Acta Crystallographica Section E Structure Reports Online

ISSN 1600-5368

3-Phenyltetrahydrofuran-2,5-dione

Li Quan and Handong Yin*

College of Chemistry and Chemical Engineering, Liaocheng University, Shandong 252059, People's Republic of China Correspondence e-mail: handongyin@163.com

Received 24 November 2008; accepted 15 December 2008

Key indicators: single-crystal X-ray study; T = 298 K; mean σ (C–C) = 0.004 Å; R factor = 0.035; wR factor = 0.069; data-to-parameter ratio = 7.3.

In the title compound, $C_{10}H_8O_3$, the dihedral angle between the approximately planar tetrahydrofuran-2,5-dione ring [maximum deviation 0.014 (3) Å] and the phenyl ring is 85.68 (8)°. Weak $C-H\cdots O=C$ intermolecular hydrogenbonding contacts are observed in the structure.

Related literature

For the crystal structure of the related compound, 3,3-dimethyl-4-phenyltetrahydrofuran-2,5-dione, see: Rudler *et al.* (2005). For hydrogen bonds, see: Desiraju & Steiner (2001); Jeffrey & Saenger (1994).



Experimental

Crystal data

 $\begin{array}{l} C_{10}H_8O_3\\ M_r = 176.16\\ Orthorhombic, P2_12_12_1\\ a = 5.6172 \ (9) \ \text{\AA}\\ b = 10.1460 \ (12) \ \text{\AA}\\ c = 14.9899 \ (19) \ \text{\AA} \end{array}$

 $V = 854.3 (2) Å^{3}$ Z = 4 Mo K\alpha radiation \(\mu = 0.10 \text{ mm}^{-1}\) T = 298 (2) K 0.43 \times 0.18 \times 0.15 \text{ mm}\) 4082 measured reflections

 $R_{\rm int} = 0.048$

refinement

 $\Delta \rho_{\text{max}} = 0.11 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$ $\Delta \rho_{\text{min}} = -0.12 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$

905 independent reflections

583 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$

H atoms treated by a mixture of independent and constrained

Data collection

Siemens SMART diffractometer Absorption correction: multi-scan (SADABS; Sheldrick, 1996) $T_{min} = 0.958, T_{max} = 0.985$

Refinement

$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.035$	
$wR(F^2) = 0.069$	
S = 1.14	
905 reflections	
124 parameters	
4 restraints	

Table 1

		0	
Hydrogen-bond	geometry	(A. '	°).
ing arogen conta	Securety	(,	· · ·

$D - H \cdots A$	D-H	$H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	$D \cdots A$	$D - \mathbf{H} \cdots \mathbf{A}$
$C3-H3B\cdots O3^{i}$ $C8-H8\cdots O2^{ii}$ $C8-H8\cdots O3^{iii}$	1.02 (2) 1.00 (2) 1.00 (2)	2.60 (2) 2.65 (2) 2.58 (2)	3.446 (4) 3.409 (4) 3.373 (4)	140 (2) 133 (2) 136 (2)
Symmetry codes:	(i) $x - \frac{1}{2}, -y$	$+\frac{1}{2}, -z+2;$	(ii) $x - \frac{1}{2}, -y + \frac{1}{2}$, -z + 1; (iii)

 $-x + \frac{1}{2}, -y + 1, z - \frac{1}{2}.$

Data collection: *SMART* (Siemens, 1996); cell refinement: *SAINT* (Siemens, 1996); data reduction: *SAINT*; program(s) used to solve structure: *SHELXS97* (Sheldrick, 2008); program(s) used to refine structure: *SHELXL97* (Sheldrick, 2008); molecular graphics: *ORTEP-3* (Farrugia, 1997) and *PLATON* (Spek, 2003); software used to prepare material for publication: *SHELXTL* (Sheldrick, 2008) and *PLATON*.

We acknowledge the National Natural Science Foundation of China (grant No. 20771053).

