

Acta Crystallographica Section E

Structure Reports

Online

ISSN 1600-5368

catena-Poly[[pyrimidine-2-carboxylic acid]iron(II)]- μ -oxalato

Jiong-Peng Zhao and Fu-Chen Liu*


 School of Chemistry and Chemical Engineering, Tianjin University of Technology, Tianjin 300191, People's Republic of China
 Correspondence e-mail: fuchenliu@yaho.com

Received 12 June 2010; accepted 28 July 2010

 Key indicators: single-crystal X-ray study; $T = 293$ K; mean $\sigma(\text{C}-\text{C}) = 0.007$ Å; R factor = 0.040; wR factor = 0.083; data-to-parameter ratio = 11.4.

In the title complex, $[\text{Fe}(\text{C}_2\text{O}_4)(\text{C}_5\text{H}_4\text{N}_2\text{O}_4)]_n$, the Fe^{II} ion is coordinated by two oxalate anions and a pyrimidine-2-carboxylic acid ligand in a slightly distorted octahedral geometry. Each oxalate anion chelates to two Fe^{II} ions, forming chains along the a axis. The chains are further connected by $\text{O}-\text{H}\cdots\text{O}$ and $\text{C}-\text{H}\cdots\text{O}$ hydrogen bonds, stabilizing the structure. An intramolecular $\text{O}-\text{H}\cdots\text{N}$ interaction results in a five-membered ring.

Related literature

 For related structures, see: Zhang *et al.* (2008).


Experimental

Crystal data

 $[\text{Fe}(\text{C}_2\text{O}_4)(\text{C}_5\text{H}_4\text{N}_2\text{O}_4)]$
 $M_r = 267.97$

 Orthorhombic, $Pna2_1$
 $a = 9.0524$ (18) Å
 $b = 9.1578$ (18) Å
 $c = 11.329$ (2) Å
 $V = 939.2$ (3) Å³
 $Z = 4$
 Mo $K\alpha$ radiation
 $\mu = 1.62$ mm⁻¹
 $T = 293$ K
 $0.20 \times 0.18 \times 0.16$ mm

Data collection

 Rigaku SCXmini diffractometer
 Absorption correction: multi-scan
 (ABSCOR; Higashi, 1995)
 $T_{\text{min}} = 0.780$, $T_{\text{max}} = 1$

 7603 measured reflections
 1658 independent reflections
 1503 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$
 $R_{\text{int}} = 0.074$

Refinement

 $R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.040$
 $wR(F^2) = 0.083$
 $S = 1.11$
 1658 reflections
 145 parameters
 1 restraint

 H-atom parameters constrained
 $\Delta\rho_{\text{max}} = 0.46$ e Å⁻³
 $\Delta\rho_{\text{min}} = -0.30$ e Å⁻³
 Absolute structure: Flack (1983),
 783 Friedel pairs
 Flack parameter: 0.05 (3)

Table 1

Hydrogen-bond geometry (Å, °).

$D-H\cdots A$	$D-H$	$H\cdots A$	$D\cdots A$	$D-H\cdots A$
$\text{C6}-\text{H6A}\cdots\text{O3}^{\text{i}}$	0.93	2.48	3.279 (6)	145
$\text{O6}-\text{H6}\cdots\text{O1}^{\text{ii}}$	0.85	2.17	2.988 (5)	161
$\text{O6}-\text{H6}\cdots\text{N2}$	0.85	2.40	2.743 (6)	105

 Symmetry codes: (i) $-x - \frac{1}{2}, y + \frac{1}{2}, z - \frac{1}{2}$; (ii) $x + \frac{1}{2}, -y + \frac{1}{2}, z$.

Data collection: *SCXmini Benchtop Crystallography System Software* (Rigaku, 2006); cell refinement: *PROCESS-AUTO* (Rigaku, 1998); data reduction: *PROCESS-AUTO*; program(s) used to solve structure: *SHELXS97* (Sheldrick, 2008); program(s) used to refine structure: *SHELXL97* (Sheldrick, 2008); molecular graphics: *ORTEPIII* (Burnett & Johnson, 1996) and *PLATON* (Spek, 2009); software used to prepare material for publication: *SHELXTL* (Sheldrick, 2008).

The authors acknowledge financial support from Tianjin Municipal Education Commission, Tianjin, People's Republic of China (grant No. 20060503).

Supplementary data and figures for this paper are available from the IUCr electronic archives (Reference: PV2297).

