

Acta Crystallographica Section E **Structure Reports** Online ISSN 1600-5368

A one-dimensional inorganic-organic hybrid compound: catena-poly[ethylenediammonium [indate(III)-di-*µ*-hydrogenphosphato(V)- μ -hydroxido] monohvdrate]

Abderrazzak Assani. Mohamed Saadi* and Lahcen El Ammari

Laboratoire de Chimie du Solide Appliquée, Faculté des Sciences, Université Mohammed V-Agdal, Avenue Ibn Battouta, BP 1014, Rabat, Morocco Correspondence e-mail: saadi@fsr.ac.ma

Received 25 July 2010; accepted 11 August 2010

Key indicators: single-crystal X-ray study; T = 296 K; mean σ (C–C) = 0.005 Å; disorder in main residue; R factor = 0.026; wR factor = 0.067; data-to-parameter ratio = 17.1.

The title compound, $(C_2H_{10}N_2)[In(HPO_4)_2(OH)] \cdot H_2O$, was synthesized under hydrothermal conditions. The structure of this hybrid compound consists of isolated inorganic chains with composition ∞ [In(HPO₄)_{4/2}(OH)_{2/2}] running along [010]. The coordination of the In^{III} atom is distorted octahedral. The ethylenediammonium cation and the disordered water molecule (site-occupation factors = 0.7:0.3) ensure the cohesion of the structure via $N-H \cdots O$ and $O-H \cdots O$ hydrogen bonds.

Related literature

For properties of and background to indium phosphates, see: Forster & Cheetham (2003); Chen, Liu et al. (2006); Chen et al. (2007); Huang et al. (2010); Thirumurugan & Srinivasan (2003). For compounds with related structures, see: Chen, Yi et al. (2006); Li et al. (2006); Du et al. (2004). For background to bond-valence analysis, see: Brown & Altermatt (1985).

$$({\sf NH}_3{\text{-}}{\sf CH}_2{\text{-}}{\sf CH}_2{\text{-}}{\sf NH}_3)^{2+} \ [{\sf In}({\sf HPO}_4)_2{\sf OH}]^{2-} \cdot {\sf H}_2{\sf O}$$

Experimental

Crystal data $(C_2H_{10}N_2)[In(HPO_4)_2(OH)]\cdot H_2O$ $M_r = 403.92$ Monoclinic, $P2_1/n$ a = 10.0702 (3) Å b = 7.4896 (2) Å c = 15.6007(5) Å $\beta = 99.000 \ (1)^{\circ}$

V = 1162.15 (6) Å³ Z = 4Mo $K\alpha$ radiation $\mu = 2.36 \text{ mm}^{-1}$ T = 296 K $0.20 \times 0.06 \times 0.03~\text{mm}$ $R_{\rm int} = 0.045$

13591 measured reflections

2771 independent reflections

2168 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$

Data collection

```
Bruker X8 APEXII CCD area-
  detector diffractometer
Absorption correction: multi-scan
  (SADABS; Bruker, 2005)
  T_{\rm min} = 0.844, T_{\rm max} = 0.932
```

Refinement

In1 In1

In1

$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.026$	H atoms treated by a mixture of
$wR(F^2) = 0.067$	independent and constrained
S = 1.03	refinement
2771 reflections	$\Delta \rho_{\rm max} = 0.59 \text{ e} \text{ Å}^{-3}$
162 parameters	$\Delta \rho_{\rm min} = -0.65 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$
1 restraint	

Table 1

Selected bond lengths (Å).

-09 ⁱ	2.089 (2)	In1-O3	2.148 (2)
-O9	2.094 (2)	In1-O6 ⁱ	2.154 (2)
$-O2^{i}$	2.135 (2)	In1-O7	2.154 (2)

Symmetry code: (i) $-x + \frac{1}{2}, y + \frac{1}{2}, -z + \frac{1}{2}$.

Table 2 Hydrogen-bond geometry (Å, °).

