

Acta Crystallographica Section E **Structure Reports** Online

ISSN 1600-5368

# Bis[4-chloro-2-(iminomethyl)phenolato]copper(II)

### **Chunbao Tang**

Department of Chemistry, Jiaying University, Meizhou 514015, People's Republic of China

Correspondence e-mail: chunbao\_tang@126.com

Received 25 February 2009; accepted 2 March 2009

Key indicators: single-crystal X-ray study; T = 298 K; mean  $\sigma$ (C–C) = 0.007 Å; R factor = 0.047; wR factor = 0.139; data-to-parameter ratio = 15.3.

In the title mononuclear copper(II) complex, [Cu(C<sub>7</sub>H<sub>5</sub>Cl-NO)<sub>2</sub>], the Cu atom, situated on an inversion center, is fourcoordinated, in a slightly distorted square-planar geometry, by the N- and O-donor atoms of two symmetry-related 4-chloro-2-(iminomethyl)phenolate Schiff base ligands.

### **Related literature**

For the isotypic Ni(II) complex, see: Hong (2009). For bioinorganic chemistry and the coordination chemistry of copper(II) complexes, see: Datta et al. (2008); Diallo et al. (2008); Khalaji et al. (2009).



V = 706.8 (3) Å<sup>3</sup>

Mo  $K\alpha$  radiation  $\mu = 1.93 \text{ mm}^{-1}$ 

 $0.18 \times 0.17 \times 0.17~\mathrm{mm}$ 

3835 measured reflections

1488 independent reflections

1025 reflections with  $I > 2\sigma(I)$ 

Z = 2

T = 298 K

 $R_{\rm int} = 0.038$ 

### **Experimental**

### Crystal data

[Cu(C7H5ClNO)2]	
$M_r = 372.68$	
Monoclinic, $P2_1/c$	
a = 15.775 (4)  Å	
b = 5.6949 (14) Å	
c = 7.886 (2)  Å	
$\beta = 93.932 \ (3)^{\circ}$	

### Data collection

Bruker SMART CCD area-detector diffractometer Absorption correction: multi-scan (SADABS; Sheldrick, 1996)  $T_{\rm min}=0.723,\;T_{\rm max}=0.735$ 

#### Refinement

$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.047$	97 parameters
$wR(F^2) = 0.139$	H-atom parameters constrained
S = 1.01	$\Delta \rho_{\rm max} = 0.48 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$
1488 reflections	$\Delta \rho_{\rm min} = -0.57 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$

Data collection: SMART (Bruker, 2002); cell refinement: SAINT (Bruker, 2002); data reduction: SAINT; program(s) used to solve structure: SHELXS97 (Sheldrick, 2008); program(s) used to refine structure: SHELXL97 (Sheldrick, 2008); molecular graphics: SHELXTL (Sheldrick, 2008); software used to prepare material for publication: SHELXTL.

Financial support from Jiaying University Research Fund is gratefully acknowledged.

Supplementary data and figures for this paper are available from the IUCr electronic archives (Reference: SU2100).

### References

Bruker (2002). SAINT and SMART. Bruker AXS Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.

Datta, A., Huang, J.-H. & Lee, H. M. (2008). Acta Cryst. E64, m1497.

Diallo, M., Tamboura, F. B., Gaye, M., Barry, A. H. & Bah, Y. (2008). Acta Cryst. E64, m1124-m1125.

Hong, Z. (2009). Acta Cryst. E65, m273.

Khalaji, A. D., Hadadzadeh, H., Gotoh, K. & Ishida, H. (2009). Acta Cryst. E65, m70.

Sheldrick, G. M. (1996). SADABS. University of Göttingen, Germany. Sheldrick, G. M. (2008). Acta Cryst. A64, 112-122.

# supporting information

Acta Cryst. (2009). E65, m369 [doi:10.1107/S1600536809007624]

# Bis[4-chloro-2-(iminomethyl)phenolato]copper(II)

# **Chunbao Tang**

# S1. Comment

Copper(II) complexes have been widely investigated in both bioinorganic chemistry and coordination chemistry (Diallo *et al.*, 2008; Datta *et al.*, 2008; Khalaji *et al.*, 2009). As a further study of the structures of such complexes, the crystal structure of the title mononuclear copper(II) complex is reported here. The title complex is isostructural with the nickel(II) complex of the same ligand, 4-Chloro-2-(iminomethyl)phenolate, reported on recently by (Hong, 2009).

The molecular structure of the title complex is illustrated in Fig. 1, and geometrical parameters are given in the archived CIF. The  $Cu^{II}$  atom lies on an inversion center and is four-coordinated in a square-planar geometry by the N-and O-donor atoms of two Schiff base ligands. The whole molecule of the complex is approximately coplanar with mean deviation from the least-squares plane of 0.021 (2) Å.

