

Acta Crystallographica Section E **Structure Reports** Online

ISSN 1600-5368

# Bis(2,6-diamino-3,5-dibromopyridinium) hexabromidostannate(IV)

#### Rawhi H. Al-Far,<sup>a</sup> Salim F. Haddad<sup>b</sup> and Basem Fares Ali<sup>c</sup>\*

<sup>a</sup>Faculty of Information Technology and Science, Al-Balqa'a Applied University, Salt, Jordan, <sup>b</sup>Department of Chemistry, University of Jordan, Amman, Jordan, and <sup>c</sup>Department of Chemistry, Al al-Bayt University, Mafrag 25113, Jordan Correspondence e-mail: bfali@aabu.edu.jo

Received 16 April 2009; accepted 23 April 2009

Key indicators: single-crystal X-ray study; T = 295 K; mean  $\sigma$ (C–C) = 0.019 Å; R factor = 0.059; wR factor = 0.146; data-to-parameter ratio = 17.4.

The asymmetric unit of the title compound,  $(C_5H_6Br_2N_3)_2$ -[SnBr<sub>6</sub>], contains one cation and one half-anion in which the Sn atom is located on a crystallographic centre of inversion and is in a quasi-octahedral geometry. The crystal structure is assembled via hydrogen-bonding interactions of two kinds, N(pyridine/amine) $-H \cdots Br - Sn$ , along with C $-Br \cdots Br - Sn$ interactions [3.4925 (19) Å]. The cations are involved in  $\pi - \pi$ stacking, which adds an extra supramolecularity as it presents a strong case of offset-face-to-face motifs [centroid-centroid distance = 3.577 (3) Å]. The intermolecular hydrogen bonds, short Br...Br interactions and  $\pi - \pi$  stacking result in the formation of a three-dimensional supramolecular architecture.

#### **Related literature**

For general background to hybrid organic-inorganic compounds, see: Aruta et al. (2005); Hill (1998); Kagan et al. (1999); Knutson et al. (2005); Raptopoulou et al. (2002). For related structures, see: Al-Far & Ali (2007); Al-Far, Ali & Al-Sou'od (2007); Ali & Al-Far (2007); Ali et al. (2008); Ali, Al-Far & Ng (2007); Awwadi et al. (2007); Tudela & Khan (1991); Willey et al. (1998). For bond-length data, see: Allen et al. (1987).



1437 reflections with  $I > 2\sigma(I)$ 

3 standard reflections

every 97 reflections

intensity decay: 0.01%

 $R_{\rm int} = 0.078$ 

#### **Experimental**

#### Crystal data

$(C_5H_6Br_2N_3)_2[SnBr_6]$	V = 1238.3 (3) Å <sup>3</sup>
$M_r = 1133.97$	Z = 2
Monoclinic, $P2_1/c$	Mo $K\alpha$ radiation
a = 8.3696 (14)  Å	$\mu = 17.18 \text{ mm}^{-1}$
b = 16.720 (2)  Å	T = 295  K
c = 9.5814 (15)  Å	$0.30 \times 0.30 \times 0.20 \text{ mm}$
$\beta = 112.556 \ (12)^{\circ}$	

#### Data collection

Bruker P4 diffractometer Absorption correction:  $\psi$  scan (XSCANS: Bruker, 1996)  $T_{\rm min} = 0.007, \ T_{\rm max} = 0.035$ 2825 measured reflections 2162 independent reflections

#### Refinement

$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.059$	124 parameters
$wR(F^2) = 0.146$	H-atom parameters constrained
S = 1.00	$\Delta \rho_{\rm max} = 0.97 \ {\rm e} \ {\rm \AA}^{-3}$
2162 reflections	$\Delta \rho_{\rm min} = -1.63 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$

#### Table 1

Selected geometric parameters (Å, °).

Sn1-Br1 Sn1-Br2	2.6002 (13) 2.5768 (14)	Sn1-Br3	2.6131 (14)

Symmetry code: (i) -x + 2, -y + 1, -z + 1.

#### Table 2

Hydrogen-bond geometry (Å, °).

