metal-organic compounds

Acta Crystallographica Section E Structure Reports Online

ISSN 1600-5368

Diaquabis(5-methylpyridine-2-carboxylato- $\kappa^2 N$,O)zinc(II)

Lian-Cai Du

College of Bioengineering, Weifang University, Weifang 261061, People's Republic of China

Correspondence e-mail: taixishi@lzu.edu.cn

Received 13 December 2008; accepted 14 December 2008

Key indicators: single-crystal X-ray study; T = 298 K; mean σ (C–C) = 0.005 Å; R factor = 0.034; wR factor = 0.097; data-to-parameter ratio = 11.8.

In the title compound, $[Zn(C_7H_6NO_2)_2(H_2O)_2]$, the Zn atom (site symmetry $\overline{1}$) adopts a distorted *trans*-ZnN₂O₄ octahedral coordination arising from two *N*,*O*-bidentate 5-methylpyridine-2-carboxylate ligands and two water molecules. In the crystal structure, molecules form a layered network linked by O-H···O hydrogen bonds.

Related literature

For background, see: Hagrman *et al.* (1998); Ranford *et al.* (1998).



Experimental

Crysiai aaia	
$[Zn(C_7H_6NO_2)_2(H_2O)_2]$	c = 12.2781 (14) Å
$M_r = 373.66$	$\alpha = 104.678 \ (2)^{\circ}$
Triclinic, P1	$\beta = 90.646 \ (1)^{\circ}$
a = 5.1703 (6) Å	$\gamma = 109.493 \ (2)^{\circ}$
b = 6.4620 (10) Å	V = 372.01 (8) Å ³

Z = 1
Mo $K\alpha$ radiation
$\mu = 1.68 \text{ mm}^{-1}$

Data collection

Bruker SMART CCD diffractometer Absorption correction: multi-scan (*SADABS*; Bruker, 2000) $T_{\rm min} = 0.493, T_{\rm max} = 0.659$

Refinement

 $R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.034$ 108 parameters $wR(F^2) = 0.097$ H-atom parameters constrainedS = 1.15 $\Delta \rho_{max} = 0.63 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$ 1275 reflections $\Delta \rho_{min} = -0.60 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$

Table 1

Selected bond lengths (Å).

Zn1-O1	2.104 (2)	Zn1-N1	2.116 (2)
Zn1-O3	2.134 (2)		

Table 2 Hydrogen-bond geometry (Å, °).

$D - H \cdots A$	D-H	$H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	$D \cdots A$	$D - \mathbf{H} \cdot \cdot \cdot A$
$O3-H3A\cdots O2^{i}$ $O3-H3B\cdots O1^{ii}$	0.85 0.85	1.88 1.94	2.693 (4) 2.757 (3)	160 160
			(=)	

Symmetry codes: (i) -x + 1, -y, -z + 1; (ii) x - 1, y, z.

Data collection: *SMART* (Bruker, 2000); cell refinement: *SAINT* (Bruker, 2000); data reduction: *SAINT*; program(s) used to solve structure: *SHELXS97* (Sheldrick, 2008); program(s) used to refine structure: *SHELXL97* (Sheldrick, 2008); molecular graphics: *SHELXTL* (Sheldrick, 2008); software used to prepare material for publication: *SHELXTL*.

The author thanks the Science and Technology Foundation of Weifang (2008–19) for a research grant.

Supplementary data and figures for this paper are available from the IUCr electronic archives (Reference: HB2880).

References

Bruker (2000). SMART, SAINT and SADABS. Bruker AXS Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.

Hagrman, D., Hammond, R. P. & Haushalter, R. (1998). Chem. Mater. 10, 2091–2096.

Ranford, J. D., Vittal, J. J. & Wang, Y. M. (1998). *Inorg. Chem.* **37**, 1226–1231. Sheldrick, G. M. (2008). *Acta Cryst.* A**64**, 112–122.

1917 measured reflections

1275 independent reflections

1260 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$

T = 298 (2) K 0.49 × 0.46 × 0.27 mm

 $R_{\rm int}=0.013$

supporting information

Acta Cryst. (2009). E65, m106 [doi:10.1107/S1600536808042530]

Diaquabis(5-methylpyridine-2-carboxylato- $\kappa^2 N$,O)zinc(II)

Lian-Cai Du

S1. Comment

As part of our efforts to achieve supramolecular transition metal complexes by self-assembly (Ranford, *et al.*, 1998; Hagrman, *et al.*, 1998), we now report on the synthesis and crystal structure of the title compound, (I), (Fig. 1).

