

Acta Crystallographica Section E **Structure Reports** Online

ISSN 1600-5368

# (4-tert-Butylphenyl)acetic acid

# Bing-Xin Liu,<sup>a</sup> Yan-Ping Yu<sup>a</sup> and Duan-Jun Xu<sup>b\*</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Department of Chemistry, Shanghai University, People's Republic of China, and <sup>b</sup>Department of Chemistry, Zhejiang University, People's Republic of China Correspondence e-mail: xudj@mail.hz.zj.cn

Received 17 July 2008; accepted 2 September 2008

Key indicators: single-crystal X-ray study; T = 295 K; mean  $\sigma$ (C–C) = 0.005 Å; disorder in main residue; R factor = 0.075; wR factor = 0.220; data-to-parameter ratio = 15.6.

In the title compound,  $C_{12}H_{16}O_2$ , the plane of the carboxylic acid group is almost perpendicular to the benzene ring [dihedral angle 80.9  $(3)^{\circ}$ ] and the *tert*-butyl unit is disordered over two sets of sites in a 0.503 (6):0.497 (6) ratio. In the crystal structure, centrosymmetric dimers arise from pairs of O-H···O hydrogen bonds involving the carboxylic acid groups.

#### **Related literature**

For general background, see: Liu et al. (2006). For a related structure, see: van Koningsveld (1982).



# organic compounds

#### **Experimental**

#### Crystal data

CualturOa	$V = 2327.8 (10) Å^3$
$M_r = 192.25$	Z = 8 (10) $T$
Monoclinic, $C2/c$	Mo $K\alpha$ radiation
a = 11.209 (2)  Å	$\mu = 0.07 \text{ mm}^{-1}$
b = 12.442 (3) Å	T = 295 (2) K
c = 17.250 (5) Å	$0.30 \times 0.23 \times 0.16 \text{ mm}$
$\beta = 104.625 \ (12)^{\circ}$	

#### Data collection

Bruker APEX CCD diffractometer	2047 independent reflections
Absorption correction: none	1375 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$
5829 measured reflections	$R_{\rm int} = 0.025$

#### Refinement

 $R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.074$ wR(F<sup>2</sup>) = 0.220 131 parameters H-atom parameters constrained S = 1.06 $\Delta \rho_{\rm max} = 0.30 \ {\rm e} \ {\rm \AA}^{-3}$  $\Delta \rho_{\rm min} = -0.25$  e Å<sup>-3</sup> 2047 reflections

## Table 1

Hydrogen-bond geometry (Å, °).

$D - H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	D-H	$H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	$D \cdots A$	$D - H \cdots A$
$O1\!-\!H1\!\cdots\!O2^i$	0.92	1.74	2.659 (3)	176
Symmetry code: (i)	$-x + \frac{1}{2}, -y + \frac{3}{2},$	-z + 1.		

Data collection: SMART (Bruker, 2004); cell refinement: SAINT (Bruker, 2004); data reduction: SAINT; program(s) used to solve structure: SIR92 (Altomare et al., 1993); program(s) used to refine structure: SHELXL97 (Sheldrick, 2008); molecular graphics: ORTEP-3 (Farrugia, 1997); software used to prepare material for publication: WinGX (Farrugia, 1999).

This project was supported by the Educational Development Foundation of Shanghai Educational Committee, China (No. AB0448).

Supplementary data and figures for this paper are available from the IUCr electronic archives (Reference: HB2766).

#### References

- Altomare, A., Cascarano, G., Giacovazzo, C. & Guagliardi, A. (1993). J. Appl. Crvst. 26, 343-350.
- Bruker (2004). SMART and SAINT. Bruker AXS Inc., Madison, Winsonsin, USA.
- Farrugia, L. J. (1997). J. Appl. Cryst. 30, 565.
- Farrugia, L. J. (1999). J. Appl. Cryst. 32, 837-838.
- Koningsveld, H. van (1982). Cryst. Struct. Commun. 11, 1423-1433.
- Liu, B.-X., Nie, J.-J. & Xu, D.-J. (2006). Acta Cryst. E62, m2122-m2124.

Sheldrick, G. M. (2008). Acta Cryst. A64, 112-122.

