

Acta Crystallographica Section E Structure Reports Online

ISSN 1600-5368

# 1H-Pyrrole-2-carboxylic acid

#### Gui Hong Tang, Dong Dong Li, Gang Huang, Xing Yan Xu and Xiang Chao Zeng\*

Department of Chemistry, Jinan University, Guangzhou, Guangdong 510632, People's Republic of China Correspondence e-mail: xczeng@126.com

Received 27 March 2009; accepted 15 April 2009

Key indicators: single-crystal X-ray study; T = 173 K; mean  $\sigma$ (C–C) = 0.004 Å; *R* factor = 0.063; *wR* factor = 0.191; data-to-parameter ratio = 13.6.

In the title compound,  $C_5H_5NO_2$ , the pyrrole ring and its carboxyl substituent are close to coplanar, with a dihedral angle of 11.7 (3)° between the planes. In the crystal structure, adjacent molecules are linked by pairs of  $O-H\cdots O$  hydrogen bonds to form inversion dimers. Additional  $N-H\cdots O$  hydrogen bonds link these dimers into chains extending along the *a* axis.

#### **Related literature**

For pyrroles sourced from marine organisms, see: Faulkner (2002). For the bioactivity of pyrrole derivatives, see: Banwell *et al.* (2006); Sosa *et al.* (2002). For related structures, see: Zeng (2006); Zeng *et al.* (2007). For graph-set motifs, see: Bernstein *et al.* (1995).



## Experimental

Crystal data	
C <sub>5</sub> H <sub>5</sub> NO <sub>2</sub>	b = 5.0364 (10)  Å
$M_r = 111.10$	c = 14.613 (3) Å
Monoclinic, $C2/c$	$\beta = 98.969 \ (3)^{\circ}$
a = 14.080 (3) Å	V = 1023.6 (3) Å <sup>3</sup>

#### Z = 8Mo $K\alpha$ radiation $\mu = 0.11 \text{ mm}^{-1}$

#### Data collection

Bruker SMART 1K CCD areadetector diffractometer Absorption correction: multi-scan (*SADABS*; Sheldrick, 1996) *T*<sub>min</sub> = 0.954, *T*<sub>max</sub> = 0.959

Refinement

 $R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.063$   $wR(F^2) = 0.191$  S = 1.061006 reflections

# Table 1 Hydrogen-bond geometry (Å, °).

$D - H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	D-H	$H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	$D \cdots A$	$D - \mathbf{H} \cdot \cdot \cdot A$
$N1 - H1A \cdots O1^{i}$	0.88	2.22	2.951 (3)	141
Symmetry codes: (i) -	$v \pm 1 = v \pm 5 =$	$-7 \pm 1$ : (ii) $-x$	-v + 2 - z + 1	100

Data collection: *SMART* (Bruker,1999); cell refinement: *SAINT-Plus* (Bruker, 1999); data reduction: *SAINT-Plus*; program(s) used to solve structure: *SHELYSO7* (Sheldrick 2008); program(s) used to

*Plus* (Bruker, 1999); data reduction: *SAINT-Plus*; program(s) used to solve structure: *SHELXS97* (Sheldrick, 2008); program(s) used to refine structure: *SHELXL97* (Sheldrick, 2008); molecular graphics: *SHELXTL* (Sheldrick, 2008); software used to prepare material for publication: *SHELXTL*.

We thank the Natural Science Foundation of Guangdong Province, China (grant No. 06300581), for generously supporting this study.

Supplementary data and figures for this paper are available from the IUCr electronic archives (Reference: SJ2604).

