

Acta Crystallographica Section E Structure Reports Online

ISSN 1600-5368

catena-Poly[copper(II)-bis(μ -2,4dichloro-6-formylphenolato)- $\kappa^{3}O,O':Cl^{4};\kappa^{3}Cl^{4}:O,O']$

Ying Fan,^a Wei You,^a Jian-Lan Liu,^a Hui-Fen Qian^a* and Wei Huang^b‡

^aCollege of Sciences, Nanjing University of Technology, Nanjing 210009, People's Republic of China, and ^bState Key Laboratory of Coordination Chemistry, Coordination Chemistry Institute, School of Chemistry and Chemical Engineering, Nanjing University, Nanjing 210093, People's Republic of China Correspondence e-mail: whuang@nju.edu.cn

Received 25 June 2008; accepted 3 July 2008

Key indicators: single-crystal X-ray study; T = 291 K; mean σ (C–C) = 0.004 Å; *R* factor = 0.034; *wR* factor = 0.103; data-to-parameter ratio = 13.9.

In the title compound, $[Cu(C_7H_3Cl_2O_2)_2]_n$, the Cu^{II} atom lies on a centre of inversion and adopts a [4+2] coordination mode, with two long axial Cu–Cl coordinative bonds complementing four Cu–O bonds from two 2,4-dichloro-6-formylphenolate ligands in a distorted square plane. π - π stacking interactions are also formed between neighbouring aromatic rings, with a centroid–centroid separation of 3.624 (2) Å.

Related literature

For related compounds, see: Duan *et al.* (2007); Fan, You, Liu *et al.* (2008); Fan, You, Qian *et al.* (2008); Harkat *et al.* (2008); Sun & Gao (2005); Zhang *et al.* (2006).



Experimental

Crystal data [Cu(C₇H₃Cl₂O₂)₂]

 $M_r = 443.53$

metal-organic compounds

Mo $K\alpha$ radiation

 $\mu = 2.19 \text{ mm}^{-1}$

T = 291 (2) K $0.14 \times 0.12 \times 0.10 \text{ mm}$

Z = 4

Orthorhombic, *Pbca* a = 8.1564 (8) Å b = 12.4746 (12) Å c = 14.7296 (14) Å V = 1498.7 (3) Å³

Data collection

Bruker SMART CCD	7424 measured reflections
diffractometer	1471 independent reflections
Absorption correction: multi-scan	1215 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$
(SADABS; Bruker, 2000)	$R_{\rm int} = 0.043$
$T_{\min} = 0.750, \ T_{\max} = 0.811$	

Refinement

$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.033$	106 parameters
$vR(F^2) = 0.103$	H-atom parameters constrained
S = 1.06	$\Delta \rho_{\rm max} = 0.41 \text{ e} \text{ Å}^{-3}$
471 reflections	$\Delta \rho_{\rm min} = -0.83 \text{ e} \text{ Å}^{-3}$

Table 1

Selected geometric parameters (Å, $^{\circ}$).

Cu1-O1 Cu1-O2	1.906 (2) 1.943 (2)	Cu1-Cl2 ⁱⁱ		3.207 (1)
$O1 - Cu1 - O1^{i}$ $O1 - Cu1 - O2^{i}$	180 87.13 (8)	01-Cu1-O2 O2 ⁱ -Cu1-O2	2	92.87 (8) 180
Symmetry codes: (i) -x + 1, -y + 2, -z + 1.	-x + 2, -y +	-2, -z+1; (ii) $x + 1, y$	v, z; (iii)

Data collection: *SMART* (Bruker, 2000); cell refinement: *SAINT* (Bruker, 2000); data reduction: *SAINT*; program(s) used to solve structure: *SHELXTL* (Sheldrick, 2008); program(s) used to refine structure: *SHELXTL*; molecular graphics: *SHELXTL*; software used to prepare material for publication: *SHELXTL*.

WH acknowledges financial aid from the National Natural Science Foundation of China (grant No. 20301009) and the Scientific Research Foundation for Returned Overseas Chinese Scholars, State Education Ministry.

Supplementary data and figures for this paper are available from the IUCr electronic archives (Reference: BI2288).

