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In the title compound, $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{~F}_{3}$, weak electrostatic and dispersive forces between $\mathrm{C}(\delta+)-\mathrm{F}(\delta-)$ and $\mathrm{H}(\delta+)-\mathrm{C}(\delta-)$ groups are at the borderline of the hydrogen-bond phenomenon and are poorly directional and further deformed in the presence of $\pi-\pi$ stacking interactions. The molecule lies on a twofold rotation axis. In the crystal structure, one-dimensional tapes are formed via two antidromic $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{F}$ hydrogen bonds. These tapes are, in turn, connected into corrugated two-dimensional sheets by bifurcated $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{F}$ hydrogen bonds. Packing in the third dimension is furnished by $\pi-\pi$ stacking interactions with a centroid-centroid distance of 3.6362 (14) Å.

## Related literature

For $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H} \cdots$. F interactions, see: Althoff et al. (2006); Bats et al. (2000); Choudhury et al. (2004); D’Oria \& Novoa (2008); Dunitz \& Taylor (1997); Howard et al. (1996); Müller et al. (2007); O’Hagan (2008); Reichenbacher et al. (2005); Weiss et al. (1997). For related crystal structures of several polyfluorinated benzenes, see: Thalladi et al. (1998). For crystallization techniques, see: Boese \& Nussbaumer (1994).


## Experimental

## Crystal data

$\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{~F}_{3}$
$M_{r}=132.08$
Monoclinic, $C 2 / c$
$a=7.4238$ (19) $\AA$
$b=11.590$ (3) A
$c=7.0473(17) \AA$
$\beta=112.783$ (4) ${ }^{\circ}$
$V=559.1$ (2) $\AA^{3}$
$Z=4$
Mo $K \alpha$ radiation
$\mu=0.16 \mathrm{~mm}^{-1}$
$T=233 \mathrm{~K}$
$0.30 \times 0.30 \times 0.30 \mathrm{~mm}$

## Data collection

Siemens SMART three-axis goniometer with an APEXII areadetector system diffractometer
Absorption correction: multi-scan (SADABS; Bruker; 2004) $T_{\text {min }}=0.820, T_{\text {max }}=0.953$

1074 measured reflections 634 independent reflections 413 reflections with $I>2 \sigma(I)$ $R_{\text {int }}=0.013$

## Refinement

$R\left[F^{2}>2 \sigma\left(F^{2}\right)\right]=0.061 \quad 44$ parameters
$w R\left(F^{2}\right)=0.226$
H -atom parameters constrained
$S=1.04$
$\Delta \rho_{\text {max }}=0.20 \mathrm{e}_{\AA^{-3}}$
634 reflections

Table 1
Hydrogen-bond geometry ( $\AA,^{\circ}$ ).

| $D-\mathrm{H} \cdots A$ | $D-\mathrm{H}$ | $\mathrm{H} \cdots A$ | $D \cdots A$ | $D-\mathrm{H} \cdots A$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{C} 3-\mathrm{H} 3 \cdots \mathrm{~F}^{\text {i }}$ | 1.10 | 2.77 | $3.560(3)$ | 129 |
| $\mathrm{C} 3-\mathrm{H} 3 \cdots \mathrm{~F}^{\text {ii }}$ | 1.10 | 2.59 | $3.528(4)$ | 144 |
| $\mathrm{C} 4-\mathrm{H} 4 \cdots \mathrm{~F}^{\text {iii }}$ | 1.00 | 2.60 | $3.440(4)$ | 142 |

Symmetry codes: (i) $-x+1,-y+1,-z$; (ii) $x-\frac{1}{2}, y+\frac{1}{2}, z$; (iii) $x+\frac{1}{2}, y+\frac{1}{2}, z$.
Data collection: APEX2 (Bruker, 2008); cell refinement: SAINT (Bruker, 2008); data reduction: SAINT; program(s) used to solve structure: SHELXTL (Sheldrick, 2008); program(s) used to refine structure: SHELXTL; molecular graphics: Mercury (Macrae et al., 2008) and GIMP2 (The GIMP team, 2008); software used to prepare material for publication: publCIF (Westrip, 2009).

MTK and RB thank the DFG FOR-618. GRD thanks the DST for the award of a J.C. Bose fellowship. TST thanks the UGC for an SRF.

Supplementary data and figures for this paper are available from the IUCr electronic archives (Reference: LH2880).
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## 1,2,3-Trifluorobenzene

Michael T. Kirchner, Dieter Bläser, Roland Boese, Tejender S. Thakur and Gautam R. Desiraju