$D = H \cdots A$ L	)—Н I	$H \cdots A$	$D \cdots A$	$D - H \cdots A$
$\begin{array}{ccc} N1 - H1 \cdots Br3^{ii} & 0 \\ N2 - H2B \cdots Br3^{ii} & 0 \\ N3 - H3A \cdots Br2^{ii} & 0 \\ N3 - H3B \cdots Br1^{iii} & 0 \end{array}$	.86 2 .86 2 .86 2	2.54 2.88 2.79 2.82	3.354 (9)         3.612 (12)         3.608 (10)         3.604 (10)	159 144 160 153

Symmetry codes: (ii) -x + 1,  $y - \frac{1}{2}$ ,  $-z + \frac{1}{2}$ ; (iii) -x + 1, -y + 1, -z + 1.

Data collection: XSCANS (Bruker, 1996); cell refinement: XSCANS; data reduction: SHELXTL (Sheldrick, 2008); program(s) used to solve structure: SHELXS97 (Sheldrick, 2008); program(s) used to refine structure: SHELXL97 (Sheldrick, 2008); molecular graphics: SHELXTL; software used to prepare material for publication: SHELXTL.

The University of Jordan, Al-Balqa'a Applied University and Al al-Bayt University are thanked for financial support.

Supplementary data and figures for this paper are available from the IUCr electronic archives (Reference: HK2669).

#### References

Al-Far, R. & Ali, B. F. (2007). Acta Cryst. C63, m137-m139. Ali, B. F. & Al-Far, R. (2007). Acta Cryst. E63, m892-m894. Ali, B. F., Al-Far, R. & Al-Sou'od, K. (2007). J. Chem. Crystallogr. 37, 265-273. Ali, B. F., Al-Far, R. H. & Haddad, S. F. (2008). Acta Cryst. E64, m749-m750. Ali, B. F., Al-Far, R. & Ng, S. W. (2007). Acta Cryst. E63, m2102-m2103.

Allen, F. H., Kennard, O., Watson, D. G., Brammer, L., Orpen, A. G. & Taylor, R. (1987). J. Chem. Soc. Perkin Trans. 2, pp. S1–19.

Aruta, C., Licci, F., Zappettini, A., Bolzoni, F., Rastelli, F., Ferro, P. & Besagni, T. (2005). Appl. Phys. A, 81, 963–968.

Awwadi, F. F., Willett, R. D., Peterson, K. A. & Twamley, B. (2007). J. Phys. Chem. A, 111, 2319–2328.

Bruker (1996). XSCANS. Bruker AXS Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA. Hill, C. L. (1998). Chem. Rev. 98, 1–2. Kagan, C. R., Mitzi, D. B. & Dimitrakopoulos, C. D. (1999). Science, 286, 945–947.

Knutson, J. L., Martin, J. D. & Mitzi, D. B. (2005). *Inorg. Chem.* 44, 4699–4705.
 Raptopoulou, C. P., Terzis, A., Mousdis, G. A. & Papavassiliou, G. C. (2002). *Z. Naturforsch. Teil B*, 57, 645–650.

Sheldrick, G. M. (2008). Acta Cryst. A64, 112-122.

Tudela, D. & Khan, M. A. (1991). J. Chem. Soc. Dalton Trans. pp. 1003–1006.
Willey, G. R., Woodman, T. J., Somasundaram, U., Aris, D. R. & Errington, W. (1998). J. Chem. Soc. Dalton Trans. pp. 2573–2576.

