## metal-organic compounds

Acta Crystallographica Section E Structure Reports Online

ISSN 1600-5368

## Bis(di-2-pyridylmethanediol- $\kappa^3 N, O, N'$ )nickel(II) dinitrate

# Seung Man Yu,<sup>a</sup> Young Joo Song,<sup>a</sup> Kang Chul Kim,<sup>b</sup> Cheal Kim<sup>a\*</sup> and Youngmee Kim<sup>c\*</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Department of Fine Chemistry and Eco-Product and Materials Education Center, Seoul National University of Technology, Seoul 139-743, Republic of Korea, <sup>b</sup>Department of Computer Engineering, Yeosu Campus, Chonnam National University, Yeosu 550-749, Republic of Korea, and <sup>c</sup>Department of Chemistry and Nano Science, Ewha Women's University, Seoul 120-750, Republic of Korea Correspondence e-mail: chealkim@sunt.ac.kr, ymeekim@ewha.ac.kr

Received 7 May 2009; accepted 18 May 2009

Key indicators: single-crystal X-ray study; T = 293 K; mean  $\sigma$ (C–C) = 0.005 Å; disorder in main residue; R factor = 0.046; wR factor = 0.135; data-to-parameter ratio = 13.6.

The title compound,  $[Ni(C_{11}H_{10}N_2O_2)_2](NO_3)_2$ , consists of an Ni<sup>II</sup> atom coordinated by two tridentate chelating di-2pyridylmethanediol  $[(2-py)_2C(OH)_2]$  ligands. The Ni<sup>II</sup> atom is located on an inversion center. The geometry around the Ni<sup>II</sup> atom is distorted octahedral. The *gem*-diol  $(2-py)_2C(OH)_2$ ligand adopts the coordination mode  $\eta^1:\eta^1:\eta^1$ . The Ni–N and Ni–O bond lengths are typical for high-spin Ni<sup>II</sup> in an octahedral environment [Ni–N = 2.094 (2) and 2.124 (3) Å,and Ni–O = 2.108 (3) Å]. One of the hydroxy H atoms is split over two positions which both interact with the nitrate anion. The occurence of different O–H···O hydrogen bonds leads to the formation of a layer parallel to the (101) plane.

#### **Related literature**

For background information, see: Efthymiou *et al.* (2006); Moragues-Cánovas *et al.* (2004); Papaefstathiou & Perlepes (2002); Papatriantafyllopoulou *et al.* (2007); Stoumpos *et al.* (2008, 2009). For related structures, see: Li *et al.* (2005); Wang *et al.* (1986).



# TossMark

#### **Experimental**

#### Crystal data

Ν

$Ni(C_{11}H_{10}N_2O_2)_2](NO_3)_2$	V = 1242.0 (2) Å <sup>3</sup>
$A_r = 587.15$	Z = 2
Aonoclinic, $P2_1/n$	Mo $K\alpha$ radiation
= 8.4077 (9) Å	$\mu = 0.85 \text{ mm}^{-1}$
= 15.5098 (16)  Å	T = 293  K
= 9.5556 (10) Å	$0.20 \times 0.10 \times 0.03 \text{ mm}$
$B = 94.644 \ (2)^{\circ}$	

#### Data collection

Bruker SMART CCD diffractometer Absorption correction: none 7646 measured reflections

#### Refinement

$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.046$	179 parameters
$wR(F^2) = 0.135$	H-atom parameters constrained
S = 1.06	$\Delta \rho_{\rm max} = 0.49 \ {\rm e} \ {\rm \AA}^{-3}$
2442 reflections	$\Delta \rho_{\rm min} = -0.59 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$

2442 independent reflections

 $R_{\rm int} = 0.057$ 

1826 reflections with  $I > 2\sigma(I)$ 

### Table 1

Hydrogen-bond geometry (Å, °).

$D - H \cdots A$	D-H	$H \cdots A$	$D \cdots A$	$D - \mathbf{H} \cdot \cdot \cdot A$
O2−H2···O4	0.82	2.22	2.810 (4)	129
$O1 - H1B \cdots O3$	0.86	2.13	2.933 (4)	155
$O1-H1A\cdots O5^{i}$	0.87	2.04	2.884 (4)	165

Symmetry code: (i)  $x + \frac{1}{2}, -y + \frac{3}{2}, z - \frac{1}{2}$ .

