

Acta Crystallographica Section E **Structure Reports** Online

ISSN 1600-5368

Anhydrous polymeric zinc(II) pentanoate

Richard A. Taylor and Henry A. Ellis*

Department of Chemistry, University of the West Indies, Mona, Kingston 7, Jamaica Correspondence e-mail: henry.ellis@uwimona.edu.jm

Received 10 March 2008; accepted 26 March 2008

Key indicators: single-crystal X-ray study; T = 293 K; mean σ (C–C) = 0.009 Å; R factor = 0.062; wR factor = 0.126; data-to-parameter ratio = 15.4.

The structure of the title compound, poly[di- μ -pentanoatozinc(II)], $[Zn{CH_3(CH_2)_3COO}_2]_n$, consists of a three-dimensional polymeric layered network with sheets parallel to the (100) plane, in which tetrahedrally coordinated zinc(II) ions are connected by pentanoate bridges in a syn-anti arrangement. The hydrocarbon chains are in the fully extended alltrans conformation and are arranged in a tail-to-tail double bilaver.

Related literature

For related literature, see: Clegg et al. (1986); Blair et al. (1993); Dumbleton & Lomer (1965); Glover (1981); Goldschmied et al. (1977); Ishioka et al. (1998); Lacouture et al. (2000); Lewis & Lomer (1969); Lomer & Perera (1974); Peultier et al. (1999); Segedin et al. (1999).



Experimental

Crystal data

 $[Zn(C_5H_9O_2)_2]$ $M_r = 267.63$ Monoclinic, $P2_1/a$ a = 9.389 (2) Å b = 4.7820 (10) Åc = 29.126 (7) Å $\beta = 104.256 \ (7)^{\circ}$

```
V = 1267.5 (5) Å<sup>3</sup>
Z = 4
Mo K\alpha radiation
\mu = 1.93 \text{ mm}^{-1}
T = 293 (2) K
0.30 \times 0.30 \times 0.05 \text{ mm}
```

 $R_{\rm int} = 0.061$

7493 measured reflections

2125 independent reflections

1965 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$

Data collection

Rigaku R-AXIS IIC image-plate diffractometer Absorption correction: multi-scan (CrystalClear; Rigaku, 2000) $T_{\min} = 0.621, T_{\max} = 1.000$ (expected range = 0.564-0.908)

Refinement

$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.062$	138 parameters
$wR(F^2) = 0.126$	H-atom parameters constrained
S = 1.17	$\Delta \rho_{\rm max} = 0.32 \ {\rm e} \ {\rm \AA}^{-3}$
2125 reflections	$\Delta \rho_{\rm min} = -0.52 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$

Table 1

Selected geometric parameters (Å, °).

Zn1-O1	1.950 (3)	$Zn1-O2^{i}$	1.947 (3)
Zn1-O3	1.966 (3)	Zn1-O4 ⁱⁱ	1.963 (4)
$O2^{i}-Zn1-O1$	107.80 (15)	$O2^i - Zn1 - O3$	113.19 (15)
$O2^{i}-Zn1-O4^{ii}$	112.66 (15)	O1-Zn1-O3	100.89 (15)
$D1-Zn1-O4^{ii}$	116.62 (17)	O4 ⁱⁱ -Zn1-O3	105.21 (14)

Symmetry codes: (i) x, y + 1, z; (ii) $x + \frac{1}{2}, -y + \frac{1}{2}, z$.

Data collection: CrystalClear (Rigaku, 2000); cell refinement: CrystalClear; data reduction: CrystalClear; program(s) used to solve structure: SIR92 (Altomare et al., 1994); program(s) used to refine structure: SHELXL97 (Sheldrick, 2008); molecular graphics: Mercury (Macrae et al., 2006) and DIAMOND (Bergerhoff et al., 1996); software used to prepare material for publication: SHELXL97.

The authors express thanks to Ms Susanne Olsson of the X-ray Crystallography Laboratory in the Department of Chemistry of the University of Gothenberg, Sweden, for her assistance with aspects of the single-crystal work.

