organic compounds

Acta Crystallographica Section E **Structure Reports** Online

ISSN 1600-5368

4-Hydroxy-3-[(2*E*)-3-(3,4,5-trimethoxyphenyl)prop-2-enoyl]-2H-chromen-2-one

Lassaad Mechi, Samar Chtiba, Naceur Hamdi and Rached **Ben Hassen***

Unité de Chimie des Matériaux, ISSBAT, Université de Tunis-ElManar, 9 Avenue Dr Zoheir SAFL 1006 Tunis, Tunisia Correspondence e-mail: rached.benhassen@fss.rnu.tn

Received 14 May 2009; accepted 12 June 2009

Key indicators: single-crystal X-ray study; T = 293 K; mean σ (C–C) = 0.007 Å; R factor = 0.045; wR factor = 0.136; data-to-parameter ratio = 7.7.

A new chalcone of the coumarin, $C_{21}H_{18}O_7$, containing an annulated α -pyrone ring, was obtained by condensation of the borate complex of acyl(hydroxy)coumarin with trimethoxybenzaldehyde. The structure exhibits intramolecular hydrogen bonding between the hydroxyl oxygen and the ketonic oxygen in the coumarin group. The bicyclic coumarin fragment and the benzene ring form a dihedral angle of $17.1 (4)^{\circ}$. The crystal packing involves dimers interconnected by C-H···O hydrogen bonding.

Related literature

For organic non-linear optical materials (NLO) of aromatic compounds with delocalized electron systems, see: Marcy et al. (1995); Zhengdong et al. (1997). For their non-linear susceptibilities, which are larger than those of inorganic optical materials, see: Chemla & Zyss (1987) and Lakshmana Perumal et al. (2002), and for their optical properties, see: Sarojini et al. (2006). For bond-length data, see: Traven et al. (2000). For the exclusive annulation of the α -pyrone ring, see Traven et al.(2007). For charge transfer from the phenyl ring to the coumarin system, see Indira et al. (2002); Sun & Cui (2008).



Experimental

Crystal data

$C_{21}H_{18}O_7$
$M_r = 382.35$
Triclinic, P1
a = 4.1370 (2) Å
b = 8.1247 (2) Å
c = 14.4101 (2) Å
$\alpha = 74.549 \ (10)^{\circ}$
$\beta = 85.166 \ (10)^{\circ}$

Data collection

Refinement

 $R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.045$ $wR(F^2) = 0.136$ S = 1.091974 reflections 257 parameters 3 restraints

 $\gamma = 81.205 \ (10)^{\circ}$ V = 460.87 (4) Å³ Z = 1Mo $K\alpha$ radiation $\mu = 0.10 \text{ mm}^{-1}$ T = 293 K $0.16 \times 0.13 \times 0.10 \text{ mm}$

1974 independent reflections 1200 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$ $R_{\rm int} = 0.038$ 2 standard reflections frequency: 120 min intensity decay: 1.1%

H atoms treated by a mixture of independent and constrained refinement $\Delta \rho_{\rm max} = 0.12 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$ $\Delta \rho_{\rm min} = -0.19 \ {\rm e} \ {\rm \AA}^{-3}$

Table 1

Hydrogen-bond geometry (Å, °).

$D - H \cdots A$	D-H	$H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	$D \cdots A$	$D - \mathbf{H} \cdots A$
O3−H4···O4	1.22 (6)	1.28 (6)	2.437 (5)	153 (5)
$C2 - H2 \cdots O5$ $C20 - H20B \cdots O6^{ii}$	0.93	2.52	3.427 (6) 3.439 (8)	167
$C19-H19C\cdots O2^{m}$ $C11-H11\cdots O2$	0.96 0.93	2.48 2.27	3.135 (7) 2.873 (7)	125 122
C12−H12···O4	0.93	2.42	2.772 (5)	102

Symmetry codes: (i) x - 1, y, z - 1; (ii) x - 1, y, z; (iii) x + 1, y + 1, z.

Data collection: CAD-4 EXPRESS (Duisenberg, 1992; Macíček & Yordanov, 1992); cell refinement: CAD-4 EXPRESS; data reduction: XCAD4 (Harms & Wocadlo, 1995); program(s) used to solve structure: SHELXS97 (Sheldrick, 2008); program(s) used to refine structure: SHELXL97 (Sheldrick, 2008); molecular graphics: PLATON (Spek, 2009); software used to prepare material for publication: WinGX (Farrugia, 1999).