The Zn^{II} centre in (I) is six-coordinate with two O donors of H₂O, and two N,O-bidentate ligands (Table 1). In the crystal packing, the molecules form a layers linked by O—H···O hydrogen bonds (Table 2).

S2. Experimental

A solution of 1.0 mmol 5-methylpyridine-2-carboxylic acid and 1.0 mmol NaOH in 5 ml 95% ethanol was added to a solution of 0.5 mmol $Zn(CH_3COO)_2.4H_2O$ in 5 ml ethanol at room temperature. The mixture was refluxed for 2 h with stirring, then the resulting precipitate was filtered, washed, and dried *in vacuo* over P₄O₁₀ for 48 h. Colourless blocks of (I) were obtained by slowly evaporating from methanol at room temperature.

S3. Refinement

The H atoms were geometrically placed (C—H = 0.93-0.96Å, O—H = 0.85Å) and refined as riding with $U_{iso}(H) = 1.2U_{eq}(C, O)$ or $1.5U_{eq}(methyl C)$.



Figure 1

The molecular structure of (I) showing 50% displacement ellipsoids for the non-hydrogen atoms. Symmetry code: (i) 1-x, 1-y, 1-z.

Diaquabis(5-methylpyridine-2-carboxylato- κ^2 N,O)zinc(II)

Crystal data

 $[Zn(C_7H_6NO_2)_2(H_2O)_2]$ $M_r = 373.66$ Triclinic, $P\overline{1}$ Hall symbol: -P 1 a = 5.1703 (6) Å b = 6.462 (1) Åc = 12.2781 (14) Å $\alpha = 104.678 (2)^{\circ}$ $\beta = 90.646 (1)^{\circ}$ $\gamma = 109.493 (2)^{\circ}$ V = 372.01 (8) Å³

Data collection

Bruker SMART CCD diffractometer Radiation source: fine-focus sealed tube Graphite monochromator ω scans Absorption correction: multi-scan (SADABS: Bruker, 2000) $T_{\rm min} = 0.493, T_{\rm max} = 0.659$

Refinement

Refinement on F^2 Hydrogen site location: inferred from Least-squares matrix: full neighbouring sites $R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.034$ H-atom parameters constrained $wR(F^2) = 0.097$ $w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_0^2) + (0.0603P)^2 + 0.3083P]$ where $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$ S = 1.151275 reflections $(\Delta/\sigma)_{\rm max} < 0.001$ $\Delta \rho_{\rm max} = 0.63 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$ 108 parameters $\Delta \rho_{\rm min} = -0.60 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$ 0 restraints Primary atom site location: structure-invariant direct methods Secondary atom site location: difference Fourier Extinction coefficient: 0.094 (11) map

Special details

Geometry. All e.s.d.'s (except the e.s.d. in the dihedral angle between two l.s. planes) are estimated using the full covariance matrix. The cell e.s.d.'s are taken into account individually in the estimation of e.s.d.'s in distances, angles and torsion angles; correlations between e.s.d.'s in cell parameters are only used when they are defined by crystal symmetry. An approximate (isotropic) treatment of cell e.s.d.'s is used for estimating e.s.d.'s involving l.s. planes.

Refinement. Refinement of F^2 against ALL reflections. The weighted *R*-factor w*R* and goodness of fit S are based on F^2 , conventional R-factors R are based on F, with F set to zero for negative F^2 . The threshold expression of $F^2 > \sigma(F^2)$ is used only for calculating R-factors(gt) etc. and is not relevant to the choice of reflections for refinement. R-factors based on F^2 are statistically about twice as large as those based on F, and R- factors based on ALL data will be even larger.

Fractional atomic coordinates and isotropic or equivalent isotropic displacement parameters (\hat{A}^2)

	x	У	Ζ	$U_{ m iso}$ */ $U_{ m eq}$
Zn1	0.5000	0.5000	0.5000	0.0269 (2)
N1	0.4060 (5)	0.5257 (4)	0.6691 (2)	0.0265 (5)

Z = 1F(000) = 192 $D_{\rm x} = 1.668 {\rm Mg} {\rm m}^{-3}$ Mo *K* α radiation, $\lambda = 0.71073$ Å Cell parameters from 1975 reflections $\theta = 3.4 - 27.9^{\circ}$ $\mu = 1.68 \text{ mm}^{-1}$ T = 298 KBlock, colourless $0.49 \times 0.46 \times 0.27 \text{ mm}$

1917 measured reflections 1275 independent reflections 1260 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$ $R_{\rm int} = 0.013$ $\theta_{\text{max}} = 25.0^{\circ}, \ \theta_{\text{min}} = 1.7^{\circ}$ $h = -6 \rightarrow 3$ $k = -6 \rightarrow 7$ $l = -14 \rightarrow 14$