# supporting information

Acta Cryst. (2009). E65, m583-m584 [doi:10.1107/S1600536809015189]

# Bis(2,6-diamino-3,5-dibromopyridinium) hexabromidostannate(IV)

## Rawhi H. Al-Far, Salim F. Haddad and Basem Fares Ali

#### S1. Comment

Non-covalent interactions play an important role in organizing structural units in both natural and artificial systems. Hybrid organic-inorganic compounds are of great interest owing to their ionic, electrical, magnetic and optical properties (Hill, 1998; Kagan *et al.*, 1999; Raptopoulou *et al.*, 2002). Tin metal-halo based hybrids are of particular interest as being materials with interesting optical and magnetic properties (Aruta *et al.*, 2005; Knutson *et al.*, 2005; Kagan *et al.*, 1999). We are currently carrying out studies about lattice including different types of intermolecular interactions (aryl…aryl,  $X \cdots X, X \cdots$ aryl and  $X \cdots$ H). Within our research of hybrid compounds containing tin metal (Al-Far & Ali 2007; Ali-Far, Ali & Al-Sou'od, 2007; Ali & Al-Far, 2007; Ali, Al-Far & Ng, 2007), we report herein the crystal structure of the title compound.

The asymmetric unit of the title compound contains one cation and one-half anion, in which the Sn atom is located on a crystallographic centre of inversion and is in a quasi-octahedral geometry (Fig. 1 and Table 1). The Sn-Br bonds are in accordance with the corresponding values in similar compounds (Willey *et al.*, 1998; Tudela & Khan 1991; Ali *et al.*, 2008; Al-Far & Ali 2007). The pyridine ring of the starting cation have undergone bromination during the synthesis process (Al-Far & Ali, 2007). In the cation, the bond lengths (Allen *et al.*, 1987) and angles are within normal ranges.

In the crystal structure, weak intermolecular N-H···Br interactions (Table 2) link the molecules into alternating layers of cations and stacks of anions (Fig. 2), in which they may be effective in the stabilization of the structure. The anion stacks are interacting with the cation layers in an extensive hydrogen bonding and Br···Br halogen bonding interactions. Each anion is surrounded by six cations *via* three H—N—H···Br, one N—H···Br interactions and the symmetry related ones along with one Br···Br interaction [Br2···Br4<sup>i</sup> = 3.4925 (19) Å, symmetry code (i): 2 - x, 1 - y, -z)] and the symmetry related one. On the other hand, each cation is associated with three anions, through six (N<sub>pyridinic</sub>, N<sub>aminic</sub>)—H···Br—Sn hydrogen bonding interactions, and by one C—Br···Br interaction. It is noteworthy that structural and theoretical results (Awwadi *et al.* 2007; and references therein), show the significance of linear C—Br···Br synthons in influencing structures of crystalline materials and in use as potential building blocks in crystal engineering *via* supramolecular synthesis.

Moreover, interactions between cations perpendicular to [101] represent a case of strong offset face-to-face  $\pi$ - $\pi$  motif. This is evident by the centroids separation distance of 5.059 (3) Å, with the perpendicular distance between planes being 3.577 (3) Å (the sliding angle between planes is 45.0 (3)°).

#### S2. Experimental

For the preparation of the title compound, the warm solution of  $SnCl_2$  metal (1.0 mmol) dissolved in absolute ethanol (15 ml) was mixed with a stirred hot solution of 2,6-diaminopyridine (98%; 2 mmol) dissolved in ethanol (20 ml). The mixture was acidified with HBr (48%, 2-3 ml), and then treated with liquid Br<sub>2</sub> (2-3 ml). The resulting mixture was stirred for 3 h, and then allowed to evaporate at room temperature. The salt crystallized over 2 d, as nice parallelepiped

yellow crystals (yield; 82%).

#### **S3. Refinement**

H atoms were positioned geometrically, with N-H = 0.86 Å (for NH and NH<sub>2</sub>) and C-H = 0.93 Å for aromatic H, respectively, and constrained to ride on their parent atoms, with  $U_{iso}(H) = 1.2U_{eq}(C,N)$ .



## Figure 1

The molecular structure of the title molecule, with the atom-numbering scheme. Displacement ellipsoids are drawn at the 50% probability level [symmetry code (A): 2 - x, 1 - y, 1 - z].



## Figure 2

A partial packing diagram of the title compound. Hydrogen bonds and Br…Br interactions are shown as dashed lines.