## S1. Comment

Despite the high electronegativity difference between carbon and fluorine, the $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{F}$ bond acts as a poor hydrogen bond acceptor due to the hardness of the F-atom (Dunitz \& Taylor, 1997; O'Hagan, 2008). The resultant weak C-H $\cdots \mathrm{F}-\mathrm{C}$ interactions (Howard et al., 1996; Reichenbacher et al., 2005) arise mainly due to electrostatic and dispersive forces between the $\mathrm{C}(\delta+)-\mathrm{F}(\delta-)$ and the $\mathrm{H}(\delta+)-\mathrm{C}(\delta-)$ fragments. These interactions, at the borderline of the hydrogen bond phenomenon, are also poorly directional and are deformed by other dominant interactions (Weiss, et al., 1997; D'Oria \& Novoa, 2008; Müller et al., 2007). In the absence of other interactions these weak interactions can play a role in the overall crystal packing of the molecule (Bats et al. 2000; Choudhury et al. 2004; Althoff et al. 2006). In activated systems such as polyfluorobenzenes, $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{F}-\mathrm{C}$ interactions may be of significance, and in connection there are some reports of the crystal structures of several polyfluorinated benzene compunds (Thalladi et al., 1998). As a continuation of this work, we report here the crystal structure 1,2,3-trifluorobenzene (1). The comparison crystal structures of 1,2-and 1,4-difluorobenzene and 1,3,5-trifluorobenzene have been reported in this earlier work.

## S2. Experimental

The crystals were prepared from commerical samples by zone melting in a quartz capillary at 235 K (1) according to the procedure outlined by (Boese \& Nussbaumer, 1994).

## S3. Refinement

Treatment of hydrogen atoms: Riding model with the 1.2 fold isotropic displacement parameters of the equivalent $U^{i j}$ of the corresponding carbon atom.

(a)

(b)

Figure 1
Part of the crystal structure of 1 (a) 2D network of $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{F}-\mathrm{C}$ interactions viewed along the c -axis (b) $\pi-\pi$ stacking of molecules viewed along the c -axis.


Figure 2
The molecular structure of (1) with displacement ellipsoids drawn at the $50 \%$ probability level. The identically labelled atoms are related to each other by the symmetry operator $(2-x, y,-z+1 / 2)$.

## 1,2,3-Trifluorobenzene

## Crystal data

$\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{~F}_{3}$
$M_{r}=132.08$
Monoclinic, $C 2 / c$
Hall symbol: -C 2 yc
$a=7.4238$ (19) $\AA$
$b=11.590(3) \AA$
$c=7.0473$ (17) $\AA$
$\beta=112.783(4)^{\circ}$
$V=559.1(2) \AA^{3}$
$Z=4$

## Data collection

Siemens SMART three-axis goniometer with an APEXII area-detector system
diffractometer
Radiation source: fine-focus sealed tube
Graphite monochromator
Detector resolution: 512 pixels $\mathrm{mm}^{-1}$
in $\omega$ at $0.3^{\circ}$ scan width one run with 740 frames, $\mathrm{phi}=0^{\circ}, \mathrm{chi}=0^{\circ}$
$F(000)=264$
$D_{\mathrm{x}}=1.569 \mathrm{Mg} \mathrm{m}^{-3}$
Mo $K \alpha$ radiation, $\lambda=0.71073 \AA$
Cell parameters from 376 reflections
$\theta=3.8-22.7^{\circ}$
$\mu=0.16 \mathrm{~mm}^{-1}$
$T=233 \mathrm{~K}$
Cylindric, colourless
$0.30 \times 0.30 \times 0.30 \mathrm{~mm}$

Absorption correction: multi-scan
(SADABS; Bruker; 2004)
$T_{\min }=0.820, T_{\max }=0.953$
1074 measured reflections
634 independent reflections
413 reflections with $I>2 \sigma(I)$
$R_{\text {int }}=0.013$
$\theta_{\text {max }}=28.2^{\circ}, \theta_{\text {min }}=3.5^{\circ}$
$h=-9 \rightarrow 9$
$l=-9 \rightarrow 4$
$k=-14 \rightarrow 10$

## Refinement

Refinement on $F^{2}$
Least-squares matrix: full
$R\left[F^{2}>2 \sigma\left(F^{2}\right)\right]=0.061$
$w R\left(F^{2}\right)=0.226$
$S=1.04$
634 reflections
44 parameters
0 restraints
Primary atom site location: structure-invariant direct methods

## Special details

Geometry. All e.s.d.'s (except the e.s.d. in the dihedral angle between two 1.s. planes) are estimated using the full covariance matrix. The cell e.s.d.'s are taken into account individually in the estimation of e.s.d.'s in distances, angles and torsion angles; correlations between e.s.d.'s in cell parameters are only used when they are defined by crystal symmetry. An approximate (isotropic) treatment of cell e.s.d.'s is used for estimating e.s.d.'s involving l.s. planes.
Refinement. Refinement of $F^{\wedge} 2^{\wedge}$ against ALL reflections. The weighted $R$-factor $w R$ and goodness of fit $S$ are based on $F^{\wedge} 2^{\wedge}$, conventional $R$-factors $R$ are based on $F$, with $F$ set to zero for negative $F^{\wedge} 2^{\wedge}$. The threshold expression of $F^{\wedge} 2^{\wedge}>$ $\sigma\left(F^{\wedge} 2^{\wedge}\right)$ is used only for calculating $R$-factors(gt) etc. and is not relevant to the choice of reflections for refinement. $R$ factors based on $F^{\wedge} 2^{\wedge}$ are statistically about twice as large as those based on $F$, and $R$ - factors based on ALL data will be even larger.