Extinction correction: SHELXL97 (Sheldrick, 2008), $Fc^* = kFc[1+0.001xFc^2\lambda^3/sin(2\theta)]^{-1/4}$

C4	0.3260 (7)	0.5138 (6)	0.8903 (2)	0.0351 (7)
H4	0.2979	0.5079	0.9643	0.042*
01	0.6819 (4)	0.2811 (3)	0.54326 (17)	0.0298 (5)
O2	0.7442 (5)	0.1369 (4)	0.6842 (2)	0.0399 (6)
03	0.1258 (4)	0.2198 (4)	0.4350 (2)	0.0368 (5)
H3A	0.1410	0.0905	0.4068	0.044*
H3B	-0.0199	0.2048	0.4683	0.044*
C1	0.6533 (6)	0.2552 (5)	0.6414 (2)	0.0266 (6)
C2	0.4953 (6)	0.3907 (5)	0.7150 (2)	0.0269 (6)
C3	0.4520 (8)	0.3852 (6)	0.8251 (3)	0.0417 (8)
H3	0.5124	0.2887	0.8550	0.050*
C6	0.2809 (6)	0.6541 (5)	0.7341 (3)	0.0309 (6)
H6	0.2175	0.7490	0.7040	0.037*
C5	0.2430 (6)	0.6500 (6)	0.8452 (3)	0.0351 (7)
C7	0.1086 (8)	0.8011 (7)	0.9188 (3)	0.0500 (9)
H7A	-0.0093	0.7186	0.9650	0.075*
H7B	0.0010	0.8473	0.8718	0.075*
H7C	0.2483	0.9337	0.9664	0.075*

Atomic displacement parameters (\mathring{A}^2)

	U^{11}	U^{22}	U^{33}	U^{12}	U^{13}	U^{23}
Zn1	0.0346 (3)	0.0309 (3)	0.0229 (3)	0.0191 (2)	0.00841 (19)	0.01007 (19)
N1	0.0300 (12)	0.0281 (12)	0.0253 (12)	0.0143 (10)	0.0049 (10)	0.0083 (10)
C4	0.0477 (18)	0.0513 (19)	0.0163 (13)	0.0286 (15)	0.0102 (12)	0.0108 (13)
01	0.0357 (11)	0.0321 (11)	0.0293 (11)	0.0209 (9)	0.0098 (8)	0.0089 (9)
O2	0.0559 (14)	0.0394 (12)	0.0369 (12)	0.0323 (11)	0.0035 (10)	0.0111 (10)
O3	0.0337 (11)	0.0298 (11)	0.0486 (13)	0.0161 (9)	0.0117 (10)	0.0067 (10)
C1	0.0274 (13)	0.0224 (13)	0.0306 (15)	0.0109 (11)	0.0018 (11)	0.0052 (11)
C2	0.0304 (14)	0.0264 (13)	0.0252 (14)	0.0119 (11)	0.0036 (11)	0.0066 (11)
C3	0.054 (2)	0.051 (2)	0.0337 (17)	0.0306 (17)	0.0084 (15)	0.0194 (15)
C6	0.0349 (15)	0.0321 (15)	0.0313 (15)	0.0196 (12)	0.0078 (12)	0.0076 (12)
C5	0.0341 (15)	0.0399 (17)	0.0289 (15)	0.0146 (13)	0.0065 (12)	0.0029 (13)
C7	0.054 (2)	0.059 (2)	0.0395 (19)	0.0315 (19)	0.0155 (16)	0.0005 (17)

Geometric parameters (Å, °)

Zn1—O1	2.104 (2)	O2—C1	1.232 (4)	
Zn1—O3	2.134 (2)	O3—H3A	0.8499	
Zn1—O1 ⁱ	2.104 (2)	O3—H3B	0.8499	
Zn1—N1 ⁱ	2.116 (2)	C1—C2	1.531 (4)	
Zn1—N1	2.116 (2)	C2—C3	1.380 (4)	
Zn1—O3 ⁱ	2.134 (2)	С3—Н3	0.9300	
N1—C6	1.334 (4)	C6—C5	1.387 (5)	
N1—C2	1.343 (4)	С6—Н6	0.9300	
C4—C5	1.327 (5)	C5—C7	1.507 (4)	
C4—C3	1.338 (5)	C7—H7A	0.9600	
C4—H4	0.9300	C7—H7B	0.9600	

