

Acta Crystallographica Section E **Structure Reports** Online

ISSN 1600-5368

1,2-Bis(3-hydroxybenzylidene)diazane

Ling Zhu^a and Xin-Hua Zhao^{b*}

^aSchool of Chemistry and Life Science, Maoming University, Maoming 525000, People's Republic of China, and ^bSchool of Public Health, Guangdong Pharmaceutical University, Guangzhou 510006, People's Republic of China Correspondence e-mail: zhaoxh_gpu@163.com

Received 9 July 2009; accepted 14 July 2009

Key indicators: single-crystal X-ray study; T = 295 K; mean σ (C–C) = 0.004 Å; R factor = 0.064; wR factor = 0.185; data-to-parameter ratio = 13.0.

The asymmetric unit of the title compound, $C_{14}H_{12}N_2O_2$, which was synthesized unexpectedly by refluxing an ethanolic solution of isonicotinic hydrazide and 3-hvdroxybenzaldehyde, contains one half-molecule with the center of the N–N bond lying on a crystallographic center of inversion. In the crystal structure, molecules are linked by intermolecular O-H···N hydrogen bonds into an infinite layer structure parallel to (110).

Related literature

For general background to salicyclic aldehyde complexes, see: Zelewsky & von Knof (1999); Alam et al. (2003).



4234 measured reflections

 $R_{\rm int} = 0.039$

1079 independent reflections

814 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$

Experimental

Crystal data

$C_{14}H_{12}N_2O_2$	V = 582.0 (4) Å ³
$M_r = 240.26$	Z = 2
Monoclinic, $P2_1/n$	Mo $K\alpha$ radiation
a = 4.883 (2) Å	$\mu = 0.09 \text{ mm}^{-1}$
b = 8.212 (3) Å	T = 295 K
c = 14.575 (6) Å	$0.12 \times 0.10 \times 0.08 \text{ mm}$
$\beta = 95.267 \ (6)^{\circ}$	

Data collection

Bruker APEXII CCD area-detector	
diffractometer	
Absorption correction: multi-scan	
(SADABS; Bruker, 2001)	
$T_{\min} = 0.989, T_{\max} = 0.993$	

Refinement

$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.064$	83 parameters
$wR(F^2) = 0.185$	H-atom parameters not refined
S = 1.00	$\Delta \rho_{\rm max} = 0.62 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$
1079 reflections	$\Delta \rho_{\rm min} = -0.23 \text{ e} \text{ Å}^{-3}$

Table 1

Hydrogen-bond geometry (Å, °).

 $D - H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$ D-H $H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$ $D \cdot \cdot \cdot A$ $D - H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$ $O1-H2\cdots N1^{i}$ 0.82 2.03 2.811 (3) 159

Symmetry code: (i) $-x + \frac{1}{2}, y + \frac{1}{2}, -z + \frac{3}{2}$.

Data collection: APEX2 (Bruker, 2004); cell refinement: SAINT-Plus (Bruker, 2001); data reduction: SAINT-Plus; program(s) used to solve structure: SHELXS97 (Sheldrick, 2008); program(s) used to refine structure: SHELXL97 (Sheldrick, 2008); molecular graphics: SHELXTL (Sheldrick, 2008); software used to prepare material for publication: SHELXTL.

The authors acknowledge financial support from the scientific research start-up funds of talent introduction in Guangdong Pharmaceutical University.

Supplementary data and figures for this paper are available from the IUCr electronic archives (Reference: IM2128).

References

Alam, M. A., Nethaji, M. & Ray, M. (2003). Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 42, 1940-1942.

Bruker (2001). SAINT-Plus and SADABS. Bruker AXS Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.

Bruker (2004). APEX2. Bruker AXS Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.

Sheldrick, G. M. (2008). Acta Cryst. A64, 112-122.

Zelewsky, A. & von Knof, U. (1999). Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 38, 302-322.

supporting information

Acta Cryst. (2009). E65, o1929 [doi:10.1107/S1600536809027652]

1,2-Bis(3-hydroxybenzylidene)diazane

Ling Zhu and Xin-Hua Zhao

S1. Comment

The synthesis of complexes consisting of ligands derived from salicylic aldehyde has attracted continuous research interest not only with regard to their appealing structural and topological novelty, but also due to their unusual optical, electronic, magnetic and catalytic properties as well as their potential medical application (Zelewsky *et al.* 1999; Alam *et al.* 2003). In the present paper, we describe the synthesis and structural characterization of N,N'-di(3-hydroxybenzyl-idene)-hydrazine.