Data collection: *SMART* (Bruker, 1997); cell refinement: *SAINT* (Bruker, 1997); data reduction: *SAINT*; program(s) used to solve structure: *SHELXS97* (Sheldrick, 2008); program(s) used to refine structure: *SHELXL97* (Sheldrick, 2008); molecular graphics: *SHELXTL* (Sheldrick, 2008); software used to prepare material for publication: *SHELXTL*.

Financial support from the Korean Environment Ministry 'ET–Human Resource Development Project' and the Korean Science and Engineering Foundation (grant No. R01-2008-000-20704-0) is gratefully acknowledged.

Supplementary data and figures for this paper are available from the IUCr electronic archives (Reference: DN2454).

#### References

- Bruker (1997). SMART and SAINT. Bruker AXS Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.
- Efthymiou, C. G., Raptopoulou, C. P., Terzis, A., Boča, R., Korabic, M., Mrozinski, J., Perlepes, S. P. & Bakalbassis, E. G. (2006). *Eur. J. Inorg. Chem.* pp. 2236–2252.

Li, C.-J., Li, W., Tong, M.-L. & Ng, S. W. (2005). Acta Cryst. E61, m229–m231. Moragues-Cánovas, M., Helliwell, M., Ricard, L., Rivière, E., Wernsdorfer, W.,

- Brechin, E. & Mallah, T. (2004). *Eur. J. Inorg. Chem.* pp. 2219–2222. Papaefstathiou, G. S. & Perlepes, S. P. (2002). *Comments Inorg. Chem.* 23, 249–
- 274. Papatriantafyllopoulou, C., Efthymiou, C. G., Raptopoulou, C. P., Vicente, R., Manessi-Zoupa, E., Psycharis, V., Escuer, A. & Perlepes, S. P. (2007). J. Mol.
- *Struct.* **829**, 176–188. Sheldrick, G. M. (2008). *Acta Cryst.* A**64**, 112–122.
- Stoumpos, C. C., Gass, I. A., Milios, C. J., Kefalloniti, E., Raptopoulou, C. P., Terzis, A., Lalioti, N., Brechin, E. K. & Perlepes, S. P. (2008). *Inorg. Chem. Commun.* 11, 196–202.

- Stoumpos, C. C., Lalioti, N., Gass, I. A., Gkotsis, K., Kitos, A. A., Sartzi, H., Milios, C. J., Raptopoulou, C. P., Terzis, A., Brechin, E. K. & Perlepes, S. P. (2009). *Polyhedron*. doi:10.1016/j.poly.2008.12.001.
- Wang, S.-L., Richardson, J. W. Jr, Briggs, S. J., Jacobson, R. A. & Jensen, W. P. (1986). *Inorg. Chim. Acta*, **111**, 67–72.

# supporting information

Acta Cryst. (2009). E65, m678-m679 [doi:10.1107/S1600536809018728]

## Bis(di-2-pyridylmethanediol-κ<sup>3</sup>N,O,N')nickel(II) dinitrate

### Seung Man Yu, Young Joo Song, Kang Chul Kim, Cheal Kim and Youngmee Kim

#### S1. Comment

Di-2-pyridyl ketone ((py)<sub>2</sub>CO) has been employed to form structurally interesting new complexes with 3 d-metal ions (Stoumpos *et al.*, 2009). Water and alcohols (ROH) have been shown to add to the carbonyl group forming the ligands (2-py)<sub>2</sub>C(OH)<sub>2</sub> [the *gem*-diol form of (2-py)<sub>2</sub>CO] and (2-py)<sub>2</sub>C(OR)(OH) [the hemiacetal form of (2-py)<sub>2</sub>CO], respectively (Efthymiou *et al.*, 2006). The neutral ligands (py)<sub>2</sub>C(OH)<sub>2</sub> and (py)<sub>2</sub>C(OR)(OH) coordinate to the metal centres as N,N',O chelates (Papaefstathiou & Perlepes, 2002). The different interesting coordination modes have been seen when the ligands (py)<sub>2</sub>C(OH)<sub>2</sub> and (py)<sub>2</sub>C(OR)(OH) are deprotonated to form mono- or dianion. The deprotonation of hydroxyl groups leads to a coordinative flexibility (Papatriantafyllopoulou *et al.*, 2007; Stoumpos *et al.*, 2008). Some Ni<sup>II</sup> complexes of the neutral ligand, (py)<sub>2</sub>C(OH)<sub>2</sub> have been structurally characterized (Wang *et al.*, 1986; Li *et al.*, 2005), but no structure with a nitrate ion as the counter-ion has been reported to date.

