

Acta Crystallographica Section E Structure Reports Online

ISSN 1600-5368

Poly[bis(μ_2 -formato- $\kappa^2 O:O'$)-(quinoxaline- κN)copper(II)]

Lesław Sieroń

Institute of General & Ecological Chemistry, Technical University of Łódź, Żeromskiego 116, 90-924 Łódź, Poland Correspondence e-mail: Isieron@p.lodz.pl

Received 30 October 2007; accepted 24 November 2007

Key indicators: single-crystal X-ray study; T = 291 K; mean σ (C–C) = 0.003 Å; R factor = 0.027; wR factor = 0.070; data-to-parameter ratio = 15.3.

In the polymeric title copper(II) compound, $[Cu(CHO_2)_2-(C_8H_6N_2)]_n$, both formato ligands are O-bidentate anions and act as bridging ligands, creating a planar polymeric arrangement. The slightly distorted square-pyramidal coordination around Cu^{II} comprises four O atoms from two different formate anions as the base and a quinoxaline molecule in the apical position.

Related literature

For related literature, see: Addison *et al.* (1984); Borthwick (1980); Sieroń (2003, 2007).



Experimental

Crystal data [Cu(CHO₂)₂(C₈H₆N₂)] $M_r = 283.73$

Monoclinic, $P2_1/n$ *a* = 9.5648 (3) Å Mo $K\alpha$ radiation

 $0.30 \times 0.30 \times 0.08$ mm

12535 measured reflections

2378 independent reflections

2267 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$

 $\mu = 2.11 \text{ mm}^{-1}$

T = 291 K

 $R_{\rm int} = 0.017$

b = 11.1913 (3) Å c = 10.1910 (4) Å $\beta = 108.284 (3)^{\circ}$ $V = 1035.80 (6) \text{ Å}^{3}$ Z = 4

Data collection

KUMA KM4CCD diffractometer Absorption correction: multi-scan (*CrysAlis RED*; Oxford Diffraction, 2006) $T_{\rm min} = 0.578, T_{\rm max} = 0.843$

Refinement

 $R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.027$ 155 parameters $wR(F^2) = 0.070$ H-atom parameters constrainedS = 1.14 $\Delta \rho_{max} = 0.35$ e Å $^{-3}$ 2378 reflections $\Delta \rho_{min} = -0.60$ e Å $^{-3}$

Table 1Selected geometric parameters (Å, °).

Cu1-O1 1.9605 (11) Cu1-O4ii 1.9489 (13) Cu1-O2 1.9564 (14) 2.5150 (18) Cu1-N1 Cu1-O3 1.9598 (12) O1-Cu1-O2 88.07 (5) $O3^{i} - Cu1 - O4^{ii}$ 93.05 (5) O1-Cu1-O3i 172.89 (6) O1-Cu1-N1 89.62 (6) 90.52 (5) 88.95 (6) $O1 - Cu1 - O4^{ii}$ O2-Cu1-N1 $O3^i - Cu1 - N1$ $02 - Cu1 - 03^{10}$ 88.43 (5) 96.50 (5) $O4^{ii}$ -Cu1-N1 $O2 - Cu1 - O4^{ii}$ 178.42 (5) 90.32 (6)

Symmetry codes: (i) $-x + \frac{3}{2}$, $y - \frac{1}{2}$, $-z + \frac{1}{2}$; (ii) $x - \frac{1}{2}$, $-y + \frac{3}{2}$, $z - \frac{1}{2}$.

Data collection: *CrysAlis CCD* (Oxford Diffraction, 2006); cell refinement: *CrysAlis RED* (Oxford Diffraction, 2006); data reduction: *CrysAlis RED*; program(s) used to solve structure: *SHELXTL* (Sheldrick, 2003); program(s) used to refine structure: *SHELXTL*; molecular graphics: *SHELXTL* (Sheldrick, 2003) and *Mercury* (Macrae *et al.*, 2006); software used to prepare material for publication: *PLATON* (Spek, 2003).

