# organic compounds

Acta Crystallographica Section E Structure Reports Online

ISSN 1600-5368

# Ethyl 1,6-dimethyl-2-oxo-4-(quinolin-4yl)-1,2,3,4-tetrahydropyrimidine-5carboxylate

# Roman I. Zubatyuk,<sup>a</sup>\* Oleg V. Shishkin,<sup>a</sup> Heiko Ihmels,<sup>b</sup> Iryna A. Lebedyeva<sup>c</sup> and Mykhaylo V. Povstyanoy<sup>c</sup>

<sup>a</sup>STC "Institute for Single Crystals" NAS of Ukraine, 60 Lenina Ave., Kharkiv, Ukraine, <sup>b</sup>University of Siegen, FB 8, Adolf Reichwein Strasse 2, 57068 Siegen, Germany, and <sup>c</sup>Department of Organic and Biochemical Synthesis, Kherson National Technical University, 24 Berislavske Highway, Kherson 73008, Ukraine Correspondence e-mail: roman@xray.isc.kharkov.com

Received 12 May 2010; accepted 17 June 2010

Key indicators: single-crystal X-ray study; T = 293 K; mean  $\sigma$ (C–C) = 0.002 Å; R factor = 0.044; wR factor = 0.117; data-to-parameter ratio = 20.9.

In the title compound,  $C_{18}H_{19}N_3O_3$ , the tetrahydropyrimidone ring adopts a distorted boat conformation. In the crystal structure, intermolecular  $N-H\cdots O$  hydrogen bonds link the molecules into centrosymmetric dimers, which are further linked *via* intermolecular  $C-H\cdots \pi$  interactions. In addition, an intramolecular  $C-H\cdots O$  hydrogen bond occurs.

#### **Related literature**

It has been proposed that the combination of the biologically active dihydropyrimidine subunit with a DNA intercalator (Waring, 2006; Hannon, 2007; Ihmels & Otto, 2005) may lead to a new class of DNA-targeting drugs (Neidle & Thurston, 2005; Braña *et al.*, 2001). Thus, a classical DNA intercalator, namely quinoline (Denny, 2003; Kharatishvili *et al.*, 1997; Aislabie *et al.*, 1990), was employed as quinoline-4-carbaldehyde in the Biginelli (1893) reaction that leads to the title compound. For the biological activity of pyrimidinecontaining compounds, see: Goldmann & Stoltefuss (1991); McKinstry & Reading (1944); Kappe (2000); Luo *et al.* (2004). For van der Waals radii, see: Zefirov & Zorky (1989).



 $\nu = 97.339 \ (9)^{\circ}$ 

Z = 2

T = 293 K $0.4 \times 0.3 \times 0.2 \text{ mm}$ 

 $R_{\rm int} = 0.017$ 

220 parameters

 $\Delta \rho_{\text{max}} = 0.30 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$  $\Delta \rho_{\text{min}} = -0.17 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$ 

 $V = 792.07 (17) \text{ Å}^3$ 

Mo  $K\alpha$  radiation  $\mu = 0.10 \text{ mm}^{-1}$ 

> Diffraction, 2009)  $T_{\min} = 0.975, T_{\max} = 1$

8517 measured reflections

4607 independent reflections

2810 reflections with  $I > 2\sigma(I)$ 

H-atom parameters constrained

# Experimental

Crystal data  $C_{18}H_{19}N_3O_3$   $M_r = 325.36$ Triclinic,  $P\overline{1}$  a = 8.5039 (10) Å b = 9.4637 (11) Å c = 10.7503 (11) Å  $\alpha = 110.799$  (10)°  $\beta = 95.807$  (9)°

#### Data collection

Oxford Diffraction Xcalibur diffractometer with Sapphire3 detector Absorption correction: multi-scan (*CrysAlis PRO*; Oxford

#### Refinement

 $R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.044$  $wR(F^2) = 0.117$ S = 0.994607 reflections

#### Table 1

Hydrogen-bond geometry (Å,  $^\circ).$ 

Cg1 is the centroid of the C8-C13 ring.