#### References

- Banwell, M. G., Hamel, E., Hockless, D. C. R., Verdier-Pinard, P., Willis, A. C. & Wong, D. J. (2006). *Bioorg. Med. Chem.* 14, 4627–4638.
- Bernstein, J., Davis, R. E., Shimoni, L. & Chang, N.-L. (1995). Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl. 34, 1555–1573.
- Bruker (1999). SMART and SAINT-Plus. Bruker AXS Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.
- Faulkner, D. J. (2002). Nat. Prod. Rep. 18, 1-48.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1996). SADABS. University of Göttingen, Germany.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (2008). Acta Cryst. A64, 112-122.
- Sosa, A. C. B., Yakushijin, K. & Horne, D. A. (2002). J. Org. Chem. 67, 4498– 4500.
- Zeng, X.-C. (2006). Acta Cryst. E62, 05505-05507.
- Zeng, X.-C., Zeng, J., Li, X. & Ling, X. (2007). Acta Cryst. E63, 03424.

 $0.42 \times 0.40 \times 0.37 \text{ mm}$ 

2277 measured reflections

1006 independent reflections

875 reflections with  $I > 2\sigma(I)$ 

H-atom parameters constrained

T = 173 K

 $R_{\rm int} = 0.015$ 

74 parameters

 $\Delta \rho_{\text{max}} = 0.74 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$  $\Delta \rho_{\text{min}} = -0.73 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$ 

# supporting information

Acta Cryst. (2009). E65, o1121 [doi:10.1107/S1600536809014044]

# 1H-Pyrrole-2-carboxylic acid

# Gui Hong Tang, Dong Dong Li, Gang Huang, Xing Yan Xu and Xiang Chao Zeng

# S1. Comment

Pyrrole derivatives are well known in many marine organisms (Faulkner, 2002), some show important bioactivities, such as antitumor activity (Banwell *et al.*, 2006) and protein kinase inhibiting activity (Sosa *et al.*, 2002). This is the reason they have attracted our interest. This study is related to our previous structural investigations of methyl 2-(4,5-dibromo-1*H*-pyrrole-2-carboxamido)propionate (Zeng *et al.*, 2007) and 3-bromo-1-methyl-6,7-dihydropyrrolo[2,3*c*]azepine- 4,8(1*H*,5*H*)-dione (Zeng, 2006). In the crystal structure, molecules of the title compound are linked through N1—H1···O1<sup>i</sup> hydrogen bonds to form centrosymmetric dimers (Fig. 2) of graph-set motif  $R_2^2(10)$  (Bernstein *et al.*, 1995), which are linked by O2—H2···O1<sup>ii</sup> hydrogen bonds (another kind of centrosymmetric dimers of graph-set motif  $R_2^2(8)$  are formed), generating chains extending to the *a* axis (also shown in Fig. 2).

# S2. Experimental

The commercially available 1*H*-pyrrole-2-carboxylic acid was dissolved in the mixture of EtOH (80%) and ethyl acetate (20%). Colorless monoclinic crystals suitable for X-ray analysis were obtained when the solution was exposed to the air at room temperature for about 5 d.

# **S3. Refinement**

All non-H atoms were refined with anisotropic displacement parameters. The H atoms were positioned geometrically [C -H = 0.95Å for CH, O-H = 0.84Å for OH, and N-H = 0.88Å] and refined using a riding model, with  $U_{iso} = 1.2U_{eq}$  (1.5 $U_{eq}$  for the methyl group) of the parent atom. In the final difference Fourier map the highest peak (0.74 eÅ<sup>-3</sup>) is 1.01Å from O2 and the deepest hole (-0.73 eÅ<sup>-3</sup>) is 0.61Å from O2.



# Figure 1

The molecular structure of the title compound, with the atom-numbering scheme. Displacement ellipsoids are drawn at the 30% probability level.



# Figure 2

Crystal packing of (I) showing the chains formed by hydrogen bonds (dashed lines).

