: multi-scan ( <i>CrystalClear</i> ; Rigaku, 2005) $T_{min} = 0.651$ , $T_{max} = 0.746$ 31845 measured reflections 3462 independent reflections 3188 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$

$R_{\rm int}=0.049$	$k = -36 \rightarrow 36$
$\theta_{\rm max} = 27.5^{\circ},  \theta_{\rm min} = 2.8^{\circ}$	$l = -9 \rightarrow 9$
$h = -36 \rightarrow 35$	

Kejinemeni	
Refinement on $F^2$	Secondary atom site location: difference Fourier
Least-squares matrix: full	map
$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.049$	Hydrogen site location: inferred from
$wR(F^2) = 0.127$	neighbouring sites
<i>S</i> = 1.15	H-atom parameters constrained
3462 reflections	$w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.0575P)^2 + 9.3008P]$
191 parameters	where $P = (F_{o}^{2} + 2F_{c}^{2})/3$
0 restraints	$(\Delta/\sigma)_{\rm max} = 0.001$
Primary atom site location: structure-invariant	$\Delta  ho_{ m max} = 0.82 \ { m e} \ { m \AA}^{-3}$
direct methods	$\Delta \rho_{\rm min} = -0.59 \ { m e} \ { m \AA}^{-3}$

### Special details

**Geometry**. All esds (except the esd in the dihedral angle between two l.s. planes) are estimated using the full covariance matrix. The cell esds are taken into account individually in the estimation of esds in distances, angles and torsion angles; correlations between esds in cell parameters are only used when they are defined by crystal symmetry. An approximate (isotropic) treatment of cell esds is used for estimating esds involving l.s. planes.

**Refinement**. Refinement of  $F^2$  against ALL reflections. The weighted *R*-factor *wR* and goodness of fit *S* are based on  $F^2$ , conventional *R*-factors *R* are based on *F*, with *F* set to zero for negative  $F^2$ . The threshold expression of  $F^2 > \sigma(F^2)$  is used only for calculating *R*-factors(gt) *etc.* and is not relevant to the choice of reflections for refinement. *R*-factors based on  $F^2$  are statistically about twice as large as those based on *F*, and *R*- factors based on ALL data will be even larger.

	x	у	Ζ	$U_{ m iso}$ */ $U_{ m eq}$
Cu1	0.296007 (12)	0.280780 (13)	0.10562 (4)	0.03905 (14)
01	0.31265 (8)	0.25812 (8)	-0.1376 (3)	0.0515 (5)
O2	0.36079 (10)	0.31480 (11)	-0.1169 (4)	0.0703 (7)
O3	0.26607 (9)	0.28842 (10)	0.3494 (4)	0.0622 (6)
O4	0.31466 (11)	0.34387 (11)	0.3593 (4)	0.0786 (9)
N1	0.20821 (9)	0.36827 (10)	-0.1798 (4)	0.0506 (6)
N2	0.25052 (8)	0.32796 (9)	0.0093 (3)	0.0429 (5)
N3	0.34610 (10)	0.28278 (12)	-0.2076 (4)	0.0567 (7)
N4	0.28338 (14)	0.32269 (14)	0.4337 (4)	0.0726 (10)
N5	0.34476 (8)	0.23769 (8)	0.2053 (3)	0.0385 (5)
N6	0.38648 (9)	0.19735 (9)	0.3968 (3)	0.0423 (5)
C1	0.23921 (11)	0.33283 (11)	-0.1568 (4)	0.0463 (7)
H1	0.2512	0.3140	-0.2462	0.056*
C2	0.19876 (13)	0.38696 (13)	-0.0200 (5)	0.0615 (9)
H2	0.1782	0.4120	0.0043	0.074*
C3	0.22491 (13)	0.36229 (13)	0.0964 (5)	0.0597 (9)
Н3	0.2255	0.3676	0.2161	0.072*
C4	0.2044 (3)	0.4277 (2)	-0.4124 (8)	0.122 (2)
H4A	0.1894	0.4348	-0.5217	0.182*
H4B	0.2382	0.4260	-0.4286	0.182*
H4C	0.1971	0.4522	-0.3295	0.182*
C5	0.18697 (14)	0.38208 (15)	-0.3476 (5)	0.0690 (11)

