Poly[3,3′-diethyl-1,1′-(ethane-1,2-diyl)-diimidazolium [tetra-μ-bromido-diargentate(I)]]

Zhiguo Wang,* Siman Liu and Na Zhang

Department of Chemistry and Chemical Engineering, Mianyang Normal University, Mianyang 621000, People's Republic of China
Correspondence e-mail: wangzhiguo224865@163.com

The asymmetric unit of the title salt, $\{(C_{12}H_{20}N_4)[Ag_2Br_4]\}_n$, contains one-half of a substituted imidazolium cation, one Ag^+ and two Br^- ions. The cation is completed by crystallographic inversion symmetry. The crystal structure is made up from polymeric sheets of $\{[AgBr_2]^-\}_n$ anions extending parallel to (100). The basic building unit of the anion is a slightly distorted $AgBr_4$ tetrahedron. A four- and 12-membered ring system is formed by corner sharing of the $AgBr_4$ tetrahedra. The imidazolium cations are located between the anionic sheets and partly protrude into the voids defined by the 12-membered rings.

Related literature

For general background to *N*-heterocyclic carbenes, see: Arnold (2002); Lin & Vasam (2004). For related structures, see: Lee *et al.* (2002); Helgesson & Jagner (1990, 1991); Olson *et al.* (1994).

Experimental

Crystal data

$(C_{12}H_{20}N_4)[Ag_2Br_4]$
$M_r = 755.90$
Monoclinic, $P2_1/c$
$a = 9.5593\ (13)$ Å
$b = 12.9512\ (17)$ Å
$c = 8.4565\ (11)$ Å
$\beta = 106.294\ (2)°$
$V = 1004.9\ (2)$ Å^3
$Z = 2$
Mo $K\alpha$ radiation
$\mu = 9.90$ mm^{-1}
$T = 296$ K
$0.25 \times 0.24 \times 0.22$ mm

Data collection

Bruker SMART CCD area-detector diffractometer
Absorption correction: multi-scan (*SADABS*; Sheldrick, 2006)
$T_{min} = 0.191$, $T_{max} = 0.219$
5036 measured reflections
1766 independent reflections
1533 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$
$R_{int} = 0.023$

Refinement

$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.031$
$wR(F^2) = 0.077$
$S = 1.06$
1766 reflections
101 parameters
H-atom parameters constrained
$\Delta\rho_{max} = 0.64$ e Å^{-3}
$\Delta\rho_{min} = -0.88$ e Å^{-3}

Table 1
Selected geometric parameters (Å, °).

Ag1—Br1	2.6788 (7)	Ag1—Br1^{ii}	2.6999 (7)
Ag1—Br2^{i}	2.6934 (8)	Ag1—Br2	2.7227 (8)
Br1—Ag1—Br2^{i}	114.34 (3)	Br1—Ag1—Br2	119.16 (3)
Br1—Ag1—Br1^{ii}	103.92 (2)	Br2^{i}—Ag1—Br2	95.81 (2)
Br2^{i}—Ag1—Br1^{ii}	116.12 (3)	Br1^{ii}—Ag1—Br2	107.93 (2)

Symmetry codes: (i) $-x, -y+1, -z+2$; (ii) $x, -y+\frac{3}{2}, z+\frac{1}{2}$.

Data collection: *SMART* (Bruker, 2006); cell refinement: *SAINT* (Bruker, 2006); data reduction: *SAINT*; program(s) used to solve structure: *SHELXS97* (Sheldrick, 2008); program(s) used to refine structure: *SHELXL97* (Sheldrick, 2008); molecular graphics: *DIAMOND* (Crystal Impact, 2008); software used to prepare material for publication: *SHELXL97*.