# 1H-Pyrrole-2-carboxylic acid

Crystal data
C <sub>5</sub> H <sub>5</sub> NO <sub>2</sub>
$M_r = 111.10$
Monoclinic, C2/c
Hall symbol: -C 2yc
a = 14.080(3) Å
<i>b</i> = 5.0364 (10) Å
c = 14.613 (3)  Å
$\beta = 98.969 \ (3)^{\circ}$
$V = 1023.6 (3) \text{ Å}^3$
Z = 8

### Data collection

Bruker SMART 1K CCD area-detector diffractometer Radiation source: fine-focus sealed tube Graphite monochromator  $\varphi$  and  $\omega$  scans F(000) = 464  $D_x = 1.442 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$ Melting point: 480 K Mo K\alpha radiation, \lambda = 0.71073 Å Cell parameters from 1751 reflections  $\theta = 2.8-27.0^{\circ}$   $\mu = 0.11 \text{ mm}^{-1}$  T = 173 KBlock, colorless  $0.42 \times 0.40 \times 0.37 \text{ mm}$ 

Absorption correction: multi-scan (*SADABS*; Sheldrick, 1996)  $T_{min} = 0.954$ ,  $T_{max} = 0.959$ 2277 measured reflections 1006 independent reflections 875 reflections with  $I > 2\sigma(I)$ 

$R_{\rm int} = 0.015$	$k = -6 \rightarrow 6$
$\theta_{\rm max} = 26.0^{\circ},  \theta_{\rm min} = 2.8^{\circ}$	$l = -14 \rightarrow 18$
$h = -17 \rightarrow 13$	

Refinement	
Refinement on $F^2$	Secondary atom site location: difference Fourier
Least-squares matrix: full	map
$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.063$	Hydrogen site location: inferred from
$wR(F^2) = 0.191$	neighbouring sites
<i>S</i> = 1.06	H-atom parameters constrained
1006 reflections	$w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.1108P)^2 + 3.3345P]$
74 parameters	where $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$
0 restraints	$(\Delta/\sigma)_{\rm max} = 0.001$
Primary atom site location: structure-invariant	$\Delta \rho_{\rm max} = 0.74 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$
direct methods	$\Delta \rho_{\rm min} = -0.73 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$

# Special details

**Geometry**. All e.s.d.'s (except the e.s.d. in the dihedral angle between two l.s. planes) are estimated using the full covariance matrix. The cell e.s.d.'s are taken into account individually in the estimation of e.s.d.'s in distances, angles and torsion angles; correlations between e.s.d.'s in cell parameters are only used when they are defined by crystal symmetry. An approximate (isotropic) treatment of cell e.s.d.'s is used for estimating e.s.d.'s involving l.s. planes.

**Refinement**. Refinement of  $F^2$  against ALL reflections. The weighted *R*-factor *wR* and goodness of fit *S* are based on  $F^2$ , conventional *R*-factors *R* are based on *F*, with *F* set to zero for negative  $F^2$ . The threshold expression of  $F^2 > \sigma(F^2)$  is used only for calculating *R*-factors(gt) *etc.* and is not relevant to the choice of reflections for refinement. *R*-factors based on  $F^2$  are statistically about twice as large as those based on *F*, and *R*- factors based on ALL data will be even larger.

	x	У	Ζ	$U_{ m iso}$ */ $U_{ m eq}$	
01	0.12435 (12)	1.1503 (3)	0.53422 (12)	0.0223 (5)	
C4	0.23786 (16)	0.8483 (5)	0.61313 (15)	0.0176 (6)	
O2	0.07382 (14)	0.7350 (4)	0.56343 (15)	0.0373 (6)	
H2A	0.0220	0.7923	0.5336	0.056*	
N1	0.31542 (14)	1.0100 (4)	0.61094 (15)	0.0216 (6)	
H1A	0.3144	1.1614	0.5808	0.026*	
C3	0.26837 (17)	0.6325 (5)	0.66849 (17)	0.0208 (6)	
H3	0.2299	0.4879	0.6828	0.025*	
C5	0.14189 (16)	0.9228 (5)	0.56657 (15)	0.0173 (6)	
C2	0.36767 (18)	0.6681 (5)	0.69974 (17)	0.0245 (6)	
H2	0.4085	0.5521	0.7393	0.029*	
C1	0.39405 (17)	0.9010 (6)	0.66242 (18)	0.0251 (6)	
H1	0.4570	0.9740	0.6712	0.030*	