## Bis(2,6-diamino-3,5-dibromopyridinium) hexabromidostannate(IV)

#### Crystal data

 $\begin{array}{l} ({\rm C}_5{\rm H}_6{\rm Br}_2{\rm N}_3)_2[{\rm SnBr}_6] \\ M_r = 1133.97 \\ {\rm Monoclinic}, P2_1/c \\ {\rm Hall \ symbol: -P \ 2ybc} \\ a = 8.3696 \ (14) \ {\rm \AA} \\ b = 16.720 \ (2) \ {\rm \AA} \\ c = 9.5814 \ (15) \ {\rm \AA} \\ \beta = 112.556 \ (12)^\circ \\ V = 1238.3 \ (3) \ {\rm \AA}^3 \\ Z = 2 \end{array}$ 

#### Data collection

Bruker P4	2162 independent reflections
diffractometer	1437 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$
Radiation source: fine-focus sealed tube	$R_{ m int}=0.078$
Graphite monochromator	$\theta_{\rm max} = 25.0^\circ, \ \theta_{\rm min} = 2.4^\circ$
$\omega$ scans	$h = -9 \rightarrow 1$
Absorption correction: $\psi$ scan	$k = -19 \rightarrow 1$
(XSCANS; Bruker, 1996)	$l = -10 \rightarrow 11$
$T_{\min} = 0.008, \ T_{\max} = 0.035$	3 standard reflections every 97 reflections
2825 measured reflections	intensity decay: 0.01%

F(000) = 1028

 $\theta = 5.7 - 12.5^{\circ}$ 

 $\mu = 17.18 \text{ mm}^{-1}$ T = 295 K

Parallelepiped, yellow

 $0.30 \times 0.30 \times 0.20 \text{ mm}$ 

 $D_{\rm x} = 3.042 {\rm Mg} {\rm m}^{-3}$ 

Mo *K* $\alpha$  radiation,  $\lambda = 0.71073$  Å

Cell parameters from 29 reflections

#### Refinement

Refinement on $F^2$ Least-squares matrix: full	Secondary atom site location: difference Fourier
$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.059$	Hydrogen site location: inferred from
$wR(F^2) = 0.146$	neighbouring sites
S = 1.00	H-atom parameters constrained
2162 reflections	$w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.0766P)^2]$
124 parameters	where $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$
0 restraints	$(\Delta/\sigma)_{\rm max} < 0.001$
Primary atom site location: structure-invariant	$\Delta  ho_{ m max} = 0.97 \ { m e} \ { m \AA}^{-3}$
direct methods	$\Delta \rho_{\rm min} = -1.63 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$

#### Special details

**Geometry**. All e.s.d.'s (except the e.s.d. in the dihedral angle between two l.s. planes) are estimated using the full covariance matrix. The cell e.s.d.'s are taken into account individually in the estimation of e.s.d.'s in distances, angles and torsion angles; correlations between e.s.d.'s in cell parameters are only used when they are defined by crystal symmetry. An approximate (isotropic) treatment of cell e.s.d.'s is used for estimating e.s.d.'s involving l.s. planes. **Refinement**. Refinement of  $F^2$  against ALL reflections. The weighted *R*-factor *wR* and goodness of fit *S* are based on  $F^2$ , conventional *R*-factors *R* are based on *F*, with *F* set to zero for negative  $F^2$ . The threshold expression of  $F^2 > \sigma(F^2)$  is used only for calculating *R*-factors(gt) *etc.* and is not relevant to the choice of reflections for refinement. *R*-factors based on  $F^2$  are statistically about twice as large as those based on *F*, and *R*- factors based on ALL data will be even larger.

Fractional atomic coordinates and isotropic or equivalent isotropic displacement parameters  $(Å^2)$ 

	x	у	Ζ	$U_{ m iso}$ */ $U_{ m eq}$	
Sn1	1.0000	0.5000	0.5000	0.0284 (3)	
Br1	1.06913 (19)	0.61962 (8)	0.68737 (17)	0.0445 (4)	
Br2	1.03622 (19)	0.59582 (8)	0.30353 (17)	0.0447 (4)	