The Ni<sup>II</sup> atom is located on an inversion center and is coordinated by two tridentate chelating  $(2-py)_2C(OH)_2$  ligand to form a distorted octahedral geometry. The *gem*-diol ligand  $(2-py)_2C(OH)_2$  adopts the coordination mode  $\eta^1:\eta^1:\eta^1$  (Fig. 1). The Ni—N and Ni—O bond lengths are typical for high-spin Ni(II) in octahedral environments [Ni—N = 2.094 (2) and 2.124 (3) Å, Ni—O = 2.108 (3) Å] (Moragues-Cánovas *et al.*, 2004). The hydrogen attached to O1 is splitting on two positions which are both in interaction with the NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> anion. The O—H…O hydrogen bonds build up a layer parallel to the (101) plane (Table 1, Fig. 2).

### **S2. Experimental**

36.7 mg (0.125 mmol) of Ni(NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>.6H<sub>2</sub>O and 35.5 mg (0.25 mmol) of  $C_6H_5COONH_4$  were dissolved in 4 ml water and carefully layered by 4 ml solution of amixture of acetone, methanol and ethanol (2/2/2) of di-2-pyridyl ketone ligand (46.1 mg, 0.25 mmol). Suitable crystals of the title compound for X-ray analysis were obtained in a few weeks.

#### **S3. Refinement**

All H atoms attached to C atoms were fixed geometrically and treated as riding with C—H = 0.93 Å with  $U_{iso}(H) = 1.2U_{eq}(C)$ . Hydroxyl H atom for O2 were treated as riding on the parent atom with O—H = 0.82 Å and  $U_{iso}(H) = 1.5U_{eq}(O)$ . The hydroxyl H attached to O1 appears to be splitted on two positions. The coordinates of these two positions were initially refined with O—H restraints (0.85 Å) and  $U_{iso}(H) = 1.5U_{eq}(O)$ . Then in the last stage of refinement these disordered H atoms were treated as riding on the O atom.



## Figure 1

View of the title complex with the atom labeling scheme. Displacement ellipsoids are drawn at the 30% probability level. H atoms are represented as small spheres of arbitrary radii and hydrogen bonds are shown as dashed lines. [Symmetry code: (i) 1 - x, 1 - y, 1 - z].



## Figure 2

Packing view down the b axis. Hydrogen bonds are shown as dashed lines. H atoms not involved in hydrogen bondings have been omitted for clarity.

### Bis(di-2-pyridylmethanediol- $\kappa^3 N, O, N'$ )nickel(II) dinitrate

V = 1242.0 (2) Å <sup>3</sup>
Z = 2
F(000) = 604
$D_{\rm x} = 1.570 {\rm ~Mg} {\rm ~m}^{-3}$
Mo <i>K</i> $\alpha$ radiation, $\lambda = 0.71073$ Å
Cell parameters from 2352 reflections
$\theta = 2.5 - 25.6^{\circ}$
$\mu = 0.85 \text{ mm}^{-1}$

#### T = 293 KPlate, pale brown

Data collection

Bula concerion	
Bruker SMART CCD diffractometer	1826 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$ $R_{\text{int}} = 0.057$
Radiation source: fine-focus sealed tube	$\theta_{\rm max} = 26.0^\circ, \ \theta_{\rm min} = 2.5^\circ$
Graphite monochromator	$h = -11 \rightarrow 11$
$\varphi$ and $\omega$ scans	$k = -20 \rightarrow 12$
7646 measured reflections	$l = -12 \rightarrow 12$
2442 independent reflections	
Refinement	
Refinement on $F^2$	Secondary atom site location: difference Fourier
Least-squares matrix: full	map
$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.046$	Hydrogen site location: inferred from
$wR(F^2) = 0.135$	neighbouring sites
S = 1.06	H-atom parameters constrained
2442 reflections	$w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.0755P)^2 + 0.0426P]$
179 parameters	where $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$
0 restraints	$(\Delta/\sigma)_{\rm max} < 0.001$
Primary atom site location: structure-invariant	$\Delta  ho_{ m max} = 0.49$ e Å <sup>-3</sup>
direct methods	$\Delta \rho_{\rm min} = -0.59 \text{ e} \text{ Å}^{-3}$

