

Acta Crystallographica Section E

## Structure Reports

Online

ISSN 1600-5368

Poly[( $\mu_4$ -1,2,3-benzothiadiazole-7-carboxylato)silver(I)]

Jiong-Peng Zhao and Fu-Chen Liu\*

School of Chemistry and Chemical Engineering, Tianjin University of Technology,  
Tianjin 300191, People's Republic of China  
Correspondence e-mail: fuchenliu@yaho.com


Received 11 June 2010; accepted 8 July 2010

Key indicators: single-crystal X-ray study;  $T = 293$  K; mean  $\sigma(\text{C}-\text{C}) = 0.008$  Å;  
R factor = 0.041; wR factor = 0.075; data-to-parameter ratio = 10.9.

In the crystal structure of the title compound,  $[\text{Ag}(\text{C}_7\text{H}_3\text{N}_2\text{O}_2\text{S})]_n$ , the  $\text{Ag}^{\text{I}}$  atom is coordinated by two N atoms and three O atoms of four organic ligands forming a distorted square pyramid. The carboxylate group acts as a bidentate ligand on one  $\text{Ag}^{\text{I}}$  atom and as a bridging group for a symmetry-related  $\text{Ag}^{\text{I}}$  atom, forming a dimer. Furthermore, the two N atoms of two thiadiazole rings bridge a third symmetry-related  $\text{Ag}^{\text{I}}$  atom, forming a six-membered ring. These two frameworks,  $\text{AgO}_2\text{Ag}$  and  $\text{AgN}_4\text{Ag}$ , extend in three directions, forming a three-dimensional polymer. The whole polymer is organized around inversion centers.

## Related literature

For a metal-organic complex with interesting properties, see: Yaghi *et al.* (2003). For related structures, see: Chen & Mak (2005); Ng & Othman (1997); Brammer *et al.* (2002).



## Experimental

## Crystal data

$[\text{Ag}(\text{C}_7\text{H}_3\text{N}_2\text{O}_2\text{S})]$   
 $M_r = 287.04$   
Monoclinic,  $P2_1/c$   
 $a = 5.8332$  (12) Å  
 $b = 14.786$  (3) Å  
 $c = 8.6377$  (17) Å  
 $\beta = 93.63$  (3)°

$V = 743.5$  (3) Å<sup>3</sup>  
 $Z = 4$   
Mo  $K\alpha$  radiation  
 $\mu = 2.95$  mm<sup>-1</sup>  
 $T = 293$  K  
 $0.20 \times 0.18 \times 0.17$  mm

## Data collection

Rigaku SCXmini diffractometer  
Absorption correction: multi-scan  
(*ABSCOR*; Higashi, 1995)  
 $T_{\text{min}} = 0.630$ ,  $T_{\text{max}} = 1.000$

6233 measured reflections  
1291 independent reflections  
1144 reflections with  $I > 2\sigma(I)$   
 $R_{\text{int}} = 0.044$

## Refinement

$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.041$   
 $wR(F^2) = 0.075$   
 $S = 1.16$   
1291 reflections

118 parameters  
H-atom parameters constrained  
 $\Delta\rho_{\text{max}} = 1.26$  e Å<sup>-3</sup>  
 $\Delta\rho_{\text{min}} = -0.63$  e Å<sup>-3</sup>

Data collection: *SCXmini Benchtop Crystallography System Software* (Rigaku, 2006); cell refinement: *PROCESS-AUTO* (Rigaku, 1998); data reduction: *PROCESS-AUTO*; program(s) used to solve structure: *SHELXS97* (Sheldrick, 2008); program(s) used to refine structure: *SHELXL97* (Sheldrick, 2008); molecular graphics: *ORTEP III* (Burnett & Johnson, 1996) and *PLATON* (Spek, 2009); software used to prepare material for publication: *SHELXTL* (Sheldrick, 2008).

The authors acknowledge financial support from Tianjin Municipal Education Commission (grant No. 20060503).

Supplementary data and figures for this paper are available from the IUCr electronic archives (Reference: DN2580).