# supporting information

Acta Cryst. (2008). E64, o1971 [doi:10.1107/S160053680802802X]

# (4-tert-Butylphenyl)acetic acid

# Bing-Xin Liu, Yan-Ping Yu and Duan-Jun Xu

# S1. Comment

As part of investigation on the nature of aromatic stacking (Liu *et al.*, 2006), the title compound, (I), has recently been prepared in Prof. Liu's laboratory by the hydrolization reaction of 2-(4-*tert*-butylphenyl)-1-morpholinoethanethione. Herein we present its X-ray structure (Fig. 1).

The C8—O1 bond distance of 1.309 (3) Å is significantly longer than the C8—O2 bond distance of 1.191 (3) Å. The carboxyl group is nearly perpendicular to the benzene plane, the dihedral angle being 80.9 (3)°. The adjacent molecules are linked together *via* O—H···O hydrogen bonding (Table 1) to form a centrosymmetric supramolecular dimer as shown in Fig. 2, which is comparable to that found in 4-*tert*-butylbenzoic acid (van Koningsveld, 1982).

# S2. Experimental

The title compound was prepared by a hydrolization reaction of 2-(4-*tert*-butylphenyl)-1-morpholinoethanethione (55 g) in a solution containing CH<sub>3</sub>COOH (150 ml), H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> (25 ml, 98%) and water (30 ml) at 390 K until the reaction mixture changed colour to dark-green. After cooling to room temperature, the solid product was separated from the reaction mixture, and colourless prisms of (I) were obtained by recrystallization of the solid product from an ethanol–water solution (1:1 v/v) after 2 months.

## **S3. Refinement**

The carboxyl H atom was located in a difference Fourier map and refined as riding in its as-found relative position with  $U_{iso}(H) = 1.5U_{eq}(O)$ . The methyl H atoms were placed in calculated positions with C—H = 0.96 Å and torsion angles were refined to fit the electron density with  $U_{iso}(H) = 1.5U_{eq}(C)$ . The other H atoms were placed in calculated positions with C—H = 0.97 Å (methylene) or 0.93 Å (aromatic), and refined in riding mode with  $U_{iso}(H) = 1.2U_{eq}(C)$ . The tertbutyl group is disordered over two positions. Occupancies were initially refined and converged to 0.503 (6) and 0.497 (6), respectively; in the final cycles of refinement, the occupancies were fixed at 0.5, and displacement parameters for the disordered carbon atoms were constrained to be identical.



## Figure 1

The molecular structure of (I) with 30% probability displacement ellipsoids (arbitrary spheres for H atoms). Double dashed lines indicate one of disordered components.



## Figure 2

The unit cell packing diagram showing the supra-molecular dimeric structure linked by the hydrogen bonding (dashed lines).

## (4-tert-Butylphenyl)acetic acid

#### Crystal data

 $C_{12}H_{16}O_2$   $M_r = 192.25$ Monoclinic, C2/c Hall symbol: -C 2yc a = 11.209 (2) Å b = 12.442 (3) Å c = 17.250 (5) Å  $\beta = 104.625 (12)^{\circ}$   $V = 2327.8 (10) \text{ Å}^3$ Z = 8

#### Data collection

Bruker APEXII CCD	2047 independent reflections
diffractometer	1375 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$
Radiation source: fine-focus sealed tube	$R_{\rm int} = 0.025$
Graphite monochromator	$\theta_{\rm max} = 25.0^{\circ}, \ \theta_{\rm min} = 2.4^{\circ}$
Detector resolution: 10 pixels mm <sup>-1</sup>	$h = -13 \rightarrow 12$
$\varphi$ and $\omega$ scans	$k = -11 \longrightarrow 14$
5829 measured reflections	$l = -20 \rightarrow 17$
Refinement	
Refinement on $F^2$	Secondary atom site location: difference Fourier