Supplementary data and figures for this paper are available from the IUCr electronic archives (Reference: CF2188).

References

- Altomare, A., Cascarano, G., Giacovazzo, C., Guagliardi, A., Burla, M. C., Polidori, G. & Camalli, M. (1994). J. Appl. Cryst. 27, 435.
- Bergerhoff, G., Berndt, M. & Brandenburg, K. (1996). DIAMOND. Crystal Impact GbR, Bonn, Germany.
- Blair, J., Howie, R. A. & Wardell, J. L. (1993). Acta Cryst. C49, 219-221.
- Clegg, W., Little, I. R. & Straughan, B. P. (1986). Acta Cryst. C42, 1701-1703.
- Dumbleton, J. H. & Lomer, T. R. (1965). Acta Cryst. 19, 301-307.
- Glover, D. M. (1981). Acta Cryst. A37, 251-263.
- Goldschmied, E., Rae, A. D. & Stephenson, N. C. (1977). Acta Cryst. B33, 2117-2120.
- Ishioka, T., Shibata, Y., Takahasi, M. & Kenesaka, T. (1998). Spectrochim. Acta A, 54, 1811-1818.
- Lacouture, F., Peultier, J., François, M. & Steinmetz, J. (2000). Acta Cryst. C56, 556-557.
- Lewis, E. L. V. & Lomer, T. R. (1969). Acta Cryst. B25, 702-710.
- Lomer, T. R. & Perera, K. (1974). Acta Cryst. B30, 2912-2913.
- Macrae, C. F., Edgington, P. R., McCabe, P., Pidcock, E., Shields, G. P., Taylor, R., Towler, M. & van de Streek, J. (2006). J. Appl. Cryst. 39, 453-457.
- Peultier, J., Francois, M. & Steinmetz, J. (1999). Acta Cryst. C55, 2064-2065.
- Rigaku (2000). CrystalClear. Rigaku Corporation, The Woodlands, Texas, USA
- Segedin, N., Lah, Z. M., Leban, I. & Golic, L. (1999). Acta Chim. Slov. 46, 173-184
- Sheldrick, G. M. (2008). Acta Cryst. A64, 112-122.

supporting information

Acta Cryst. (2008). E64, m895 [doi:10.1107/S1600536808008283]

Anhydrous polymeric zinc(II) pentanoate

Richard A. Taylor and Henry A. Ellis

S1. Comment

Long-chain metal carboxylates do not easily form crystals suitable for single-crystal X-ray analysis; usually, the crystals are thin needles that are fragile and, in many cases exhibit micro-twinning. Consequently, the few structures that have been reported are those of the short-chain homologues (Dumbleton & Lomer, 1965; Lewis & Lomer, 1969; Glover, 1981; Lomer & Perera, 1974; Ishioka *et al.*, 1998). For the zinc(II) series those reported include anhydrous zinc(II) acetate (Clegg *et al.*, 1986), propionate (Goldschmied *et al.*, 1977), butanoate (Blair *et al.*, 1993), hexanoate and heptanoate (Segedin *et al.*, 1999; Peultier *et al.*, 1999) and octanoate (Lacouture *et al.*, 2000). The compounds are isostructural in the sense that the zinc ions have a tetrahedral geometry of oxygen atoms and are bridged by bidentate ligands. In this study, anhydrous zinc(II) pentanoate, (I), was investigated in order to elucidate its crystal structure.

The structure (Fig. 1) is four-coordinate, where each zinc ion is tetrahedrally coordinated by oxygen atoms from four different pentanoate ligands. The four pentanoate ligands around zinc are of the *Z*,*E*-type bridging bidentate mode; that is, they are bonded in a *syn*-anti arrangement to two tetrahedral zinc ions. Geometric data indicate that the Zn—O bond lengths are not equivalent and clearly point to unsymmetrical bonding around the zinc ion.