Professor A. Driss is acknowledged for his contribution to the X-ray diffraction data collection at the Laboratoire de cristallochimie-Université Tunis ElManar.

Supplementary data and figures for this paper are available from the IUCr electronic archives (Reference: HG2517).

References

Chemla, D. S. & Zyss, J. (1987). Non-linear Optical Properties of Organic Molecules and Crystals, Vol. 1. London: Academic Press. Duisenberg, A. J. M. (1992). J. Appl. Cryst. 25, 92-96.

Farrugia, L. J. (1999). J. Appl. Cryst. 32, 837-838.

Harms, K. & Wocadlo, S. (1995). XCAD4. University of Marburg, Germany. Indira, J., Karat, P. P. & Sarojini, B. K. (2002). J. Cryst. Growth, 242, 209-214. Lakshmana Perumal, C. K., Arulchakkaravarthi, A., Santhanaraghavan, P. &

Ramaswami, P. (2002). J. Cryst. Growth, 240, 212-217.

Macíček, J. & Yordanov, A. (1992). J. Appl. Cryst. 25, 73-80.

- Marcy, H. O., Rosker, M. J., Warren, L. F., Cunningham, P. H., Thomas, C. A., Deloach, L. A., Ebbers, S. P., Liao, J. H. & Konatzidis, M. G. (1995). *Opt. Lett.* 20, 252–254.
- North, A. C. T., Phillips, D. C. & Mathews, F. S. (1968). Acta Cryst. A24, 351–359.
- Sarojini, B. K., Narayana, B., Ashalatha, B. V., Indira, J. & Lobo, K. G. (2006). J. Cryst. Growth, 295, 54–59.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (2008). Acta Cryst. A64, 112-122.

- Spek, A. L. (2009). Acta Cryst. D65, 148-155.
- Sun, Y.-F. & Cui, Y.-P. (2008). Dyes Pigments, 78, 65-76.
- Traven, V. F., Manaev, A. V., Safronova, O. B., Chibisova, T. A., Lyssenko, K. A. & Antipin, M. Yu. (2000). *Zh. Obshch. Khim. (Russ. J. Gen. Chem.)*, **70**, 853–856.
- Traven, V. F., Manaev, A. V., Voevodina, I. V. & Podkhalyuzina, N. Ya. (2007). Chem. Heterocycl. Compds, 43, 416–420.
- Zhengdong, L., Baichang, W. & Genbo, S. (1997). J. Cryst. Growth, 178, 539-545.

supporting information

Acta Cryst. (2009). E65, 01652-01653 [doi:10.1107/S1600536809022569]

4-Hydroxy-3-[(2E)-3-(3,4,5-trimethoxyphenyl)prop-2-enoyl]-2H-chromen-2-one

Lassaad Mechi, Samar Chtiba, Naceur Hamdi and Rached Ben Hassen

S1. Comment

The non-linear optical materials (NLO) effect in the organic molecules originates from a strong electron-donor-acceptor intermolecular interaction, delocalized π -electron system (Marcy *et al.*, 1995; Zhengdong *et al.*, 1997), and also due to the ability to crystallize in non-centrosymmetric structures. Among several organic compounds reported for NLO properties, chalcone derivatives are noticeable materials for their excellent blue light transmittance and good crystallizability. They provide a necessary configuration to show NLO property with two planar rings connected through a conjugated double bond (Indira et al., 2002). Substitution on either of the phenyl rings greatly influence noncentrosymmetric crystal packing. A variety of organic NLO materials, aromatic compounds with delocalized π -electron systems and a large dipole moment have been synthesized to improve the non-linear susceptibilities larger than the inorganic optical materials (Chemla et al., 1987; Lakshmana et al., 2002). Recently, new chalcones which can find use as promising materials in photonics industries, have been synthesized and their second-harmonic generation efficiency was studied (Sarojini et al., 2006). In the present paper, we report the synthesis and the crystal structure of the trimethoxyphenyl-4-hydroxycoumarin chalcone (see Scheme). The linkage between coumarin system and phenyl ring (C13) is quite conjugated with bond lengths of C10-C11: 1.467 (6) Å, C11-C12: 1.329 (7) Å, and C12-C13: 1.471 (6) Å, suggesting that all non-hydrogen atoms between electron-donor and acceptor are highly conjugated, leading to a π -bridge for the charge transfer from phenyl ring to coumarin system (Sun et al., 2008). Consequently, the C10–O4 bond (1.290 (6) Å) is elongated as compared with its mean value found in 3-Acetyl-4 hydroxycoumarin (1.253 Å) (Traven et al., 2000) owing to the localization of the hydroxyl hydrogen (H4) between the ketonic oxygen O4 and the hydroxyl oxygen O3 (O3-H4: 1.22 (7) Å, O4— H4: 1.28 (7) Å) (Fig. 1). It should be noted that the C9–O2 bond length (1.210 (5) Å) is equal to its mean value 1.210 Å observed in 3-Acetyl-4 hydroxycoumarin (Traven et al., 2000).