## S2. Experimental

5-Chloro-2-hydroxybenzaldehyde (0.2 mmol, 31.3 mg), copper(II) acetate monohydrate (0.1 mmol, 20.0 mg) and three drops of ammonia (30%) were mixed in 10 ml of methanol. The final solution was stirred for 10 min and allowed to stand in air for two days, yielding blue needle-like crystals of the title compound.

# **S3. Refinement**

The H-atoms were included in calculated positions and treated as riding: C-H = 0.93 Å, N-H = 0.86 Å, and  $U_{iso}(H) = 1.2U_{eo}(C,N)$ .



# Figure 1

The structure of the title compound, showing the atom-numbering scheme and displacement ellipsoids drawn at the 30% probability level.

**(I**)

Crystal data

[Cu(C<sub>7</sub>H<sub>5</sub>ClNO)<sub>2</sub>]  $M_r = 372.68$ Monoclinic,  $P2_1/c$  a = 15.775 (4) Å b = 5.6949 (14) Å c = 7.886 (2) Å  $\beta = 93.932$  (3)° V = 706.8 (3) Å<sup>3</sup> Z = 2

Data collection

Bruker SMART CCD area-detector diffractometer Radiation source: fine-focus sealed tube Graphite monochromator  $\omega$  scans Absorption correction: multi-scan (*SADABS*; Sheldrick, 1996)  $T_{\min} = 0.723, T_{\max} = 0.735$ 

# Refinement

Secondary atom site location: difference Fourier
map
Hydrogen site location: inferred from
neighbouring sites
H-atom parameters constrained
$w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.0757P)^2 + 0.0803P]$
where $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$
$(\Delta/\sigma)_{\rm max} < 0.001$
$\Delta  ho_{ m max} = 0.48 \ { m e} \ { m \AA}^{-3}$
$\Delta  ho_{\min} = -0.57 \text{ e}  \text{\AA}^{-3}$

### Special details

**Geometry**. All e.s.d.'s (except the e.s.d. in the dihedral angle between two l.s. planes) are estimated using the full covariance matrix. The cell e.s.d.'s are taken into account individually in the estimation of e.s.d.'s in distances, angles and torsion angles; correlations between e.s.d.'s in cell parameters are only used when they are defined by crystal symmetry. An approximate (isotropic) treatment of cell e.s.d.'s is used for estimating e.s.d.'s involving l.s. planes.

**Refinement**. Refinement of  $F^2$  against ALL reflections. The weighted *R*-factor *wR* and goodness of fit *S* are based on  $F^2$ , conventional *R*-factors *R* are based on *F*, with *F* set to zero for negative  $F^2$ . The threshold expression of  $F^2 > \sigma(F^2)$  is used only for calculating *R*-factors(gt) *etc.* and is not relevant to the choice of reflections for refinement. *R*-factors based on  $F^2$  are statistically about twice as large as those based on *F*, and *R*- factors based on ALL data will be even larger.

Fractional atomic coordinates and isotropic or equivalent isotropic displacement parameters  $(Å^2)$ 

	x	У	Ζ	$U_{ m iso}$ */ $U_{ m eq}$	
Cu1	0.5000	1.0000	1.0000	0.0394 (3)	
Cl1	0.93518 (8)	0.8488 (3)	0.8060 (2)	0.0767 (5)	
N1	0.5411 (2)	0.7404 (6)	0.8909 (4)	0.0407 (8)	
H1	0.5043	0.6336	0.8631	0.049*	
01	0.60283 (17)	1.1520 (5)	1.0157 (4)	0.0418 (7)	

F(000) = 374  $D_x = 1.751 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$ Mo Ka radiation,  $\lambda = 0.71073 \text{ Å}$ Cell parameters from 811 reflections  $\theta = 2.5-24.3^{\circ}$   $\mu = 1.93 \text{ mm}^{-1}$  T = 298 KCut from needle, blue  $0.18 \times 0.17 \times 0.17 \text{ mm}$ 

3835 measured reflections 1488 independent reflections 1025 reflections with  $I > 2\sigma(I)$  $R_{int} = 0.038$  $\theta_{max} = 26.7^{\circ}, \ \theta_{min} = 2.6^{\circ}$  $h = -19 \rightarrow 19$  $k = -7 \rightarrow 4$  $l = -9 \rightarrow 9$ 