$D - H \cdots A$	D-H	$H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	$D \cdots A$	$D - \mathbf{H} \cdots A$
$N2-H2\cdots O3^{i}$ $C17-H17A\cdots O2$ $C16-H16C\cdots Cg1^{ii}$	0.86 0.96 0.96	2.03 2.16 2.66	2.8701 (16) 2.8187 (19) 3.603 (2)	164 124 168

Symmetry codes: (i) -x, -y + 1, -z; (ii) x, y - 1, z.

Data collection: *CrysAlis PRO* (Oxford Diffraction, 2009); cell refinement: *CrysAlis PRO*; data reduction: *CrysAlis PRO*; program(s) used to solve structure: *Superflip* (Palatinus & Chapuis, 2007); program(s) used to refine structure: *SHELXL97* (Sheldrick, 2008); molecular graphics: *PLATON* (Spek, 2009); software used to prepare material for publication: *publCIF* (Westrip, 2010).

The authors are grateful to the DAAD (Deutscher Akademischer Austausch Dienst) for a research scholarship.

Supplementary data and figures for this paper are available from the IUCr electronic archives (Reference: LX2153).

### References

- Aislabie, J., Bej, A. K., Rothenburger, S. & Atlas, R. M. (1990). Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 56, 345-351.
- Biginelli, P. (1893). Gazz. Chim. Ital. 23, 360-412.
- Braña, M. F., Cacho, M., Gradillas, A., Pascual-Teresa, B. & Ramos, A. (2001). Curr. Pharm. Des. 7, 1745-1780.
- Denny, W. A. (2003). DNA and RNA Binders: From Small Molecules to Drugs. Weinheim: Wiley-VCH.
- Goldmann, S. & Stoltefuss, J. (1991). Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl. 30, 1559-1578.
- Hannon, M. J. (2007). Chem. Soc. Rev. 36, 280-295.
- Ihmels, H. & Otto, D. (2005). Top. Curr. Chem. 258, 161-204.
- Kappe, C. O. (2000). Eur. J. Med. Chem. 35, 1043-1052.
- Kharatishvili, M., Mathieson, M. & Farrell, N. (1997). Inorg. Chim. Acta, 255, 1-6.

- Luo, L., Carson, J. D., Dhanak, D., Jackson, J. R., Huang, P. S., Lee, Y., Sakowicz, R. & Copeland, R. A. (2004). Biochemistry, 43, 15258-15266.
- McKinstry, D. W. & Reading, E. H. (1944). J. Franklin. Inst. 237, 422-427. Neidle, S. & Thurston, D. E. (2005). Nat. Rev. Cancer, 5, 285-296.
- Oxford Diffraction (2009). CrysAlis PRO. Oxford Diffraction Ltd, Abingdon,
- England. Palatinus, L. & Chapuis, G. (2007). J. Appl. Cryst. 40, 786-790.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (2008). Acta Cryst. A64, 112-122.
- Spek, A. L. (2009). Acta Cryst. D65, 148-155.
- Waring, M. J. (2006). Sequence-specific DNA binding agents. Cambridge: RSC Publishing.
- Westrip, S. P. (2010). J. Appl. Cryst. 43. Submitted.
- Zefirov, Yu. V. & Zorky, P. M. (1989). Russ. Chem. Rev. 58, 421-440.