Br3	0.66959 (17)	0.53289 (8)	0.39554 (18)	0.0423 (4)	
Br4	0.7063 (2)	0.32003 (9)	-0.12875 (19)	0.0510 (5)	
Br5	0.2397 (2)	0.45315 (8)	0.10813 (18)	0.0483 (4)	
N1	0.3496 (13)	0.2261 (5)	0.0181 (12)	0.032 (3)	
H1	0.3148	0.1789	0.0281	0.039*	
N2	0.5374 (16)	0.1644 (6)	-0.0743 (13)	0.047 (3)	
H2A	0.6200	0.1663	-0.1066	0.056*	
H2B	0.4940	0.1190	-0.0650	0.056*	
N3	0.1518 (14)	0.2743 (6)	0.1119 (13)	0.044 (3)	
H3A	0.1211	0.2259	0.1191	0.053*	
H3B	0.1029	0.3134	0.1386	0.053*	
C1	0.4759 (17)	0.2325 (7)	-0.0381 (15)	0.034 (3)	
C2	0.5364 (17)	0.3061 (7)	-0.0492 (17)	0.036 (3)	
C3	0.4653 (17)	0.3720 (8)	-0.0099 (15)	0.040 (4)	
H3	0.5047	0.4227	-0.0212	0.048*	
C4	0.3354 (18)	0.3649 (7)	0.0466 (15)	0.034 (3)	
C5	0.2745 (17)	0.2888 (8)	0.0595 (15)	0.039 (3)	

Atomic displacement parameters  $(Å^2)$ 

	$U^{11}$	$U^{22}$	$U^{33}$	$U^{12}$	$U^{13}$	$U^{23}$
Sn1	0.0293 (7)	0.0234 (6)	0.0365 (8)	0.0000 (5)	0.0169 (6)	-0.0002 (5)
Br1	0.0485 (9)	0.0374 (7)	0.0537 (10)	-0.0074 (7)	0.0264 (8)	-0.0159 (7)
Br2	0.0494 (9)	0.0430 (8)	0.0499 (10)	-0.0016 (7)	0.0279 (8)	0.0093 (7)
Br3	0.0271 (7)	0.0319 (7)	0.0677 (10)	0.0009 (6)	0.0180 (7)	-0.0014 (7)
Br4	0.0472 (9)	0.0493 (9)	0.0706 (11)	-0.0030 (8)	0.0381 (9)	-0.0045 (8)
Br5	0.0480 (9)	0.0333 (7)	0.0680(11)	0.0059 (7)	0.0272 (9)	-0.0091 (7)
N1	0.037 (6)	0.018 (5)	0.055 (8)	-0.003 (5)	0.032 (6)	-0.002 (5)
N2	0.061 (9)	0.029 (6)	0.059 (8)	0.003 (6)	0.032 (7)	-0.011 (6)
N3	0.042 (7)	0.035 (6)	0.069 (9)	-0.014 (6)	0.037 (7)	-0.017 (6)
C1	0.035 (8)	0.024 (6)	0.042 (9)	0.007 (6)	0.012 (7)	-0.002 (6)
C2	0.037 (8)	0.016 (6)	0.063 (9)	0.004 (6)	0.028 (7)	0.001 (6)
C3	0.038 (9)	0.032 (7)	0.048 (9)	-0.018 (7)	0.015 (8)	0.007 (6)
C4	0.049 (9)	0.017 (6)	0.034 (8)	-0.002 (6)	0.013 (7)	-0.004 (5)
C5	0.032 (8)	0.046 (8)	0.030 (8)	-0.003 (7)	0.004 (6)	-0.012 (6)

# Geometric parameters (Å, °)

Sn1—Br1	2.6002 (13)	N3—H3A	0.8600	
Sn1—Br1 <sup>i</sup>	2.6002 (13)	N3—H3B	0.8600	
Sn1—Br2	2.5768 (14)	C1—N1	1.362 (16)	
Sn1—Br2 <sup>i</sup>	2.5768 (14)	C1—N2	1.349 (15)	
Sn1—Br3	2.6131 (14)	C1—C2	1.350 (17)	
Sn1—Br3 <sup>i</sup>	2.6131 (14)	C2—Br4	1.868 (12)	
N1C5	1.357 (15)	C2—C3	1.372 (18)	
N1—H1	0.8600	C3—C4	1.392 (18)	
N2—H2A	0.8600	С3—Н3	0.9300	
N2—H2B	0.8600	C4—Br5	1.878 (12)	