C1	0.6875 (3)	0.8577 (7)	0.8857 (5)	0.0361 (9)	
C2	0.6766 (3)	1.0747 (7)	0.9685 (5)	0.0369 (9)	
C3	0.7494 (3)	1.2131 (8)	1.0047 (5)	0.0446 (11)	
Н3	0.7444	1.3543	1.0624	0.053*	
C4	0.8280 (3)	1.1444 (8)	0.9568 (6)	0.0506 (12)	
H4	0.8751	1.2397	0.9817	0.061*	
C5	0.8372 (3)	0.9355 (8)	0.8721 (6)	0.0468 (11)	
C6	0.7682 (3)	0.7904 (8)	0.8369 (6)	0.0460 (11)	
H6	0.7749	0.6485	0.7811	0.055*	
C7	0.6171 (3)	0.6979 (7)	0.8509 (5)	0.0411 (10)	
H7	0.6274	0.5568	0.7967	0.049*	

Atomic displacement parameters  $(Å^2)$ 

	$U^{11}$	$U^{22}$	$U^{33}$	$U^{12}$	$U^{13}$	$U^{23}$
Cu1	0.0443 (5)	0.0320 (4)	0.0413 (5)	-0.0014 (3)	-0.0013 (3)	-0.0036 (3)
Cl1	0.0436 (7)	0.0892 (11)	0.0988 (12)	0.0013 (7)	0.0152 (7)	-0.0162 (9)
N1	0.041 (2)	0.0338 (19)	0.047 (2)	-0.0048 (15)	-0.0007 (16)	-0.0067 (15)
O1	0.0398 (17)	0.0346 (17)	0.0509 (18)	-0.0019 (12)	0.0033 (13)	-0.0081 (13)
C1	0.041 (2)	0.031 (2)	0.036 (2)	-0.0007 (17)	-0.0005 (17)	0.0020 (17)
C2	0.046 (3)	0.031 (2)	0.033 (2)	-0.0010 (18)	-0.0024 (18)	-0.0008 (16)
C3	0.051 (3)	0.034 (2)	0.048 (3)	-0.0038 (19)	-0.003 (2)	-0.0040 (18)
C4	0.042 (3)	0.052 (3)	0.057 (3)	-0.008(2)	-0.001 (2)	0.002 (2)
C5	0.037 (2)	0.052 (3)	0.051 (3)	0.003 (2)	0.004 (2)	0.001 (2)
C6	0.051 (3)	0.040 (3)	0.048 (3)	0.006 (2)	0.004 (2)	0.0001 (19)
C7	0.050 (3)	0.030 (2)	0.042 (2)	0.0008 (18)	-0.0004 (19)	-0.0048 (18)

Geometric parameters (Å, °)

Cu1—O1 <sup>i</sup>	1.835 (3)	C1—C7	1.447 (6)
Cu1—01	1.835 (3)	C2—C3	1.406 (6)
Cu1—N1 <sup>i</sup>	1.850(3)	C3—C4	1.378 (6)
Cu1—N1	1.850(3)	С3—Н3	0.9300
Cl1—C5	1.736 (5)	C4—C5	1.377 (7)
N1—C7	1.282 (5)	C4—H4	0.9300
N1—H1	0.8600	C5—C6	1.380 (6)
O1—C2	1.321 (5)	С6—Н6	0.9300
C1—C6	1.408 (6)	С7—Н7	0.9300
C1—C2	1.413 (6)		
Ol <sup>i</sup> —Cul—Ol	180.00 (8)	C4—C3—C2	121.6 (4)
O1 <sup>i</sup> —Cu1—N1 <sup>i</sup>	94.10 (14)	C4—C3—H3	119.2
O1-Cu1-N1 <sup>i</sup>	85.90 (14)	С2—С3—Н3	119.2
O1 <sup>i</sup> —Cu1—N1	85.90 (14)	C5—C4—C3	120.3 (4)
O1—Cu1—N1	94.10 (14)	C5—C4—H4	119.8
N1 <sup>i</sup> —Cu1—N1	180.000(1)	C3—C4—H4	119.8
C7—N1—Cu1	128.9 (3)	C4—C5—C6	120.5 (4)
C7—N1—H1	115.5	C4—C5—Cl1	121.1 (4)

Cu1—N1—H1	115.5	C6—C5—C11	118.4 (4)	
C2	128.0 (3)	C5—C6—C1	119.9 (4)	
C6—C1—C2	120.3 (4)	С5—С6—Н6	120.1	
C6—C1—C7	118.3 (4)	С1—С6—Н6	120.1	
C2—C1—C7	121.4 (4)	N1	123.5 (4)	
O1—C2—C3	118.6 (4)	N1—C7—H7	118.2	
01—C2—C1	124.0 (4)	С1—С7—Н7	118.2	
C3—C2—C1	117.4 (4)			

Symmetry code: (i) -x+1, -y+2, -z+2.