The alkyl chains of the pentanoate groups are in the fully extended all-*trans* conformation. There is excellent agreement of the C—C bond lengths and C—C—C angles with published values for hydrocarbon chains in a fully extended all-*trans* conformation (Lomer & Perera, 1974). There are four formula units in the unit cell and two distinct basal planes, resulting in a double bilayer lamella arrangement forming a polymeric network (Fig. 2) with an alternating packing of the hydrocarbon chains in neighbouring bilayers. When viewed down the *b* axis, the hydrocarbon chains, which are tilted with respect to the zinc basal planes, are in each bilayer aligned in different planes. The structure appears very different when viewed down the *a* axis (Fig. 3), where in one bilayer the chains appear to zigzag and cross at the bonds along the C —C axis. In the other bilayer the chains are tilted towards each other and appear to cross each other at carbon atom number 4.

The molecular packing (Fig. 4) highlights the distorted tetrahedra around the zinc ions. In one basal plane, the vertices of the tetrahedra alternate parallel and perpendicular to the vertical plane throughout and in the other basal plane the vertices alternate at the top and bottom throughout. This arrangement allows for alternating basal planes in the overall structure to be identical.

There is interaction between parallel sheets through bidentate bridging, resulting in a three-dimensional sheetlike/layered polymeric network where the chains are arranged tail-to-tail, arising from van der Waals interactions in sheets parallel to the *ac* plane.

S2. Experimental

Single crystals of zinc(II) pentanoate were prepared from the reaction of zinc oxide (0.407 g) and n-pentanoic acid (5.0 cm^3 ; >100% excess) in approximately 100 cm^3 of ethanol. The white suspension was refluxed until the solution was

transparent. The resulting hot, colorless solution was filtered by suction and the filtrate left to cool to room temperature. After about six days, long, thin, colourless, plate-like single crystals, some in clusters, crystallized from solution. The crystals were then removed, air-dried, and kept in sealed vials at ambient temperature.

S3. Refinement

H atoms were positioned geometrically and refined as riding, with C—H = 0.97 Å and $U_{iso}(H) = 1.2U_{eq}(C)$ for methylene, and C—H = 0.96 Å and $U_{iso}(H) = 1.5U_{eq}(C)$ for methyl groups. The crystal was weakly diffracting at high angles.



Figure 1

Asymmetric unit of zinc(II) n-pentanoate: Displacement ellipsoids are drawn at the 75% probability level.



Figure 2

Projection down the b axis. Displacement ellipsoids are drawn at the 50% probability level.



Figure 3

View down the *a* axis (hydrogen atoms omitted). Displacement ellipsoids are drawn at the 50% probability level.



Figure 4

Unit-cell contents, showing alternating tetrahedra of oxygen atoms around zinc ions in the zinc basal planes.

poly[di-µ-pentanoato-zinc(II)]

Crystal data $[Zn(C_5H_9O_2)_2]$ $M_r = 267.63$ Monoclinic, $P2_1/a$ a = 9.389 (2) Å b = 4.782 (1) Å c = 29.126 (7) Å $\beta = 104.256$ (7)° V = 1267.5 (5) Å³ Z = 4F(000) = 560

 $D_x = 1.402 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$ Melting point: 425.5 K Mo K α radiation, $\lambda = 0.71073 \text{ Å}$ Cell parameters from 7493 reflections $\theta = 2.2-25.0^{\circ}$ $\mu = 1.93 \text{ mm}^{-1}$ T = 293 KThin block, colourless $0.30 \times 0.30 \times 0.05 \text{ mm}$ Data collection