As shown in Fig. 1, the asymmetrical unit contains one half of the molecule. The center of the N-N bond represents a crystallographic center of inversion. One intermolecular hydrogen bond O(1)—H(2)···N(1) (2.811 (3) Å) is observed in the crystal structure leading to infinite layers of molecules (Fig. 2).

S2. Experimental

An ethanolic solution of isonicotinic hydrazide (10 mmol) and 3-hydroxybenzaldehyde (10 mmol) refluxed for five hours. After filtration a yellow powder was obtained. Suitable crystals for X-ray diffraction were obtained by recrystallization from dichloromethane. Anal. Calc. for $C_{14}H_{12}N_2O_2$: C 69.92, H 4.99, N 9.99%; Found: C 69.89, H 4.79, N 9.78.

S3. Refinement

All H atoms were placed in calculated positions with C—H = 0.93Å and refined as riding with $U_{iso}(H) = 1.2U_{eq}(\text{carrier})$. The H atom of the hydroxy group was located from difference density maps and was refined with a distance restraint of d(O-H) = 0.82 (1) Å.







Figure 2

Three-dimensional network formed by hydrogen bonds (dashed lines).

1,2-Bis(3-hydroxybenzylidene)diazane

Crystal data

C₁₄H₁₂N₂O₂ $M_r = 240.26$ Monoclinic, $P_{1/n}$ Hall symbol: -P 2yn a = 4.883 (2) Å b = 8.212 (3) Å c = 14.575 (6) Å $\beta = 95.267$ (6)° V = 582.0 (4) Å³ Z = 2

Data collection

 Bruker APEXII CCD area-detector diffractometer
 Radiation source: fine-focus sealed tube
 Graphite monochromator
 φ and ω scans F(000) = 252 $D_x = 1.371 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$ Mo K α radiation, $\lambda = 0.71073 \text{ Å}$ Cell parameters from 1079 reflections $\theta = 2.8-25.5^{\circ}$ $\mu = 0.09 \text{ mm}^{-1}$ T = 295 KBlock, yellow $0.12 \times 0.10 \times 0.08 \text{ mm}$

Absorption correction: multi-scan (*SADABS*; Bruker, 2001) $T_{min} = 0.989$, $T_{max} = 0.993$ 4234 measured reflections 1079 independent reflections 814 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$

$R_{\rm int} = 0.039$	$k = -9 \rightarrow 9$
$\theta_{\rm max} = 25.5^{\circ}, \ \theta_{\rm min} = 2.8^{\circ}$	$l = -17 \rightarrow 17$
$h = -5 \rightarrow 5$	

Refinement

Refinement on F^2	Secondary atom site location: difference Fourier
Least-squares matrix: full	map
$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.064$	Hydrogen site location: inferred from
$wR(F^2) = 0.185$	neighbouring sites
S = 1.00	H-atom parameters not refined
1079 reflections	$w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.103P)^2 + 0.3322P]$
83 parameters	where $P = (F_{o}^{2} + 2F_{c}^{2})/3$
0 restraints	$(\Delta/\sigma)_{\rm max} < 0.001$
Primary atom site location: structure-invariant	$\Delta \rho_{\rm max} = 0.62 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$
direct methods	$\Delta \rho_{\rm min} = -0.23 \text{ e} \text{ Å}^{-3}$

Special details

Geometry. All e.s.d.'s (except the e.s.d. in the dihedral angle between two l.s. planes) are estimated using the full covariance matrix. The cell e.s.d.'s are taken into account individually in the estimation of e.s.d.'s in distances, angles and torsion angles; correlations between e.s.d.'s in cell parameters are only used when they are defined by crystal symmetry. An approximate (isotropic) treatment of cell e.s.d.'s is used for estimating e.s.d.'s involving l.s. planes.

Refinement. Refinement of F^2 against ALL reflections. The weighted *R*-factor *wR* and goodness of fit *S* are based on F^2 , conventional *R*-factors *R* are based on *F*, with *F* set to zero for negative F^2 . The threshold expression of $F^2 > \sigma(F^2)$ is used only for calculating *R*-factors(gt) *etc.* and is not relevant to the choice of reflections for refinement. *R*-factors based on F^2 are statistically about twice as large as those based on *F*, and *R*- factors based on ALL data will be even larger.