Fractional atomic coordinates and isotropic or equivalent isotropic displacement parameters  $(\hat{A}^2)$ 

H5A	0.1901	0.3558	-0.4286	0.083*	
H5B	0.1533	0.3878	-0.3305	0.083*	
C6	0.35428 (10)	0.23166 (10)	0.3735 (4)	0.0405 (6)	
H6	0.3404	0.2490	0.4632	0.049*	
C7	0.37254 (11)	0.20505 (11)	0.1186 (4)	0.0461 (7)	
H7	0.3735	0.2009	-0.0016	0.055*	
C8	0.40610 (12)	0.18264 (12)	0.5666 (4)	0.0511 (7)	
H8A	0.4144	0.1492	0.5617	0.061*	
H8B	0.3820	0.1866	0.6558	0.061*	
С9	0.44914 (14)	0.21084 (15)	0.6157 (6)	0.0697 (11)	
H9A	0.4734	0.2065	0.5291	0.105*	
H9B	0.4607	0.2002	0.7268	0.105*	
H9C	0.4409	0.2439	0.6228	0.105*	
C10	0.39807 (11)	0.18017 (11)	0.2355 (4)	0.0492 (7)	
H10	0.4195	0.1559	0.2113	0.059*	

Atomic displacement parameters  $(Å^2)$ 

	$U^{11}$	$U^{22}$	$U^{33}$	$U^{12}$	$U^{13}$	U <sup>23</sup>
Cul	0.0390 (2)	0.0460 (2)	0.0321 (2)	0.00571 (13)	0.00052 (13)	0.00114 (13)
01	0.0565 (13)	0.0524 (12)	0.0458 (12)	0.0022 (10)	-0.0071 (10)	-0.0053 (10)
O2	0.0689 (17)	0.0750 (18)	0.0670 (17)	-0.0149 (14)	-0.0035 (13)	0.0023 (14)
O3	0.0548 (14)	0.0698 (16)	0.0622 (16)	0.0070 (12)	-0.0010 (12)	0.0094 (13)
O4	0.0654 (17)	0.0681 (17)	0.102 (2)	-0.0119 (14)	-0.0124 (16)	0.0019 (16)
N1	0.0453 (14)	0.0531 (15)	0.0533 (16)	0.0069 (11)	-0.0072 (12)	0.0064 (12)
N2	0.0424 (13)	0.0464 (13)	0.0400 (13)	0.0058 (10)	0.0016 (10)	0.0036 (10)
N3	0.0550 (16)	0.077 (2)	0.0380 (14)	0.0110 (14)	0.0067 (12)	0.0059 (14)
N4	0.078 (2)	0.086 (2)	0.0532 (18)	0.031 (2)	-0.0105 (17)	-0.0114 (17)
N5	0.0373 (11)	0.0406 (12)	0.0377 (12)	0.0023 (9)	0.0004 (9)	0.0001 (10)
N6	0.0419 (13)	0.0409 (12)	0.0441 (13)	0.0018 (10)	-0.0018 (10)	0.0061 (10)
C1	0.0435 (15)	0.0509 (17)	0.0446 (16)	0.0051 (13)	-0.0030 (12)	0.0010 (13)
C2	0.058 (2)	0.058 (2)	0.068 (2)	0.0182 (16)	0.0029 (17)	0.0028 (17)
C3	0.065 (2)	0.067 (2)	0.0463 (18)	0.0236 (17)	0.0062 (15)	-0.0030 (16)
C4	0.178 (6)	0.091 (4)	0.096 (4)	-0.014 (4)	-0.050 (4)	0.042 (3)
C5	0.062 (2)	0.079 (3)	0.067 (2)	0.0125 (19)	-0.0202 (18)	0.014 (2)
C6	0.0411 (14)	0.0434 (15)	0.0370 (14)	0.0035 (11)	-0.0009 (11)	0.0003 (11)
C7	0.0506 (17)	0.0440 (15)	0.0437 (16)	0.0043 (13)	0.0045 (13)	-0.0041 (12)
C8	0.0530 (17)	0.0497 (17)	0.0505 (18)	0.0039 (13)	-0.0085 (14)	0.0125 (14)
C9	0.060 (2)	0.067 (2)	0.082 (3)	-0.0045 (17)	-0.028 (2)	0.011 (2)
C10	0.0492 (16)	0.0441 (16)	0.0543 (18)	0.0097 (12)	0.0024 (14)	-0.0011 (14)