References

- Burnett, M. N. & Johnson, C. K. (1996). *ORTEPIII*. Report ORNL-6895. Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Tennessee, USA.
 Flack, H. D. (1983). *Acta Cryst.* **A39**, 876–881.
 Higashi, T. (1995). *ABSCOR*. Rigaku Corporation, Tokyo, Japan.
 Rigaku (1998). *PROCESS-AUTO*. Rigaku Corporation, Tokyo, Japan.
 Rigaku (2006). *SCXmini Benchtop Crystallography System Software*. Rigaku Americas Corporation, The Woodlands, Texas, USA.
 Sheldrick, G. M. (2008). *Acta Cryst.* **A64**, 112–122.
 Spek, A. L. (2009). *Acta Cryst.* **D65**, 148–155.
 Zhang, J.-Y., Yue, Q., Jia, Q.-X., Cheng, A.-L. & Gao, E.-Q. (2008). *CrystEngComm*, **10**, 1443–1449.

supporting information

Acta Cryst. (2010). E66, m1059 [https://doi.org/10.1107/S1600536810030023]

catena-Poly[[pyrimidine-2-carboxylic acid]iron(II)]- μ -oxalato]**Jiong-Peng Zhao and Fu-Chen Liu****S1. Comment**

Pyrimidine-2-carboxylic acid (HL) and oxalate anion have similar coordination mode, acting as bidentate ligands, and some Cd complexes have been reported containing both ligands (Zhang *et al.*, 2008). Here we report the synthesis and crystal structure of a new iron complex with pyrimidine-2-carboxylic acid and oxalate as co-ligands.

In the title complex, the Fe^{II} ions are coordinated by one HL ligand and two oxalate anions in a slightly distorted octahedral geometry (Fig. 1). Each oxalate anion chelates to two Fe^{II} ions resulting a chain along the *a*-axis. There is an intramolecular interaction O6—H6···N2 resulting in a five membered ring. The O—H···O and C—H···O type hydrogen bonds between the oxalate and HL ligands impart stability to the structure (Fig. 2).

S2. Experimental

A mixture of Fe(III) chloride (2 mmol), oxalate acid (2 mmol) and pyrimidine-2-carbonitrile (1 mmol), in 10 ml dimethyl formamide (DMF) solvent was sealed in a Teflon-lined stainless-steel Parr bomb was heated at 413 K for 48 h. Red crystals of the title complex were collected after the bomb was allowed to cool to room temperature; yield 20%.

S3. Refinement

The absolute structure of the title complex was determined by the Flack (1983) method. Hydrogen atoms were included in calculated positions and treated as riding on their parent atoms with O—H and C—H = 0.85 and 0.93 Å, respectively and $U_{\text{iso}}(\text{H}) = 1.2U_{\text{eq}}(\text{O/C})$.




Figure 1

The coordinated mode and linkage of the complex. Ellipsoids are drawn at the 30% probability level. Symmetry codes: i = $x+1/2, -y-1/2, z$ ii = $x-1/2, -y-1/2, z$




Figure 2

A partial packing diagram of the unit cell viewed down the c -axis.

catena-Poly[[pyrimidine-2-carboxylic acid]iron(II)]- μ -oxalato]

Crystal data

[Fe(C₂O₄)(C₅H₄N₂O₄)]

$M_r = 267.97$

Orthorhombic, $Pna2_1$

Hall symbol: P 2c -2n

$a = 9.0524$ (18) Å

$b = 9.1578$ (18) Å

$c = 11.329$ (2) Å

$V = 939.2$ (3) Å³

$Z = 4$

$F(000) = 536$

$D_x = 1.895$ Mg m⁻³

Mo $K\alpha$ radiation, $\lambda = 0.71073$ Å

Cell parameters from 8691 reflections

$\theta = 3.2$ – 27.5°

$\mu = 1.62$ mm⁻¹

$T = 293$ K

Block, red

$0.2 \times 0.18 \times 0.16$ mm

Data collection

Rigaku SCXmini
diffractometer

Radiation source: fine-focus sealed tube

Graphite monochromator

ω scans

Absorption correction: multi-scan
(*ABSCOR*; Higashi, 1995)

$T_{\min} = 0.780$, $T_{\max} = 1$

7603 measured reflections

1658 independent reflections

1503 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$
 $R_{\text{int}} = 0.074$
 $\theta_{\text{max}} = 25.0^\circ$, $\theta_{\text{min}} = 3.2^\circ$