Supplementary data and figures for this paper are available from the IUCr electronic archives (Reference: SI2139).

References

- Desiraju, G. R. & Steiner, T. (2001). The Weak Hydrogen Bond In Structural Chemistry and Biology. IUCr Monographs on Crystallography, No. 9, pp. 97–121. Oxford University Press.
- Farrugia, L. J. (1997). J. Appl. Cryst. 30, 565.
- Flack, H. D. (1983). Acta Cryst. A39, 876-881.
- Jeffrey, G. A. & Saenger, W. (1994). *Hydrogen Bonding in Biological Structures*, p. 157, Study Edition. Berlin, Heidelberg: Springer Verlag.

Rudler, H., Parlier, A., Alvarez, C. & Vaissermann, J. (2005). J. Organomet. Chem. 690, 4087–4089.

- Sheldrick, G. M. (1996). SADABS. University of Göttingen, Germany.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (2008). Acta Ctyst. A64, 112-122.
- Siemens (1996). *SMART* and *SAINT*. Siemens Analytical X-ray Instruments Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.

Spek, A. L. (2003). J. Appl. Cryst. 36, 7-13.

supporting information

Acta Cryst. (2009). E65, o167 [doi:10.1107/S1600536808042670]

3-Phenyltetrahydrofuran-2,5-dione

Li Quan and Handong Yin

S1. Comment

Initially, the structure of the title compound (I) was refined with an absolute structure parameter x (Flack, 1983) of 0.0(1.9), which is a meaningless result. As a consequence, the Friedel pairs were averaged. Thus, the absolute structure of the title compound (Fig.1) is unknown and the chiral atom C2 indicates the S* form (Fig. 1). A similar compound, 3,3-dimethyl-4-phenyltetrahydrofuran-2,5-dione, (Rudler *et al.* 2005) crystallized in the centrosymmetric space group P2₁/n, with racemic forms R* and S* in the structure.

Normally, a twist or envelope form for the THF-2,5-dione ring was expected. In the title structure, the 2,5-dione ring is essentially planar, with the chiral atom C2 within the plane, whereas in the 3,3-dimethyl-2,5-dione ring (Rudler *et al.* 2005), a flattened envelope form was observed, with the chiral atom C1 being slightly out-of-plane. Interestingly, the title molecule has a dihedral angle of 85.68 (8)° between the phenyl ring and the planar tetrahydrofurane-2,5-dione ring.

The dione C==O groups are normally good acceptors for intermolecular weak C—H···O contacts in the absence of classic donors (O–H, N–H). In the title structure, the C—H···O==C contacts should be considered as very weak interactions. Two H···O distances are below the accepted maximum values of 2.65 - 2.66 Å which are reported in the literature (Jeffrey & Saenger, 1994, p. 157). Weak intra- and intermolecular hydrogen bonds are also extensively discussed, with many structural examples, by Desiraju & Steiner (2001).

For the following comparison of the title structure (I) and the related structure reported by Rudler *et al.* (2005) (II), the CIF of (II) has been requested from the Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre (CCDC) by using the assigned CCDC No. 266338. Calculation of geometric details for both structures and for preparing Figures 2 and 3, the programme PLATON (Spek, 2003) was used, including the check.CIF procedures. Inspection of the hydrogen bond geometry in the 3,3-dimethyl analogue structure (II) (Rudler *et al.* 2005) however, with C–H distances 1.00 - 1.03 Å, showed acceptable C–H···O==C bonds. For a fair comparison of both structures, hard distance restraints (DFIX 1.02 (0.02) Å) for C8–H8 and C3–H3B were applied in the re-refinement of the title structure. As a result, two of the three intermolecular contacts C–H···O==C (Table 1) with O3 as a bifurcated acceptor, showed up to form a three-dimensional hydrogen bonding network, due to the screw axes (2₁) distribution in the cell (Fig. 2). Interestingly, in the dimethyl-structure (II), the molecules are linked by weak intermolecular C–H···O==C hydrogen bonding contacts to form layers along the *b* axis (Fig. 3). The intermolecular C–H···O hydrogen bonding contacts in (II) have shorter H···O distances and larger angles around the H atoms, and one of the methyl groups is a donor. The calculated H···O distances are 2.36, 2.44 and 2.53 Å, the corresponding angles are 170, 162 and 159 °. These contacts are much stronger than those observed in the title compound (I).

