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## Propane-1,2-diaminium hydrogenarsenate

The title compound, $\left(\mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{12} \mathrm{~N}_{2}\right)\left[\mathrm{AsHO}_{4}\right]$, is a molecular salt containing a network of propane-1,2-diaminium cations and hydrogenarsenate anions [mean As-O 1.686 (2) Å]. The crystal packing involves cation-to-anion $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{O}$ and anion-to-anion $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{O}$ hydrogen bonds, the latter resulting in dimeric associations of two adjacent hydrogenarsenate anions.

## Comment

The title compound, (I) (Fig. 1), was prepared as part of our ongoing structural studies of hydrogen-bonding interactions in protonated-amine (di)hydrogenarsenates (Todd \& Harrison, 2005).

(I)

The $\left[\mathrm{HAsO}_{4}\right]^{2-}$ hydrogenarsenate group in (I) shows its normal tetrahedral geometry [mean As-O 1.686 (2) Å], with the protonated As1-O4 vertex showing its usual lengthening relative to the unprotonated $\mathrm{As}-\mathrm{O}$ bonds (Table 1). The propane-1,2-diaminium cation is disordered over two overlapped positions (Fig. 1). This positional disorder manifests itself as a terminal methyl group (atoms C3 or C4) being attached to either C 1 or C 2 , with $50 \%$ occupancy in each case. The N atoms and atoms C 1 and C 2 of the two orientations of the cation are not resolved. Allowing for the disorder, this ion is chiral, but crystal symmetry generates a $50: 50 \mathrm{mix}$ of enantiomers, which is consistent with the racemic starting material. Atoms N1 and N2 are close to being trans with respect to the $\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{C} 2$ backbone of the molecule (Table 1 ).


Figure 1
A view of (I), showing $50 \%$ probability displacement ellipsoids, with H atoms drawn as spheres of arbitrary radius. C-bound H atoms have been omitted for clarity and the hydrogen bond is indicated by a dashed line. Bonds to the disordered atoms C3 and C4 (see text) are shown as open lines.
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Figure 2
The packing for (I), with all C-bound H atoms omitted for clarity. Hydrogen bonds are indicated by dashed lines.

As well as electrostatic attractions, the component species in (I) interact by means of a network of $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{O}$ and $\mathrm{N}-$ $\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{O}$ hydrogen bonds (Table 2). The $\left(\mathrm{HAsO}_{4}\right)^{2-}$ units are linked into inversion-generated dimeric pairs by way of the $\mathrm{O} 4-\mathrm{H} 1 \cdots \mathrm{O} 2^{\mathrm{i}}$ bond (see Table 2 for symmetry code), with a resulting As $1 \cdots$ As $1^{i}$ separation of 4.3963 (4) $\AA$. This situation is distinct from that observed in related materials, where chains (Lee \& Harrison, 2003) and sheets (Wilkinson \& Harrison, 2005) of (di)hydrogenarsenate ions linked by $\mathrm{O}-$ $\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{O}$ bonds are seen.

In (I), the organic species interacts with the hydrogenarsenate dimers by way of six $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{O}$ hydrogen bonds [mean $\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{O} 1.85 \AA$, mean $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{O} 170^{\circ}$ and mean $\mathrm{N} \cdots \mathrm{O}$ 2.744 (3) Å]. Atoms O1, O2 and O3 accept two $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{O}$ bonds each. This hydrogen-bonding scheme results in a threedimensional network (Fig. 2).

## Experimental

Aqueous propane-1,2-diamine solution ( $0.5 \mathrm{M}, 10 \mathrm{ml}$ ) was added to aqueous $\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{AsO}_{4}$ solution ( $0.5 \mathrm{M}, 10 \mathrm{ml}$ ) to result in a clear mixture. Aqueous ammonia was added to this solution to raise the pH to about 12 , which is beyond the second end-point for $\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{AsO}_{4}$ (i.e. the predominant solution species is $\mathrm{HAsO}_{4}{ }^{2-}$ ). Crystals of (I) grew as the water evaporated over the course of a few days.