 $0.20 \times 0.10 \times 0.03 \text{ mm}$ 

#### Special details

**Geometry**. All esds (except the esd in the dihedral angle between two l.s. planes) are estimated using the full covariance matrix. The cell esds are taken into account individually in the estimation of esds in distances, angles and torsion angles; correlations between esds in cell parameters are only used when they are defined by crystal symmetry. An approximate (isotropic) treatment of cell esds is used for estimating esds involving l.s. planes.

**Refinement**. Refinement of  $F^2$  against ALL reflections. The weighted *R*-factor *wR* and goodness of fit *S* are based on  $F^2$ , conventional *R*-factors *R* are based on *F*, with *F* set to zero for negative  $F^2$ . The threshold expression of  $F^2 > \sigma(F^2)$  is used only for calculating *R*-factors(gt) *etc.* and is not relevant to the choice of reflections for refinement. *R*-factors based on  $F^2$  are statistically about twice as large as those based on *F*, and *R*- factors based on ALL data will be even larger.

Fractional atomic coordinates and isotropic or equivalent isotropic displacement parameters  $(Å^2)$ 

Ni10.50000.50000.50000.0334 (2)N10.3937 (3)0.52480 (18)0.2948 (3)0.0389 (6)N20.2830 (2)0.54084 (17)0.5702 (2)0.0247 (6)	
N1         0.3937 (3)         0.52480 (18)         0.2948 (3)         0.0389 (6)           N2         0.2820 (2)         0.54084 (17)         0.5702 (2)         0.0247 (6)	
0.2820(2) $0.54084(17)$ $0.5702(2)$ $0.0247(2)$	
N2 $0.2830(3)$ $0.34084(17)$ $0.5703(3)$ $0.0347(6)$	
O1 0.5183 (3) 0.63487 (17) 0.4873 (2) 0.0574 (7)	
H1A 0.5905 0.6553 0.4370 0.086*	0.50
H1B 0.5305 0.6590 0.5688 0.086*	0.50
O2 0.3337 (3) 0.74355 (14) 0.3938 (3) 0.0539 (6)	
H2 0.3866 0.7720 0.4530 0.081*	
C1 0.3774 (4) 0.4731 (2) 0.1816 (4) 0.0478 (9)	
H1 0.4155 0.4169 0.1884 0.057*	
C2 0.3053 (5) 0.5019 (3) 0.0558 (4) 0.0639 (11)	)
H2A 0.2927 0.4653 -0.0214 0.077*	
C3 0.2515 (5) 0.5865 (3) 0.0458 (4) 0.0685 (12)	)
H3 0.2048 0.6076 -0.0389 0.082*	
C4 0.2677 (4) 0.6386 (3) 0.1615 (4) 0.0562 (10)	)

H4	0.2310	0.6951	0.1573	0.067*	
C5	0.3394 (4)	0.6055 (2)	0.2841 (3)	0.0394 (7)	
C6	0.3583 (4)	0.6549 (2)	0.4213 (3)	0.0386 (7)	
C7	0.2392 (3)	0.61766 (19)	0.5177 (3)	0.0353 (7)	
C8	0.0989 (4)	0.6581 (2)	0.5451 (4)	0.0459 (8)	
H8	0.0700	0.7112	0.5054	0.055*	
C9	0.0030 (4)	0.6160 (3)	0.6345 (4)	0.0594 (10)	
H9	-0.0919	0.6414	0.6569	0.071*	
C10	0.0462 (4)	0.5378 (3)	0.6898 (4)	0.0521 (9)	
H10	-0.0182	0.5097	0.7500	0.063*	
C11	0.1873 (4)	0.5008 (2)	0.6552 (3)	0.0434 (8)	
H11	0.2164	0.4470	0.6916	0.052*	
N3	0.3476 (4)	0.7718 (2)	0.7595 (4)	0.0585 (8)	
03	0.4512 (4)	0.71600 (19)	0.7535 (3)	0.0755 (9)	
O4	0.3188 (5)	0.8218 (2)	0.6577 (3)	0.1014 (12)	
O5	0.2759 (4)	0.7785 (2)	0.8634 (4)	0.0834 (9)	