References

- Bruker (2000). SMART, SAINT and SADABS. Bruker AXS Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.
- Duan, X. F., Zeng, J., Zhang, Z. B. & Zi, G. F. (2007). J. Org. Chem. 72, 10283– 10286.
- Fan, Y., You, W., Liu, J.-L., Qian, H.-F. & Huang, W. (2008). Acta Cryst. E64, 01080.
- Fan, Y., You, W., Qian, H.-F., Liu, J.-L. & Huang, W. (2008). Acta Cryst. E64, 0799.
- Harkat, H., Blanc, A., Weibel, J. M. & Pale, P. (2008). J. Org. Chem. 73, 1620– 1623.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (2008). Acta Cryst. A64, 112-122.
- Sun, Y.-X. & Gao, G.-Z. (2005). Acta Cryst. E61, m354-m355.
- Zhang, G., Yang, G. & Ma, J. S. (2006). J. Chem. Res. pp. 19-21.

[‡] Additional correspondence author.

supporting information

Acta Cryst. (2008). E64, m1011 [doi:10.1107/S1600536808020424]

catena-Poly[copper(II)-bis(μ -2,4-dichloro-6-formylphenolato)- $\kappa^{3}O$,O': Cl^{4} ; $\kappa^{3}Cl^{4}$:O,O']

Ying Fan, Wei You, Jian-Lan Liu, Hui-Fen Qian and Wei Huang

S1. Comment

The design and synthesis of derivatives of salicylaldehyde and their metal complexes are fascinating areas of research, which can be used in a variety of studies such as drug design, life science, catalysis, and so on (Harkat *et al.*, 2008; Duan *et al.*, 2007). In our previous studies, we have reported the X-ray single-crystal structures of 3,5-dichloro-2-hydroxy-benzaldehyde and 3,5-dibromo-2-hydroxybenzaldehyde (Fan *et al.*, 2008*a*, *b*). In this paper, we report the X-ray single-crystal structure of the title Cu^{II} complex.

In the title compound (Fig. 1), the coordination geometry of the central Cu^{II} ion can be described as [4 + 2]. Four Cu— O bonds from two 3,5-dichloro-2-hydroxybenzaldehyde anions constitute a distorted square coordination plane with the bond lengths varying from 1.906 (2) to 1.943 (2) Å (Table 1), which are in good agreement with those found in similar Cu^{II} complexes (Sun & Gao, 2005; Zhang *et al.*, 2006). Two adjacent Cl atoms from two 3,5-dichloro-2-hydroxybenzaldehyde anions (Cl2, symmetry codes: 1 + x, y, z and 1 - x, 2 - y, 1 - z) occupy two axial positions by weak coordinative bonds with the same Cu—Cl bond length of 3.207 (1) Å (Fig. 2). In addition, these molecules are further stabilized by π - π stacking interactions with the centroid-to-centroid separation of 3.624 (2) Å, forming one-dimensional chain motifs (Fig. 2). A dihedral angle of 45.3 (1) ° is formed between the planes of molecules in neighbouring chains.

S2. Experimental

A solution of $Cu(OAc)_2$. H_2O (0.1 mmol, 0.020 g) in methanol (5 ml) was added to a methanol solution (20 ml) of 3,5-dichloro-2-hydroxybenzaldehyde (0.2 mmol, 0.039 g). The resulting mixture was refluxed for 2 h, cooled and evaporated slowly at room temperature in air to give dark red single crystals suitable for X-ray diffraction measurement. Analysis calculated for $C_{14}H_6O_4Cl_4Cu$: C, 37.91. H, 1.36%; found: C, 37.85; H, 1.59%.

FT—IR (KBr pellets, cm⁻¹): 3058 (*m*), 1605 (*vs*), 1510 (*s*), 1438 (*s*), 1420 (*s*), 1337 (*s*), 1217 (*s*), 1162 (*s*), 887 (*m*), 766 (*s*), 720 (*m*), 600 (*m*) and 455 (*m*).

S3. Refinement

H atoms bonded to C atoms were placed in geometrically idealized positions (C—H = 0.93 Å) and refined as riding atoms with $U_{iso}(H) = 1.2U_{eq}(C)$.



Figure 1

Molecular structure showing displacement ellipsoids at the 30% probability level for non-H atoms. Cu1 lies on a centre of inversion: unlabelled atoms are related to labelled atoms by the symmetry code 2-x, 2-y, 1-z.