References

Arnold, P. L. (2002). *Heteroat. Chem.* **13**, 534–539.
Bruker (2006). *SMART* and *SAINT*. Bruker AXS Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.
Crystal Impact (2008). *DIAMOND*. Crystal Impact GmbH, Bonn, Germany.
Helgesson, G. & Jagner, S. (1990). *J. Chem. Soc. Dalton Trans.* pp. 2414–2420.
Helgesson, G. & Jagner, S. (1991). *Inorg. Chem.* **30**, 2514–2571.
Lee, K. L., Wang, H. M. J. & Lin, I. J. B. (2002). *J. Chem. Soc. Dalton Trans.* pp. 2852–2856.
Lin, I. J. B. & Vasam, C. S. (2004). *Comm. Inorg. Chem.* **25**, 75–129.
Olson, S., Helgesson, G. & Jagner, S. (1994). *Inorg. Chim. Acta*, **217**, 15–20.
Sheldrick, G. M. (2006). *SADABS*. University of Göttingen, Germany.
Sheldrick, G. M. (2008). *Acta Cryst.* A**64**, 112–122.

supporting information

Poly[3,3′-diethyl-1,1′-(ethane-1,2-diyl)diimidazolium [tetra-*μ*-bromido-diargentate(I)]]

Zhiguo Wang, Siman Liu and Na Zhang

S1. Comment

Silver and other transition metal N-heterocyclic carbene complexes have played an important role in the development of metal-carbene systems for transmetalation reactions. Silver oxide is the most commonly used metal base for this purposes. Recent reviews dealing with silver N-heterocyclic carbenes were published by Arnold (2002) and Lin & Vasam (2004). The products differ depending upon reaction conditions and the imidazolium salt used. The silver carbene $[Ag_2(Me_2\text{-edimy})Cl_2]$ has been successfully synthesized by the reaction of $[Me_2\text{-edimyH}_2][PF_6]_2$ with Ag_2O in CH_3CN and $[NM_4]Cl$ (Lee *et al.*, 2002). In an attempt to prepare a similar carbene, we obtained the title compound, $[(C_{12}H_{20}N_4)]^{2+}[Ag_2Br_4]^{2-}$, instead. Synthesis and crystal structure are reported in this article.

The crystal structure of the title salt is composed of $[(C_{12}H_{20}N_4)]^{2+}$ cations and $[Ag_2Br_4]^{2-}$ anions (Fig. 1). The anion forms polymeric sheets extending parallel to (100). The cations are located between the sheets and partly reach through the voids of the anion. A characteristic feature of the polymeric $\{[Ag_2Br_4]^{2-}\}_n$ anion is the construction of rings built up from corner-sharing of slightly distorted $AgBr_4$ tetrahedra. A large twelve-membered ring is formed by six alternating bromine and six silver atoms; another four-membered ring completes the building units of the polymeric anion (Fig. 2). The four-membered ring is very similar to that in the complex anion $[Ag_4Br_8]^{4-}$ (Helgesson & Jagner, 1991). These anions contain tetrahedrally coordinated Ag^+ atoms, whereas the $[Ag_4I_8]^{4-}$ ion, isolated as the tetraphenylphosphonium and tetra-phenylarsonium salts, contains three-coordinated and four-coordinated Ag^+ (Helgesson & Jagner, 1990).

The average Ag—Br distance of the $AgBr_4$ tetrahedron in the title compound is 2.699 Å, which is considerably longer than for the $[Ag_2Br_4]^{2-}$ dimer ((2.518 (2) Å; Helgesson *et al.*, 1990). These values are comparable to other tetrahedral $AgBr_4$ units (Olson *et al.*, 1994).

S2. Experimental

Ag_2O (2.32 g, 10 mmol) was added to a solution of 1H-imidazolium, 1,1′-(1,2-ethanediyl)bis[3-ethyl] dibromide (3.78 g, 10 mmol) in DMSO. This mixture was refluxed for 30 min under stirring, resulting in a clean solution. When the solvent was removed, the residue was exatracted with acetonitrile. The remaining residue was separated by centrifugation and the resulting solution was kept at room temperature. Colourless crystals of the title compound were obtained after slow evaporation (2.64 g, 34.9 % yield). Mp: 421 K. ^{1}H NMR ($CDCl_3$): 9.48(m,1H), 9.43 (m.1H), 6.84 (s, 2H, CH), 6.87 (s, 2H, CH), 4.52 (s, 4H, CH**2**), 3.64(s, 4H, CH**3**),1.42(m, 6H) ppm. Anal. calcd.: C, 19.05 H, 2.65; N, 7.41; found: C, 19.26; H, 2.57 ; N, 7.32%.