## References

- Brammer, L., Burgard, M. D., Eddleston, M. D., Rodger, C. S., Rath, N. P. & Adams, H. (2002). *CrystEngComm*, **4**, 239–248.  
Burnett, M. N. & Johnson, C. K. (1996). *ORTEP III*. Report ORNL-6895. Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Tennessee, USA.  
Chen, X.-D. & Mak, T. C. W. (2005). *Chem. Commun.* pp. 3529–3531.  
Higashi, T. (1995). *ABSCOR*. Rigaku Corporation, Tokyo, Japan.  
Ng, S. W. & Othman, A. H. (1997). *Acta Cryst.* **C53**, 1396–1400.  
Rigaku (1998). *PROCESS-AUTO*. Rigaku Americas Corporation, The Woodlands, Texas, USA.  
Rigaku (2006). *SCXmini Benchtop Crystallography System Software*. Rigaku Americas Corporation, The Woodlands, Texas, USA.  
Sheldrick, G. M. (2008). *Acta Cryst.* **A64**, 112–122.  
Spek, A. L. (2009). *Acta Cryst.* **D65**, 148–155.  
Yaghi, O. M., O'Keeffe, M., Ockwig, N. W., Chae, H. K., Eddaoudi, M. & Kim, J. (2003). *Nature* (London), **423**, 705–714.

## supporting information

*Acta Cryst.* (2010). E66, m974 [https://doi.org/10.1107/S1600536810027029]

**Poly[( $\mu_4$ -1,2,3-benzothiadiazole-7-carboxylato)silver(I)]****Jiong-Peng Zhao and Fu-Chen Liu****S1. Comment**

Metal organic complexes have drawn much attentions owing to their various structures and their interesting properties (Yaghi *et al.*, 2003). As a bridging ligand benzo[d][1,2,3]thiadiazole-7-carboxylate (L) with three types of heteroatoms has been less investigated. Here we reported the structure of the title complex.

In the title compound, Ag<sup>I</sup> is coordinated by two N atoms and three oxygen atoms of four organic ligands forming a distorted square pyramid. The carboxylate group acts as a bidentate ligand on one silver atom and as a bridging group for a symmetry related silver forming a dimer. Furthermore the two nitrogen atoms of two thiadiazole rings bridge a third symmetry related Ag atom forming a six membered ring (Fig. 1). The Ag-O and Ag-N distances are in good agreement with the values observed in related Ag<sup>I</sup> complexes (Chen *et al.*, 2005; Ng & Othman, 1997; Brammer *et al.*, 2002). The thiadiazole groups bridge two Ag<sup>I</sup> anions using two nitrogen atoms leaving the sulfur atoms uncoordinated. In the dimer formed by the carboxylate group, Ag...Ag distance is 3.1168 (12)Å.


The two frameworks AgO<sub>2</sub>Ag and AgN<sub>4</sub>Ag extend in the three direction to form a three dimensionnal polymer (Fig. 2). The whole polymer is organised around inversion centers.

**S2. Experimental**

A mixture of Ag(I)nitrate (1.5mmol), benzo[d][1,2,3]thiadiazole-7-carboxylate acid (0.75 mmol), in 10 ml water solvent was sealed in a Teflon-lined stainless-steel Parr bomb that was heated at 413 K for 48 h. Red crystals of the title complex were collected after the bomb was allowed to cool to room temperature. Yield 20% based on metal salt.

**S3. Refinement**

Hydrogen atoms were included in calculated positions and treated as riding on their parent C atoms with C—H = 0.93Å and  $U_{\text{iso}}(\text{H}) = 1.2U_{\text{eq}}(\text{C})$ .

**Figure 1**

The coordinated mode of the metal ions. Ellipsoids are drawn at the 30% probability level. H atoms have been omitted for clarity. [Symmetry codes: i  $-x+1, y-1/2, -z+1/2$ ; ii  $-x, -y+1, -z+1$ ; iii  $-x+1, -y+1, -z+1$ ; iv  $x-1, -y+3/2, z+1/2$ ].




Figure 2

Packing view of the 3D structure viewed along the *a* axis. H atoms have been omitted for clarity.

Poly[( $\mu_4$ -1,2,3-benzothiadiazole-7-carboxylato)silver(I)]

Crystal data

[Ag(C<sub>7</sub>H<sub>3</sub>N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>S)]

$M_r = 287.04$

Monoclinic,  $P2_1/c$

Hall symbol: -P 2ybc

$a = 5.8332$  (12) Å

$b = 14.786$  (3) Å

$c = 8.6377$  (17) Å

$\beta = 93.63$  (3)°

$V = 743.5$  (3) Å<sup>3</sup>

$Z = 4$

$F(000) = 552$

$D_x = 2.564$  Mg m<sup>-3</sup>

Mo  $K\alpha$  radiation,  $\lambda = 0.71073$  Å

Cell parameters from 6859 reflections

$\theta = 3.5$ – $27.7$ °

$\mu = 2.95$  mm<sup>-1</sup>

$T = 293$  K

Block, yellow

$0.2 \times 0.18 \times 0.17$  mm

Data collection

Rigaku SCXmini  
diffractometer

Radiation source: fine-focus sealed tube

Graphite monochromator

$\omega$  scans

Absorption correction: multi-scan

(*ABSCOR*; Higashi, 1995)