Fractional atomic coordinates and isotropic or equivalent isotropic displacement parameters  $(Å^2)$ 

Atomic displacement parameters  $(Å^2)$ 

	$U^{11}$	$U^{22}$	$U^{33}$	$U^{12}$	$U^{13}$	$U^{23}$
01	0.0198 (9)	0.0190 (10)	0.0273 (10)	-0.0004 (7)	0.0008 (7)	0.0048 (7)
C4	0.0184 (12)	0.0182 (12)	0.0167 (11)	-0.0004 (9)	0.0039 (9)	-0.0005 (9)
O2	0.0298 (11)	0.0331 (12)	0.0472 (13)	-0.0029 (9)	0.0002 (10)	0.0044 (10)
N1	0.0191 (10)	0.0196 (11)	0.0253 (11)	-0.0027 (8)	0.0013 (8)	0.0062 (8)
C3	0.0210 (12)	0.0198 (12)	0.0216 (12)	0.0003 (9)	0.0035 (9)	0.0020 (9)

# supporting information

C5	0.0192 (12)	0.0164 (11)	0.0167 (11)	-0.0002 (9)	0.0042 (9)	-0.0008 (9)	
C2	0.0220 (13)	0.0291 (14)	0.0215 (12)	0.0052 (10)	0.0009 (9)	0.0038 (10)	
C1	0.0174 (12)	0.0318 (14)	0.0256 (13)	-0.0013 (10)	0.0019 (9)	0.0030 (11)	
C		λ a)					
Geom	etric parameters (A	1, )					
01—0	25	1.250 (	(3)	N1—H1A		0.8800	
C4—N	N1	1.367 (	(3)	C3—C2		1.413 (3)	
C4—C	23	1.383 (	(3)	С3—Н3		0.9500	
C4—C	C5	1.464 (	(3)	C2—C1		1.369 (4)	
02—0	25	1.342 (	(3)	С2—Н2		0.9500	
02—I	H2A	0.8400	1	C1—H1		0.9500	
N1—0	C1	1.354 (	(3)				
N1—0	С4—С3	107.8 (	(2)	O1—C5—O2		122.4 (2)	
N1-0	C4—C5	121.3 (	(2)	O1—C5—C4		121.6 (2)	
С3—С	C4—C5	130.8 (	(2)	O2—C5—C4	116.0 (2)		
С5—С	D2—H2A	109.5		C1—C2—C3	107.2 (2)		
C1—N	N1—C4	109.4 (	(2)	C1—C2—H2	126.4		
C1-N	C1—N1—H1A 125.3			С3—С2—Н2 126.4		126.4	
C4—N	N1—H1A	125.3		N1—C1—C2	22 108.6 (2)		
C4—C	C3—C2	C2 106.9 (2) N1—C1—H1 125.7		125.7			
C4—C	С3—Н3	126.5	126.5 C2—C1—H1		125.7		
C2—C	С3—Н3	126.5					
С3—С	C4—N1—C1	0.7 (3)		N1—C4—C5—O2		171.9 (2)	
С5—С	C4—N1—C1	177.3 (	(2)	C3—C4—C5—O2	-12.3(4)		
N1—0	C4—C3—C2	-0.2 (3)		C4—C3—C2—C1	-0.3(3)		
С5—С	C4—C3—C2	-176.4	(2)	C4—N1—C1—C2		-0.9(3)	
N1-0	C4—C5—O1	-10.0	(3)	C3-C2-C1-N1		0.7 (3)	
С3—С	C4—C5—O1	165.7 (	(2)				
Hydro	gen-bond geometry	v (Å, °)					
<i>D</i> —Н	···A		D—H	H···A	D····A	<i>D</i> —H··· <i>A</i>	
N1—I	H1A····O1 <sup>i</sup>		0.88	2.22	2.951 (3)	141	
02—I	H2A…O1 <sup>ii</sup>		0.84	2.16	2.986 (3)	166	

Symmetry codes: (i) -x+1/2, -y+5/2, -z+1; (ii) -x, -y+2, -z+1.