F(000) = 832

 $\theta = 2.8 - 25.0^{\circ}$ 

 $\mu = 0.07 \text{ mm}^{-1}$ T = 295 K

Prism. colourless

 $0.30 \times 0.23 \times 0.16 \text{ mm}$ 

 $D_{\rm x} = 1.097 {\rm Mg} {\rm m}^{-3}$ 

Mo *K* $\alpha$  radiation,  $\lambda = 0.71073$  Å

Cell parameters from 2180 reflections

Refinement on $F^2$	Secondary atom site location: difference
Least-squares matrix: full	map
$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.075$	Hydrogen site location: inferred from
$wR(F^2) = 0.220$	neighbouring sites
S = 1.06	H-atom parameters constrained
2047 reflections	$w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.0943P)^2 + 2.2585P]$
131 parameters	where $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$
0 restraints	$(\Delta/\sigma)_{\rm max} = 0.003$
Primary atom site location: structure-invariant	$\Delta \rho_{\rm max} = 0.30 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$
direct methods	$\Delta \rho_{\min} = -0.25 \text{ e} \text{ Å}^{-3}$

#### Special details

**Geometry**. All e.s.d.'s (except the e.s.d. in the dihedral angle between two l.s. planes) are estimated using the full covariance matrix. The cell e.s.d.'s are taken into account individually in the estimation of e.s.d.'s in distances, angles and torsion angles; correlations between e.s.d.'s in cell parameters are only used when they are defined by crystal symmetry. An approximate (isotropic) treatment of cell e.s.d.'s is used for estimating e.s.d.'s involving l.s. planes.

**Refinement**. Refinement of  $F^2$  against ALL reflections. The weighted *R*-factor *wR* and goodness of fit *S* are based on  $F^2$ , conventional *R*-factors *R* are based on *F*, with *F* set to zero for negative  $F^2$ . The threshold expression of  $F^2 > \sigma(F^2)$  is used only for calculating *R*-factors(gt) *etc.* and is not relevant to the choice of reflections for refinement. *R*-factors based on  $F^2$  are statistically about twice as large as those based on *F*, and *R*- factors based on ALL data will be even larger.

Fractional atomic coordinates and isotropic or equivalent isotropic displacement parameters  $(Å^2)$ 

	x	У	Ζ	$U_{ m iso}$ */ $U_{ m eq}$	Occ. (<1)
01	0.3924 (2)	0.7974 (2)	0.56682 (15)	0.1086 (10)	
H1	0.3088	0.7959	0.5607	0.163*	
O2	0.35115 (18)	0.70221 (19)	0.45614 (13)	0.0801 (7)	
C1	0.6042 (2)	0.6780 (3)	0.45859 (19)	0.0733 (9)	
C2	0.6468 (3)	0.5756 (3)	0.4707 (2)	0.0904 (11)	

H2	0.6448	0.5403	0.5179	0.108*	
C3	0.6930 (3)	0.5233 (3)	0.41409 (19)	0.0838 (10)	
H3	0.7216	0.4532	0.4244	0.101*	
C4	0.6984 (2)	0.5702 (2)	0.34325 (15)	0.0582 (7)	
C5	0.6512 (3)	0.6719 (3)	0.33048 (18)	0.0714 (9)	
Н5	0.6498	0.7060	0.2823	0.086*	
C6	0.6056 (3)	0.7253 (3)	0.3870 (2)	0.0819 (10)	
H6	0.5753	0.7948	0.3764	0.098*	
C7	0.5608 (3)	0.7390 (4)	0.5219 (2)	0.1051 (14)	
H7A	0.5971	0.7062	0.5735	0.126*	
H7B	0.5917	0.8120	0.5237	0.126*	
C8	0.4244 (3)	0.7432 (3)	0.51024 (17)	0.0653 (8)	
С9	0.7547 (3)	0.5128 (3)	0.28348 (18)	0.0732 (9)	
C10A	0.8271 (11)	0.6028 (9)	0.2430 (6)	0.1125 (15)	0.497 (6)
H10A	0.8575	0.5698	0.2015	0.169*	0.497 (6)
H10B	0.8950	0.6315	0.2833	0.169*	0.497 (6)
H10C	0.7713	0.6598	0.2207	0.169*	0.497 (6)
C11A	0.8538 (13)	0.4343 (11)	0.3166 (9)	0.1125 (15)	0.497 (6)
H11A	0.8187	0.3714	0.3344	0.169*	0.497 (6)
H11B	0.9124	0.4660	0.3611	0.169*	0.497 (6)
H11C	0.8944	0.4147	0.2759	0.169*	0.497 (6)
C12A	0.6605 (9)	0.4711 (9)	0.2153 (6)	0.1125 (15)	0.497 (6)
H12A	0.6206	0.5299	0.1829	0.169*	0.497 (6)
H12B	0.6006	0.4309	0.2344	0.169*	0.497 (6)
H12C	0.6985	0.4251	0.1838	0.169*	0.497 (6)
C10B	0.7561 (11)	0.5733 (9)	0.2101 (6)	0.1125 (15)	0.503 (6)
H10D	0.7923	0.5297	0.1761	0.169*	0.503 (6)
H10E	0.8037	0.6377	0.2243	0.169*	0.503 (6)
H10F	0.6732	0.5917	0.1823	0.169*	0.503 (6)
C11B	0.8802 (13)	0.4692 (11)	0.3269 (8)	0.1125 (15)	0.503 (6)
H11D	0.8717	0.4232	0.3698	0.169*	0.503 (6)
H11E	0.9342	0.5279	0.3482	0.169*	0.503 (6)
H11F	0.9142	0.4289	0.2900	0.169*	0.503 (6)
C12B	0.6679 (9)	0.4091 (9)	0.2531 (6)	0.1125 (15)	0.503 (6)
H12D	0.5836	0.4318	0.2348	0.169*	0.503 (6)
H12E	0.6756	0.3592	0.2965	0.169*	0.503 (6)
H12F	0.6931	0.3750	0.2099	0.169*	0.503 (6)