S3. Refinement

The H atoms attached to C atoms of the imidazole ring were positioned geometrically and allowed to ride on their parent atoms, with a C—H distance of 0.93 Å and $U_{iso}(H) = 1.2U_{eq}(C)$. Methylene and methyl H atoms were likewise positioned

geometrically and refined as riding atoms, with C—H = 0.97 Å (methylene) and C—H = 0.96 Å (methyl) and U_{iso}(H) = $1.2U_{eq}$(C).

Fig 1



Fig.1. The molecular structure of the title compound showing 30% probability displacement ellipsoids and atom-numbering scheme.

Figure 1

The $[(C_{12}H_{20}N_4)]^{2+}$ cation and the basic $AgBr_4$ building unit of the polymeric anion. Displacement ellipsoids are drawn at the 30% probability level.

Figure 2

The four- and twelve-membered ring system of the polymeric $\{[Ag_2Br_4]^{2-}\}_n$ anion.

Poly[3,3′-diethyl-1,1′-(ethane-1,2-diyl)diimidazolium [tetra-*μ*-bromido-diargentate(I)]]

Crystal data

$(C_{12}H_{20}N_4)[Ag_2Br_4]$	$F(000) = 708$
$M_r = 755.90$	$D_x = 2.498$ Mg m^{-3}
Monoclinic, $P2_1/c$	Mo $K\alpha$ radiation, $\lambda = 0.71073$ Å
Hall symbol: -P 2ybc	Cell parameters from 3112 reflections
$a = 9.5593$ (13) Å	$\theta = 2.2$–27.8°
$b = 12.9512$ (17) Å	$\mu = 9.90$ mm^{-1}
$c = 8.4565$ (11) Å	$T = 296$ K
$\beta = 106.294$ (2)°	Block, colourless
$V = 1004.9$ (2) Å^3	$0.25 \times 0.24 \times 0.22$ mm
$Z = 2$	

Data collection

Bruker SMART CCD area-detector diffractometer	5036 measured reflections
Radiation source: fine-focus sealed tube	1766 independent reflections
Graphite monochromator	1533 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$
phi and ω scans	$R_{int} = 0.023$
Absorption correction: multi-scan (*SADABS*; Sheldrick, 2006)	$\theta_{max} = 25.0°$, $\theta_{min} = 2.7°$
	$h = -11 \rightarrow 11$
	$k = -15 \rightarrow 14$
$T_{min} = 0.191$, $T_{max} = 0.219$	$l = -10 \rightarrow 5$

Refinement

Refinement on F^2	Secondary atom site location: difference Fourier map
Least-squares matrix: full	
$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.031$	Hydrogen site location: inferred from neighbouring sites
$wR(F^2) = 0.077$	
$S = 1.06$	H-atom parameters constrained
1766 reflections	$w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.0366P)^2 + 1.7373P]$ where $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$
101 parameters	
0 restraints	$(\Delta/\sigma)_{max} = 0.001$
Primary atom site location: structure-invariant direct methods	$\Delta\rho_{max} = 0.64$ e Å^{-3}
	$\Delta\rho_{min} = -0.88$ e Å^{-3}

Special details

Geometry. All esds (except the esd in the dihedral angle between two l.s. planes) are estimated using the full covariance matrix. The cell esds are taken into account individually in the estimation of esds in distances, angles and torsion angles; correlations between esds in cell parameters are only used when they are defined by crystal symmetry. An approximate (isotropic) treatment of cell esds is used for estimating esds involving l.s. planes.