## Crystal data

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(\mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{12} \mathrm{~N}_{2}\right)\left[\mathrm{AsHO}_{4}\right] \\
& M_{r}=216.07 \\
& \text { Monoclinic, } P 2_{1} / n \\
& a=10.9568(4) \AA \\
& b=6.4297(3) \AA \\
& c=11.5999(5) \AA \\
& \beta=104.816(2)^{\circ} \\
& V=790.03(6) \AA^{3} \\
& Z=4 \\
& D_{x}=1.817 \mathrm{Mg} \mathrm{~m}^{-3}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Data collection

Nonius KappaCCD area-detector diffractometer
$\omega$ and $\varphi$ scans
Absorption correction: multi-scan
(SADABS; Bruker, 1999)
$T_{\text {min }}=0.726, T_{\text {max }}=0.883$
10517 measured reflections

## Refinement

Refinement on $F^{2}$
$R\left[F^{2}>2 \sigma\left(F^{2}\right)\right]=0.029$
$w R\left(F^{2}\right)=0.059$
$S=1.11$
1816 reflections
103 parameters
H -atom parameters constrained

1816 independent reflections
1533 reflections with $I>2 \sigma(I)$
$R_{\text {int }}=0.051$
$\theta_{\text {max }}=27.5^{\circ}$
$h=-14 \rightarrow 14$
$k=-8 \rightarrow 8$
$l=-15 \rightarrow 15$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& w=1 /\left[\sigma^{2}\left(F_{\mathrm{o}}{ }^{2}\right)+(0.0164 P)^{2}\right. \\
& +0.583 P] \\
& \text { where } P=\left(F_{\mathrm{o}}{ }^{2}+2 F_{\mathrm{c}}{ }^{2}\right) / 3 \\
& (\Delta / \sigma)_{\max }<0.001 \text { 。 } \\
& \Delta \rho_{\text {max }}=0.51 \mathrm{e}_{\AA^{-3}} \\
& \Delta \rho_{\text {min }}=-0.41 \mathrm{e}^{-3} \\
& \text { Extinction correction: SHELXL97 } \\
& \text { (Sheldrick, 1997) } \\
& \text { Extinction coefficient: } 0.0047 \text { (7) }
\end{aligned}
$$

Table 1
Selected geometric parameters ( $\mathrm{A},{ }^{\circ}$ ).

| As1-O1 | $1.6642(17)$ | As1-O2 | $1.6817(18)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| As1-O3 | $1.6659(18)$ | As1-O4 | $1.7336(18)$ |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |

Table 2
Hydrogen-bond geometry ( $\AA{ }^{\circ},{ }^{\circ}$ ).

| $D-\mathrm{H} \cdots A$ | $D-\mathrm{H}$ | $\mathrm{H} \cdots A$ | $D \cdots A$ | $D-\mathrm{H} \cdots A$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{O} 4-\mathrm{H} 1 \cdots \mathrm{O}^{\text {i }}$ | 0.93 | 1.76 | $2.679(2)$ | 170 |
| $\mathrm{~N} 1-\mathrm{H} 2 \cdots \mathrm{O}^{\mathrm{ii}}$ | 0.91 | 1.87 | $2.765(3)$ | 168 |
| $\mathrm{~N} 1-\mathrm{H} 3 \cdots \mathrm{O}^{1 i i}$ | 0.91 | 1.83 | $2.738(3)$ | 175 |
| $\mathrm{~N} 1-\mathrm{H} 4 \cdots \mathrm{O} 1$ | 0.91 | 1.81 | $2.716(3)$ | 177 |
| $\mathrm{~N} 2-\mathrm{H} 5 \cdots 3^{\text {iv }}$ | 0.91 | 1.82 | $2.713(3)$ | 168 |
| $\mathrm{~N} 2-\mathrm{H} 6 \cdots \mathrm{O}^{\mathrm{v}}$ | 0.91 | 1.95 | $2.829(3)$ | 162 |
| $\mathrm{~N} 2-\mathrm{H} 7 \cdots \mathrm{O}^{\text {vi }}$ | 0.91 | 1.80 | $2.702(3)$ | 169 |