$D - H \cdots A$	D-H	$H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	$D \cdots A$	$D - \mathbf{H} \cdots A$
O4−H4···O5 ⁱⁱ	0.82	1.78	2.595 (3)	174
O8−H8···O1	0.82	1.75	2.567 (4)	172
O9−H9···O10	0.86 (2)	1.93 (2)	2.780 (5)	170 (3)
$O10-H10A\cdots O1^{i}$	0.85	2.44	3.291 (5)	179
$O10-H10B\cdots O8^{iii}$	0.87	2.35	2.911 (5)	122
$N1 - H11A \cdots O3^{iv}$	0.89	2.00	2.876 (4)	168
$N1 - H11B \cdot \cdot \cdot O2^{i}$	0.89	2.51	3.137 (4)	128
$N1 - H11B \cdot \cdot \cdot O10$	0.89	2.43	3.114 (5)	133
$N1 - H11C \cdots O4^{v}$	0.89	2.41	3.011 (4)	125
$N1 - H11C \cdots O1^{v}$	0.89	1.98	2.823 (4)	158
$N2 - H22A \cdots O5$	0.89	1.87	2.750 (4)	170
$N2-H22B\cdots O6^{vi}$	0.89	2.06	2.911 (4)	160
$N2-H22C\cdots O7^{vii}$	0.89	2.05	2.892 (4)	158

Symmetry codes: (i) $-x + \frac{1}{2}$, $y + \frac{1}{2}$, $-z + \frac{1}{2}$; (ii) $x + \frac{1}{2}$, $-y - \frac{1}{2}$, $z + \frac{1}{2}$; (iii) x + 1, y, z; (iv) $-x + \frac{1}{2}$, $y - \frac{1}{2}$, $-z + \frac{1}{2}$; (v) $x + \frac{1}{2}$, $-y - \frac{1}{2}$, $z - \frac{1}{2}$; (vi) -x, -y - 1, -z; (vii) -x, -y, -z.

Data collection: APEX2 (Bruker, 2005); cell refinement: SAINT (Bruker, 2005); data reduction: SAINT; program(s) used to solve structure: SHELXS97 (Sheldrick, 2008); program(s) used to refine structure: SHELXL97 (Sheldrick, 2008); molecular graphics: ORTEP-3 for Windows (Farrugia, 1997) and DIAMOND (Brandenburg, 2006); software used to prepare material for publication: WinGX (Farrugia, 1999).

The authors thank the Unit of Support for Technical and Scientific Research (UATRS, CNRST) for the X-ray measurements.

Supplementary data and figures for this paper are available from the IUCr electronic archives (Reference: WM2383).

References

Brandenburg, K. (2006). DIAMOND. Crystal Impact GbR, Bonn, Germany. Brown, I. D. & Altermatt, D. (1985). Acta Cryst. B41, 244-247.

Bruker (2005). APEX2, SAINT and SADABS. Bruker AXS Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.

Chen, C., Liu, Y., Fang, Q., Liu, L., Eubank, J. F., Zhang, N., Gong, S. & Pang, W. (2006). Microporous Mesoporous Mater. 97, 132-140.

Chen, C., Wang, S., Zhang, N., Yan, Z. & Pang, W. (2007). Microporous Mesoporous Mater. 106, 1–7.

Chen, C., Yi, Z., Bi, M., Liu, Y., Wang, C., Liu, L., Zhao, Z. & Pang, W. (2006). J. Solid State Chem. 179, 1478–1485.

Du, Y., Yu, J., Wang, Y., Pan, Q., Zou, Y. & Xu, R. (2004). J. Solid State Chem. 177, 3032–3037.

Farrugia, L. J. (1997). J. Appl. Cryst. 30, 565.

Farrugia, L. J. (1999). J. Appl. Cryst. 32, 837-838.

Forster, P. M. & Cheetham, A. K. (2003). Top. Catal. 24, 79-86.

Huang, L., Song, T., Fan, Y., Yang, L., Wang, L., Zhang, H., Wang, L. & Xu, J. (2010). Microporous Mesoporous Mater. 132, 409–413.

Li, J., Li, L., Yu, J. & Xu, R. (2006). Inorg. Chem. Commun. 9, 624–627.

Sheldrick, G. M. (2008). Acta Cryst. A64, 112–122.