Atomic displacement parameters  $(\mathring{A}^2)$ 

	$U^{11}$	$U^{22}$	$U^{33}$	$U^{12}$	$U^{13}$	$U^{23}$
Ni1	0.0362 (3)	0.0326 (3)	0.0319 (3)	0.0023 (2)	0.0050 (2)	-0.0004 (2)
N1	0.0396 (15)	0.0451 (16)	0.0326 (15)	0.0016 (11)	0.0079 (11)	-0.0016 (11)
N2	0.0340 (13)	0.0360 (15)	0.0343 (14)	0.0020 (11)	0.0047 (11)	-0.0012 (11)
01	0.0609 (16)	0.0526 (16)	0.0587 (16)	-0.0010 (12)	0.0049 (13)	-0.0001 (12)
O2	0.0675 (17)	0.0363 (13)	0.0578 (16)	0.0069 (12)	0.0054 (12)	0.0050 (11)
C1	0.054 (2)	0.050 (2)	0.040 (2)	0.0031 (16)	0.0081 (16)	-0.0051 (16)
C2	0.084 (3)	0.072 (3)	0.036 (2)	-0.001 (2)	0.0032 (19)	-0.0137 (19)
C3	0.087 (3)	0.079 (3)	0.038 (2)	0.011 (2)	-0.004 (2)	0.006 (2)
C4	0.072 (3)	0.054 (2)	0.043 (2)	0.0102 (19)	0.0005 (18)	0.0078 (17)
C5	0.0418 (18)	0.0411 (19)	0.0363 (17)	0.0017 (14)	0.0095 (14)	0.0007 (14)
C6	0.0390 (18)	0.0349 (18)	0.0422 (18)	0.0031 (14)	0.0059 (14)	0.0046 (14)
C7	0.0365 (16)	0.0357 (17)	0.0336 (16)	0.0002 (13)	0.0026 (13)	-0.0053 (13)
C8	0.0391 (18)	0.048 (2)	0.050 (2)	0.0069 (15)	-0.0001 (15)	-0.0015 (15)
C9	0.040 (2)	0.075 (3)	0.065 (2)	0.0062 (19)	0.0128 (18)	-0.010 (2)
C10	0.047 (2)	0.064 (2)	0.047 (2)	-0.0077 (18)	0.0155 (16)	-0.0005 (18)
C11	0.0485 (18)	0.0451 (19)	0.0370 (17)	-0.0049 (16)	0.0053 (14)	0.0002 (15)
N3	0.067 (2)	0.047 (2)	0.061 (2)	-0.0010 (16)	0.0000 (17)	-0.0192 (17)
03	0.077 (2)	0.077 (2)	0.0714 (19)	0.0337 (16)	0.0032 (15)	-0.0251 (15)
O4	0.173 (4)	0.056 (2)	0.071 (2)	0.016 (2)	-0.014 (2)	-0.0052 (16)
05	0.071 (2)	0.092 (2)	0.092 (2)	0.0066 (16)	0.0358 (18)	-0.0195 (18)
~ -						

Geometric parameters (Å, °)

Ni1—N2	2.093 (2)	C2—H2A	0.9300	
Ni1-N2 <sup>i</sup>	2.093 (2)	C3—C4	1.367 (5)	
Ni1-01	2.102 (3)	С3—Н3	0.9300	
Ni1—O1 <sup>i</sup>	2.102 (3)	C4—C5	1.372 (4)	
Nil—N1	2.123 (3)	C4—H4	0.9300	