Symmetry codes: (i) $-x+1,-y,-z$; (ii) $x, y+1, z$; (iii) $-x+\frac{1}{2}, y+\frac{1}{2},-z+\frac{1}{2}$; (iv)
$-x+1,-y+1,-z ;$ (v) $x+\frac{1}{2},-y+\frac{1}{2}, z+\frac{1}{2} ;$ (vi) $x+\frac{1}{2},-y+\frac{3}{2}, z+\frac{1}{2}$.
The organic cation is orientationally disordered, such that the two positions of atoms $\mathrm{N} 1, \mathrm{~N} 2, \mathrm{C} 1$, and C 2 overlap and cannot be resolved. The site-occupation factors of atoms C3 and C4 refined to $50 \%$ within experimental error and were both fixed at 0.50 for the final cycles of refinement. The O-bound H atom was found in a difference map and refined as riding in its as-found relative position. The H atoms bonded to C and N were located in idealized positions, with $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{H}=0.91 \AA$ and $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}=0.98-0.99 \AA$, and refined as riding, allowing for free rotation of the $-\mathrm{NH}_{3}$ groups. The constraint $U_{\text {iso }}(\mathrm{H})=1.2 U_{\text {eq }}($ carrier $)$ or $U_{\text {iso }}(\mathrm{H})=1.5 U_{\text {eq }}$ (methyl carrier) was applied.

Data collection: COLLECT (Nonius, 1998); cell refinement: HKL SCALEPACK (Otwinowski \& Minor, 1997); data reduction: HKL DENZO (Otwinowski \& Minor 1997), SCALEPACK and SORTAV (Blessing 1995); program(s) used to solve structure: SHELXS97 (Sheldrick, 1997); program(s) used to refine structure: SHELXL97 (Sheldrick, 1997); molecular graphics: ORTEP3 (Farrugia, 1997); software used to prepare material for publication: SHELXL97.

We thank the EPSRC National Crystallography Service (University of Southampton) for the data collection.

## metal-organic papers

## References

Blessing, R. H. (1995). Acta Cryst. A51, 33-38
Bruker (1999). SADABS. Bruker AXS Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.
Farrugia, L. J. (1997). J. Appl. Cryst. 30, 565.
Lee, C. \& Harrison, W. T. A. (2003). Acta Cryst. E59, m959-m960.
Nonius (1998). COLLECT. Nonius BV, Delft, The Netherlands.

Otwinowski, Z. \& Minor, W. (1997). Methods in Enzymology, Vol. 276, Macromolecular Crystallography, Part A, edited by C. W. Carter Jr \& R. M. Sweet, pp. 307-326. New York: Academic Press.
Sheldrick, G. M. (1997). SHELXS97 and SHELXL97. University of Göttingen, Germany.
Todd, M. J. \& Harrison, W. T. A. (2005). Acta Cryst. E61, m1024-m1026.
Wilkinson, H. S. \& Harrison, W. T. A. (2005). Acta Cryst. E61, m2023m2025.

## supporting information

Acta Cryst. (2005). E61, m2026-m2028 [doi:10.1107/S1600536805028722]

## Propane-1,2-diaminium hydrogenarsenate

## Malcolm J. Todd and William T. A. Harrison

## S1. Comment

The title compound, (I) (Fig. 1), was prepared as part of our ongoing structural studies of hydrogen-bonding interactions in protonated-amine (di)hydrogenarsenates (Todd \& Harrison, 2005).
The $\left(\mathrm{HAsO}_{4}\right)^{2-}$ hydrogenarsenate group in (I) shows its normal tetrahedral geometry [mean As-O 1.686 (2) $\AA$ ], with the protonated As $1-\mathrm{O} 4$ vertex showing its usual lengthening relative to the unprotonated As-O bonds (Table 1). The propane 1,2-diaminium cation is disordered over two overlapped positions (Fig. 1). This positional disorder manifests itself as a terminal methyl group (atoms C 3 or C 4 ) being attached to either C 1 or C 2 , with $50 \%$ occupancy in each case. The N atoms and atoms C 1 and C 2 of the two orientations of the molecule are not resolved. Allowing for the disorder, this molecular ion is chiral, but crystal symmetry generates a $50: 50 \mathrm{mix}$ of enantiomers, which is consistent with the racemic starting material. Atoms N1 and N 2 are close to being trans with respect to the $\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{C} 2$ backbone of the molecule (Table 1).