S2. Experimental

Pyrazine-2,3-dicarboxylic acid (0.336 g, 2 mmol) was added to stirring toluene solution (25 ml) containing triphenylantimonyoxide (0.738 g, 2 mmol). After refluxing for 8 h, the solution was filtered. The solvent was gradually removed by evaporation under vacuum until the white solid is obtained. The solid was recrystallized from petroleum ether/dichoromethane (1:1) to give colorless crystals.

S3. Refinement

The H atom bound to the (phenyl) ring was constraint to values of 0.93Å, the CH and CH₂ groups were 0.98Å and 0.97Å with $U_{iso}(H) = 1.2 U_{eq}$. The phenyl H atom, H8, and one of the CH₂ H atoms, H3B, were refined using distance restraints (DFIX 1.02 (0.02) Å, see Table 1) for comparison with similar C—H···O hydrogen bonds (C—H = 1.00 - 1.03 Å) in the related structure (II) (but in centrosymmetric space group P2₁/n).

In the absence of significant anomalous dispersion effects, Friedel pairs were averaged, with the result of a poor data/parameter ratio of 7.67.



Figure 1

The molecular structure of the title compound, showing displacement ellipsoids drawn at the 30% probability level.



Figure 2

A projection of the title compound (I) viewed down the *a* axis. Weak C—H…O contacts are indicated as dashed lines.



Figure 3

A section of the structure of (II) viewed down the *a* axis (II = 3,3-dimethyl-4-phenyltetrahydrofuran-2,5-dione). The C—H…O bonds extending along the *b* axis are shown as dashed lines.

3-Phenyltetrahydrofuran-2,5-dione

Crystal data	
$C_{10}H_8O_3$	$D_{\rm x} = 1.370 {\rm ~Mg} {\rm ~m}^{-3}$
$M_r = 176.16$	Mo <i>K</i> α radiation, $\lambda = 0.71073$ Å
Orthorhombic, $P2_12_12_1$	Cell parameters from 826 reflections
a = 5.6172 (9) Å	$\theta = 2.7 - 29.9^{\circ}$
b = 10.1460 (12) Å	$\mu = 0.10 \text{ mm}^{-1}$
c = 14.9899 (19) Å	T = 298 K
V = 854.3 (2) Å ³	Block, colorless
Z = 4	$0.43 \times 0.18 \times 0.15 \text{ mm}$
F(000) = 368	

Data collection

Siemens SMART diffractometer Radiation source: fine-focus sealed tube Graphite monochromator φ and ω scans Absorption correction: multi-scan (<i>SADABS</i> ; Sheldrick, 1996) $T_{\min} = 0.958, T_{\max} = 0.985$ <i>Refinement</i>	4082 measured reflections 905 independent reflections 583 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$ $R_{int} = 0.048$ $\theta_{max} = 25.0^{\circ}, \ \theta_{min} = 2.4^{\circ}$ $h = -6 \rightarrow 6$ $k = -12 \rightarrow 9$ $l = -15 \rightarrow 17$
Refinement on F^2 Least-squares matrix: full $R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.035$ $wR(F^2) = 0.069$ S = 1.14 905 reflections 124 parameters 4 restraints Primary atom site location: structure-invariant direct methods	Secondary atom site location: difference Fourier map Hydrogen site location: inferred from neighbouring sites H atoms treated by a mixture of independent and constrained refinement $w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.0218P)^2]$ where $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$ $(\Delta/\sigma)_{max} < 0.001$ $\Delta\rho_{max} = 0.11$ e Å ⁻³ $\Delta\rho_{min} = -0.12$ e Å ⁻³

Special details

Geometry. All esds (except the esd in the dihedral angle between two l.s. planes) are estimated using the full covariance matrix. The cell esds are taken into account individually in the estimation of esds in distances, angles and torsion angles; correlations between esds in cell parameters are only used when they are defined by crystal symmetry. An approximate (isotropic) treatment of cell esds is used for estimating esds involving l.s. planes.