# supporting information

Acta Cryst. (2010). E66, o1762-o1763 [doi:10.1107/S1600536810023482]

# Ethyl 1,6-dimethyl-2-oxo-4-(quinolin-4-yl)-1,2,3,4-tetrahydropyrimidine-5carboxylate

# Roman I. Zubatyuk, Oleg V. Shishkin, Heiko Ihmels, Iryna A. Lebedyeva and Mykhaylo V. Povstyanoy

# S1. Comment

Pyrimidine-containing compounds exhibit a wide spectrum of biological activity (Goldmann & Stoltefuss, 1991). For example, dihydropyrimidines derivatives (DHPMs) may be applied as antimicrobial (McKinstry & Reading, 1944), antiinflammatory (Kappe, 2000), anticarcenogenic drugs (Luo *et al.*, 2004). A three-component condensation of urea derivatives with aromatic aldehydes and  $\beta$ -ketoesters, known as Biginelli reaction allows the synthesis of the DHPM unit with a widely modified and variable substitution pattern. It was proposed that the combination of the biologically active DHPM subunit with a DNA intercalator (Waring, 2006; Hannon, 2007; Ihmels & Otto, 2005) may lead to a new class of DNA-targeting drugs (Neidle & Thurston, 2005; Braña *et al.*, 2001). Thus, a classical DNA, namely quinoline (Denny, 2003; Kharatishvili *et al.*, 1997; Aislabie *et al.*, 1990), was employed as quinoline-4-carbaldehyde in the Biginelli reaction according to traditional reaction conditions in ethanol employing hydrochloric acid as catalyst (Biginelli, 1893) leading to the title compound (Fig. 1).

Tetrahydropyrimidone ring adopts a distorted boat conformation with the C1, C2, C3 and N1 atoms almost coplanar (dihedral angle of 1.47 (18)°), while the C4 and N2 atoms deviated from this plane by -0.342 (2) Å and -0.481 (2) Å, respectively. This non-planariry is probably additionally supported by steric repulsion between methyl groups at the C3 and N1 atoms (short intramolecular contacts C17···H18*a* 2.55 Å and C18···C17*a* 2.57 Å while van der Waals radii sum is 2.87 Å, Zefirov & Zorky, 1989). Quinoline substituent has axial orientation (the C4—N2—C1—C5 torsion angle of -95.03 (14)°) and rotated almost coplanar to the C1—C2 bond (dihedral angle of -13.55 (16)°) despite short intramolecular contact H6···C2 2.48 Å (van der Waals radii sum is 2.87 Å, Zefirov & Zorky, 1989). Ester substituent at the C2 atom slightly rotated with respect to C1/C2/C3/N1 fragment (the C1—C2—C14—O1 torsion angle of -24.96 (18)°) supporting formation of attractive intramolecular contact O1···H1 2.44 Å (van der Waals radii sum of 2.46 Å). The ester substituent rotation is accompanied by formation of short intramolecular C17—H17c···O2 hydrogen bond.

The crystal packing (Fig. 2) is stabilized by intramolecular C—H···O and intermolecular N—H···O hydrogen bonds between adjacent amide fragments of pyrimidine ring, with a N2—H2···O3<sup>i</sup> (Table 1). The molecular packing (Fig. 2) is further stabilized by an intermolecular C—H··· $\pi$  interaction between the methyl H atom of the ethyl group and the pyridine ring of a neighbouring quinoline system, with a C16—H16C···Cg1<sup>ii</sup>.

(Table 1; Cg1 is the centroid of the C5/C6/C7/N3/C8/C13 pyridine ring).

# **S2. Experimental**

The title compound was synthesized by refluxing condition of monomethylurea (0.237 g, 3.20 mmol), 4-quinoline aldehyde (0.502 g, 3.20 mmol), acetoacetic ester (0.520 g, 4.00 mmol) with catalytic amount of HCl in 15 ml EtOH. The

residue was purified by column chromatography (silica gel, hexane–acetone, 90:5 v/v) to afforded the title compound as a colorless solid (yield 48%, m.p. 472 K). The single crystals suitable for X-ray diffraction were obtained by evaporation of a solution of the title compound in acetone.

# S3. Refinement

All H-atoms were positioned geometrically and refined using a riding model with d (N—H) = 0.86 Å, d (C—H) = 0.93–0.98 Å and  $U_{iso}(H) = 1.2-1.5 U_{eq}$  (parent atom).