$h = -10 \rightarrow 10$
 $k = -10 \rightarrow 10$
 $l = -13 \rightarrow 13$

Refinement

Refinement on F^2
 Least-squares matrix: full
 $R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.040$
 $wR(F^2) = 0.083$
 $S = 1.11$
 1658 reflections
 145 parameters
 1 restraint
 Primary atom site location: structure-invariant
 direct methods
 Secondary atom site location: difference Fourier
 map

Hydrogen site location: inferred from
 neighbouring sites
 H-atom parameters constrained
 $w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.0339P)^2 + 0.7762P]$
 where $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$
 $(\Delta/\sigma)_{\text{max}} = 0.001$
 $\Delta\rho_{\text{max}} = 0.46 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$
 $\Delta\rho_{\text{min}} = -0.30 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$
 Absolute structure: Flack (1983), 783 Friedel
 pairs
 Absolute structure parameter: 0.05 (3)

Special details

Geometry. All esds (except the esd in the dihedral angle between two l.s. planes) are estimated using the full covariance matrix. The cell esds are taken into account individually in the estimation of esds in distances, angles and torsion angles; correlations between esds in cell parameters are only used when they are defined by crystal symmetry. An approximate (isotropic) treatment of cell esds is used for estimating esds involving l.s. planes.

Refinement. Refinement of F^2 against ALL reflections. The weighted R -factor wR and goodness of fit S are based on F^2 , conventional R -factors R are based on F , with F set to zero for negative F^2 . The threshold expression of $F^2 > \sigma(F^2)$ is used only for calculating R -factors(gt) *etc.* and is not relevant to the choice of reflections for refinement. R -factors based on F^2 are statistically about twice as large as those based on F , and R -factors based on ALL data will be even larger.

Fractional atomic coordinates and isotropic or equivalent isotropic displacement parameters (\AA^2)

	x	y	z	$U_{\text{iso}}^*/U_{\text{eq}}$
Fe1	0.13566 (6)	-0.08170 (6)	0.71653 (7)	0.02969 (18)
C4	0.1749 (5)	0.2409 (5)	0.7015 (4)	0.0263 (10)
O5	0.2520 (4)	0.0586 (3)	0.8376 (3)	0.0397 (9)
O1	-0.0702 (3)	-0.0924 (3)	0.8009 (3)	0.0293 (7)
N1	0.0989 (4)	0.1349 (4)	0.6452 (4)	0.0307 (9)
O6	0.3406 (5)	0.2878 (5)	0.8630 (4)	0.0624 (12)
H6	0.3456	0.3746	0.8369	0.075*
C3	0.2601 (5)	0.1886 (5)	0.8074 (4)	0.0325 (11)
O3	-0.2731 (3)	-0.2329 (4)	0.8046 (3)	0.0353 (8)
O2	0.0098 (4)	-0.2138 (4)	0.5963 (3)	0.0343 (8)
C7	0.0149 (5)	0.1768 (6)	0.5565 (4)	0.0349 (12)
H7A	-0.0405	0.1072	0.5163	0.042*
O4	-0.1781 (4)	-0.3696 (4)	0.6089 (3)	0.0368 (9)
C5	0.0923 (6)	0.4168 (6)	0.5831 (5)	0.0438 (13)
H5A	0.0916	0.5141	0.5597	0.053*
C6	0.0066 (6)	0.3211 (6)	0.5216 (5)	0.0408 (13)
H6A	-0.0539	0.3511	0.4598	0.049*
N2	0.1764 (5)	0.3806 (4)	0.6738 (4)	0.0350 (11)
C2	-0.1034 (5)	-0.2649 (5)	0.6452 (4)	0.0263 (11)
C1	-0.1539 (5)	-0.1906 (5)	0.7602 (4)	0.0256 (10)

Atomic displacement parameters (\AA^2)