$T_{\min} = 0.630$ ,  $T_{\max} = 1$

6233 measured reflections

1291 independent reflections

1144 reflections with  $I > 2\sigma(I)$

$R_{\text{int}} = 0.044$

$\theta_{\max} = 25.0$ °,  $\theta_{\min} = 3.5$ °

$h = -6 \rightarrow 6$

$k = -17 \rightarrow 17$

$l = -10 \rightarrow 10$

Refinement

Refinement on  $F^2$

Least-squares matrix: full

$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.041$

$wR(F^2) = 0.075$

$S = 1.16$

1291 reflections

118 parameters

0 restraints

Primary atom site location: structure-invariant

direct methods

Secondary atom site location: difference Fourier  
map

Hydrogen site location: inferred from  
neighbouring sites

H-atom parameters constrained

$w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.0199P)^2 + 2.3752P]$

where  $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$

$(\Delta/\sigma)_{\max} = 0.001$

$\Delta\rho_{\max} = 1.26$  e Å<sup>-3</sup>

$\Delta\rho_{\min} = -0.63$  e Å<sup>-3</sup>

Special details

**Geometry.** All esds (except the esd in the dihedral angle between two l.s. planes) are estimated using the full covariance matrix. The cell esds are taken into account individually in the estimation of esds in distances, angles and torsion angles; correlations between esds in cell parameters are only used when they are defined by crystal symmetry. An approximate (isotropic) treatment of cell esds is used for estimating esds involving l.s. planes.

**Refinement.** Refinement of  $F^2$  against ALL reflections. The weighted  $R$ -factor  $wR$  and goodness of fit  $S$  are based on  $F^2$ , conventional  $R$ -factors  $R$  are based on  $F$ , with  $F$  set to zero for negative  $F^2$ . The threshold expression of  $F^2 > \sigma(F^2)$  is used only for calculating  $R$ -factors(gt) *etc.* and is not relevant to the choice of reflections for refinement.  $R$ -factors based on  $F^2$  are statistically about twice as large as those based on  $F$ , and  $R$ -factors based on ALL data will be even larger.

Fractional atomic coordinates and isotropic or equivalent isotropic displacement parameters (Å<sup>2</sup>)

	<i>x</i>	<i>y</i>	<i>z</i>	$U_{\text{iso}}^*/U_{\text{eq}}$
Ag1	0.22834 (8)	0.54824 (3)	0.55500 (6)	0.04253 (19)

S1	0.8176 (2)	0.67965 (9)	0.27803 (16)	0.0309 (3)
O2	0.9762 (7)	0.8433 (3)	0.1625 (4)	0.0393 (10)
N1	0.4925 (7)	0.6364 (3)	0.4372 (5)	0.0285 (10)
O1	0.8634 (7)	0.9824 (3)	0.2134 (5)	0.0437 (11)
N2	0.6579 (8)	0.6012 (3)	0.3649 (5)	0.0305 (11)
C1	0.8499 (10)	0.8983 (4)	0.2247 (6)	0.0341 (13)
C5	0.3213 (9)	0.7828 (4)	0.4920 (6)	0.0303 (13)
H5A	0.2098	0.7576	0.5511	0.036*
C2	0.6652 (9)	0.8593 (4)	0.3167 (6)	0.0268 (12)
C7	0.6539 (8)	0.7651 (3)	0.3390 (6)	0.0237 (11)
C6	0.4826 (9)	0.7294 (3)	0.4252 (6)	0.0257 (12)
C3	0.5022 (9)	0.9111 (4)	0.3794 (6)	0.0315 (13)
H3A	0.5038	0.9732	0.3631	0.038*
C4	0.3315 (9)	0.8741 (4)	0.4679 (6)	0.0328 (13)
H4A	0.2246	0.9119	0.5104	0.039*