Atomic displacement parameters  $(\mathring{A}^2)$ 

	$U^{11}$	$U^{22}$	$U^{33}$	$U^{12}$	$U^{13}$	$U^{23}$
01	0.0599 (14)	0.172 (3)	0.0991 (17)	-0.0057 (14)	0.0303 (12)	-0.0708 (17)
O2	0.0532 (12)	0.1151 (18)	0.0758 (14)	-0.0020 (11)	0.0231 (10)	-0.0310 (12)
C1	0.0408 (15)	0.112 (3)	0.0682 (19)	0.0018 (15)	0.0160 (13)	-0.0220 (18)
C2	0.098 (3)	0.114 (3)	0.068 (2)	0.007 (2)	0.0381 (19)	0.0096 (19)
C3	0.105 (3)	0.078 (2)	0.078 (2)	0.0180 (19)	0.0417 (19)	0.0145 (17)
C4	0.0506 (15)	0.0689 (17)	0.0574 (15)	0.0100 (13)	0.0178 (12)	0.0069 (13)
C5	0.0659 (18)	0.084 (2)	0.0675 (18)	0.0235 (16)	0.0221 (14)	0.0174 (15)

# supporting information

C6	0.064 (2)	0.086 (2)	0.094 (2)	0.0292 (16)	0.0180 (17)	-0.0029 (18)
C7	0.0542 (19)	0.171 (4)	0.091 (2)	0.003 (2)	0.0214 (17)	-0.053 (3)
C8	0.0546 (17)	0.086 (2)	0.0594 (16)	0.0042 (14)	0.0218 (14)	-0.0110 (15)
C9	0.0687 (19)	0.091 (2)	0.0630 (17)	0.0215 (16)	0.0231 (15)	0.0022 (16)
C10A	0.116 (3)	0.136 (4)	0.098 (3)	0.029 (3)	0.050 (3)	-0.008(2)
C11A	0.116 (3)	0.136 (4)	0.098 (3)	0.029 (3)	0.050 (3)	-0.008(2)
C12A	0.116 (3)	0.136 (4)	0.098 (3)	0.029 (3)	0.050 (3)	-0.008(2)
C10B	0.116 (3)	0.136 (4)	0.098 (3)	0.029 (3)	0.050 (3)	-0.008(2)
C11B	0.116 (3)	0.136 (4)	0.098 (3)	0.029 (3)	0.050 (3)	-0.008(2)
C12B	0.116 (3)	0.136 (4)	0.098 (3)	0.029 (3)	0.050 (3)	-0.008(2)