As well as electrostatic attractions, the component species in (I) interact by means of a network of $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{O}$ and $\mathrm{N}-$ $\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{O}$ hydrogen bonds (Table 2). The $\left(\mathrm{HAsO}_{4}\right)^{2-}$ units are linked into inversion-symmetry generated dimeric pairs by way of the $\mathrm{O} 4 — \mathrm{H} 1 \cdots \mathrm{O} 2^{\mathrm{i}}$ bond (see Table 2 for symmetry code), with a resulting As1 $\cdots$ As $1^{i}$ separation of 4.3963 (4) $\AA$. This situation is distinct from that observed in related materials, where chains (Lee \& Harrison, 2003) and sheets (Wilkinson \& Harrison, 2005) of (di)hydrogenarsenate moieties linked by $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{O}$ bonds are seen.
In (I), the organic species interacts with the hydrogenarsenate dimers by way of six $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{O}$ hydrogen bonds [mean $\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{O} 1.85 \AA$, mean $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{O} 170^{\circ}$ and mean $\mathrm{N} \cdots \mathrm{O} 2.744$ (3) $\AA$. Atoms $\mathrm{O} 1, \mathrm{O} 2$ and O 3 accept two $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{O}$ bonds each. This hydrogen-bonding scheme results in a three-dimensional network (Fig. 2).

## S2. Experimental

Aqueous propane 1,2-diamine solution ( $0.5 \mathrm{M}, 10 \mathrm{ml}$ ) was added to aqueous $\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{AsO}_{4}$ solution $(0.5 \mathrm{M}, 10 \mathrm{ml})$ to result in a clear mixture. Aqueous ammonia was added to this solution to raise the pH to about 12 , which is beyond the second endpoint for $\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{AsO}_{4}$ (i.e. the predominant solution species is $\mathrm{HAsO}_{4}{ }^{2-}$ ). Plate-like [Shard below?] crystals of (I) grew as the water evaporated over the course of a few days.

## S3. Refinement

The organic cation is orientationally disordered, such that the two positions of atoms $\mathrm{N} 1, \mathrm{~N} 2, \mathrm{C} 1$, and C 2 overlap and cannot be resolved. The site-occupation factors of atoms C3 and C4 refined to $50 \%$ within experimental error and were both fixed at 0.50 for the final cycles of refinement. The O -bound H atom was found in a difference map and refined as riding in its as-found relative position. The H atoms bonded to C and N were located in idealized positions, with $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{H}=$ $0.91 \AA$ and $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}=0.98-0.99 \AA$, and refined as riding, allowing for free rotation of the $-\mathrm{NH}_{3}$ groups. The constraint $U_{\text {iso }}(\mathrm{H})=1.2 U_{\text {eq }}($ carrier $)$ or $U_{\text {iso }}(\mathrm{H})=1.5 U_{\text {eq }}($ methyl carrier $)$ was applied.


Figure 1
A view of (I), showing $50 \%$ probability displacement ellipsoids, with H atoms drawn as spheres of arbitrary radius. Cbound H atoms have been omitted for clarity and the hydrogen bond is indicated by a dashed line. Bonds to the disordered atoms C3 and C4 (see text) are shown as open lines.


Figure 2
The unit-cell packing for (I), with all C-bound H atoms omitted for clarity. Hydrogen bonds are indicated by dashed lines.

## Propane 1,2-diaminium hydrogenarsenate

## Crystal data

$\mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{12} \mathrm{~N}_{2}{ }^{2+} \cdot \mathrm{AsHO}_{4}{ }^{2-}$
$M_{r}=216.07$
Monoclinic, $P 2_{1} / n$
Hall symbol: -P 2yn
$a=10.9568$ (4) $\AA$
$b=6.4297$ (3) $\AA$
$c=11.5999$ (5) $\AA$
$\beta=104.816$ (2) ${ }^{\circ}$
$V=790.03$ (6) $\AA^{3}$
$Z=4$