N3—C5	1.328 (16)	C4—C5	1.394 (18)
Br1—Sn1—Br1 <sup>i</sup>	180.0	H2A—N2—H2B	120.0
Br1 <sup>i</sup> —Sn1—Br3	88.56 (5)	C5—N3—H3A	120.0
Br1—Sn1—Br3 <sup>i</sup>	88.56 (5)	C5—N3—H3B	120.0
Br1—Sn1—Br3	91.44 (5)	H3A—N3—H3B	120.0
Br1 <sup>i</sup> —Sn1—Br3 <sup>i</sup>	91.44 (5)	N2—C1—C2	123.9 (12)
Br2—Sn1—Br1	88.21 (5)	N2-C1-N1	117.8 (11)
Br2 <sup>i</sup> —Sn1—Br1 <sup>i</sup>	88.21 (5)	C2-C1-N1	118.3 (10)
Br2 <sup>i</sup> —Sn1—Br1	91.79 (5)	C1—C2—Br4	120.9 (9)
Br2-Sn1-Br1 <sup>i</sup>	91.79 (5)	C1—C2—C3	119.7 (11)
Br2 <sup>i</sup> —Sn1—Br2	180.0	C3—C2—Br4	119.3 (9)
Br2—Sn1—Br3	89.60 (5)	C2—C3—C4	121.6 (11)
Br2 <sup>i</sup> —Sn1—Br3 <sup>i</sup>	89.61 (5)	С2—С3—Н3	119.2
Br2 <sup>i</sup> —Sn1—Br3	90.39 (5)	С4—С3—Н3	119.2
Br2—Sn1—Br3 <sup>i</sup>	90.39 (5)	C3—C4—Br5	123.1 (9)
Br3 <sup>i</sup> —Sn1—Br3	180.0	C3—C4—C5	118.6 (11)
C1—N1—H1	117.6	C5—C4—Br5	118.3 (10)
C5—N1—C1	124.9 (10)	N1—C5—C4	116.9 (12)
C5—N1—H1	117.6	N3—C5—N1	118.8 (12)
C1—N2—H2A	120.0	N3—C5—C4	124.2 (12)
C1—N2—H2B	120.0		
N2-C1-N1-C5	-179.9 (12)	C2—C3—C4—C5	-2 (2)
C2-C1-N1-C5	2 (2)	C2—C3—C4—Br5	177.8 (11)
N2—C1—C2—C3	179.9 (14)	C1—N1—C5—N3	179.6 (13)
N1—C1—C2—C3	-3 (2)	C1—N1—C5—C4	-2 (2)
N2-C1-C2-Br4	4 (2)	C3—C4—C5—N3	179.8 (13)
N1-C1-C2-Br4	-178.7 (10)	Br5—C4—C5—N3	0.4 (19)
C1—C2—C3—C4	2 (2)	C3—C4—C5—N1	1.2 (19)
Br4—C2—C3—C4	178.5 (11)	Br5—C4—C5—N1	-178.2 (9)

Symmetry code: (i) -x+2, -y+1, -z+1.

## Hydrogen-bond geometry (Å, °)

D—H···A	D—H	H···A	D··· $A$	D—H···A
N1—H1···Br3 <sup>ii</sup>	0.86	2.54	3.354 (9)	159
N2—H2 <i>B</i> ···Br3 <sup>ii</sup>	0.86	2.88	3.612 (12)	144
N3—H3A····Br2 <sup>ii</sup>	0.86	2.79	3.608 (10)	160
N3—H3 <i>B</i> ···Br1 <sup>iii</sup>	0.86	2.82	3.604 (10)	153

Symmetry codes: (ii) -*x*+1, *y*-1/2, -*z*+1/2; (iii) -*x*+1, -*y*+1, -*z*+1.