Rigaku R-AXIS IIC image-plate diffractometer Radiation source: rotating-anode X-ray tube Graphite monochromator Detector resolution: 105 pixels mm ⁻¹ φ scans Absorption correction: multi-scan (<i>CrystalClear</i> ; Rigaku, 2000) $T_{\min} = 0.621, T_{\max} = 1.000$	7493 measured reflections 2125 independent reflections 1965 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$ $R_{int} = 0.062$ $\theta_{max} = 25.0^{\circ}, \theta_{min} = 2.2^{\circ}$ $h = -11 \rightarrow 11$ $k = -5 \rightarrow 5$ $l = -34 \rightarrow 34$
Refinement	
Refinement on F^2 Least-squares matrix: full $R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.062$ $wR(F^2) = 0.126$ S = 1.17 2125 reflections 138 parameters 0 restraints Primary atom site location: structure-invariant direct methods	Secondary atom site location: difference Fourier map Hydrogen site location: inferred from neighbouring sites H-atom parameters constrained $w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.0408P)^2 + 3.0707P]$ where $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$ $(\Delta/\sigma)_{max} < 0.001$ $\Delta\rho_{max} = 0.32$ e Å ⁻³ $\Delta\rho_{min} = -0.52$ e Å ⁻³

Special details

Geometry. All e.s.d.'s (except the e.s.d. in the dihedral angle between two l.s. planes) are estimated using the full covariance matrix. The cell e.s.d.'s are taken into account individually in the estimation of e.s.d.'s in distances, angles and torsion angles; correlations between e.s.d.'s in cell parameters are only used when they are defined by crystal symmetry. An approximate (isotropic) treatment of cell e.s.d.'s is used for estimating e.s.d.'s involving l.s. planes.

Refinement. Refinement of F^2 against ALL reflections. The weighted *R*-factor *wR* and goodness of fit *S* are based on F^2 , conventional *R*-factors *R* are based on *F*, with *F* set to zero for negative F^2 . The threshold expression of $F^2 > \sigma(F^2)$ is used only for calculating *R*-factors(gt) *etc.* and is not relevant to the choice of reflections for refinement. *R*-factors based on F^2 are statistically about twice as large as those based on *F*, and *R*- factors based on ALL data will be even larger.

Fractional atomic coordinates and isotropic or equivalent isotropic displacement parameters $(Å^2)$

	x	у	Ζ	$U_{ m iso}$ */ $U_{ m eq}$	
C1	0.7184 (5)	-0.3109 (10)	0.19302 (17)	0.0350 (10)	
C2	0.7780 (6)	-0.1602 (11)	0.15666 (19)	0.0456 (13)	
H2A	0.8636	-0.0544	0.1731	0.055*	
H2B	0.7047	-0.0267	0.1407	0.055*	
C3	0.8215 (6)	-0.3404 (11)	0.11919 (19)	0.0462 (13)	
H3A	0.8985	-0.4689	0.1346	0.055*	
H3B	0.7374	-0.4503	0.1029	0.055*	
C4	0.8750 (7)	-0.1677 (13)	0.0835 (2)	0.0571 (15)	
H4A	0.9595	-0.0591	0.1000	0.069*	
H4B	0.7983	-0.0376	0.0686	0.069*	
C5	0.9177 (9)	-0.3437 (17)	0.0453 (2)	0.081 (2)	
H5A	0.9946	-0.4712	0.0599	0.122*	
H5B	0.9517	-0.2233	0.0239	0.122*	
H5C	0.8338	-0.4473	0.0282	0.122*	
C6	0.4620 (5)	0.1354 (11)	0.29619 (18)	0.0405 (12)	
C7	0.5718 (6)	-0.0210 (14)	0.3329 (2)	0.0569 (16)	

H7A	0.6541	0.1024	0.3456	0.068*
H7B	0.6085	-0.1756	0.3176	0.068*
C8	0.5177 (7)	-0.1364 (17)	0.3739 (2)	0.0666 (18)
H8A	0.4695	0.0125	0.3870	0.080*
H8B	0.4450	-0.2800	0.3621	0.080*
C9	0.6363 (9)	-0.258 (2)	0.4128 (3)	0.097 (3)
H9A	0.7112	-0.1168	0.4237	0.116*
H9B	0.6817	-0.4123	0.4001	0.116*
C10	0.5825 (11)	-0.362 (3)	0.4546 (3)	0.139 (4)
H10A	0.5363	-0.2109	0.4672	0.208*
H10B	0.6642	-0.4303	0.4787	0.208*
H10C	0.5128	-0.5099	0.4446	0.208*
01	0.6932 (4)	-0.1838 (7)	0.22800 (13)	0.0486 (9)
O2	0.6954 (4)	-0.5724 (7)	0.18803 (12)	0.0438 (9)
O3	0.4976 (4)	0.2344 (7)	0.26038 (12)	0.0431 (8)
O4	0.3333 (4)	0.1625 (8)	0.30100 (13)	0.0480 (9)
Zn1	0.68833 (6)	0.21140 (11)	0.24407 (2)	0.0358 (2)