# Geometric parameters (Å, °)

01	1.309 (3)	C9—C11B	1.517 (15)
01—H1	0.9169	C9—C12B	1.621 (11)
O2—C8	1.191 (3)	C9—C10A	1.639 (11)
C1—C2	1.358 (5)	C10A—H10A	0.9600
C1—C6	1.371 (5)	C10A—H10B	0.9600
C1—C7	1.508 (4)	C10A—H10C	0.9600
C2—C3	1.379 (4)	C11A—H11A	0.9600
С2—Н2	0.9300	C11A—H11B	0.9600
C3—C4	1.369 (4)	C11A—H11C	0.9600
С3—Н3	0.9300	C12A—H12A	0.9600
C4—C5	1.368 (4)	C12A—H12B	0.9600
C4—C9	1.517 (4)	C12A—H12C	0.9600
C5—C6	1.381 (4)	C10B—H10D	0.9600
С5—Н5	0.9300	C10B—H10E	0.9600
С6—Н6	0.9300	C10B—H10F	0.9600
С7—С8	1.491 (4)	C11B—H11D	0.9600
С7—Н7А	0.9700	C11B—H11E	0.9600
С7—Н7В	0.9700	C11B—H11F	0.9600
C9-C12A	1.463 (10)	C12B—H12D	0.9600
C9-C10B	1.476 (10)	C12B—H12E	0.9600
C9—C11A	1.480 (15)	C12B—H12F	0.9600
C8—O1—H1	111.6	C10B—C9—C12B	105.3 (5)
C2—C1—C6	117.3 (3)	C4—C9—C12B	106.0 (4)
C2-C1-C7	121.7 (3)	C11B—C9—C12B	106.3 (6)
C6-C1-C7	121.0 (4)	C12A—C9—C10A	103.5 (6)
C1—C2—C3	120.9 (3)	C11A—C9—C10A	102.2 (7)
C1—C2—H2	119.5	C4—C9—C10A	107.7 (4)
С3—С2—Н2	119.5	C9—C10A—H10A	109.5
C4—C3—C2	122.7 (3)	C9—C10A—H10B	109.5
С4—С3—Н3	118.7	C9—C10A—H10C	109.5
С2—С3—Н3	118.7	C9—C11A—H11A	109.5
C5—C4—C3	115.8 (3)	C9—C11A—H11B	109.5
C5—C4—C9	122.4 (3)	C9—C11A—H11C	109.5
C3—C4—C9	121.7 (3)	C9—C12A—H12A	109.5

C4—C5—C6	121.9 (3)	C9—C12A—H12B	109.5
С4—С5—Н5	119.0	C9—C12A—H12C	109.5
С6—С5—Н5	119.0	C9—C10B—H10D	109.5
C1—C6—C5	121.3 (3)	C9—C10B—H10E	109.5
С1—С6—Н6	119.4	H10D-C10B-H10E	109.5
С5—С6—Н6	119.4	C9—C10B—H10F	109.5
C8—C7—C1	115.3 (3)	H10D—C10B—H10F	109.5
С8—С7—Н7А	108.5	H10E—C10B—H10F	109.5
С1—С7—Н7А	108.5	C9—C11B—H11D	109.5
С8—С7—Н7В	108.5	C9—C11B—H11E	109.5
С1—С7—Н7В	108.5	H11D—C11B—H11E	109.5
H7A—C7—H7B	107.5	C9—C11B—H11F	109.5
O2—C8—O1	122.7 (3)	H11D—C11B—H11F	109.5
O2—C8—C7	124.9 (3)	H11E—C11B—H11F	109.5
O1—C8—C7	112.4 (3)	C9—C12B—H12D	109.5
C12A—C9—C11A	113.3 (6)	C9—C12B—H12E	109.5
C12A—C9—C4	112.0 (4)	H12D—C12B—H12E	109.5
C10B—C9—C4	116.0 (4)	C9—C12B—H12F	109.5
C11A—C9—C4	116.6 (6)	H12D—C12B—H12F	109.5
C10B—C9—C11B	113.3 (7)	H12E—C12B—H12F	109.5
C4—C9—C11B	109.0 (5)		

# Hydrogen-bond geometry (Å, °)

D—H···A	D—H	H···A	D····A	<i>D</i> —H··· <i>A</i>
O1—H1···O2 <sup>i</sup>	0.92	1.74	2.659 (3)	176

Symmetry code: (i) -x+1/2, -y+3/2, -z+1.