Thirumurugan, A. & Srinivasan, N. (2003). Dalton Trans. pp. 3387-3391.

supporting information

Acta Cryst. (2010). E66, m1065-m1066 [https://doi.org/10.1107/S160053681003240X]

A one-dimensional inorganic–organic hybrid compound: *catena*-poly[ethylenediammonium [indate(III)-di-µ-hydrogenphosphato(V)-µ-hydroxido] monohydrate]

Abderrazzak Assani, Mohamed Saadi and Lahcen El Ammari

S1. Comment

The research of new porous materials and open-framework structures in the hybrid inorganic-organic systems continues to be of great interest in the field of materials chemistry. Mainly, hybrid metal phosphates are extensively investigated due to their impressive diversity of structures which are strongly required for catalysis applications (Forster & Cheetham, 2003). Accordingly, in the past two decades, amine templated indium phosphates were in the focus of investigation, providing one-dimensional chain, two-dimensional layered and three-dimensional open-framework structures with different In:*P* ratios (Chen *et al.* 2007; Chen, Liu *et al.* 2006; Thirumurugan & Srinivasan, 2003; Huang *et al.* 2010). In the present work, a new indium phosphate with a In:*P* ratio of 1:2, namely (H₃NCH₂CH₂NH₃)[In(HPO₄)₂(OH)]·H₂O was hydrothermally synthesized and structurally characterized.

The asymmetric unit of the title compound is drawn in Fig. 1. A three-dimensional polyhedral view of its crystal structure is represented in Fig. 2. It shows $InO_4(OH)_2$ octahedra linked to PO₃OH tetrahedra by sharing corners in the way to build $_{\infty}[In(OH)_{2/2}(HPO_4)_{4/2}]$ chains running along [010]. Fig. 3 shows the InO₆ octahedra linked to another *via* their hydroxide vertices, giving rise to a one-dimensional linear chain. Adjacent octahedra are additionally interconnected by PO₃OH tetrahedra by sharing their terminal O atoms with four tetrahedra. A similar connectivity is observed in the structure of $(C_4N_2H_{12})[In_2(HPO_4)_2(H_2PO_4)_2F_2]$ (Chen, Yi *et al.*, 2006).

The +III and +V oxidation states of the In and P atoms were confirmed by bond valence sum calculations (Brown & Altermatt, 1985). The calculated values for the two In^{III+} and P^{V+} ions are as expected, *viz.* 3.25 and 5.04, respectively. The values of the bond valence sums calculated for all oxygen atoms are: 1.33 and 1.34 for the terminal O atoms O1 and O5, 2.29, 2.30 and 2.26 for O4, O8 and O9, respectively, and 1.82 for all other O atoms except that of the water molecule (O10) which amounts to 2.12. The difference between these values is explained by the nature and the length of the P—O bonds. From the two tetrahedrally coordinated phosphorus atoms P1 and P2, each shares two O atoms with adjacent indium atoms (average distance P—O = 1.520 Å) and possesses one terminal P1=O1 = 1.510 (2) Å, P2=O5 = 1.509 (2) Å and one P1—O4H = 1.579 (2) Å, P2=O8H = 1.577 (2) Å bond. The terminal O atoms are involved in strong hydrogen bonds (see below) which likewise explains their low bond valence sum. These results are in good agreement with the framework formula and are in close agreement with those reported in the literature for similar indium phosphates (Li *et al.* 2006; Du *et al.* 2004).

The ethylenediammonum cation and the water molecules ensure the cohesion of the structure *via* N—H···O and O—H···O hydrogen bonds (Fig. 1, Table 2).

S2. Experimental

Single crystals of the title compound were hydrothermally synthesized from a reaction mixture of indium oxide (In_2O_3 ; 0,388 g), phosphoric acid 85%_{wt} (H_3PO_4 ; 0,35 ml), ethylenediamine ($NH_2(CH_2)_2NH_2$; 0,3 ml) and water (H_2O ; 10 ml). In addition, 40%_{wt} fluoric acid (HF; 0,1 ml) was added to the mixture to provide fluoride ions which can act as a mineralizing agent in the hydrothermal synthesis and can play a structure-directing role. The hydrothermal reaction was conducted in a 23 ml Teflon-lined autoclave under autogeneous pressure at 398 K for two days. The resulting product was filtered off, washed with deionized water and was dried in air. It consisted of a yellow powder in addition to a few colorless parallelepipedic crystals of the title compound.