The structure study shows intramolecular and intermolecular hydrogen bonds of the type C–H…O contributing to the cohesion of the crystal.

S2. Experimental

It was established that the condensation of the borate complexes of acyl(hydroxy)coumarins (Traven *et al.*, 2007) with carboxylic acid anhydrides led to exclusive annulation of the α -pyrone ring. First, we prepare the borate complex of 4-hydroxycoumarin by the reaction of boron trifluoride etherate (1 g, 7.3 mmol) with the 3-acetyl-4-hydroxycoumarin (1.5 g, 7.3 mmol) in toluene (25 ml). Then the new chalcone of coumarin, containing annulated α -pyrone ring, was obtained by reaction of the borate complex of acyl(hydroxy) coumarin (1 g, 3.9 mmol) with 3, 4, 5 trimethoxyphenylaldehyde (0.78 g, 3.9 mmol) in presence of piperidine (Fig. 2). By recrystallizing the crude product in chloroform (30 ml) we tried to remove BF₂OH from the complex and a pale yellow crystals with appropriate formula were appeared. Yield: 1.26 g (85%). mp= 466 K, IR: v 3368 (-OH), 1716(s) (>C=O), 1577 (C=C), 1018(s) (sym) (C—O—C); ¹H NMR: δ (p.p.m.): 3.74(s,3H,OCH₃), 3.85(s,6H,OCH₃), 7.4–8.1(m, 10H, Ar—H+ Hethyl). ¹³C NMR (ppm): 55.9(OCH₃), 60.1(OCH₃),

191.2(CO); 180.7 (C4); 159.5 (C2); 100.6 (C3), 126.2-136.5 (Carom); 129.6 (Cethyl1), 153.3 (Cethyl2),

S3. Refinement

The hydrogen atoms are fixed geometrically with the exception of the H4 where it is located from electron density difference map and is refined isotropically. In the absence of significant anomalous scattering, the absolute configuration could not be reliably determined and then the Friedel pairs were merged and any references to the Flack parameter were removed.



Figure 1

View of the title compound with atomic numbering. All atoms are shown with displacement ellipsoids drawn at the 50% probability level.



Reagents and conditions: (a) galcial acetic acid, POCl₃, (b) Toluene, boron trifluoride etherate (c) CHCl₃, piperidine, 80°C.

Z = 1

F(000) = 200 $D_x = 1.378 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$

 $\theta = 10 - 15^{\circ}$

 $\mu = 0.10 \text{ mm}^{-1}$ T = 293 K

Prism, pale yellow

 $0.16 \times 0.13 \times 0.10 \text{ mm}$

Melting point: 466 K

Mo *K* α radiation, $\lambda = 0.71073$ Å

Cell parameters from 25 reflections

Figure 2

The synthesis steps of the title compund.

4-Hydroxy-3-[(2E)-3-(3,4,5-trimethoxyphenyl)prop-2-enoyl]-2H- chromen-2-one

Crystal data

 $C_{21}H_{18}O_7$ $M_r = 382.35$ Triclinic, P1 Hall symbol: P1 a = 4.1370 (2) Å b = 8.1247 (2) Å c = 14.4101 (2) Å a = 74.549 (10)° $\beta = 85.166$ (10)° $\gamma = 81.205$ (10)° V = 460.87 (4) Å³