Ni1—N1 <sup>i</sup>	2.123 (3)	C5—C6	1.515 (4)
N1—C5	1.334 (4)	C6—C7	1.528 (4)
N1—C1	1.345 (4)	C7—C8	1.380 (4)
N2—C7	1.333 (4)	C8—C9	1.385 (5)
N2—C11	1.340 (4)	С8—Н8	0.9300
O1—C6	1.472 (4)	C9—C10	1.360 (5)
O1—H1A	0.8650	С9—Н9	0.9300
O1—H1B	0.8625	C10—C11	1.382 (5)
O2—C6	1.412 (4)	C10—H10	0.9300
02—H2	0.8200	C11—H11	0.9300
C1—C2	1.377 (5)	N3—05	1,206 (4)
C1—H1	0.9300	N3-03	1,233(4)
$C^2 - C^3$	1 388 (5)	N3-04	1.253(1) 1 253(4)
62 63	1.500 (5)		1.235 (4)
N2—Ni1—N2 <sup>i</sup>	180.0	C4—C3—C2	119.5 (4)
N2—Ni1—O1	77.70 (10)	С4—С3—Н3	120.3
N2 <sup>i</sup> —Ni1—O1	102.30 (10)	С2—С3—Н3	120.3
N2—Ni1—O1 <sup>i</sup>	102.30 (10)	C3—C4—C5	118.5 (4)
N2 <sup>i</sup> —Ni1—O1 <sup>i</sup>	77.70 (10)	C3—C4—H4	120.7
O1—Ni1—O1 <sup>i</sup>	180.0	C5—C4—H4	120.7
N2—Ni1—N1	85.93 (9)	N1—C5—C4	122.7 (3)
N2 <sup>i</sup> —Ni1—N1	94.07 (9)	N1—C5—C6	113.4 (3)
O1—Ni1—N1	78.10 (10)	C4—C5—C6	123.9 (3)
O1 <sup>i</sup> —Ni1—N1	101.90 (10)	O2—C6—O1	113.6 (2)
N2—Ni1—N1 <sup>i</sup>	94.07 (9)	O2—C6—C5	109.1 (3)
$N2^{i}$ —Ni1—N1 <sup>i</sup>	85.93 (9)	O1—C6—C5	107.0 (2)
O1—Ni1—N1 <sup>i</sup>	101.90 (10)	O2—C6—C7	112.8 (2)
$O1^{i}$ $Ni1$ $N1^{i}$	78 10 (10)	01 - C6 - C7	1064(2)
$N1-Ni1-N1^{i}$	180.000 (1)	C5—C6—C7	107.6(2)
C5-N1-C1	1191(3)	N2-C7-C8	1233(3)
C5—N1—Ni1	110.9(2)	$N_{2} - C_{7} - C_{6}$	113.0(2)
C1—N1—Ni1	130.0(2)	C8-C7-C6	1237(3)
C7-N2-C11	1187(3)	C7—C8—C9	116.8(3)
C7—N2—Ni1	111 76 (19)	C7—C8—H8	121.6
$C_{11}$ $N_{2}$ $N_{11}$	1295(2)	C9-C8-H8	121.6
C6-01-Ni1	99 56 (17)	$C_{10}$ $C_{9}$ $C_{8}$	121.0 120.7(3)
C6-O1-H1A	110.0	$C_{10}$ $C_{9}$ $H_{9}$	119.7
$Ni1_01_H1A$	117.0	$C_{8}$	119.7
$C_{6} O_{1} H_{1}B$	109.5	$C_{0}$ $C_{10}$ $C_{11}$	119.7 110.0(3)
Nil Ol HIB	109.5	$C_{9}$ $C_{10}$ $H_{10}$	119.0 (5)
	107.8	$C_{1}$	120.5
$\Gamma = \Gamma = \Gamma = \Gamma = \Gamma$	107.0	$N_2 = C_{11} = C_{10}$	120.3 121.5(2)
$ \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 $	107.5	$N_2 = C_{11} = C_{10}$ $N_2 = C_{11} = H_{11}$	121.3 (3)
N1 = C1 = U2	121.2 (4)	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	117.3
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	117.4	$C_{10}$ $C_{11}$ $-\Pi_{11}$	117.5
$C_2 = C_1 = H_1$	119.4	03 - N3 - 03	120.1(4)
C1 - C2 - C3	119.0 (4)	U3—N3—U4	120.3 (4)

# supporting information

С1—С2—Н2А	120.5	O3—N3—O4	119.4 (4)
С3—С2—Н2А	120.5		

Symmetry code: (i) -x+1, -y+1, -z+1.

## Hydrogen-bond geometry (Å, °)

D—H···A	<i>D</i> —Н	Н…А	D····A	D—H···A	
O2—H2…O4	0.82	2.22	2.810 (4)	129	
O1—H1 <i>B</i> ···O3	0.86	2.13	2.933 (4)	155	
O1—H1A···O5 <sup>ii</sup>	0.87	2.04	2.884 (4)	165	

Symmetry code: (ii) x+1/2, -y+3/2, z-1/2.