S3. Refinement

All O-bound, N-bound and C-bound H atoms were initially located in a difference map and refined with O—H, N—H and C—H distance restraints of 0.82 (1) Å, 0.89 (1) Å and C–H 0.97 (1) Å, respectively. In a subsequent cycle they were refined in the riding model approximation with U_{iso} (H) set to $1.5U_{eq}$ (O) or (N) and U_{iso} (H) set to $1.2 U_{eq}$ (C). The refinement of the site occupancy of the O atoms of the water molecule shows full occupation. However, the electron density is distributed over two adjacent positions (O10 and O11). The refinement of the occupancy rates of these two positions led to a site occupancy factor of 0.7 for O10 and of 0.3 for O11, accompanied with considerable improvements in *R* and *Rw* factors.

From the synthetic conditions one might expect an incorporation of F^{-} ions. The distinction by X-ray diffraction between F^{-} and O^{2-} is difficult. However, when the relevant OH positions were replaced by F^{-} , a small worsening of the reliability factors was observed. Moreover, the clearly discernible proton positions in the difference Fourier maps point to OH rather than to F. Nevertheless, the existence of a very small amount of F^{-} incorporated in the structure cannot be excluded.



Figure 1

ORTEP plot of the asymmetric unit of the $(H_3NCH_2CH_2NH_3)[In(HPO_4)_2(OH)]$ ·H₂O structure. Displacement ellipsoids are drawn at the 50% probability level. Hydrogen bonds are indicated by dashed lines.





A three-dimensional polyhedral view of the crystal structure of the (H₃NCH₂CH₂NH₃)[In(HPO₄)₂(OH)]·H₂O.



Figure 3

A view of an inorganic chain built up from corner sharing indium octahedra linked by HPO₄ tetraedra.

catena-poly[ethylenediammonium [indate(III)-di-µ-hydrogenphosphato(V)-µ-hydroxido] monohydrate]

•	
$(C_2H_{10}N_2)[In(HPO_4)_2(OH)]$ ·H ₂ O	$\beta = 99.000 \ (1)^{\circ}$
$M_r = 403.92$	V = 1162.15 (6) Å ³
Monoclinic, $P2_1/n$	Z = 4
Hall symbol: -P 2yn	F(000) = 800
a = 10.0702 (3) Å	$D_{\rm x} = 2.309 {\rm ~Mg} {\rm ~m}^{-3}$
b = 7.4896 (2) Å	Mo <i>K</i> α radiation, $\lambda = 0.71073$ Å
c = 15.6007 (5) Å	Cell parameters from 2771 reflections

 $\theta = 2.6-27.9^{\circ}$ $\mu = 2.36 \text{ mm}^{-1}$ T = 296 K

Data collection

Bruker X8 APEXII CCD area-detector diffractometer Radiation source: fine-focus sealed tube Graphite monochromator φ and ω scans Absorption correction: multi-scan (*SADABS*; Bruker, 2005) $T_{\min} = 0.844, T_{\max} = 0.932$

Refinement	
Refinement on F^2	Secondary atom site location: difference Fourier
Least-squares matrix: full	map
$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.026$	Hydrogen site location: inferred from
$wR(F^2) = 0.067$	neighbouring sites
S = 1.03	H atoms treated by a mixture of independent
2771 reflections	and constrained refinement
162 parameters	$w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.031P)^2 + 0.4367P]$
1 restraint	where $P = (F_0^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$
Primary atom site location: structure-invariant	$(\Delta/\sigma)_{\rm max} = 0.001$
direct methods	$\Delta ho_{ m max} = 0.59 \ { m e} \ { m \AA}^{-3}$
	$\Delta ho_{\min} = -0.65 \text{ e} \text{ Å}^{-3}$

Plate, colourless

 $R_{\rm int} = 0.045$

 $h = -13 \rightarrow 13$

 $l = -20 \rightarrow 19$

 $k = -9 \rightarrow 9$

 $0.20 \times 0.06 \times 0.03 \text{ mm}$

 $\theta_{\rm max} = 27.9^\circ, \ \theta_{\rm min} = 2.6^\circ$

13591 measured reflections

2771 independent reflections

2168 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$

Special details

Geometry. All e.s.d.'s (except the e.s.d. in the dihedral angle between two l.s. planes) are estimated using the full covariance matrix. The cell e.s.d.'s are taken into account individually in the estimation of e.s.d.'s in distances, angles and torsion angles; correlations between e.s.d.'s in cell parameters are only used when they are defined by crystal symmetry. An approximate (isotropic) treatment of cell e.s.d.'s is used for estimating e.s.d.'s involving l.s. planes.