	U^{11}	U^{22}	U^{33}	U^{12}	U^{13}	U^{23}
Fe1	0.0248 (3)	0.0246 (3)	0.0396 (4)	-0.0008 (3)	0.0015 (4)	0.0021 (4)
C4	0.028 (2)	0.028 (2)	0.023 (3)	0.0011 (17)	0.002 (2)	-0.002 (2)
O5	0.053 (2)	0.0245 (19)	0.042 (2)	-0.0094 (17)	-0.0203 (18)	0.0093 (15)
O1	0.0252 (17)	0.0282 (17)	0.0344 (19)	0.0003 (15)	0.0030 (15)	-0.0040 (15)
N1	0.030 (2)	0.034 (2)	0.029 (2)	0.0011 (18)	0.0004 (18)	0.0003 (18)
O6	0.076 (3)	0.049 (3)	0.062 (3)	-0.010 (2)	-0.010 (2)	0.007 (2)
C3	0.033 (3)	0.035 (3)	0.029 (3)	-0.007 (2)	-0.006 (2)	-0.001 (2)
O3	0.0304 (19)	0.0338 (19)	0.042 (2)	-0.0084 (16)	0.0102 (17)	-0.0078 (17)
O2	0.0298 (18)	0.0426 (19)	0.0304 (18)	-0.0060 (16)	0.0071 (16)	-0.0054 (17)
C7	0.033 (3)	0.042 (3)	0.030 (3)	-0.002 (2)	-0.007 (2)	-0.003 (2)
O4	0.0292 (18)	0.041 (2)	0.040 (2)	-0.0042 (16)	0.0069 (17)	-0.0142 (17)
C5	0.053 (3)	0.033 (3)	0.045 (3)	0.010 (3)	-0.001 (3)	0.008 (3)
C6	0.043 (3)	0.043 (3)	0.037 (3)	0.010 (3)	-0.008 (3)	0.002 (2)
N2	0.041 (3)	0.024 (2)	0.039 (3)	-0.0041 (17)	-0.0069 (19)	0.0036 (16)
C2	0.023 (2)	0.030 (3)	0.026 (3)	0.007 (2)	-0.001 (2)	-0.001 (2)
C1	0.021 (2)	0.024 (2)	0.032 (2)	0.003 (2)	0.0027 (19)	0.0036 (18)

Geometric parameters (\AA , $^\circ$)

Fe1—O1	2.097 (3)	O6—H6	0.8500
Fe1—O4 ⁱ	2.128 (3)	O3—C1	1.252 (5)
Fe1—O3 ⁱ	2.136 (3)	O3—Fe1 ⁱⁱ	2.136 (3)
Fe1—O2	2.148 (3)	O2—C2	1.255 (6)
Fe1—O5	2.154 (3)	C7—C6	1.382 (7)
Fe1—N1	2.168 (4)	C7—H7A	0.9300
C4—N2	1.318 (6)	O4—C2	1.243 (6)
C4—N1	1.350 (6)	O4—Fe1 ⁱⁱ	2.128 (3)
C4—C3	1.504 (7)	C5—N2	1.321 (7)
O5—C3	1.240 (6)	C5—C6	1.362 (8)
O1—C1	1.263 (6)	C5—H5A	0.9300
N1—C7	1.317 (6)	C6—H6A	0.9300
O6—C3	1.325 (6)	C2—C1	1.540 (6)
O1—Fe1—O4 ⁱ	162.87 (14)	C3—O6—H6	120.4
O1—Fe1—O3 ⁱ	95.38 (13)	O5—C3—O6	124.0 (4)
O4 ⁱ —Fe1—O3 ⁱ	78.16 (13)	O5—C3—C4	119.7 (4)
O1—Fe1—O2	77.96 (12)	O6—C3—C4	116.3 (4)
O4 ⁱ —Fe1—O2	86.49 (14)	C1—O3—Fe1 ⁱⁱ	113.1 (3)
O3 ⁱ —Fe1—O2	93.08 (14)	C2—O2—Fe1	111.3 (3)
O1—Fe1—O5	99.90 (14)	N1—C7—C6	121.9 (5)
O4 ⁱ —Fe1—O5	95.90 (15)	N1—C7—H7A	119.1
O3 ⁱ —Fe1—O5	89.29 (13)	C6—C7—H7A	119.1
O2—Fe1—O5	176.94 (13)	C2—O4—Fe1 ⁱⁱ	113.7 (3)
O1—Fe1—N1	94.37 (14)	N2—C5—C6	124.4 (5)
O4 ⁱ —Fe1—N1	95.72 (14)	N2—C5—H5A	117.8