Fractional atomic coordinates and isotropic or equivalent isotropic displacement parameters ( $\AA^{2}$ )

|  | $x$ | $y$ | $z$ | $U_{\text {iso }} * / U_{\text {eq }}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| F1 | 1.0000 | $0.30558(17)$ | 0.2500 | $0.1156(10)$ |
| F2 | $0.6666(2)$ | $0.4183(2)$ | $0.1576(3)$ | $0.1354(10)$ |
| C1 | 1.0000 | $0.4213(3)$ | 0.2500 | $0.0769(9)$ |
| C2 | $0.8308(3)$ | $0.4803(2)$ | $0.2036(3)$ | $0.0824(8)$ |
| C3 | $0.8265(4)$ | $0.5973(3)$ | $0.2023(3)$ | $0.0942(9)$ |
| H3 | 0.6833 | 0.6388 | 0.1585 | $0.113^{*}$ |
| C4 | 1.0000 | $0.6558(3)$ | 0.2500 | $0.1006(13)$ |
| H4 | 1.0000 | 0.7422 | 0.2500 | $0.121^{*}$ |

## Atomic displacement parameters $\left(\AA^{2}\right)$

|  | $U^{11}$ | $U^{22}$ | $U^{33}$ | $U^{12}$ | $U^{13}$ | $U^{23}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| F1 | $0.161(2)$ | $0.0623(13)$ | $0.1249(16)$ | 0.000 | $0.0563(14)$ | 0.000 |
| F2 | $0.0959(12)$ | $0.157(2)$ | $0.1484(16)$ | $-0.0341(10)$ | $0.0415(10)$ | $0.0067(12)$ |
| C1 | $0.1030(19)$ | $0.0573(16)$ | $0.0725(15)$ | 0.000 | $0.0364(13)$ | 0.000 |
| C2 | $0.0830(14)$ | $0.0890(17)$ | $0.0770(13)$ | $-0.0101(9)$ | $0.0327(10)$ | $0.0013(9)$ |
| C3 | $0.1073(17)$ | $0.0935(18)$ | $0.0858(15)$ | $0.0277(12)$ | $0.0419(12)$ | $0.0094(10)$ |
| C4 | $0.163(4)$ | $0.0605(17)$ | $0.0848(19)$ | 0.000 | $0.056(2)$ | 0.000 |

Geometric parameters (A, ${ }^{\circ}$ )

| F1-C1 | $1.341(4)$ | $\mathrm{C} 3-\mathrm{C} 4$ | $1.377(3)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{F} 2-\mathrm{C} 2$ | $1.342(3)$ | $\mathrm{C} 3-\mathrm{H} 3$ | 1.0973 |
| $\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{C} 2$ | $1.354(3)$ | $\mathrm{C} 4-\mathrm{H} 4$ | 1.0018 |
| $\mathrm{C} 2-\mathrm{C} 3$ | $1.357(4)$ |  |  |
| $\mathrm{F} 1-\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{C} 2$ | $120.30(15)$ | $\mathrm{C} 2-\mathrm{C} 3-\mathrm{H} 3$ | 117.3 |
| $\mathrm{C} 2 \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{C} 2$ | $119.4(3)$ | $\mathrm{C} 4-\mathrm{C} 3-\mathrm{H} 3$ | 124.4 |
| $\mathrm{~F} 2-\mathrm{C} 2-\mathrm{C} 3$ | $121.1(2)$ | $\mathrm{C} 3-\mathrm{C} 4-\mathrm{C} 3^{\mathrm{i}}$ | $121.0(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{F} 2-\mathrm{C} 2-\mathrm{C} 1$ | $117.3(3)$ | $\mathrm{C} 3-\mathrm{C} 4-\mathrm{H} 4$ | 119.5 |
| $\mathrm{C} 3-\mathrm{C} 2-\mathrm{C} 1$ | $121.5(2)$ | $\mathrm{C} 3-\mathrm{C} 4-\mathrm{H} 4$ | 119.5 |
| $\mathrm{C} 2-\mathrm{C} 3-\mathrm{C} 4$ | $118.3(2)$ |  |  |

Symmetry code: (i) $-x+2, y,-z+1 / 2$.
Hydrogen-bond geometry (A, ${ }^{\circ}$ )

| $D-\mathrm{H} \cdots A$ | $D-\mathrm{H}$ | $\mathrm{H} \cdots A$ | $D \cdots A$ | $D-\mathrm{H} \cdots A$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{C} 3-\mathrm{H} 3 \cdots{ }^{\cdots} 2^{\mathrm{ii}}$ | 1.10 | 2.77 | $3.560(3)$ | 129 |
| $\mathrm{C} 3-\mathrm{H} 3 \cdots 1^{\mathrm{iii}}$ | 1.10 | 2.59 | $3.528(4)$ | 144 |
| $\mathrm{C} 4-\mathrm{H} 4 \cdots 2^{\mathrm{iv}}$ | 1.00 | 2.60 | $3.440(4)$ | 142 |

Symmetry codes: (ii) $-x+1,-y+1,-z$; (iii) $x-1 / 2, y+1 / 2, z$; (iv) $x+1 / 2, y+1 / 2, z$.