Refinement. Refinement of F^2 against ALL reflections. The weighted *R*-factor *wR* and goodness of fit *S* are based on F^2 , conventional *R*-factors *R* are based on *F*, with *F* set to zero for negative F^2 . The threshold expression of $F^2 > \sigma(F^2)$ is used only for calculating *R*-factors(gt) *etc.* and is not relevant to the choice of reflections for refinement. *R*-factors based on F^2 are statistically about twice as large as those based on *F*, and *R*- factors based on ALL data will be even larger.

Fractional atomic coordinates and isotropic or equivalent isotropic displacement parameters $(Å^2)$

	x	У	Ζ	$U_{ m iso}$ */ $U_{ m eq}$	Occ. (<1)
In1	0.247040 (19)	0.02859 (3)	0.250039 (14)	0.01340 (8)	
P1	0.12174 (8)	-0.22253 (11)	0.39952 (5)	0.01587 (17)	
P2	-0.02212 (8)	-0.22671 (10)	0.15538 (5)	0.01537 (17)	
01	-0.0298 (2)	-0.2219 (4)	0.37808 (17)	0.0389 (7)	
O2	0.1861 (2)	-0.3858 (3)	0.36661 (15)	0.0271 (5)	
O3	0.1849 (2)	-0.0520 (3)	0.36984 (15)	0.0271 (6)	
O4	0.1498 (2)	-0.2250 (3)	0.50197 (14)	0.0235 (5)	
H4	0.2297	-0.2449	0.5186	0.035*	
O5	-0.0986 (2)	-0.2250 (3)	0.06416 (15)	0.0233 (5)	
O6	0.0672 (2)	-0.3905 (3)	0.17185 (15)	0.0246 (5)	
O7	0.0595 (2)	-0.0564 (3)	0.17533 (16)	0.0243 (5)	
08	-0.1324 (2)	-0.2335 (4)	0.21683 (17)	0.0366 (7)	

H8 O9	-0.0965 0.3367 (2)	-0.2205 -0.2199 (3)	0.2673 0.23619 (15)	0.055* 0.0174 (5)
ПУ 010	0.4130(13)	-0.208(4)	0.222(2)	0.020°
	0.5844 (4)	-0.1337(0)	0.1695 (5)	0.005*
HIUA	0.5706	-0.0285	0.1/24	0.095*
H10B	0.6316	-0.2340	0.1945	0.095*
011	0.5872 (10)	-0.3060 (14)	0.2029 (9)	0.0634 (14) 0.30
N1	0.3639 (3)	-0.2375 (4)	0.0340 (2)	0.0309 (7)
H11A	0.3562	-0.3278	0.0701	0.046*
H11B	0.4083	-0.1482	0.0633	0.046*
H11C	0.4086	-0.2738	-0.0076	0.046*
N2	0.0128 (3)	-0.2744 (4)	-0.0842 (2)	0.0281 (7)
H22A	-0.0222	-0.2442	-0.0372	0.042*
H22B	-0.0317	-0.3677	-0.1098	0.042*
H22C	0.0059	-0.1828	-0.1209	0.042*
C1	0.2296 (3)	-0.1762 (5)	-0.0048 (2)	0.0276 (8)
H1A	0.2376	-0.0727	-0.0410	0.033*
H1B	0.1792	-0.1414	0.0406	0.033*
C2	0.1563 (3)	-0.3220 (5)	-0.0585 (2)	0.0300 (8)
H2A	0.1972	-0.3411	-0.1101	0.036*
H2B	0.1632	-0.4322	-0.0254	0.036*