## Data collection

Nonius KappaCCD area-detector diffractometer
Radiation source: fine-focus sealed tube
Graphite monochromator
$\omega$ and $\varphi$ scans
Absorption correction: multi-scan
(SADABS; Bruker, 1999)
$T_{\text {min }}=0.726, T_{\text {max }}=0.883$
$F(000)=440$
$D_{\mathrm{x}}=1.817 \mathrm{Mg} \mathrm{m}^{-3}$
Mo $K \alpha$ radiation, $\lambda=0.71073 \AA$
Cell parameters from 1952 reflections
$\theta=2.9-27.5^{\circ}$
$\mu=4.27 \mathrm{~mm}^{-1}$
$T=120 \mathrm{~K}$
Shard, colourless
$0.08 \times 0.06 \times 0.03 \mathrm{~mm}$

## Refinement

Refinement on $F^{2}$
Least-squares matrix: full
$R\left[F^{2}>2 \sigma\left(F^{2}\right)\right]=0.029$
$w R\left(F^{2}\right)=0.059$
$S=1.11$
1816 reflections
103 parameters
0 restraints
Primary atom site location: structure-invariant direct methods
Secondary atom site location: difference Fourier map

> 10517 measured reflections
> 1816 independent reflections
> 1533 reflections with $I>2 \sigma(I)$
> $R_{\text {int }}=0.051$
> $\theta_{\max }=27.5^{\circ}, \theta_{\min }=3.6^{\circ}$
> $h=-14 \rightarrow 14$
> $k=-8 \rightarrow 8$
> $l=-15 \rightarrow 15$

Hydrogen site location: difmap (O-H) and geom (others)
H -atom parameters constrained
$w=1 /\left[\sigma^{2}\left(F_{\mathrm{o}}^{2}\right)+(0.0164 P)^{2}+0.583 P\right]$
where $P=\left(F_{\mathrm{o}}^{2}+2 F_{\mathrm{c}}^{2}\right) / 3$
$(\Delta / \sigma)_{\text {max }}<0.001$
$\Delta \rho_{\text {max }}=0.51 \mathrm{e}^{-3}$
$\Delta \rho_{\text {min }}=-0.41 \mathrm{e}^{-3}$
Extinction correction: SHELXL97 (Sheldrick, 1997), $\mathrm{Fc}^{*}=\mathrm{kFc}\left[1+0.001 \mathrm{xFc}^{2} \lambda^{3} / \sin (2 \theta)\right]^{-1 / 4}$

Extinction coefficient: 0.0047 (7)

## Special details

Geometry. All e.s.d.'s (except the e.s.d. in the dihedral angle between two 1.s. planes) are estimated using the full covariance matrix. The cell e.s.d.'s are taken into account individually in the estimation of e.s.d.'s in distances, angles and torsion angles; correlations between e.s.d.'s in cell parameters are only used when they are defined by crystal symmetry. An approximate (isotropic) treatment of cell e.s.d.'s is used for estimating e.s.d.'s involving 1.s. planes.
Refinement. Refinement of $F^{2}$ against ALL reflections. The weighted $R$-factor $w R$ and goodness of fit $S$ are based on $F^{2}$, conventional $R$-factors $R$ are based on $F$, with $F$ set to zero for negative $F^{2}$. The threshold expression of $F^{2}>\sigma\left(F^{2}\right)$ is used only for calculating $R$-factors(gt) $e t c$. and is not relevant to the choice of reflections for refinement. $R$-factors based on $F^{2}$ are statistically about twice as large as those based on $F$, and $R$ - factors based on ALL data will be even larger.

Fractional atomic coordinates and isotropic or equivalent isotropic displacement parameters ( $A^{2}$ )

|  | $x$ | $y$ | $z$ | $U_{\text {iso }} * / U_{\text {eq }}$ | Occ. $(<1)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| As1 | $0.33664(2)$ | $0.17853(4)$ | $0.02271(2)$ | $0.01169(11)$ |  |
| O1 | $0.26787(18)$ | $0.2809(3)$ | $0.12195(16)$ | $0.0177(4)$ |  |
| O2 | $0.33133(16)$ | $-0.0828(3)$ | $0.01944(15)$ | $0.0151(4)$ |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |


| O3 | 0.28115 (19) | 0.2764 (3) | -0.11362 (16) | 0.0219 (5) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| O4 | 0.49363 (17) | 0.2485 (3) | 0.07736 (17) | 0.0216 (5) |  |
| H1 | 0.5479 | 0.1924 | 0.0355 | 0.026* |  |
| N1 | 0.3572 (2) | 0.6561 (3) | 0.2137 (2) | 0.0177 (5) |  |
| H2 | 0.3424 | 0.7540 | 0.1551 | 0.021* |  |
| H3 | 0.3197 | 0.6952 | 0.2718 | 0.021* |  |
| H4 | 0.3248 | 0.5319 | 0.1825 | 0.021* |  |
| N2 | 0.6919 (2) | 0.8345 (3) | 0.3314 (2) | 0.0175 (5) |  |
| H5 | 0.7120 | 0.8045 | 0.2618 | 0.021* |  |
| H6 | 0.7234 | 0.7338 | 0.3861 | 0.021* |  |
| H7 | 0.7258 | 0.9596 | 0.3593 | 0.021* |  |
| C1 | 0.4949 (3) | 0.6349 (4) | 0.2652 (3) | 0.0188 (6) |  |
| H8 | 0.5349 | 0.5819 | 0.2035 | 0.023* |  |
| H9 | 0.5110 | 0.5335 | 0.3315 | 0.023* | 0.50 |
| C2 | 0.5524 (3) | 0.8435 (4) | 0.3103 (3) | 0.0211 (6) |  |
| H10 | 0.5176 | 0.9533 | 0.2512 | 0.025* |  |
| H11 | 0.5308 | 0.8785 | 0.3858 | 0.025* | 0.50 |
| C3 | 0.4994 (6) | 0.4684 (10) | 0.3584 (6) | 0.0290 (15) | 0.50 |
| H12 | 0.4607 | 0.3406 | 0.3195 | 0.043* | 0.50 |
| H13 | 0.4530 | 0.5159 | 0.4153 | 0.043* | 0.50 |
| H14 | 0.5875 | 0.4408 | 0.4007 | 0.043* | 0.50 |
| C4 | 0.5312 (6) | 0.9222 (13) | 0.4236 (6) | 0.046 (2) | 0.50 |
| H15 | 0.5721 | 1.0580 | 0.4422 | 0.069* | 0.50 |
| H16 | 0.5672 | 0.8242 | 0.4880 | 0.069* | 0.50 |
| H17 | 0.4404 | 0.9363 | 0.4156 | 0.069* | 0.50 |

Atomic displacement parameters $\left(\AA^{2}\right)$

|  | $U^{11}$ | $U^{22}$ | $U^{33}$ | $U^{12}$ | $U^{13}$ | $U^{23}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| As1 | $0.01350(16)$ | $0.00989(16)$ | $0.01258(16)$ | $0.00009(11)$ | $0.00497(11)$ | $-0.00047(11)$ |
| O1 | $0.0206(10)$ | $0.0162(10)$ | $0.0206(10)$ | $-0.0026(8)$ | $0.0131(9)$ | $-0.0039(8)$ |
| O2 | $0.0184(10)$ | $0.0087(10)$ | $0.0181(10)$ | $-0.0007(8)$ | $0.0044(8)$ | $-0.0016(8)$ |
| O3 | $0.0314(12)$ | $0.0209(11)$ | $0.0141(10)$ | $0.0082(9)$ | $0.0072(9)$ | $0.0053(8)$ |
| O4 | $0.0130(10)$ | $0.0235(11)$ | $0.0298(11)$ | $-0.0049(9)$ | $0.0084(9)$ | $-0.0116(9)$ |
| N1 | $0.0263(14)$ | $0.0128(12)$ | $0.0179(12)$ | $-0.0042(10)$ | $0.0127(11)$ | $-0.0034(10)$ |
| N2 | $0.0247(13)$ | $0.0122(12)$ | $0.0141(12)$ | $-0.0017(10)$ | $0.0021(10)$ | $-0.0009(9)$ |
| C1 | $0.0220(15)$ | $0.0154(15)$ | $0.0197(15)$ | $0.0007(12)$ | $0.0065(13)$ | $0.0010(12)$ |
| C2 | $0.0236(16)$ | $0.0177(15)$ | $0.0218(15)$ | $0.0019(13)$ | $0.0052(13)$ | $-0.0035(12)$ |
| C3 | $0.017(3)$ | $0.025(3)$ | $0.040(4)$ | $0.001(3)$ | $-0.002(3)$ | $0.019(3)$ |
| C4 | $0.029(4)$ | $0.067(6)$ | $0.051(5)$ | $-0.016(4)$ | $0.027(4)$ | $-0.030(4)$ |