# Figure 1

The molecular structure of the title compound with the atom numbering scheme. Displacement ellipsoids drawn at the 50% probability level. H atoms are presented as a small spheres of arbitrary radius.



## Figure 2

N—H···O and C—H··· $\pi$  interactions (dotted lines) in the crystal structure of the title compound. Cg denotes the ring centroid. [Symmetry codes: (i) *x*, - *y* + 1, *z*; (ii) - *x*, - *y* + 1, - *z*.]

# Ethyl 1,6-dimethyl-2-oxo-4-(quinolin-4-yl)-1,2,3,4-tetrahydropyrimidine-5- carboxylate

Crystal data

 $C_{18}H_{19}N_{3}O_{3}$   $M_{r} = 325.36$ Triclinic, *P*I a = 8.5039 (10) Å b = 9.4637 (11) Å c = 10.7503 (11) Å  $a = 110.799 (10)^{\circ}$   $\beta = 95.807 (9)^{\circ}$   $\gamma = 97.339 (9)^{\circ}$  $V = 792.07 (17) \text{ Å}^{3}$ 

Data collection

Oxford Diffraction Xcalibur diffractometer with Sapphire3 detector Graphite monochromator Detector resolution: 16.1827 pixels mm<sup>-1</sup>  $\omega$  scans Absorption correction: multi-scan (*CrysAlis PRO*; Oxford Diffraction, 2009)  $T_{\min} = 0.975$ ,  $T_{\max} = 1$ 

# Refinement

Refinement on  $F^2$ Least-squares matrix: full  $R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.044$  $wR(F^2) = 0.117$ S = 0.994607 reflections Z = 2 F(000) = 344  $D_x = 1.364 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$ Mo  $K\alpha$  radiation,  $\lambda = 0.7107 \text{ Å}$ Cell parameters from 3300 reflections  $\theta = 3.1-32.4^{\circ}$   $\mu = 0.10 \text{ mm}^{-1}$  T = 293 KPrism, colorless  $0.4 \times 0.3 \times 0.2 \text{ mm}$ 

8517 measured reflections 4607 independent reflections 2810 reflections with  $I > 2\sigma(I)$  $R_{int} = 0.017$  $\theta_{max} = 30.0^{\circ}, \theta_{min} = 3.1^{\circ}$  $h = -12 \rightarrow 12$  $k = -14 \rightarrow 13$  $l = -14 \rightarrow 15$ 

220 parameters0 restraintsPrimary atom site location: structure-invariant direct methodsSecondary atom site location: difference Fourier map

Hydrogen site location: inferred from	$w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.060P)^2]$
neighbouring sites	where $P = (F_0^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$
H-atom parameters constrained	$(\Delta/\sigma)_{\rm max} = 0.001$
	$\Delta  ho_{ m max} = 0.30 \ { m e} \ { m \AA}^{-3}$
	$\Delta \rho_{\rm min} = -0.17 \text{ e} \text{ Å}^{-3}$

# Special details

**Geometry**. All esds (except the esd in the dihedral angle between two l.s. planes) are estimated using the full covariance matrix. The cell esds are taken into account individually in the estimation of esds in distances, angles and torsion angles; correlations between esds in cell parameters are only used when they are defined by crystal symmetry. An approximate (isotropic) treatment of cell esds is used for estimating esds involving l.s. planes.

**Refinement**. Refinement of  $F^2$  against ALL reflections. The weighted *R*-factor wR and goodness of fit *S* are based on  $F^2$ , conventional *R*-factors *R* are based on *F*, with *F* set to zero for negative  $F^2$ . The threshold expression of  $F^2 > \sigma(F^2)$  is used only for calculating *R*-factors(gt) etc. and is not relevant to the choice of reflections for refinement. *R*-factors based on  $F^2$  are statistically about twice as large as those based on *F*, and *R*- factors based on ALL data will be even larger.