Supplementary data and figures for this paper are available from the IUCr electronic archives (Reference: OM2186).

References

- Addison, A. W., Rao, T. N., Reedijk, J., van Rijn, J. & Verschoor, G. C. (1984). J. Chem. Soc. Dalton Trans. pp. 1349–1356.
- Borthwick, P. W. (1980). Acta Cryst. B36, 628-632.
- Macrae, C. F., Edgington, P. R., McCabe, P., Pidcock, E., Shields, G. P., Taylor, R., Towler, M. & van de Streek, J. (2006). J. Appl. Cryst. 39, 453–457.
- Oxford Diffraction (2006). *CrysAlis CCD* and *CrysAlis RED*. Versions 1.171. Oxford Diffraction Ltd, Abingdon, Oxfordshire, England.

Sheldrick, G. M. (2003). SHELXTL. Version 6.14. Bruker AXS Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.

- Sieroń, L. (2003). Acta Cryst. E59, m803-m805.
- Sieroń, L. (2007). Acta Cryst. C63, m199-m200.
- Spek, A. L. (2003). J. Appl. Cryst. 36, 7-13.

supporting information

Acta Cryst. (2008). E64, m53 [https://doi.org/10.1107/S1600536807063015] Poly[bis(μ₂-formato-κ²O:O')(quinoxaline-κ/N)copper(II)]

Lesław Sieroń

S1. Comment

The structure determination of the title compound was undertaken as a continuation of earlier studies of copper(II) complexes with formic acid (Sieroń, 2003; 2007). A fragment of the title compound structure is shown in Fig. 1.

The Cu^{II} atom has a square-pyramidal environment. The four short equatorial Cu–O bonds, that range from 1.9489 (13) to 1.9605 (11) Å, are formed by the formate anions. The long Cu–N axial bond of 2.515 (2) Å to quinoxaline molecule completes the five coordination geometry around Cu. The degree of trigonality $\tau = 0.092$ [τ is defined by Addison *et al.* (1984); for the regular square-pyramidal (SQP) structure, the trigonality parameter is 0, and for the trigonal-bipyramidal (TBP) structure, it increases to 1] indicates a slightly distorted SQP coordination of the Cu atom.

The O—C distances in both formate groups are approximately equal and range from 1.238 (2) to 1.250 (2) Å, indicating the distinct delocalization of their π electrons (Borthwick, 1980). All the formate anions coordinate in a bidentate *anti-anti* fashion, to result in a two-dimensional framework paralel to (101) plane (Fig. 2). The quinoxaline rings engage in π - π stacking interactions, with distances between ring centroids of 3.6704 (12) Å, and these serve to connect polymeric planes into three-dimensional network.

The closest Cu···Cu distance of 5.6164 (3) Å, corresponds approximately to half the length of the *b* axis. The second-shortest Cu···Cu distance is 5.7924 (4) Å, which is half the length of the diagonal of the *ac* plane.

S2. Experimental

The title complex was prepared by dissolving cupric formate [2 mmol, $Cu(HCOO)_2.2H_2O$] in 50 ml of water with quinoxaline (2 mmol, $C_8H_6N_2$). After heating to boiling, the formic acid was added dropwise to clear the solution. The solution was filtered and allowed to cool. After few days, green crystals were obtained.

S3. Refinement

All H atoms were initially located in a difference Fourier synthesis, but were positioned with idealized geometry, with C– H = 0.93 Å, and $U_{iso}(H) = 1.2 U_{eq}(C)$, and refined using a riding model.



Figure 1

A view of a fragment of the title compound showing 50% probability displacement ellipsoids. H atoms are shown as spheres of arbitrary radius [symmetry codes: (i) -x + 3/2, y - 1/2, -z + 1/2; (ii) x - 1/2, -y + 3/2, z - 1/2].





The packing diagram showing two-dimensional polymeric framework parallel to $(10\overline{1})$ plane.