Data collection

Enraf–Nonius CAD-4	1974 independent reflections
diffractometer	1200 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$
Radiation source: fine-focus sealed tube	$R_{\rm int} = 0.038$
Graphite monochromator	$\theta_{\rm max} = 27.0^\circ, \ \theta_{\rm min} = 2.6^\circ$
$\omega/2\theta$ scans	$h = -5 \rightarrow 5$
Absorption correction: ψ scan	$k = -10 \rightarrow 10$
(North <i>et al.</i> , 1968)	$l = -18 \rightarrow 18$
$T_{\min} = 0.981, \ T_{\max} = 0.99$	2 standard reflections every 120 min
3575 measured reflections	intensity decay: 1.1%

Refinement

0	
Refinement on F^2	Secondary atom site location: difference Fourier
Least-squares matrix: full	map
$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.045$	Hydrogen site location: inferred from
$wR(F^2) = 0.136$	neighbouring sites
S = 1.09	H atoms treated by a mixture of independent
1974 reflections	and constrained refinement
257 parameters	$w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.0571P)^2 + 0.0544P]$
3 restraints	where $P = (F_{o}^{2} + 2F_{c}^{2})/3$
Primary atom site location: structure-invariant	$(\Delta/\sigma)_{\rm max} < 0.001$
direct methods	$\Delta ho_{ m max} = 0.12 \ m e \ m \AA^{-3}$
	$\Delta \rho_{\rm min} = -0.19 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$

Special details

Geometry. All e.s.d.'s (except the e.s.d. in the dihedral angle between two l.s. planes) are estimated using the full covariance matrix. The cell e.s.d.'s are taken into account individually in the estimation of e.s.d.'s in distances, angles and torsion angles; correlations between e.s.d.'s in cell parameters are only used when they are defined by crystal symmetry. An approximate (isotropic) treatment of cell e.s.d.'s is used for estimating e.s.d.'s involving l.s. planes. **Refinement**. Refinement of F^2 against ALL reflections. The weighted *R*-factor *wR* and goodness of fit *S* are based on F^2 , conventional *R*-factors *R* are based on *F*, with *F* set to zero for negative F^2 . The threshold expression of $F^2 > \sigma(F^2)$ is used

conventional *R*-factors *R* are based on *F*, with *F* set to zero for negative F^2 . The threshold expression of $F^2 > \sigma(F^2)$ is used only for calculating *R*-factors(gt) *etc.* and is not relevant to the choice of reflections for refinement. *R*-factors based on F^2 are statistically about twice as large as those based on *F*, and *R*- factors based on ALL data will be even larger.

Fractional atomic coordinates and isotropic or equivalent isotropic displacement parameters $(Å^2)$

	x	у	Ζ	$U_{ m iso}$ */ $U_{ m eq}$	
01	0.2654 (9)	-0.1096 (4)	0.5125 (2)	0.0645 (9)	
C8	0.4138 (11)	0.1778 (6)	0.4904 (3)	0.0540 (12)	
O4	0.5686 (10)	0.4521 (5)	0.4679 (2)	0.0763 (11)	
C7	0.3170 (11)	0.2208 (6)	0.3944 (3)	0.0540 (12)	
C4	0.1785 (11)	0.0992 (6)	0.3577 (3)	0.0529 (12)	
O3	0.3412 (9)	0.3741 (5)	0.3374 (2)	0.0727 (10)	
O7	0.9754 (9)	0.6931 (4)	0.8955 (2)	0.0676 (10)	
C14	0.8343 (12)	0.5498 (6)	0.7785 (3)	0.0574 (12)	
H14	0.8904	0.6386	0.7268	0.069*	
C15	0.8677 (11)	0.5584 (6)	0.8721 (3)	0.0550 (12)	
C5	0.1553 (11)	-0.0634 (6)	0.4199 (3)	0.0563 (12)	
C11	0.5772 (13)	0.2864 (7)	0.6294 (3)	0.0620 (13)	
H11	0.5408	0.1837	0.6737	0.074*	
C18	0.6315 (12)	0.2749 (6)	0.8389 (3)	0.0550 (12)	
H18	0.5527	0.1810	0.8277	0.066*	
C17	0.6645 (11)	0.2824 (6)	0.9330 (3)	0.0574 (13)	
C10	0.5255 (12)	0.3065 (6)	0.5273 (3)	0.0557 (12)	
06	0.8319 (9)	0.4264 (5)	1.0432 (2)	0.0717 (10)	
O2	0.5147 (10)	-0.0605 (5)	0.6284 (2)	0.0818 (12)	
O5	0.5901 (9)	0.1598 (4)	1.0150 (2)	0.0676 (10)	
C16	0.7836 (12)	0.4233 (7)	0.9499 (3)	0.0574 (12)	
C13	0.7168 (11)	0.4083 (6)	0.7613 (3)	0.0533 (12)	
C12	0.6741 (12)	0.4098 (6)	0.6607 (3)	0.0567 (12)	
H12	0.7201	0.5080	0.6139	0.068*	