supporting information

01—C1	1.262 (4)	С7—Н7С	0.9600
O1—Zn1—O1 ⁱ	180.0	Zn1—O3—H3B	121.9
O1—Zn1—N1 ⁱ	100.78 (8)	H3A—O3—H3B	110.5
$O1^{i}$ —Zn1—N1 ⁱ	79.22 (8)	O2—C1—O1	126.8 (3)
O1—Zn1—N1	79.22 (8)	O2—C1—C2	117.3 (3)
$O1^{i}$ —Zn1—N1	100.78 (8)	01	115.9 (2)
$N1^{i}$ — $Zn1$ — $N1$	180.0	N1—C2—C3	120.1 (3)
01 — $Zn1$ — 03^{i}	89.38 (9)	N1—C2—C1	116.9 (2)
$O1^{i}$ —Zn1— $O3^{i}$	90.62 (9)	C3—C2—C1	123.0 (3)
$N1^{i}$ —Zn1—O3 ⁱ	92.23 (9)	C4—C3—C2	122.3 (3)
$N1$ — $Zn1$ — $O3^{i}$	87.77 (9)	C4—C3—H3	118.8
Ω_1 Z_{n1} Ω_3	90.62 (9)	C2-C3-H3	118.8
$O1^{i}$ Zn1-O3	89.38 (9)	N1-C6-C5	121.7(3)
$N1^{i}$ Zn1 $O3$	87.77 (9)	N1—C6—H6	119.1
N1 - Zn1 - O3	92.23 (9)	C5-C6-H6	119.1
$O3^{i} - Zn1 - O3$	180.0	C4-C5-C6	1209(3)
C6—N1—C2	117.7(2)	C4-C5-C7	120.9(3) 1179(3)
C6-N1-Zn1	1304(2)	C6-C5-C7	121.2(3)
$C_2 = N_1 = Z_{n_1}$	111 95 (18)	C5-C7-H7A	109 5
$C_{5}-C_{4}-C_{3}$	117.3 (3)	C5C7H7B	109.5
C5-C4-H4	121.3	H7A - C7 - H7B	109.5
C3—C4—H4	121.3	C5-C7-H7C	109.5
C1 - O1 - Zn1	115 99 (17)	H7A - C7 - H7C	109.5
7n1-03-H3A	116.6	H7B-C7-H7C	109.5
	110.0		109.5
O1—Zn1—N1—C6	176.5 (3)	C6—N1—C2—C1	-176.5 (2)
Ol ⁱ —Zn1—N1—C6	-3.5 (3)	Zn1—N1—C2—C1	2.3 (3)
O3 ⁱ —Zn1—N1—C6	86.7 (3)	O2—C1—C2—N1	177.6 (3)
O3—Zn1—N1—C6	-93.3 (3)	O1—C1—C2—N1	-0.9 (4)
O1—Zn1—N1—C2	-2.21 (19)	O2—C1—C2—C3	0.0 (4)
$O1^{i}$ —Zn1—N1—C2	177.79 (19)	O1—C1—C2—C3	-178.5 (3)
$O3^{i}$ —Zn1—N1—C2	-92.0 (2)	C5—C4—C3—C2	-0.2 (6)
O3—Zn1—N1—C2	88.0 (2)	N1-C2-C3-C4	-1.1 (5)
N1 ⁱ —Zn1—O1—C1	-178.1 (2)	C1—C2—C3—C4	176.4 (3)
N1—Zn1—O1—C1	1.9 (2)	C2—N1—C6—C5	0.0 (4)
O3 ⁱ —Zn1—O1—C1	89.7 (2)	Zn1—N1—C6—C5	-178.6 (2)
O3—Zn1—O1—C1	-90.3 (2)	C3—C4—C5—C6	1.3 (5)
Zn1—O1—C1—O2	-179.4 (2)	C3—C4—C5—C7	-178.2 (3)
Zn1—O1—C1—C2	-1.2 (3)	N1—C6—C5—C4	-1.3 (5)
C6—N1—C2—C3	1.2 (4)	N1—C6—C5—C7	178.2 (3)
Zn1—N1—C2—C3	-180.0 (2)		

Symmetry code: (i) -x+1, -y+1, -z+1.

Hydrogen-bond geometry (Å, °)

D—H···A	<i>D</i> —Н	H···A	$D \cdots A$	D—H···A
O3—H3 <i>A</i> ···O2 ⁱⁱ	0.85	1.88	2.693 (4)	160

Acta Cryst. (2009). E65, m106

			supportin	g information
O3—H3 <i>B</i> …O1 ⁱⁱⁱ	0.85	1.94	2.757 (3)	160
Symmetry codes: (ii) $-x+1$, $-y$, $-z+1$; (iii) $x-1$, y , z .				