Refinement. Refinement of F^2 against ALL reflections. The weighted R-factor wR and goodness of fit S are based on F², conventional R-factors R are based on F, with F set to zero for negative F^2 . The threshold expression of $F^2 > 2sigma(F^2)$ is used only for calculating R-factors(gt) etc. and is not relevant to the choice of reflections for refinement. R-factors based on F² are statistically about twice as large as those based on F, and R- factors based on ALL data will be even larger.

Fractional atomic coordinates and isotropic or equivalent isotropic displacement parameters $(Å^2)$

	x	У	Ζ	$U_{ m iso}$ */ $U_{ m eq}$	
01	0.4229 (4)	0.1359 (2)	0.81130 (15)	0.0641 (6)	
O2	0.2752 (5)	0.0346 (2)	0.69260 (15)	0.0814 (8)	
03	0.4849 (4)	0.2600 (3)	0.93134 (16)	0.0959 (10)	
C1	0.2504 (7)	0.1168 (3)	0.7480 (2)	0.0538 (8)	
C2	0.0469 (6)	0.2115 (3)	0.76195 (17)	0.0539 (8)	
H2	-0.0975	0.1607	0.7747	0.065*	
C3	0.1200 (7)	0.2861 (3)	0.84658 (19)	0.0657 (10)	
H3A	0.1304	0.3800	0.8349	0.079*	
H3B	0.001 (4)	0.267 (3)	0.8964 (14)	0.079*	
C4	0.3563 (7)	0.2335 (3)	0.8716 (2)	0.0608 (9)	
C5	0.0043 (5)	0.2936 (3)	0.67943 (17)	0.0450 (7)	
C6	0.1636 (5)	0.3888 (3)	0.65339 (19)	0.0525 (8)	
H6	0.3001	0.4032	0.6871	0.063*	
C7	0.1241 (7)	0.4634 (3)	0.5779 (2)	0.0623 (9)	
H7	0.2342	0.5271	0.5611	0.075*	

C8	-0.0773 (7)	0.4438 (3)	0.5275 (2)	0.0614 (9)
H8	-0.115 (5)	0.505 (2)	0.4773 (14)	0.074*
C9	-0.2372 (6)	0.3485 (3)	0.55219 (19)	0.0626 (10)
H9	-0.3733	0.3343	0.5182	0.075*
C10	-0.1959 (5)	0.2734 (3)	0.62758 (19)	0.0550 (8)
H10	-0.3043	0.2083	0.6436	0.066*

Atomic displacement parameters $(Å^2)$

	U^{11}	U^{22}	U ³³	U^{12}	U^{13}	U ²³
O1	0.0586 (15)	0.0705 (14)	0.0630 (14)	0.0132 (13)	0.0002 (13)	0.0001 (13)
O2	0.115 (2)	0.0673 (15)	0.0614 (14)	0.0060 (15)	0.0136 (17)	-0.0120 (12)
03	0.099 (2)	0.104 (2)	0.0843 (17)	-0.0020 (17)	-0.0379 (17)	-0.0119 (15)
C1	0.068 (2)	0.052 (2)	0.0422 (18)	0.001 (2)	0.009 (2)	0.0096 (17)
C2	0.048 (2)	0.0672 (18)	0.0463 (19)	0.0028 (19)	0.0053 (16)	0.0059 (17)
C3	0.081 (3)	0.079 (2)	0.0376 (18)	0.022 (2)	0.0030 (18)	0.0019 (17)
C4	0.073 (3)	0.059 (2)	0.050 (2)	0.001 (2)	-0.007 (2)	0.0065 (19)
C5	0.0393 (19)	0.0519 (18)	0.0438 (17)	0.0014 (17)	0.0004 (16)	0.0007 (15)
C6	0.045 (2)	0.063 (2)	0.0499 (19)	-0.0080 (18)	-0.0062 (16)	-0.0024 (16)
C7	0.072 (3)	0.055 (2)	0.060 (2)	-0.0102 (19)	0.003 (2)	0.0008 (18)
C8	0.078 (3)	0.057 (2)	0.049 (2)	0.010 (2)	-0.006 (2)	-0.0008 (16)
C9	0.054 (2)	0.081 (3)	0.053 (2)	0.004 (2)	-0.014 (2)	-0.0067 (17)
C10	0.043 (2)	0.068 (2)	0.0545 (19)	-0.0044 (19)	0.0001 (17)	-0.0010 (17)