0.4048 (11)	0.0011 (6)	0.5495 (3)	0.0561 (12)
0.0243 (14)	-0.1878 (8)	0.3905 (4)	0.0703 (14)
0.0077	-0.2955	0.4324	0.084*
0.0705 (12)	0.1366 (7)	0.2638 (3)	0.0658 (14)
0.0857	0.2442	0.2216	0.079*
-0.0584 (14)	0.0129 (8)	0.2341 (4)	0.0771 (17)
-0.1300	0.0374	0.1718	0.093*
1.0800 (14)	0.8268 (7)	0.8172 (4)	0.0708 (14)
1.1521	0.9128	0.8421	0.106*
0.9008	0.8784	0.7762	0.106*
1.2573	0.7788	0.7808	0.106*
0.4581 (15)	0.0164 (7)	1.0030 (4)	0.0723 (15)
0.4186	-0.0602	1.0649	0.108*
0.6104	-0.0433	0.9650	0.108*
0.2559	0.0555	0.9708	0.108*
-0.0816 (14)	-0.1476 (9)	0.2969 (4)	0.0784 (16)
-0.1693	-0.2298	0.2762	0.094*
0.5788 (16)	0.5207 (8)	1.0864 (4)	0.0821 (16)
0.6344	0.5155	1.1506	0.123*
0.3794	0.4724	1.0890	0.123*
0.5495	0.6385	1.0492	0.123*
0.478 (14)	0.441 (7)	0.387 (4)	0.086 (17)*
	0.4048 (11) 0.0243 (14) 0.0077 0.0705 (12) 0.0857 -0.0584 (14) -0.1300 1.0800 (14) 1.1521 0.9008 1.2573 0.4581 (15) 0.4186 0.6104 0.2559 -0.0816 (14) -0.1693 0.5788 (16) 0.6344 0.3794 0.5495 0.478 (14)	$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	0.4048 (11) 0.0011 (6) 0.5495 (3) 0.0243 (14) -0.1878 (8) 0.3905 (4) 0.0077 -0.2955 0.4324 0.0705 (12) 0.1366 (7) 0.2638 (3) 0.0857 0.2442 0.2216 -0.0584 (14) 0.0129 (8) 0.2341 (4) -0.1300 0.0374 0.1718 1.0800 (14) 0.8268 (7) 0.8172 (4) 1.1521 0.9128 0.8421 0.9008 0.8784 0.7762 1.2573 0.7788 0.7808 0.4581 (15) 0.0164 (7) 1.0030 (4) 0.4186 -0.0602 1.0649 0.6104 -0.0433 0.9650 0.2559 0.0555 0.9708 -0.0816 (14) -0.1476 (9) 0.2969 (4) -0.1693 -0.2298 0.2762 0.5788 (16) 0.5207 (8) 1.0864 (4) 0.6344 0.5155 1.1506 0.3794 0.4724 1.0890 0.5495 0.6385 1.0492 0.478 (14) 0.441 (7) 0.387 (4)