	x	у	Ζ	$U_{\rm iso}$ */ $U_{\rm eq}$
01	0.27163 (12)	0.04049 (12)	0.18120 (11)	0.0531 (3)
O2	0.06327 (12)	-0.03288 (11)	0.26749 (11)	0.0499 (3)
O3	-0.17806 (12)	0.43713 (11)	0.03648 (11)	0.0505 (3)
N1	-0.19236 (12)	0.24916 (11)	0.12189 (11)	0.0342 (2)
N2	0.05174 (13)	0.36177 (12)	0.09001 (11)	0.0392 (3)
H2	0.1019	0.4088	0.0464	0.047*
N3	0.34211 (15)	0.63743 (12)	0.56698 (12)	0.0471 (3)
C1	0.14609 (14)	0.29466 (13)	0.16757 (12)	0.0315 (3)
H1	0.2345	0.2599	0.1197	0.038*
C2	0.04233 (15)	0.15552 (12)	0.17321 (12)	0.0317 (3)
C3	-0.11880 (15)	0.13846 (13)	0.15232 (12)	0.0324 (3)
C4	-0.10723 (16)	0.35587 (13)	0.08131 (13)	0.0355 (3)
C5	0.21887 (13)	0.41308 (12)	0.30752 (12)	0.0297 (3)
C6	0.16516 (16)	0.40622 (14)	0.42047 (13)	0.0377 (3)
H6	0.0850	0.3261	0.4139	0.045*
C7	0.23041 (18)	0.51977 (16)	0.54688 (15)	0.0467 (3)
H7	0.1913	0.5106	0.6219	0.056*
C8	0.40063 (15)	0.64740 (14)	0.45630 (14)	0.0385 (3)
C9	0.52172 (17)	0.77391 (15)	0.47649 (16)	0.0497 (4)
Н9	0.5580	0.8462	0.5631	0.060*
C10	0.58558 (18)	0.79118 (17)	0.37115 (18)	0.0562 (4)
H10	0.6645	0.8754	0.3856	0.067*
C11	0.53288 (17)	0.68249 (17)	0.24086 (17)	0.0519 (4)
H11	0.5785	0.6942	0.1693	0.062*
C12	0.41510 (15)	0.55914 (15)	0.21731 (15)	0.0390 (3)
H12	0.3810	0.4886	0.1298	0.047*
C13	0.34461 (13)	0.53745 (13)	0.32394 (13)	0.0315 (3)
C14	0.13704 (16)	0.04890 (13)	0.20431 (13)	0.0356 (3)
C15	0.14436 (18)	-0.14639 (16)	0.29605 (17)	0.0488 (4)
H15B	0.2456	-0.0972	0.3555	0.059*

Fractional atomic coordinates and isotropic or equivalent isotropic displacement parameters  $(\hat{A}^2)$ 

H15A	0.1659	-0.2207	0.2133	0.059*
C16	0.0369 (2)	-0.2227 (2)	0.3611 (2)	0.0799 (7)
H16B	0.0163	-0.1479	0.4426	0.120*
H16C	0.0869	-0.2987	0.3820	0.120*
H16A	-0.0625	-0.2712	0.3011	0.120*
C17	-0.23434 (17)	0.00572 (15)	0.15444 (17)	0.0485 (4)
H17C	-0.2872	0.0395	0.2319	0.073*
H17A	-0.1769	-0.0738	0.1587	0.073*
H17B	-0.3128	-0.0334	0.0741	0.073*
C18	-0.36752 (16)	0.23559 (17)	0.09982 (16)	0.0475 (4)
H18B	-0.4112	0.2070	0.1682	0.071*
H18A	-0.4111	0.1585	0.0127	0.071*
H18C	-0.3949	0.3324	0.1041	0.071*