*Atomic displacement parameters (Å<sup>2</sup>)*

	$U^{11}$	$U^{22}$	$U^{33}$	$U^{12}$	$U^{13}$	$U^{23}$
Ag1	0.0450 (3)	0.0259 (3)	0.0598 (3)	−0.0019 (2)	0.0278 (2)	−0.0036 (2)
S1	0.0320 (8)	0.0274 (7)	0.0347 (8)	0.0004 (6)	0.0123 (6)	−0.0004 (6)
O2	0.039 (2)	0.041 (2)	0.040 (2)	0.0007 (19)	0.0199 (19)	0.0042 (19)
N1	0.028 (2)	0.023 (2)	0.034 (3)	−0.0008 (19)	0.005 (2)	−0.001 (2)
O1	0.057 (3)	0.033 (2)	0.043 (3)	−0.014 (2)	0.016 (2)	0.0093 (19)
N2	0.032 (3)	0.022 (2)	0.038 (3)	−0.001 (2)	0.006 (2)	0.002 (2)
C1	0.037 (3)	0.036 (3)	0.030 (3)	−0.012 (3)	0.004 (3)	0.003 (3)
C5	0.025 (3)	0.028 (3)	0.039 (3)	−0.005 (2)	0.011 (2)	−0.004 (2)
C2	0.029 (3)	0.029 (3)	0.022 (3)	−0.004 (2)	0.000 (2)	−0.001 (2)
C7	0.022 (3)	0.026 (3)	0.023 (3)	−0.002 (2)	0.001 (2)	−0.002 (2)
C6	0.027 (3)	0.027 (3)	0.023 (3)	−0.002 (2)	0.001 (2)	0.001 (2)
C3	0.041 (3)	0.021 (3)	0.033 (3)	0.000 (2)	0.006 (3)	0.000 (2)
C4	0.031 (3)	0.028 (3)	0.041 (3)	0.002 (2)	0.010 (3)	−0.005 (3)

*Geometric parameters (Å, °)*

Ag1—N1	2.304 (4)	C1—C2	1.494 (7)
Ag1—N2 <sup>i</sup>	2.396 (4)	C5—C4	1.367 (7)
Ag1—O2 <sup>ii</sup>	2.402 (4)	C5—C6	1.383 (7)
Ag1—O1 <sup>iii</sup>	2.540 (4)	C5—H5A	0.9300
Ag1—Ag1 <sup>iv</sup>	3.1168 (12)	C2—C3	1.360 (7)
S1—C7	1.688 (5)	C2—C7	1.408 (7)
S1—N2	1.693 (4)	C7—C6	1.388 (7)
O2—C1	1.242 (7)	C3—C4	1.404 (7)
N1—N2	1.292 (6)	C3—H3A	0.9300
N1—C6	1.379 (6)	C4—H4A	0.9300
O1—C1	1.251 (7)		
N1—Ag1—N2 <sup>i</sup>	117.94 (15)	O2—C1—C2	116.4 (5)

N1—Ag1—O2 <sup>ii</sup>	103.64 (15)	O1—C1—C2	118.4 (5)
N2 <sup>i</sup> —Ag1—O2 <sup>ii</sup>	132.00 (14)	C4—C5—C6	117.6 (5)
N1—Ag1—O1 <sup>iii</sup>	85.52 (15)	C4—C5—H5A	121.2
N2 <sup>i</sup> —Ag1—O1 <sup>iii</sup>	87.08 (14)	C6—C5—H5A	121.2
O2 <sup>ii</sup> —Ag1—O1 <sup>iii</sup>	120.64 (14)	C3—C2—C7	117.5 (5)
N1—Ag1—Ag1 <sup>iv</sup>	135.00 (11)	C3—C2—C1	122.7 (5)
N2 <sup>i</sup> —Ag1—Ag1 <sup>iv</sup>	83.21 (11)	C7—C2—C1	119.7 (5)
O2 <sup>ii</sup> —Ag1—Ag1 <sup>iv</sup>	83.74 (10)	C6—C7—C2	119.4 (5)
O1 <sup>iii</sup> —Ag1—Ag1 <sup>iv</sup>	54.53 (10)	C6—C7—S1	108.9 (4)
C7—S1—N2	92.1 (2)	C2—C7—S1	131.7 (4)
C1—O2—Ag1 <sup>v</sup>	97.2 (3)	N1—C6—C5	124.4 (5)
N2—N1—C6	113.3 (4)	N1—C6—C7	113.1 (4)
N2—N1—Ag1	121.7 (3)	C5—C6—C7	122.6 (5)
C6—N1—Ag1	124.8 (3)	C2—C3—C4	122.4 (5)
C1—O1—Ag1 <sup>vi</sup>	116.2 (4)	C2—C3—H3A	118.8
N1—N2—S1	112.7 (3)	C4—C3—H3A	118.8
N1—N2—Ag1 <sup>i</sup>	115.7 (3)	C5—C4—C3	120.4 (5)
S1—N2—Ag1 <sup>i</sup>	127.6 (2)	C5—C4—H4A	119.8
O2—C1—O1	125.1 (5)	C3—C4—H4A	119.8

Symmetry codes: (i)  $-x+1, -y+1, -z+1$ ; (ii)  $x-1, -y+3/2, z+1/2$ ; (iii)  $-x+1, y-1/2, -z+1/2$ ; (iv)  $-x, -y+1, -z+1$ ; (v)  $x+1, -y+3/2, z-1/2$ ; (vi)  $-x+1, y+1/2, -z+1/2$ .