Figure 2

A perspective view of one chain motif, showing long Cu—Cl coordinative bonds and π - π stacking interactions (dashed lines).

catena-Poly[copper(II)-bis(μ -2,4-dichloro-6-formylphenolato)- $\kappa^3 O, O':Cl^4; \kappa^3 Cl^4: O, O'$]

Crystal data	
$[Cu(C_7H_3Cl_2O_2)_2]$	F(000) = 876
$M_r = 443.53$	$D_{\rm x} = 1.966 {\rm Mg} {\rm m}^{-3}$
Orthorhombic, Pbca	Mo <i>K</i> α radiation, $\lambda = 0.71073$ Å
Hall symbol: -P 2ac 2ab	Cell parameters from 3128 reflections
a = 8.1564 (8) Å	$\theta = 2.8 - 27.6^{\circ}$
b = 12.4746 (12) Å	$\mu = 2.19 \text{ mm}^{-1}$
c = 14.7296 (14) Å	T = 291 K
V = 1498.7 (3) Å ³	Block, red
Z = 4	$0.14 \times 0.12 \times 0.10 \text{ mm}$

Data collection

Bruker SMART CCD	7424 measured reflections
diffractometer	1471 independent reflections
Radiation source: fine-focus sealed tube	1215 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$
Graphite monochromator	$R_{\rm int} = 0.043$
φ and ω scans	$\theta_{\rm max} = 26.0^\circ, \theta_{\rm min} = 2.8^\circ$
Absorption correction: multi-scan	$h = -10 \rightarrow 10$
(SADABS; Bruker, 2000)	$k = -15 \rightarrow 15$
$T_{\min} = 0.750, T_{\max} = 0.811$	$l = -11 \rightarrow 18$
Refinement	
Refinement on F^2	Secondary atom site location: difference Fourier
Least-squares matrix: full	map
$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.033$	Hydrogen site location: inferred from
$wR(F^2) = 0.103$	neighbouring sites
S = 1.06	H-atom parameters constrained
1471 reflections	$w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.0631P)^2 + 0.6132P]$
106 parameters	where $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$
0 restraints	$(\Delta/\sigma)_{\rm max} < 0.001$
Primary atom site location: structure-invariant	$\Delta \rho_{\rm max} = 0.41 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$
direct methods	$\Delta \rho_{\rm min} = -0.83 \ {\rm e} \ {\rm \AA}^{-3}$

Special details

Geometry. All e.s.d.'s (except the e.s.d. in the dihedral angle between two l.s. planes) are estimated using the full covariance matrix. The cell e.s.d.'s are taken into account individually in the estimation of e.s.d.'s in distances, angles and torsion angles; correlations between e.s.d.'s in cell parameters are only used when they are defined by crystal symmetry. An approximate (isotropic) treatment of cell e.s.d.'s is used for estimating e.s.d.'s involving l.s. planes.

Refinement. Refinement of F^2 against ALL reflections. The weighted *R*-factor *wR* and goodness of fit *S* are based on F^2 , conventional *R*-factors *R* are based on *F*, with *F* set to zero for negative F^2 . The threshold expression of $F^2 > \sigma(F^2)$ is used only for calculating *R*-factors(gt) *etc.* and is not relevant to the choice of reflections for refinement. *R*-factors based on F^2 are statistically about twice as large as those based on *F*, and *R*- factors based on ALL data will be even larger.

Fractional atomic coordinates and isotropic or equivalent isotropic displacement parameters $(Å^2)$

	x	У	Ζ	$U_{ m iso}$ */ $U_{ m eq}$	
Cu1	1.0000	1.0000	0.5000	0.0350 (2)	
C1	0.6397 (3)	0.9163 (2)	0.43110 (19)	0.0300 (6)	
C2	0.6929 (3)	1.0203 (2)	0.4048 (2)	0.0283 (6)	
C3	0.5807 (4)	1.0793 (2)	0.3514 (2)	0.0310 (6)	
C4	0.4281 (4)	1.0410 (2)	0.3285 (2)	0.0348 (7)	
H4	0.3569	1.0829	0.2943	0.042*	
C5	0.3807 (3)	0.9390 (2)	0.3569 (2)	0.0334 (7)	
C6	0.4844 (3)	0.8770 (2)	0.4061 (2)	0.0324 (7)	
H6	0.4528	0.8083	0.4233	0.039*	
C7	0.7396 (4)	0.8478 (3)	0.4859 (2)	0.0371 (7)	
H7	0.6969	0.7805	0.4993	0.045*	
C11	0.64045 (10)	1.20455 (6)	0.31242 (6)	0.0445 (3)	
C12	0.18463 (10)	0.89331 (8)	0.33032 (6)	0.0473 (3)	
01	0.8330 (2)	1.06231 (16)	0.42655 (15)	0.0367 (5)	
O2	0.8767 (3)	0.86838 (18)	0.51754 (15)	0.0413 (5)	