Atomic displacement parameters  $(Å^2)$ 

	0	$U^{zz}$	U <sup>33</sup>	$U^{12}$	$U^{13}$	$U^{23}$
O1	0.0463 (6)	0.0626 (6)	0.0668 (8)	0.0204 (5)	0.0161 (5)	0.0384 (6)
02	0.0498 (6)	0.0499 (6)	0.0725 (8)	0.0210 (4)	0.0171 (5)	0.0433 (5)
03	0.0463 (6)	0.0575 (6)	0.0623 (7)	0.0094 (4)	0.0002 (5)	0.0421 (5)
N1	0.0347 (6)	0.0355 (5)	0.0355 (6)	0.0048 (4)	0.0016 (5)	0.0183 (4)
N2	0.0379 (6)	0.0459 (6)	0.0416 (7)	0.0001 (4)	-0.0010 (5)	0.0301 (5)
N3	0.0521 (7)	0.0459 (6)	0.0369 (7)	0.0003 (5)	0.0004 (5)	0.0124 (5)
C1	0.0329 (6)	0.0319 (6)	0.0324 (7)	0.0051 (4)	0.0036 (5)	0.0158 (5)
C2	0.0381 (7)	0.0275 (5)	0.0289 (6)	0.0034 (4)	0.0012 (5)	0.0116 (5)
C3	0.0402 (7)	0.0296 (6)	0.0269 (6)	0.0027 (5)	0.0009 (5)	0.0121 (5)
C4	0.0411 (7)	0.0368 (6)	0.0296 (7)	0.0030 (5)	-0.0012 (5)	0.0167 (5)
C5	0.0295 (6)	0.0295 (5)	0.0328 (7)	0.0078 (4)	0.0026 (5)	0.0146 (5)
C6	0.0416 (7)	0.0358 (6)	0.0359 (7)	0.0016 (5)	0.0053 (6)	0.0157 (5)
C7	0.0571 (9)	0.0482 (8)	0.0337 (8)	0.0036 (6)	0.0072 (7)	0.0159 (6)
C8	0.0363 (7)	0.0372 (7)	0.0396 (8)	0.0042 (5)	-0.0017 (6)	0.0144 (6)
С9	0.0433 (8)	0.0428 (8)	0.0538 (10)	-0.0051 (6)	-0.0066 (7)	0.0151 (6)
C10	0.0433 (9)	0.0512 (9)	0.0716 (12)	-0.0093 (6)	-0.0034 (8)	0.0291 (8)
C11	0.0426 (8)	0.0599 (9)	0.0618 (11)	0.0003 (7)	0.0095 (7)	0.0354 (8)
C12	0.0341 (7)	0.0441 (7)	0.0423 (8)	0.0059 (5)	0.0042 (6)	0.0210 (6)
C13	0.0271 (6)	0.0326 (6)	0.0380 (7)	0.0067 (4)	0.0012 (5)	0.0174 (5)
C14	0.0416 (7)	0.0309 (6)	0.0335 (7)	0.0057 (5)	0.0023 (6)	0.0123 (5)
C15	0.0510 (8)	0.0454 (8)	0.0646 (10)	0.0194 (6)	0.0091 (7)	0.0341 (7)
C16	0.0721 (12)	0.0777 (12)	0.136 (2)	0.0347 (10)	0.0407 (13)	0.0815 (13)
C17	0.0440 (8)	0.0434 (7)	0.0608 (10)	-0.0029 (6)	-0.0011 (7)	0.0289 (7)
C18	0.0372 (8)	0.0574 (8)	0.0553 (10)	0.0096 (6)	0.0063 (7)	0.0297 (7)

# Geometric parameters (Å, °)

01—C14	1.2018 (15)	C8—C9	1.4125 (18)
O2—C14	1.3377 (15)	C8—C13	1.4207 (18)
O2—C15	1.4504 (14)	C9—C10	1.356 (2)
O3—C4	1.2283 (14)	С9—Н9	0.9300