Geometric parameters (Å, °)

Cu1—N5	1.984 (2)	C2—C3	1.350 (5)	
Cu1—N2	1.987 (2)	C2—H2	0.9300	
Cu1—O1	2.026 (2)	С3—Н3	0.9300	
Cu1—O3	2.062 (3)	C4—C5	1.460 (7)	
O1—N3	1.287 (4)	C4—H4A	0.9600	

O2—N3	1.211 (4)	C4—H4B	0.9600
O3—N4	1.259 (4)	C4—H4C	0.9600
O4—N4	1.206 (5)	С5—Н5А	0.9705
N1C1	1.337 (4)	C5—H5B	0.9686
N1—C2	1.360 (5)	С6—Н6	0.9300
N1—C5	1.471 (4)	C7—C10	1.345 (4)
N2—C1	1.319 (4)	С7—Н7	0.9300
N2—C3	1.378 (4)	C8—C9	1.496 (5)
N5—C6	1.329 (4)	C8—H8A	0.9700
N5—C7	1.377 (4)	C8—H8B	0.9700
N6—C6	1.336 (4)	С9—Н9А	0.9600
N6—C10	1.368 (4)	С9—Н9В	0.9600
N6—C8	1.474 (4)	С9—Н9С	0.9600
C1—H1	0.9300	C10—H10	0.9300
N5—Cu1—N2	175.68 (10)	C5—C4—H4B	109.5
N5-Cu1-O1	90.14 (10)	H4A - C4 - H4B	109.5
$N_2$ —Cu1—O1	90.96 (10)	C5-C4-H4C	109.5
$N_5 - Cu_1 - O_3$	89.82 (10)	H4A - C4 - H4C	109.5
$N_2$ —Cu1—O3	90.25 (10)	H4B-C4-H4C	109.5
01-Cu1-03	164.17 (11)	C4-C5-N1	113.2 (4)
N3-01-Cu1	112 57 (19)	C4-C5-H5A	114.9
N4-O3-Cu1	112.8 (2)	N1 - C5 - H5A	108.7
C1 - N1 - C2	107.3(3)	C4 - C5 - H5B	103.2
C1 - N1 - C5	107.3(3) 1253(3)	N1-C5-H5B	103.2
$C_2 = N_1 = C_5$	125.5(3) 1274(3)	H5A—C5—H5B	107.6
C1 - N2 - C3	127.1(3) 105.6(3)	N5—C6—N6	107.0 111.0(3)
C1 = N2 = Cu1	105.0(3) 125.7(2)	N5 - C6 - H6	124.5
$C_3 = N_2 = C_{11}$	123.7(2) 128.7(2)	N6-C6-H6	124.5
02 - N3 - 01	120.7(2) 114 3 (3)	C10-C7-N5	124.3 109.2(3)
04 - N4 - 03	114.3(3) 114.7(3)	C10 - C7 - H7	105.2 (5)
C6 - N5 - C7	105.6(2)	N5-C7-H7	125.4
C6-N5-Cu1	105.0(2) 126.3(2)	N6-C8-C9	123.4 112 1 (3)
C7 - N5 - Cu1	120.3(2) 127.9(2)	N6 C8 H84	109.2
C6-N6-C10	127.9(2) 107.2(2)	C9 C8 H8A	109.2
C6 - N6 - C8	107.2(2) 125.1(3)	N6-C8-H8B	109.2
C10-N6-C8	125.1(3) 127.6(3)	C9 - C8 - H8B	109.2
N2-C1-N1	127.0(3)	$H_{8A}$ $C_{8}$ $H_{8B}$	107.2
$N_2 - C_1 - H_1$	111.5 (5)		107.5
$N_2 - C_1 - H_1$	124.4	$C_{8} = C_{9} = H_{9} R_{19}$	109.5
$C_{1}^{-}$ $C_{1$	124.4 106.9 (3)		109.5
$C_3 = C_2 = H_2$	100.9 (3)		109.5
$C_3 - C_2 - \Pi_2$ N1 C2 $\Pi_2$	120.3		109.5
111 - 02 - 112 C2 C3 N2	120.3 108.0(2)		109.5
$C_2 = C_3 = N_2$	100.9 (3)	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	109.5
$U_2 = U_3 = \Pi_3$	125.5	$C_7 = C_{10} = M_0$	107.0(3)
$1N2 - U3 - \Pi3$	123.3	$C_{1} - C_{10} - H_{10}$	120.3
С.3—С.4—П.4А	109.3	NU-UIU-HIU	120.3