Geometric parameters (Å, °)

01—C1	1.371 (3)	C5—C6	1.374 (3)	
O1—C4	1.392 (3)	C5—C10	1.382 (4)	
O2—C1	1.185 (3)	C6—C7	1.378 (4)	
O3—C4	1.182 (3)	С6—Н6	0.9300	
C1—C2	1.508 (4)	C7—C8	1.375 (4)	
С2—С5	1.510 (3)	C7—H7	0.9300	
C2—C3	1.533 (4)	C8—C9	1.371 (4)	
С2—Н2	0.9800	C8—H8	1.00 (2)	
C3—C4	1.479 (4)	C9—C10	1.383 (4)	
С3—НЗА	0.9700	С9—Н9	0.9300	
С3—Н3В	1.02 (2)	C10—H10	0.9300	
C1—O1—C4	111.1 (2)	C6—C5—C10	118.3 (3)	
O2-C1-O1	120.1 (3)	C6—C5—C2	121.2 (3)	
O2—C1—C2	129.4 (3)	C10—C5—C2	120.5 (3)	
O1—C1—C2	110.5 (2)	C7—C6—C5	120.9 (3)	
C1—C2—C5	110.9 (2)	С7—С6—Н6	119.5	
C1—C2—C3	103.1 (3)	С5—С6—Н6	119.5	
С5—С2—С3	116.6 (3)	C8—C7—C6	120.3 (3)	
С1—С2—Н2	108.6	С8—С7—Н7	119.9	
С5—С2—Н2	108.6	С6—С7—Н7	119.9	
С3—С2—Н2	108.6	C9—C8—C7	119.5 (3)	

C4—C3—C2	105.8 (3)	С9—С8—Н8	120.4 (16)
С4—С3—Н3А	110.3	С7—С8—Н8	119.7 (15)
С2—С3—НЗА	110.6	C8—C9—C10	120.0 (3)
С4—С3—Н3В	109.4 (14)	С8—С9—Н9	120.0
С2—С3—Н3В	109.6 (14)	С10—С9—Н9	120.0
НЗА—СЗ—НЗВ	111.1	C5—C10—C9	120.9 (3)
O3—C4—O1	119.3 (3)	C5-C10-H10	119.5
O3—C4—C3	131.2 (4)	C9—C10—H10	119.5
O1—C4—C3	109.5 (3)		

Hydrogen-bond geometry (Å, °)

<i>D</i> —H··· <i>A</i>	D—H	H···A	D···A	D—H··· A	
C3—H3 <i>B</i> ···O3 ⁱ	1.02 (2)	2.60 (2)	3.446 (4)	140 (2)	
C8—H8···O2 ⁱⁱ	1.00 (2)	2.65 (2)	3.409 (4)	133 (2)	
С8—Н8…ОЗііі	1.00 (2)	2.58 (2)	3.373 (4)	136 (2)	

Symmetry codes: (i) x-1/2, -y+1/2, -z+2; (ii) x-1/2, -y+1/2, -z+1; (iii) -x+1/2, -y+1, z-1/2.