N1—C4	1.3871 (15)	C10—C11	1.397 (2)
N1—C3	1.4019 (14)	С10—Н10	0.9300
N1-C18	1.4662 (16)	C11—C12	1.3676 (18)
N2—C4	1 3380 (16)	C11—H11	0.9300
N2	1 4538 (14)	C12-C13	1 4103 (18)
N2H2	0.8600	C12_H12	0.9300
N37	1.3061(17)	$C_{12} = C_{16}$	1.471(2)
N3 C8	1.3637(18)	C15 H15B	0.9700
13-68	1.5057(18) 1.5122(15)	C15 H15A	0.9700
$C_1 = C_2$	1.5122(15) 1.5203(17)	C16 H16P	0.9700
	1.3233(17)		0.9000
	0.9800		0.9600
$C_2 = C_3$	1.3451 (17)		0.9600
$C_2$ — $C_14$	1.4/35 (16)		0.9600
	1.5012 (16)		0.9600
C5—C6	1.3591 (17)	С17—Н17В	0.9600
C5—C13	1.4330 (15)	C18—H18B	0.9600
C6—C7	1.4022 (19)	C18—H18A	0.9600
С6—Н6	0.9300	C18—H18C	0.9600
С7—Н7	0.9300		
C14—O2—C15	117.81 (10)	С9—С10—Н10	120.0
C4—N1—C3	121.22 (10)	C11—C10—H10	120.0
C4—N1—C18	115.73 (10)	C12—C11—C10	120.81 (14)
C3—N1—C18	121.48 (10)	C12—C11—H11	119.6
C4—N2—C1	124.96 (10)	C10-C11-H11	119.6
C4—N2—H2	117.5	C11—C12—C13	120.95 (13)
C1—N2—H2	117.5	C11—C12—H12	119.5
C7—N3—C8	116.88 (12)	C13—C12—H12	119.5
N2—C1—C2	108.97 (10)	C12—C13—C8	117.82 (11)
N2-C1-C5	111.30 (9)	C12—C13—C5	124.39 (12)
$C_{2}-C_{1}-C_{5}$	112.65 (10)	C8-C13-C5	117.79 (11)
N2-C1-H1	107.9	01-C14-02	122.41 (11)
$C_2 - C_1 - H_1$	107.9	01-C14-C2	123 48 (11)
C5-C1-H1	107.9	$0^{2}-C^{14}-C^{2}$	123.10(11) 114.03(11)
$C_3 - C_2 - C_1 A$	126.27 (11)	02 - C15 - C16	106.99 (11)
$C_{3}$ $C_{2}$ $C_{1}$	120.27 (11)	02 - C15 - H15B	110.3
$C_1 C_2 C_1$	112 77 (10)	C16 C15 H15B	110.3
$C_{1}^{2} = C_{1}^{2} = C_{1}^{2}$	112.77(10) 110.70(10)	$O_2 C_{15} H_{15A}$	110.3
$C_2 = C_3 = N_1$	119.79(10) 125.07(11)	02-015 $115$	110.5
$C_2 = C_3 = C_1 7$	123.97(11) 114.21(11)	$\begin{array}{c} 10 - 0.15 - 0.15 \\ 1115 0 - 0.15 - 0.15 \\ 1115 0 \end{array}$	110.5
$NI = C_3 = C_1 / C_2 = C_2 = C_1 / C_2 =$	114.21(11) 122.75(11)		108.0
03-C4-N2	122.75 (11)	C15—C16—H16B	109.5
03—C4—NI	120.25 (12)	CI5—CI6—HI6C	109.5
N2	110.98 (10)	HI0B-CI6-HI6C	109.5
C6-C5-C13	117.48 (11)	C15—C16—H16A	109.5
C6—C5—C1	121.55 (10)	H16B—C16—H16A	109.5
C13—C5—C1	120.96 (10)	H16C—C16—H16A	109.5
C5—C6—C7	120.17 (12)	C3—C17—H17C	109.5
С5—С6—Н6	119.9	C3—C17—H17A	109.5