(I)

Crystal data

```
[Cu(CHO<sub>2</sub>)<sub>2</sub>(C<sub>8</sub>H<sub>6</sub>N<sub>2</sub>)]

M_r = 283.73

Monoclinic, P2_1/n

Hall symbol: -P 2yn

a = 9.5648 (3) Å

b = 11.1913 (3) Å

c = 10.1910 (4) Å

\beta = 108.284 (3)°

V = 1035.80 (6) Å<sup>3</sup>

Z = 4
```

Data collection

KUMA KM4CCD
diffractometer
Radiation source: CX-Mo12x0.4-S Seifert Mo
tube
Graphite monochromator
Detector resolution: 8.2356 pixels mm ⁻¹
ω scans
Absorption correction: multi-scan
(CrysAlis RED; Oxford Diffraction, 2006)

Refinement

Refinement on F^2 Least-squares matrix: full $R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.027$ F(000) = 572 $D_x = 1.819 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$ Mo K α radiation, $\lambda = 0.71073 \text{ Å}$ Cell parameters from 7748 reflections $\theta = 2.8-32.3^{\circ}$ $\mu = 2.11 \text{ mm}^{-1}$ T = 291 KPrism, green $0.30 \times 0.30 \times 0.08 \text{ mm}$

 $T_{\min} = 0.578, T_{\max} = 0.843$ 12535 measured reflections
2378 independent reflections
2267 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$ $R_{\text{int}} = 0.017$ $\theta_{\text{max}} = 27.5^{\circ}, \theta_{\text{min}} = 3.1^{\circ}$ $h = -12 \rightarrow 12$ $k = -14 \rightarrow 14$ $l = -13 \rightarrow 13$

 $wR(F^2) = 0.070$ S = 1.14 2378 reflections

155 parameters	$w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.0359P)^2 + 0.4837P]$
0 restraints	where $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$
Primary atom site location: structure-invariant	$(\Delta/\sigma)_{\rm max} = 0.001$
direct methods	$\Delta ho_{ m max} = 0.35 \ { m e} \ { m \AA}^{-3}$
Secondary atom site location: structure-	$\Delta \rho_{\rm min} = -0.60 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$
invariant direct methods	Extinction correction: SHELXL,
Hydrogen site location: difference Fourier map	$Fc^* = kFc[1+0.001xFc^2\lambda^3/sin(2\theta)]^{-1/4}$
H-atom parameters constrained	Extinction coefficient: 0.0054 (8)

Special details

Geometry. Bond distances, angles *etc*. have been calculated using the rounded fractional coordinates. All su's are estimated from the variances of the (full) variance-covariance matrix. The cell e.s.d.'s are taken into account in the estimation of distances, angles and torsion angles

Refinement. Refinement on F^2 for ALL reflections except those flagged by the user for potential systematic errors. Weighted *R*-factors *wR* and all goodnesses of fit *S* are based on F^2 , conventional *R*-factors *R* are based on *F*, with *F* set to zero for negative F^2 . The observed criterion of $F^2 > \sigma(F^2)$ is used only for calculating *-R*-factor-obs *etc*. and is not relevant to the choice of reflections for refinement. *R*-factors based on F^2 are statistically about twice as large as those based on *F*, and *R*-factors based on ALL data will be even larger.

Fractional atomic coordinates and isotropic or equivalent isotropic displacement parameters $(Å^2)$