O3 ⁱ —Fe1—N1	163.96 (15)	C6—C5—H5A	117.8
O2—Fe1—N1	101.40 (14)	C5—C6—C7	115.9 (5)
O5—Fe1—N1	76.50 (14)	C5—C6—H6A	122.0
N2—C4—N1	126.2 (5)	C7—C6—H6A	122.0
N2—C4—C3	119.6 (4)	C4—N2—C5	115.0 (4)
N1—C4—C3	114.2 (4)	O4—C2—O2	125.8 (5)
C3—O5—Fe1	115.2 (3)	O4—C2—C1	117.4 (4)
C1—O1—Fe1	113.6 (3)	O2—C2—C1	116.8 (4)
C7—N1—C4	116.5 (4)	O3—C1—O1	126.2 (4)
C7—N1—Fe1	129.7 (4)	O3—C1—C2	117.3 (4)
C4—N1—Fe1	113.8 (3)	O1—C1—C2	116.5 (4)
O1—Fe1—O5—C3	-99.7 (4)	N1—C4—C3—O5	-2.3 (6)
O4 ⁱ —Fe1—O5—C3	86.9 (4)	N2—C4—C3—O6	-4.2 (7)
O3 ⁱ —Fe1—O5—C3	165.0 (4)	N1—C4—C3—O6	177.7 (4)
N1—Fe1—O5—C3	-7.5 (4)	O1—Fe1—O2—C2	-17.7 (3)
O4 ⁱ —Fe1—O1—C1	-10.5 (6)	O4 ⁱ —Fe1—O2—C2	155.1 (3)
O3 ⁱ —Fe1—O1—C1	-77.3 (3)	O3 ⁱ —Fe1—O2—C2	77.2 (3)
O2—Fe1—O1—C1	14.7 (3)	N1—Fe1—O2—C2	-109.8 (3)
O5—Fe1—O1—C1	-167.5 (3)	C4—N1—C7—C6	1.0 (7)
N1—Fe1—O1—C1	115.5 (3)	Fe1—N1—C7—C6	-178.9 (4)
N2—C4—N1—C7	-2.3 (7)	N2—C5—C6—C7	-2.2 (9)
C3—C4—N1—C7	175.7 (4)	N1—C7—C6—C5	1.0 (8)
N2—C4—N1—Fe1	177.7 (4)	N1—C4—N2—C5	1.2 (7)
C3—C4—N1—Fe1	-4.3 (5)	C3—C4—N2—C5	-176.7 (4)
O1—Fe1—N1—C7	-74.8 (4)	C6—C5—N2—C4	1.2 (8)
O4 ⁱ —Fe1—N1—C7	91.3 (4)	Fe1 ⁱⁱ —O4—C2—O2	-178.8 (4)
O3 ⁱ —Fe1—N1—C7	157.9 (5)	Fe1 ⁱⁱ —O4—C2—C1	1.0 (5)
O2—Fe1—N1—C7	3.8 (4)	Fe1—O2—C2—O4	-162.4 (4)
O5—Fe1—N1—C7	-174.0 (4)	Fe1—O2—C2—C1	17.8 (5)
O1—Fe1—N1—C4	105.3 (3)	Fe1 ⁱⁱ —O3—C1—O1	-173.3 (4)
O4 ⁱ —Fe1—N1—C4	-88.6 (3)	Fe1 ⁱⁱ —O3—C1—C2	6.6 (5)
O3 ⁱ —Fe1—N1—C4	-22.1 (7)	Fe1—O1—C1—O3	169.6 (4)
O2—Fe1—N1—C4	-176.2 (3)	Fe1—O1—C1—C2	-10.3 (5)
O5—Fe1—N1—C4	6.1 (3)	O4—C2—C1—O3	-5.4 (6)
Fe1—O5—C3—O6	-172.2 (4)	O2—C2—C1—O3	174.4 (4)
Fe1—O5—C3—C4	7.8 (6)	O4—C2—C1—O1	174.4 (4)
N2—C4—C3—O5	175.8 (5)	O2—C2—C1—O1	-5.7 (6)

Symmetry codes: (i) $x+1/2, -y-1/2, z$; (ii) $x-1/2, -y-1/2, z$.

Hydrogen-bond geometry (\AA , $^\circ$)

$D-H\cdots A$	$D-H$	$H\cdots A$	$D\cdots A$	$D-H\cdots A$
C6—H6A \cdots O3 ⁱⁱⁱ	0.93	2.48	3.279 (6)	145
O6—H6 \cdots O1 ^{iv}	0.85	2.17	2.988 (5)	161
O6—H6 \cdots N2	0.85	2.40	2.743 (6)	105

Symmetry codes: (iii) $-x-1/2, y+1/2, z-1/2$; (iv) $x+1/2, -y+1/2, z$.