Atomic displacement parameters $(Å^2)$

	U^{11}	U^{22}	U^{33}	U^{12}	U^{13}	U^{23}
01	0.080 (2)	0.064 (2)	0.0421 (17)	-0.0060 (18)	-0.0092 (15)	-0.0010 (15)
C8	0.057 (3)	0.063 (3)	0.036 (2)	0.003 (2)	-0.0032 (19)	-0.009 (2)
O4	0.113 (3)	0.070 (2)	0.0431 (19)	-0.015 (2)	-0.0111 (19)	-0.0063 (17)
C7	0.058 (3)	0.063 (3)	0.033 (2)	0.001 (2)	0.005 (2)	-0.005 (2)
C4	0.054 (3)	0.062 (3)	0.037 (2)	0.004 (2)	-0.006 (2)	-0.010 (2)
O3	0.107 (3)	0.069 (2)	0.0371 (17)	-0.009(2)	-0.0028 (17)	-0.0052 (16)
O7	0.081 (3)	0.067 (2)	0.055 (2)	-0.0096 (18)	-0.0085 (17)	-0.0155 (17)
C14	0.062 (3)	0.055 (3)	0.047 (2)	0.005 (2)	-0.004 (2)	-0.006 (2)
C15	0.052 (3)	0.065 (3)	0.047 (3)	0.007 (2)	-0.013 (2)	-0.020 (2)
C5	0.053 (3)	0.069 (3)	0.042 (2)	0.002 (2)	0.001 (2)	-0.013 (2)
C11	0.073 (3)	0.068 (3)	0.039 (2)	0.003 (3)	-0.006(2)	-0.008(2)
C18	0.066 (3)	0.051 (3)	0.044 (2)	0.001 (2)	-0.011 (2)	-0.009 (2)
C17	0.064 (3)	0.062 (3)	0.046 (2)	0.006 (2)	-0.007(2)	-0.019 (2)
C10	0.065 (3)	0.065 (3)	0.031 (2)	0.002 (2)	-0.0040 (19)	-0.007 (2)
O6	0.084 (2)	0.086 (2)	0.0477 (18)	0.0038 (19)	-0.0186 (17)	-0.0248 (18)
O2	0.119 (3)	0.074 (2)	0.0463 (19)	-0.012 (2)	-0.031 (2)	0.0023 (16)
05	0.093 (3)	0.069 (2)	0.0383 (16)	-0.0153 (19)	-0.0088 (16)	-0.0046 (15)
C16	0.063 (3)	0.065 (3)	0.040 (2)	0.005 (2)	-0.013 (2)	-0.012 (2)
C13	0.059 (3)	0.054 (3)	0.042 (2)	0.010 (2)	-0.009 (2)	-0.012 (2)
C12	0.069 (3)	0.062 (3)	0.035 (2)	0.000 (2)	-0.009 (2)	-0.008 (2)
C9	0.060 (3)	0.062 (3)	0.043 (3)	-0.007 (2)	-0.006 (2)	-0.008(2)
C6	0.072 (3)	0.077 (3)	0.062 (3)	-0.014 (3)	0.008 (3)	-0.018 (3)

supporting information

C3	0.066 (3)	0.090 (4)	0.040 (2)	-0.003 (3)	-0.008 (2)	-0.016 (2)
C2	0.071 (4)	0.107 (5)	0.048 (3)	0.001 (3)	-0.011 (3)	-0.016 (3)
C19	0.073 (4)	0.073 (3)	0.062 (3)	-0.006 (3)	-0.014 (3)	-0.010 (3)
C21	0.094 (4)	0.073 (3)	0.051 (3)	-0.022 (3)	0.002 (3)	-0.013 (3)
C1	0.071 (4)	0.102 (5)	0.072 (4)	-0.013 (3)	-0.006 (3)	-0.039 (3)
C20	0.097 (4)	0.095 (4)	0.054 (3)	-0.003 (3)	0.000 (3)	-0.026 (3)

Geometric parameters (Å, °)