	x	У	Ζ	$U_{ m iso}$ */ $U_{ m eq}$	
Cu1	0.72396 (2)	0.74628 (2)	0.24693 (2)	0.0231 (1)	
01	0.75356 (15)	0.89959 (10)	0.34492 (12)	0.0276 (4)	
O2	0.88757 (15)	0.68234 (11)	0.39838 (14)	0.0349 (4)	
03	0.78057 (14)	1.09526 (10)	0.35166 (12)	0.0257 (3)	
O4	1.05990 (14)	0.68686 (11)	0.59961 (12)	0.0275 (3)	
N1	0.55057 (18)	0.68333 (15)	0.37545 (17)	0.0316 (5)	
N2	0.3759 (2)	0.66292 (17)	0.55363 (17)	0.0376 (6)	
C1	0.75006 (19)	0.99809 (14)	0.28872 (19)	0.0240 (5)	
C2	0.9877 (2)	0.73484 (16)	0.48872 (18)	0.0243 (5)	
C3	0.5850(3)	0.73576 (19)	0.4970 (2)	0.0376 (7)	
C4	0.4972 (3)	0.7260 (2)	0.5847 (2)	0.0411 (7)	
C5	0.2092 (2)	0.53257 (19)	0.3880 (2)	0.0357 (6)	
C6	0.1703 (2)	0.4739 (2)	0.2637 (2)	0.0404 (7)	
C7	0.2566 (3)	0.4848 (2)	0.1746 (2)	0.0400 (7)	
C8	0.3807 (2)	0.55395 (19)	0.2109 (2)	0.0340 (6)	
C9	0.4245 (2)	0.61503 (16)	0.33862 (19)	0.0280 (5)	
C10	0.3373 (2)	0.60485 (17)	0.42837 (19)	0.0292 (5)	
H1	0.72280	0.99990	0.19270	0.0290*	
H2	1.00950	0.81340	0.47250	0.0290*	
H3	0.67060	0.78110	0.52620	0.0450*	
H4	0.52680	0.76630	0.66870	0.0490*	
Н5	0.15140	0.52490	0.44590	0.0430*	
H6	0.08630	0.42650	0.23790	0.0480*	
H7	0.22870	0.44470	0.09040	0.0480*	
H8	0.43650	0.56080	0.15120	0.0410*	

supporting information

	U^{11}	U^{22}	U^{33}	U^{12}	U^{13}	U^{23}
Cul	0.0250 (2)	0.0143 (1)	0.0206 (1)	0.0024 (1)	-0.0063 (1)	-0.0023 (1)
01	0.0403 (8)	0.0154 (5)	0.0213 (6)	-0.0021 (5)	0.0015 (5)	-0.0004 (4)
O2	0.0341 (7)	0.0218 (6)	0.0316 (7)	0.0032 (5)	-0.0142 (6)	-0.0024 (5)
O3	0.0340 (7)	0.0157 (5)	0.0215 (6)	-0.0028 (5)	0.0002 (5)	0.0003 (4)
O4	0.0267 (6)	0.0233 (6)	0.0233 (6)	-0.0048 (5)	-0.0052 (5)	0.0009 (5)
N1	0.0287 (8)	0.0350 (9)	0.0303 (8)	-0.0032 (7)	0.0082 (7)	0.0034 (7)
N2	0.0380 (10)	0.0450 (10)	0.0316 (9)	-0.0026 (8)	0.0134 (7)	0.0008 (7)
C1	0.0300 (9)	0.0186 (8)	0.0187 (7)	0.0009 (7)	0.0008 (6)	0.0002 (6)
C2	0.0243 (9)	0.0191 (8)	0.0238 (9)	-0.0003 (6)	-0.0007 (7)	-0.0011 (6)
C3	0.0331 (11)	0.0429 (12)	0.0358 (11)	-0.0087 (9)	0.0094 (9)	-0.0020 (8)
C4	0.0442 (13)	0.0482 (12)	0.0309 (10)	-0.0072 (10)	0.0119 (9)	-0.0050 (9)
C5	0.0323 (10)	0.0386 (10)	0.0381 (11)	-0.0022 (8)	0.0140 (8)	0.0059 (9)
C6	0.0348 (11)	0.0400 (11)	0.0447 (12)	-0.0105 (9)	0.0102 (9)	0.0004 (9)
C7	0.0428 (12)	0.0412 (11)	0.0337 (11)	-0.0062 (9)	0.0089 (9)	-0.0041 (9)
C8	0.0342 (10)	0.0378 (10)	0.0305 (9)	-0.0014 (8)	0.0107 (8)	0.0027 (8)
C9	0.0274 (9)	0.0270 (9)	0.0278 (9)	0.0025 (7)	0.0063 (7)	0.0073 (7)
C10	0.0295 (10)	0.0284 (9)	0.0289 (9)	0.0022 (7)	0.0080 (7)	0.0067 (7)