Atomic displacement parameters $(Å^2)$

	U^{11}	U^{22}	U^{33}	U^{12}	U^{13}	U^{23}
In1	0.01468 (13)	0.00975 (12)	0.01524 (12)	-0.00045 (8)	0.00069 (8)	-0.00008 (8)
P1	0.0160 (4)	0.0180 (4)	0.0139 (4)	0.0005 (3)	0.0032 (3)	-0.0003 (3)
P2	0.0134 (4)	0.0151 (4)	0.0162 (4)	-0.0004 (3)	-0.0022 (3)	-0.0006 (3)
01	0.0158 (12)	0.081 (2)	0.0204 (14)	0.0028 (12)	0.0031 (10)	0.0022 (13)
O2	0.0413 (14)	0.0192 (13)	0.0237 (13)	0.0033 (10)	0.0145 (11)	-0.0014 (9)
03	0.0441 (15)	0.0177 (13)	0.0230 (14)	-0.0017 (10)	0.0159 (11)	0.0001 (9)
O4	0.0207 (12)	0.0355 (14)	0.0144 (12)	0.0046 (10)	0.0035 (9)	-0.0007 (9)
05	0.0197 (12)	0.0277 (13)	0.0193 (12)	-0.0008 (9)	-0.0070 (9)	0.0009 (9)
O6	0.0204 (11)	0.0160 (12)	0.0337 (14)	0.0022 (9)	-0.0077 (10)	0.0014 (9)
07	0.0203 (11)	0.0156 (12)	0.0335 (15)	-0.0041 (9)	-0.0064 (10)	-0.0034 (9)
08	0.0180 (13)	0.068 (2)	0.0241 (14)	-0.0055 (12)	0.0042 (11)	-0.0058 (13)
09	0.0156 (11)	0.0103 (10)	0.0279 (13)	-0.0003 (8)	0.0079 (9)	0.0003 (9)
O10	0.035 (2)	0.044 (2)	0.119 (4)	-0.003(2)	0.037 (2)	-0.018 (3)
011	0.035 (2)	0.044 (2)	0.119 (4)	-0.003 (2)	0.037 (2)	-0.018 (3)
N1	0.0255 (16)	0.0401 (19)	0.0276 (18)	-0.0053 (13)	0.0055 (13)	0.0052 (13)
N2	0.0241 (16)	0.0328 (17)	0.0243 (16)	-0.0039 (12)	-0.0058 (12)	-0.0003 (12)
C1	0.0253 (18)	0.0244 (19)	0.032 (2)	0.0018 (15)	0.0003 (15)	-0.0030 (15)
C2	0.0292 (19)	0.0234 (19)	0.036 (2)	0.0025 (15)	-0.0010 (16)	-0.0070 (15)

Geometric parameters (Å, °)

In1—O9 ⁱ	2.089 (2)	О9—Н9	0.862 (17)
In1—09	2.094 (2)	010—011	1.293 (12)