O1—C9	1.375 (6)	C17—O5	1.375 (6)
O1—C5	1.384 (5)	C17—C16	1.397 (6)
C8—C7	1.410 (6)	O6—C16	1.383 (5)
C8—C10	1.440 (7)	O6—C20	1.403 (6)
C8—C9	1.466 (6)	O2—C9	1.210 (5)
O4—C10	1.290 (6)	O5—C21	1.415 (6)
O4—H4	1.28 (7)	C13—C12	1.471 (6)
C7—O3	1.310 (5)	C12—H12	0.9300
C7—C4	1.445 (6)	C6—C1	1.392 (8)
C4—C5	1.396 (6)	С6—Н6	0.9300
C4—C3	1.402 (6)	C3—C2	1.381 (8)
O3—H4	1.22 (7)	С3—Н3	0.9300
O7—C15	1.372 (6)	C2—C1	1.387 (9)
O7—C19	1.431 (6)	C2—H2	0.9300
C14—C15	1.389 (6)	C19—H19A	0.9600
C14—C13	1.401 (7)	C19—H19B	0.9600
C14—H14	0.9300	C19—H19C	0.9600
C15—C16	1.406 (6)	C21—H21A	0.9600
C5—C6	1.388 (7)	C21—H21B	0.9600
C11—C12	1.329 (7)	C21—H21C	0.9600
C11—C10	1.467 (6)	C1—H1	0.9300
C11—H11	0.9300	C20—H20A	0.9600
C18—C17	1.392 (6)	C20—H20B	0.9600
C18—C13	1.398 (6)	С20—Н20С	0.9600
C18—H18	0.9300		
C9—O1—C5	122.3 (4)	C18—C13—C14	119.8 (4)
C7—C8—C10	119.6 (4)	C18—C13—C12	122.2 (4)
C7—C8—C9	118.8 (4)	C14—C13—C12	118.0 (4)
C10—C8—C9	121.7 (4)	C11—C12—C13	127.2 (4)
C10—O4—H4	104 (3)	C11—C12—H12	116.4
O3—C7—C8	120.7 (4)	C13—C12—H12	116.4
O3—C7—C4	118.4 (4)	O2—C9—O1	115.4 (4)
C8—C7—C4	120.9 (4)	O2—C9—C8	126.4 (5)
C5—C4—C3	119.3 (4)	O1—C9—C8	118.2 (4)
C5—C4—C7	117.4 (4)	C5—C6—C1	118.5 (5)
C3—C4—C7	123.3 (4)	С5—С6—Н6	120.8
С7—О3—Н4	102 (3)	С1—С6—Н6	120.8
C15—O7—C19	116.8 (4)	C2—C3—C4	119.7 (5)

C15—C14—C13	120.5 (4)	С2—С3—Н3	120.1
C15—C14—H14	119.8	С4—С3—Н3	120.1
C13—C14—H14	119.8	C3—C2—C1	120.3 (5)
O7—C15—C14	124.4 (4)	С3—С2—Н2	119.9
O7—C15—C16	116.2 (4)	C1—C2—H2	119.9
C14—C15—C16	119.4 (4)	O7—C19—H19A	109.5
O1—C5—C6	116.8 (4)	O7—C19—H19B	109.5
O1—C5—C4	122.1 (4)	H19A—C19—H19B	109.5
C6—C5—C4	121.1 (4)	O7—C19—H19C	109.5
C12—C11—C10	122.3 (5)	H19A—C19—H19C	109.5
C12—C11—H11	118.9	H19B—C19—H19C	109.5
C10—C11—H11	118.9	O5—C21—H21A	109.5
C17—C18—C13	120.1 (4)	O5—C21—H21B	109.5
C17—C18—H18	120.0	H21A—C21—H21B	109.5
C13—C18—H18	120.0	O5—C21—H21C	109.5
O5—C17—C18	125.6 (4)	H21A—C21—H21C	109.5
O5—C17—C16	114.5 (4)	H21B—C21—H21C	109.5
C18—C17—C16	120.0 (4)	C2—C1—C6	121.0 (5)
O4—C10—C8	118.3 (4)	C2-C1-H1	119.5
O4—C10—C11	117.4 (5)	С6—С1—Н1	119.5
C8—C10—C11	124.2 (4)	O6—C20—H20A	109.5
C16—O6—C20	115.6 (4)	O6—C20—H20B	109.5
C17—O5—C21	117.3 (4)	H20A—C20—H20B	109.5
O6—C16—C17	119.9 (4)	O6—C20—H20C	109.5
O6—C16—C15	119.9 (4)	H20A—C20—H20C	109.5
C17—C16—C15	120.2 (4)	H20B—C20—H20C	109.5

Hydrogen-bond geometry (Å, °)

D—H···A	D—H	H···A	D···· A	D—H···A
O3—H4…O4	1.22 (6)	1.28 (6)	2.437 (5)	153 (5)
C2— $H2$ ···O5 ⁱ	0.93	2.52	3.427 (6)	167
C20—H20 <i>B</i> ···O6 ⁱⁱ	0.96	2.52	3.439 (8)	160
С19—Н19С…О2 ^{ііі}	0.96	2.48	3.135 (7)	125
С11—Н11…О2	0.93	2.27	2.873 (7)	122
С12—Н12…О4	0.93	2.42	2.772 (5)	102

Symmetry codes: (i) *x*-1, *y*, *z*-1; (ii) *x*-1, *y*, *z*; (iii) *x*+1, *y*+1, *z*.