Atomic displacement parameters $(Å^2)$

Geometric parameters (Å, °)

Cu1—01	1.9605 (11)	C5—C6	1.371 (3)
Cu1—O2	1.9564 (14)	C5—C10	1.417 (3)
Cu1—O3 ⁱ	1.9598 (12)	C6—C7	1.411 (3)
Cu1—O4 ⁱⁱ	1.9489 (13)	C7—C8	1.367 (3)
Cu1—N1	2.5150 (18)	C8—C9	1.412 (3)
O1—C1	1.238 (2)	C9—C10	1.423 (3)
O2—C2	1.248 (2)	C1—H1	0.93
O3—C1	1.250 (2)	C2—H2	0.93
O4—C2	1.246 (2)	С3—Н3	0.93
N1—C3	1.316 (3)	C4—H4	0.93
N1—C9	1.377 (3)	С5—Н5	0.93
N2—C4	1.309 (3)	С6—Н6	0.93
N2	1.376 (3)	С7—Н7	0.93
C3—C4	1.410 (4)	С8—Н8	0.93
O1—Cu1—O2	88.07 (5)	C6—C7—C8	120.55 (19)
O1—Cu1—O3 ⁱ	172.89 (6)	C7—C8—C9	120.31 (19)
O1—Cu1—O4 ⁱⁱ	90.52 (5)	N1—C9—C8	120.08 (18)
O2—Cu1—O3 ⁱ	88.43 (5)	N1-C9-C10	120.61 (17)
O2—Cu1—O4 ⁱⁱ	178.42 (5)	C8—C9—C10	119.31 (18)
O3 ⁱ —Cu1—O4 ⁱⁱ	93.05 (5)	N2-C10-C5	119.66 (18)
O1—Cu1—N1	89.62 (6)	N2-C10-C9	121.20 (18)
O2—Cu1—N1	88.95 (6)	C5—C10—C9	119.14 (17)
O3 ⁱ —Cu1—N1	96.50 (5)	O1—C1—H1	118
O4 ⁱⁱ —Cu1—N1	90.32 (6)	O3—C1—H1	118

Cu1—O1—C1	124.40 (11)	O2—C2—H2	118
Cu1—O2—C2	130.44 (12)	O4—C2—H2	118
Cu1 ⁱⁱⁱ —O3—C1	121.55 (11)	N1—C3—H3	119
$Cu1^{iv}$ —O4—C2	128.06 (12)	С4—С3—Н3	119
Cu1—N1—C3	110.74 (15)	N2—C4—H4	118
Cu1—N1—C9	132.68 (13)	C3—C4—H4	118
C3—N1—C9	116.32 (19)	С6—С5—Н5	120
C4—N2—C10	116.02 (18)	С10—С5—Н5	120
O1—C1—O3	124.71 (17)	С5—С6—Н6	120
O2—C2—O4	123.02 (17)	С7—С6—Н6	120
N1—C3—C4	122.7 (2)	С6—С7—Н7	120
N2—C4—C3	123.19 (19)	С8—С7—Н7	120
C6—C5—C10	120.07 (18)	С7—С8—Н8	120
C5—C6—C7	120.6 (2)	С9—С8—Н8	120

Symmetry codes: (i) -x+3/2, y-1/2, -z+1/2; (ii) x-1/2, -y+3/2, z-1/2; (iii) -x+3/2, y+1/2, -z+1/2; (iv) x+1/2, -y+3/2, z+1/2.