N5—Cu1—O1—N3	-89.0 (2)	Cu1—N2—C1—N1	-179.0 (2)
N2—Cu1—O1—N3	86.8 (2)	C2—N1—C1—N2	-0.5 (4)
O3—Cu1—O1—N3	-178.8 (3)	C5—N1—C1—N2	-177.7 (3)
N5—Cu1—O3—N4	90.2 (2)	C1—N1—C2—C3	0.5 (4)
N2—Cu1—O3—N4	-85.4 (2)	C5—N1—C2—C3	177.6 (4)
O1—Cu1—O3—N4	-179.9 (3)	N1—C2—C3—N2	-0.3 (4)
N5—Cu1—N2—C1	109.0 (13)	C1—N2—C3—C2	0.0 (4)
O1—Cu1—N2—C1	4.2 (3)	Cu1—N2—C3—C2	179.3 (2)
O3—Cu1—N2—C1	-160.0 (3)	C1—N1—C5—C4	-109.8 (5)
N5—Cu1—N2—C3	-70.1 (14)	C2—N1—C5—C4	73.6 (6)
O1—Cu1—N2—C3	-174.9 (3)	C7—N5—C6—N6	0.3 (3)
O3—Cu1—N2—C3	20.9 (3)	Cu1—N5—C6—N6	175.07 (18)
Cu1—O1—N3—O2	-0.8 (3)	C10—N6—C6—N5	-0.4 (3)
Cu1—O3—N4—O4	-1.6 (4)	C8—N6—C6—N5	178.0 (3)
N2—Cu1—N5—C6	77.2 (14)	C6—N5—C7—C10	0.0 (3)
O1—Cu1—N5—C6	-178.0 (2)	Cu1—N5—C7—C10	-174.7 (2)
O3—Cu1—N5—C6	-13.8 (3)	C6—N6—C8—C9	-88.7 (4)
N2—Cu1—N5—C7	-109.1 (13)	C10—N6—C8—C9	89.4 (4)
O1—Cu1—N5—C7	-4.3 (2)	N5-C7-C10-N6	-0.3 (4)
O3—Cu1—N5—C7	159.9 (3)	C6—N6—C10—C7	0.4 (3)
C3—N2—C1—N1	0.3 (4)	C8—N6—C10—C7	-178.0 (3)