In1—O2 ⁱ	2.135 (2)	O10—H10A	0.8496
In1—O3	2.148 (2)	O10—H10B	0.8728
In1—O6 ⁱ	2.154 (2)	O11—H10B	0.7256
In1—O7	2.154 (2)	N1—C1	1.466 (4)
P1—O1	1.510 (3)	N1—H11A	0.8900
P1—O2	1.511 (2)	N1—H11B	0.8900
Р1—О3	1.530 (2)	N1—H11C	0.8900
P1—O4	1.579 (2)	N2—C2	1.481 (4)
P2—O5	1.509 (2)	N2—H22A	0.8900
P2-06	1.519 (2)	N2—H22B	0.8900
P2-07	1 523 (2)	N2—H22C	0.8900
P2	1 577 (3)	C1-C2	1 498 (5)
Ω^2 —In1 ⁱⁱ	2 135 (2)	C1—H1A	0.9700
04H4	0.8200	C1HIB	0.9700
$O_{4} = I_{14}$	2.154(2)	$C_2 H_2 \Lambda$	0.9700
	2.134(2)	C2 H2R	0.9700
	0.8200	С2—п2В	0.9700
09—In1"	2.089 (2)		
O9 ⁱ —In1—O9	178.25 (5)	Р2—О8—Н8	109.5
$O9^{i}$ —In1— $O2^{i}$	90.18 (9)	$In1^{ii}$ —O9—In1	127.09 (10)
$09 - In1 - 02^{i}$	88.87 (9)	In1 ⁱⁱ —O9—H9	122 (2)
$O9^{i}$ In1 $O3$	89 24 (8)	In1—09—H9	111(2)
09 - 1n1 - 03	91 66 (8)	011 - 010 - H10A	169.0
02^{i} In 1 - 03	178 07 (9)	011 - 010 - H10B	32.4
O_{2}^{i} In 1 O_{3}^{i}	90.97 (8)	$H_{10A} - O_{10} - H_{10B}$	152.4
O_{i}^{0} In O_{i}^{i}	90.97 (0) 87.60 (8)	010 011 $H10B$	102.4
O_2^i In 1 O_2^i	07.00(0)		100.5
02 - 111 - 06	92.00 (9) 96.11 (0)	CI = NI = HIIR	109.5
03 - 111 - 00	00.11 (9) 00.22 (9)		109.5
09 - 101 - 07	89.32 (8)	HIIA—NI—HIIB	109.5
09 - 101 - 07	92.14 (8)	CI—NI—HIIC	109.5
02^{-1} In 1 -07	89.67 (9)	HIIA—NI—HIIC	109.5
03—lnl— 07	92.16 (9)		109.5
$O_{0} - I_{0} - O_{1}$	178.24 (9)	C2—N2—H22A	109.5
O1—P1—O2	113.55 (15)	C2—N2—H22B	109.5
O1—P1—O3	112.56 (15)	H22A—N2—H22B	109.5
O2—P1—O3	110.60 (13)	C2—N2—H22C	109.5
O1—P1—O4	103.80 (13)	H22A—N2—H22C	109.5
O2—P1—O4	108.44 (13)	H22B—N2—H22C	109.5
O3—P1—O4	107.41 (14)	N1—C1—C2	110.2 (3)
O5—P2—O6	111.58 (13)	N1—C1—H1A	109.6
O5—P2—O7	111.43 (13)	C2—C1—H1A	109.6
O6—P2—O7	110.81 (13)	N1—C1—H1B	109.6
O5—P2—O8	105.63 (14)	C2—C1—H1B	109.6
O6—P2—O8	109.01 (15)	H1A—C1—H1B	108.1
O7—P2—O8	108.16 (14)	N2—C2—C1	110.5 (3)
P1—O2—In1 ⁱⁱ	137.89 (14)	N2—C2—H2A	109.5
P1—O3—In1	133.57 (14)	C1—C2—H2A	109.5
P1—O4—H4	109.5	N2—C2—H2B	109.5

supporting information

P2—O6—In1 ⁱⁱ	139.63 (14)	C1—C2—H2B	109.5
P2—O7—In1	139.23 (14)	H2A—C2—H2B	108.1

Symmetry codes: (i) -*x*+1/2, *y*+1/2, -*z*+1/2; (ii) -*x*+1/2, *y*-1/2, -*z*+1/2.

Hydrogen-bond geometry (Å, °)

<i>D</i> —H··· <i>A</i>	D—H	H···A	D····A	<i>D</i> —H··· <i>A</i>
04—H4…O5 ⁱⁱⁱ	0.82	1.78	2.595 (3)	174
O8—H8…O1	0.82	1.75	2.567 (4)	172
O9—H9…O10	0.86 (2)	1.93 (2)	2.780 (5)	170 (3)
O10—H10A···O1 ⁱ	0.85	2.44	3.291 (5)	179
O10—H10 <i>B</i> ···O8 ^{iv}	0.87	2.35	2.911 (5)	122
N1—H11A····O3 ⁱⁱ	0.89	2.00	2.876 (4)	168
N1—H11 <i>B</i> ···O2 ⁱ	0.89	2.51	3.137 (4)	128
N1—H11 <i>B</i> ···O10	0.89	2.43	3.114 (5)	133
N1—H11C····O4 ^v	0.89	2.41	3.011 (4)	125
N1— $H11C$ ···O1 ^v	0.89	1.98	2.823 (4)	158
N2—H22A····O5	0.89	1.87	2.750 (4)	170
N2—H22 <i>B</i> ····O6 ^{vi}	0.89	2.06	2.911 (4)	160
N2—H22 <i>C</i> ····O7 ^{vii}	0.89	2.05	2.892 (4)	158

Symmetry codes: (i) -*x*+1/2, *y*+1/2, -*z*+1/2; (ii) -*x*+1/2, *y*-1/2, -*z*+1/2; (iii) *x*+1/2, -*y*-1/2, *z*+1/2; (iv) *x*+1, *y*, *z*; (v) *x*+1/2, -*y*-1/2, *z*-1/2; (vi) -*x*, -*y*-1, -*z*; (vii) -*x*, -*y*, -*z*.