Refinement. Refinement of F^2 against ALL reflections. The weighted *R*-factor wR and goodness of fit *S* are based on F^2, conventional *R*-factors *R* are based on *F*, with *F* set to zero for negative F^2. The threshold expression of $F^2 > \sigma(F^2)$ is used only for calculating *R*-factors(gt) etc. and is not relevant to the choice of reflections for refinement. *R*-factors based on F^2 are statistically about twice as large as those based on *F*, and *R*- factors based on ALL data will be even larger.

Fractional atomic coordinates and isotropic or equivalent isotropic displacement parameters (Å^2)

	x	y	z	U_{iso}*/U_{eq}
Ag1	0.06806 (5)	0.63160 (3)	1.01898 (5)	0.05733 (16)

N1	0.8101 (4)	0.5496 (3)	0.4321 (5)	0.0424 (9)
N2	0.6179 (5)	0.6042 (4)	0.2519 (6)	0.0575 (12)
Br1	0.13558 (8)	0.75994 (4)	0.80302 (6)	0.0632 (2)
Br2	0.20441 (6)	0.44528 (4)	1.08097 (7)	0.05774 (18)
C1	0.6983 (6)	0.4833 (5)	0.4277 (8)	0.0646 (16)
H1	0.7040	0.4239	0.4911	0.078*
C2	0.5809 (6)	0.5178 (5)	0.3180 (8)	0.0650 (16)
H2	0.4889	0.4877	0.2912	0.078*
C3	0.7579 (6)	0.6221 (5)	0.3208 (7)	0.0584 (14)
H3	0.8110	0.6766	0.2952	0.070*
C4	0.5147 (8)	0.6630 (6)	0.1169 (10)	0.095 (3)
H4A	0.4988	0.6241	0.0153	0.114*
H4B	0.4219	0.6683	0.1417	0.114*
C5	0.5611 (12)	0.7605 (6)	0.0923 (13)	0.121 (4)
H5A	0.5711	0.8012	0.1898	0.182*
H5B	0.4911	0.7923	0.0012	0.182*
H5C	0.6535	0.7563	0.0688	0.182*
C6	0.9600 (5)	0.5390 (4)	0.5370 (6)	0.0432 (11)
H6A	0.9594	0.5169	0.6464	0.052*
H6B	1.0093	0.6052	0.5469	0.052*

Atomic displacement parameters (Å²)

	U^{11}	U^{22}	U^{33}	U^{12}	U^{13}	U^{23}
Ag1	0.0590 (3)	0.0526 (3)	0.0561 (3)	−0.0056 (2)	0.0091 (2)	0.00076 (18)
N1	0.031 (2)	0.048 (2)	0.045 (2)	−0.0008 (18)	0.0046 (17)	0.0031 (18)
N2	0.039 (3)	0.074 (3)	0.053 (3)	0.005 (2)	0.002 (2)	0.013 (2)
Br1	0.1030 (5)	0.0489 (3)	0.0362 (3)	−0.0160 (3)	0.0171 (3)	0.0008 (2)
Br2	0.0326 (3)	0.0547 (3)	0.0783 (4)	0.0051 (2)	0.0029 (2)	−0.0090 (3)
C1	0.039 (3)	0.058 (3)	0.088 (4)	−0.008 (3)	0.002 (3)	0.023 (3)
C2	0.035 (3)	0.068 (4)	0.083 (4)	−0.006 (3)	0.002 (3)	0.014 (3)
C3	0.045 (3)	0.068 (4)	0.061 (4)	−0.005 (3)	0.013 (3)	0.017 (3)
C4	0.064 (5)	0.106 (6)	0.096 (6)	0.003 (4)	−0.007 (4)	0.043 (5)
C5	0.135 (9)	0.067 (5)	0.125 (8)	0.012 (5)	−0.026 (6)	0.003 (5)
C6	0.035 (3)	0.051 (3)	0.040 (3)	−0.004 (2)	0.005 (2)	−0.008 (2)

Geometric parameters (Å, º)