	x	у	Ζ	$U_{ m iso}$ */ $U_{ m eq}$
C1	0.3137 (6)	0.2100 (3)	0.82062 (17)	0.0364 (7)
H3	0.1725	0.1396	0.7996	0.044*
C2	0.4468 (6)	0.2997 (3)	0.75848 (18)	0.0380 (7)
C3	0.6607 (6)	0.4021 (4)	0.7888 (2)	0.0434 (8)
H6	0.7546	0.4600	0.7467	0.052*
C4	0.7340 (6)	0.4175 (4)	0.8822 (2)	0.0469 (8)
H9	0.8749	0.4882	0.9031	0.056*
C5	0.6000 (6)	0.3292 (4)	0.94433 (19)	0.0414 (8)
H7	0.6516	0.3401	1.0070	0.050*
C6	0.3891 (6)	0.2240 (3)	0.91470 (17)	0.0342 (7)
C7	0.2426 (6)	0.1379 (3)	0.98224 (18)	0.0367 (7)
H4	0.2836	0.1634	1.0441	0.044*
N1	0.0615 (5)	0.0295 (3)	0.96142 (14)	0.0358 (6)
O1	0.3545 (6)	0.2849 (3)	0.66792 (14)	0.0637 (8)
H2	0.4174	0.3590	0.6386	0.096*

Fractional atomic coordinates and isotropic or equivalent isotropic displacement parameters $(Å^2)$

Atomic displacement parameters $(Å^2)$

	U^{11}	U^{22}	U^{33}	U^{12}	U^{13}	U^{23}
C1	0.0469 (16)	0.0311 (14)	0.0322 (15)	0.0014 (12)	0.0096 (12)	0.0011 (11)
C2	0.0528 (17)	0.0348 (15)	0.0280 (14)	0.0046 (13)	0.0126 (12)	0.0034 (11)
C3	0.0420 (16)	0.0442 (17)	0.0463 (18)	0.0016 (13)	0.0157 (13)	0.0103 (14)

supporting information

C4	0.0448(17)	0 0431 (17)	0.0520 (18)	-0.0014(14)	-0.0003(14)	0 0064 (14)
C5	0.0475 (17)	0.0418 (17)	0.0343 (15)	0.0059 (14)	0.0004 (13)	0.0027 (12)
C6	0.0413 (15)	0.0338 (15)	0.0286 (13)	0.0092 (12)	0.0095 (11)	0.0029 (11)
C7	0.0501 (17)	0.0369 (16)	0.0241 (13)	0.0076 (13)	0.0085 (12)	0.0016 (11)
N1	0.0514 (14)	0.0354 (12)	0.0228 (11)	0.0081 (11)	0.0150 (9)	0.0040 (9)
01	0.105 (2)	0.0549 (15)	0.0329 (12)	-0.0206 (14)	0.0170 (12)	0.0046 (10)

Geometric parameters (Å, °)

C1—C2	1.376 (4)	С4—Н9	0.9300
C1—C6	1.392 (4)	C5—C6	1.382 (4)
С1—Н3	0.9300	С5—Н7	0.9300
C2—O1	1.360 (3)	C6—C7	1.453 (4)
C2—C3	1.382 (4)	C7—N1	1.272 (4)
C3—C4	1.382 (4)	C7—H4	0.9300
С3—Н6	0.9300	N1—N1 ⁱ	1.409 (4)
C4—C5	1.372 (4)	O1—H2	0.8200
C2—C1—C6	120.4 (3)	C4—C5—C6	120.7 (3)
С2—С1—Н3	119.8	С4—С5—Н7	119.6
С6—С1—Н3	119.8	С6—С5—Н7	119.6
O1—C2—C1	117.2 (3)	C5—C6—C1	118.8 (3)
O1—C2—C3	122.5 (3)	C5—C6—C7	119.4 (2)
C1—C2—C3	120.3 (3)	C1—C6—C7	121.7 (3)
C2—C3—C4	119.4 (3)	N1—C7—C6	123.6 (2)
С2—С3—Н6	120.3	N1—C7—H4	118.2
С4—С3—Н6	120.3	С6—С7—Н4	118.2
C5—C4—C3	120.4 (3)	C7—N1—N1 ⁱ	112.8 (3)
С5—С4—Н9	119.8	C2—O1—H2	109.5
С3—С4—Н9	119.8		

Symmetry code: (i) -x, -y, -z+2.

Hydrogen-bond geometry (Å, °)

D—H···A	<i>D</i> —Н	H···A	D····A	<i>D</i> —H··· <i>A</i>
01—H2…N1 ⁱⁱ	0.82	2.03	2.811 (3)	159

Symmetry code: (ii) -x+1/2, y+1/2, -z+3/2.