С7—С6—Н6	119.9	H17C—C17—H17A	109.5
N3—C7—C6	124.77 (13)	C3—C17—H17B	109.5
N3—C7—H7	117.6	H17C—C17—H17B	109.5
С6—С7—Н7	117.6	H17A—C17—H17B	109.5
N3—C8—C9	117.53 (13)	N1	109.5
N3—C8—C13	122.88 (11)	N1—C18—H18A	109.5
C9—C8—C13	119.59 (13)	H18B—C18—H18A	109.5
C10—C9—C8	120.78 (14)	N1—C18—H18C	109.5
С10—С9—Н9	119.6	H18B—C18—H18C	109.5
С8—С9—Н9	119.6	H18A—C18—H18C	109.5
C9—C10—C11	120.05 (13)		
C4—N2—C1—C2	29.78 (16)	C8—N3—C7—C6	-1.4 (2)
C4—N2—C1—C5	-95.05 (13)	C5—C6—C7—N3	0.6 (2)
N2—C1—C2—C3	-21.57 (16)	C7—N3—C8—C9	-179.87 (13)
C5—C1—C2—C3	102.47 (13)	C7—N3—C8—C13	0.41 (19)
N2-C1-C2-C14	159.04 (10)	N3—C8—C9—C10	179.93 (13)
C5-C1-C2-C14	-76.92 (13)	C13—C8—C9—C10	-0.3 (2)
C14—C2—C3—N1	-179.22 (11)	C8—C9—C10—C11	-0.6 (2)
C1—C2—C3—N1	1.47 (18)	C9—C10—C11—C12	1.0 (2)
C14—C2—C3—C17	-1.0 (2)	C10-C11-C12-C13	-0.6 (2)
C1—C2—C3—C17	179.65 (12)	C11—C12—C13—C8	-0.31 (18)
C4—N1—C3—C2	15.51 (18)	C11—C12—C13—C5	178.85 (12)
C18—N1—C3—C2	-179.37 (12)	N3-C8-C13-C12	-179.52 (11)
C4—N1—C3—C17	-162.87 (11)	C9—C8—C13—C12	0.77 (17)
C18—N1—C3—C17	2.25 (17)	N3—C8—C13—C5	1.27 (17)
C1—N2—C4—O3	165.93 (12)	C9—C8—C13—C5	-178.45 (11)
C1—N2—C4—N1	-15.95 (18)	C6-C5-C13-C12	178.85 (11)
C3—N1—C4—O3	169.42 (12)	C1—C5—C13—C12	-2.32 (17)
C18—N1—C4—O3	3.50 (18)	C6—C5—C13—C8	-1.99 (15)
C3—N1—C4—N2	-8.75 (18)	C1—C5—C13—C8	176.84 (10)
C18—N1—C4—N2	-174.68 (12)	C15-02-C14-01	-6.3 (2)
N2-C1-C5-C6	109.13 (12)	C15—O2—C14—C2	176.97 (11)
C2—C1—C5—C6	-13.61 (15)	C3—C2—C14—O1	155.76 (13)
N2-C1-C5-C13	-69.65 (13)	C1-C2-C14-O1	-24.89 (18)
C2-C1-C5-C13	167.60 (10)	C3—C2—C14—O2	-27.58 (19)
C13—C5—C6—C7	1.16 (17)	C1—C2—C14—O2	151.78 (11)
C1—C5—C6—C7	-177.67 (11)	C14—O2—C15—C16	-178.22 (14)

# Hydrogen-bond geometry (Å, °)

Cg1 is the centroid of the C8–C13 ring.

D—H···A	D—H	H…A	D···· $A$	D—H···A
N2—H2…O3 <sup>i</sup>	0.86	2.03	2.8701 (16)	164
C17—H17A····O2	0.96	2.16	2.8187 (19)	124
C16—H16C···Cg1 <sup>ii</sup>	0.96	2.66	3.603 (2)	168

Symmetry codes: (i) –*x*, –*y*+1, –*z*; (ii) *x*, *y*–1, *z*.