Ag1—Br1	2.6788 (7)	C2—H2	0.9300
Ag1—Br2[i]	2.6934 (8)	C3—H3	0.9300
Ag1—Br1[ii]	2.6999 (7)	C4—C5	1.374 (10)
Ag1—Br2	2.7227 (8)	C4—H4A	0.9700
N1—C3	1.324 (6)	C4—H4B	0.9700
N1—C1	1.363 (7)	C5—H5A	0.9600
N1—C6	1.466 (6)	C5—H5B	0.9600
N2—C3	1.321 (7)	C5—H5C	0.9600
N2—C2	1.341 (7)	C6—C6[iii]	1.506 (9)
N2—C4	1.491 (8)	C6—H6A	0.9700

C1—C2	1.317 (8)	C6—H6B	0.9700
C1—H1	0.9300		
Br1—Ag1—Br2ⁱ	114.34 (3)	N2—C3—H3	125.6
Br1—Ag1—Br1ⁱⁱ	103.92 (2)	N1—C3—H3	125.6
Br2ⁱ—Ag1—Br1ⁱⁱ	116.12 (3)	C5—C4—N2	114.4 (7)
Br1—Ag1—Br2	119.16 (3)	C5—C4—H4A	108.7
Br2ⁱ—Ag1—Br2	95.81 (2)	N2—C4—H4A	108.7
Br1ⁱⁱ—Ag1—Br2	107.93 (2)	C5—C4—H4B	108.7
C3—N1—C1	106.9 (4)	N2—C4—H4B	108.7
C3—N1—C6	127.3 (4)	H4A—C4—H4B	107.6
C1—N1—C6	125.7 (4)	C4—C5—H5A	109.5
C3—N2—C2	108.4 (5)	C4—C5—H5B	109.5
C3—N2—C4	128.2 (5)	H5A—C5—H5B	109.5
C2—N2—C4	123.4 (5)	C4—C5—H5C	109.5
Ag1—Br1—Ag1ⁱᵛ	152.39 (4)	H5A—C5—H5C	109.5
Ag1ⁱ—Br2—Ag1	84.19 (2)	H5B—C5—H5C	109.5
C2—C1—N1	108.1 (5)	N1—C6—C6ⁱⁱⁱ	109.6 (5)
C2—C1—H1	125.9	N1—C6—H6A	109.8
N1—C1—H1	125.9	C6ⁱⁱⁱ—C6—H6A	109.8
C1—C2—N2	107.8 (5)	N1—C6—H6B	109.8
C1—C2—H2	126.1	C6ⁱⁱⁱ—C6—H6B	109.8
N2—C2—H2	126.1	H6A—C6—H6B	108.2
N2—C3—N1	108.8 (5)		
Br2ⁱ—Ag1—Br1—Ag1ⁱᵛ	4.51 (7)	C4—N2—C2—C1	177.1 (7)
Br1ⁱⁱ—Ag1—Br1—Ag1ⁱᵛ	−123.08 (5)	C2—N2—C3—N1	−1.2 (7)
Br2—Ag1—Br1—Ag1ⁱᵛ	116.83 (6)	C4—N2—C3—N1	−177.9 (7)
Br1—Ag1—Br2—Ag1ⁱ	−122.09 (3)	C1—N1—C3—N2	1.7 (7)
Br2ⁱ—Ag1—Br2—Ag1ⁱ	0.0	C6—N1—C3—N2	179.7 (5)
Br1ⁱⁱ—Ag1—Br2—Ag1ⁱ	119.87 (3)	C3—N2—C4—C5	−18.3 (12)
C3—N1—C1—C2	−1.5 (7)	C2—N2—C4—C5	165.6 (8)
C6—N1—C1—C2	−179.6 (5)	C3—N1—C6—C6ⁱⁱⁱ	−98.5 (7)
N1—C1—C2—N2	0.8 (8)	C1—N1—C6—C6ⁱⁱⁱ	79.1 (7)
C3—N2—C2—C1	0.2 (8)		

Symmetry codes: (i) −*x*, −*y*+1, −*z*+2; (ii) *x*, −*y*+3/2, *z*+1/2; (iii) −*x*+2, −*y*+1, −*z*+1; (iv) *x*, −*y*+3/2, *z*−1/2.