	U^{11}	U^{22}	U^{33}	U^{12}	U^{13}	U^{23}
Cu1	0.0256 (3)	0.0313 (3)	0.0481 (4)	0.0010 (2)	-0.0058 (2)	0.0057 (2)
C1	0.0251 (14)	0.0316 (15)	0.0332 (16)	0.0020 (11)	-0.0008 (12)	0.0006 (12)
C2	0.0244 (14)	0.0287 (14)	0.0318 (15)	0.0025 (11)	0.0021 (12)	0.0003 (11)
C3	0.0304 (15)	0.0287 (14)	0.0339 (15)	0.0033 (12)	0.0019 (13)	0.0006 (12)
C4	0.0309 (15)	0.0380 (16)	0.0356 (17)	0.0081 (14)	-0.0035 (13)	-0.0012 (13)
C5	0.0241 (13)	0.0436 (17)	0.0325 (15)	-0.0012 (13)	-0.0007 (12)	-0.0059 (13)
C6	0.0302 (15)	0.0319 (16)	0.0352 (16)	-0.0033 (12)	0.0001 (12)	-0.0019 (12)
C7	0.0322 (16)	0.0310 (15)	0.0481 (18)	-0.0002 (14)	-0.0035 (14)	0.0044 (13)
Cl1	0.0438 (5)	0.0313 (4)	0.0583 (5)	0.0029 (3)	-0.0019 (4)	0.0106 (3)
Cl2	0.0299 (4)	0.0576 (5)	0.0544 (5)	-0.0064 (4)	-0.0109 (3)	-0.0036 (4)
01	0.0258 (10)	0.0321 (11)	0.0522 (13)	-0.0022 (9)	-0.0064 (9)	0.0076 (9)
O2	0.0302 (12)	0.0345 (12)	0.0592 (14)	-0.0006(9)	-0.0110 (10)	0.0104 (10)

Atomic displacement parameters $(Å^2)$

Geometric parameters (Å, °)

Cu1—O1	1.906 (2)	C2—C3	1.413 (4)
Cu1—O1 ⁱ	1.906 (2)	C3—C4	1.375 (4)
Cu1—O2 ⁱ	1.943 (2)	C3—C11	1.735 (3)
Cu1—O2	1.943 (2)	C4—C5	1.394 (4)
Cu1—Cl2 ⁱⁱ	3.207 (1)	C4—H4	0.930
Cu1—Cl2 ⁱⁱⁱ	3.207 (1)	C5—C6	1.356 (4)
C1—C6	1.408 (4)	C5—C12	1.742 (3)
C1—C2	1.422 (4)	С6—Н6	0.930
C1—C7	1.431 (4)	C7—O2	1.238 (4)
C2—O1	1.297 (3)	С7—Н7	0.930
O1—Cu1—O1 ⁱ	180	C3—C4—C5	119.7 (3)
O1—Cu1—O2 ⁱ	87.13 (8)	C3—C4—H4	120.2
$O1^{i}$ —Cu1— $O2^{i}$	92.87 (8)	C5—C4—H4	120.2
O1—Cu1—O2	92.87 (8)	C6—C5—C4	120.5 (3)
Ol ⁱ —Cul—O2	87.13 (8)	C6—C5—C12	120.4 (2)
O2 ⁱ —Cu1—O2	180	C4—C5—Cl2	119.1 (2)
C6—C1—C2	121.4 (3)	C5—C6—C1	120.2 (3)
C6—C1—C7	116.9 (3)	С5—С6—Н6	119.9
C2—C1—C7	121.7 (3)	C1—C6—H6	119.9
O1—C2—C3	119.8 (2)	O2—C7—C1	127.0 (3)
O1—C2—C1	124.7 (3)	O2—C7—H7	116.5
C3—C2—C1	115.4 (2)	С1—С7—Н7	116.5
C4—C3—C2	122.8 (3)	C2—O1—Cu1	127.25 (18)
C4—C3—Cl1	119.1 (2)	C7—O2—Cu1	126.4 (2)
C2-C3-Cl1	118.1 (2)		

Symmetry codes: (i) -x+2, -y+2, -z+1; (ii) x+1, y, z; (iii) -x+1, -y+2, -z+1.