Atomic displacement parameters $(Å^2)$

	U^{11}	U^{22}	U^{33}	U^{12}	U^{13}	U^{23}
C1	0.040 (3)	0.031 (3)	0.038 (3)	0.000 (2)	0.017 (2)	0.001 (2)
C2	0.065 (4)	0.032 (3)	0.049 (3)	-0.004(2)	0.032 (3)	0.000(2)
C3	0.061 (4)	0.035 (3)	0.049 (3)	0.001 (2)	0.027 (3)	-0.006 (2)
C4	0.071 (4)	0.058 (4)	0.050 (3)	0.000 (3)	0.031 (3)	0.001 (3)
C5	0.110 (6)	0.092 (6)	0.059 (4)	-0.004 (5)	0.052 (4)	-0.008 (4)
C6	0.038 (3)	0.037 (3)	0.051 (3)	-0.001 (2)	0.020(2)	-0.004(2)
C7	0.045 (3)	0.077 (4)	0.051 (3)	0.011 (3)	0.017 (3)	0.018 (3)
C8	0.051 (4)	0.093 (5)	0.057 (4)	-0.003 (3)	0.017 (3)	0.022 (4)
C9	0.076 (5)	0.144 (9)	0.070 (5)	0.010 (5)	0.015 (4)	0.047 (5)
C10	0.121 (9)	0.214 (13)	0.081 (6)	0.009 (8)	0.025 (6)	0.073 (7)
01	0.073 (3)	0.0289 (18)	0.055 (2)	-0.0028 (17)	0.037 (2)	-0.0019 (16)
O2	0.058 (2)	0.0271 (18)	0.051 (2)	-0.0039 (15)	0.0227 (18)	0.0000 (16)
O3	0.040 (2)	0.047 (2)	0.0457 (19)	0.0031 (15)	0.0176 (16)	0.0053 (16)
O4	0.036 (2)	0.064 (3)	0.050 (2)	0.0026 (17)	0.0219 (17)	0.0016 (18)
Zn1	0.0426 (4)	0.0295 (3)	0.0410 (3)	-0.0012 (2)	0.0210 (2)	-0.0022 (3)

Geometric parameters (Å, °)

C1—01	1.258 (6)	С7—С8	1.512 (8)	
C1—O2	1.271 (6)	C7—H7A	0.970	
C1—C2	1.498 (6)	C7—H7B	0.970	
C2—C3	1.523 (7)	C8—C9	1.496 (9)	
C2—H2A	0.970	C8—H8A	0.970	
C2—H2B	0.970	C8—H8B	0.970	
C3—C4	1.506 (7)	C9—C10	1.515 (10)	
С3—НЗА	0.970	С9—Н9А	0.970	
С3—Н3В	0.970	C9—H9B	0.970	

C4—C5	1.525 (8)	C10—H10A	0.960
C4—H4A	0.970	C10—H10B	0.960
C4—H4B	0.970	C10—H10C	0.960
С5—Н5А	0.960	Zn1—O1	1.950 (3)
С5—Н5В	0.960	O2—Zn1 ⁱ	1.947 (3)
С5—Н5С	0.960	Zn1—O3	1.966 (3)
C6—O4	1.256 (6)	O4—Zn1 ⁱⁱ	1.963 (4)
С6—О3	1.263 (6)	Zn1—O2 ⁱⁱⁱ	1.947 (3)
С6—С7	1.491 (7)	Zn1—O4 ^{iv}	1.963 (4)
01 - C1 - 02	120 5 (4)	C8C7H74	108.2
01 - 01 - 02	120.3(4) 121.2(4)	C6 C7 H7B	108.2
01 - 01 - 02	121.2(4) 1184(4)	C_{0} C_{7} H_{7} H_{7	108.2
$C_1 - C_2 - C_3$	116.5 (4)	H_{1}^{A}	107.4
C1 - C2 - C3	108.2	$C_{0} - C_{8} - C_{7}$	114.0 (6)
$C_1 = C_2 = H_2 \Lambda$	108.2	$C_{2} = C_{3} = C_{1}$	108.8
C_{3} C_{2} H_{2} H_{2}	108.2	$C_7 = C_8 = H_8 \Lambda$	108.8
$C_1 = C_2 = H_2 B$	108.2	$C_{1} = C_{0} = H_{0} R_{0}$	108.8
H_{2} H_{2	107.3	$C_7 = C_8 = H_8B$	108.8
$\Gamma_{12} = C_2 = \Gamma_{12} D$	107.3 112.2 (A)		108.8
C4 = C3 = C2	112.2 (4)	$10A - C_0 - 10B$	107.7 112.7(7)
$C_4 = C_5 = H_5 A$	109.2	$C_8 = C_9 = C_{10}$	113.7 (7)
$C_2 = C_3 = H_3 A$	109.2	$C_{0} = C_{0} = H_{0}$	100.0
$C_4 = C_5 = H_2 B$	109.2	$C_{10} - C_{9} - H_{9}A$	108.8
$U_2 = U_3 = U_3 D_2$	109.2	$C_{0} = C_{0} = C_{0}$	108.8
$H_{3}A - C_{3} - H_{3}B$	107.9	$U_{10} = C_{9} = H_{9}B$	108.8
$C_3 = C_4 = C_3$	115.1 (5)	$H_{A} = C_{A} = H_{A}$	107.7
C_{3} C_{4} H_{4}	109.0	C_{2} C_{10} H_{10} C_{20} C_{10} C_{10} H_{10} C_{20} C_{10} C_{10} H_{10} C_{20} C_{10} C_{10} H_{10} C_{20} C_{10} H_{10} H_{10} C_{20} C_{10} H_{10} $H_$	109.5
C_{3} C_{4} H_{4} C_{2} C_{4} H_{4} D_{2}	109.0	C_{9} C_{10} H_{10B}	109.5
C_{3} — C_{4} — $H_{4}B$	109.0	HIUA—CIU—HIUB	109.5
	109.0		109.5
H4A - C4 - H4B	107.8	H10A - C10 - H10C	109.5
C4 = C5 = H5R	109.5	H10B - C10 - H10C	109.5
C4—C5—H5B	109.5	C1 = O1 = Zn1	133.1 (3)
H5A—C5—H5B	109.5	$C1 = O2 = Zn1^4$	117.8 (3)
C4—C5—H5C	109.5	C6-O3-Zn1	128.3 (3)
H5A—C5—H5C	109.5	$C6 - O4 - Zn1^{"}$	115.0 (3)
H5B—C5—H5C	109.5	$O2^{m}$ —Zn1—O1	107.80 (15)
04	120.7 (5)	$O2^{\text{III}}$ Zn1 $O2^{\text{III}}$	112.66 (15)
04—C6—C7	119.0 (5)	$Ol - Zn l - O4^{iv}$	116.62 (17)
03—C6—C7	120.3 (4)	O2 ^m —Zn1—O3	113.19 (15)
C6—C7—C8	116.2 (5)	O1—Zn1—O3	100.89 (15)
С6—С7—Н7А	108.2	$O4^{iv}$ —Zn1—O3	105.21 (14)

Symmetry codes: (i) *x*, *y*-1, *z*; (ii) *x*-1/2, -*y*+1/2, *z*; (iii) *x*, *y*+1, *z*; (iv) *x*+1/2, -*y*+1/2, *z*.