Geometric parameters $\left({ }_{A},{ }^{\circ}\right)$

| As1—O1 | $1.6642(17)$ | $\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{C} 3$ | $1.514(6)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| As1—O3 | $1.6659(18)$ | $\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{C} 2$ | $1.517(4)$ |
| As1—O2 | $1.6817(18)$ | $\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{H} 8$ | 0.9900 |
| As1—O4 | $1.7336(18)$ | $\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{H} 9$ | 0.9900 |
| $\mathrm{O} 4-\mathrm{H} 1$ | 0.9304 | $\mathrm{C} 2-\mathrm{C} 4$ | $1.481(7)$ |


| N1-C1 | 1.480 (4) |
| :---: | :---: |
| N1-H2 | 0.9100 |
| N1-H3 | 0.9100 |
| N1-H4 | 0.9100 |
| N2-C2 | 1.486 (4) |
| N2-H5 | 0.9100 |
| N2-H6 | 0.9100 |
| N2—H7 | 0.9100 |
| O1-As1-O3 | 112.79 (9) |
| $\mathrm{O} 1-\mathrm{As} 1-\mathrm{O} 2$ | 113.06 (8) |
| $\mathrm{O} 3-\mathrm{As} 1-\mathrm{O} 2$ | 110.74 (9) |
| O1-As1-O4 | 103.11 (9) |
| $\mathrm{O} 3-\mathrm{As} 1-\mathrm{O} 4$ | 109.65 (10) |
| $\mathrm{O} 2-\mathrm{As} 1-\mathrm{O} 4$ | 107.04 (9) |
| As1-O4-H1 | 114.5 |
| $\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{N} 1-\mathrm{H} 2$ | 109.5 |
| $\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{N} 1-\mathrm{H} 3$ | 109.5 |
| $\mathrm{H} 2-\mathrm{N} 1-\mathrm{H} 3$ | 109.5 |
| $\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{N} 1-\mathrm{H} 4$ | 109.5 |
| $\mathrm{H} 2-\mathrm{N} 1-\mathrm{H} 4$ | 109.5 |
| $\mathrm{H} 3-\mathrm{N} 1-\mathrm{H} 4$ | 109.5 |
| $\mathrm{C} 2-\mathrm{N} 2-\mathrm{H} 5$ | 109.5 |
| $\mathrm{C} 2-\mathrm{N} 2-\mathrm{H} 6$ | 109.5 |
| H5-N2-H6 | 109.5 |
| $\mathrm{C} 2-\mathrm{N} 2-\mathrm{H} 7$ | 109.5 |
| $\mathrm{H} 5-\mathrm{N} 2-\mathrm{H} 7$ | 109.5 |
| H6-N2-H7 | 109.5 |
| N1-C1-C3 | 101.4 (3) |
| N1-C1-C2 | 110.4 (2) |
| C3-C1-C2 | 116.4 (3) |
| $\mathrm{N} 1-\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{H} 8$ | 109.5 |
| C3-C1-H8 | 109.5 |
| C2-C1-H8 | 109.4 |
| $\mathrm{N} 1-\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{H} 9$ | 109.5 |
| C2-C1-H9 | 109.8 |
| $\mathrm{H} 8-\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{H} 9$ | 108.2 |
| $\mathrm{N} 1-\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{C} 2-\mathrm{C} 4$ | 75.4 (5) |
| $\mathrm{C} 3-\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{C} 2-\mathrm{C} 4$ | -39.4 (5) |


| $\mathrm{C} 2-\mathrm{H} 10$ | 0.9900 |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{C} 2-\mathrm{H} 11$ | 0.9900 |
| $\mathrm{C} 3-\mathrm{H} 12$ | 0.9800 |
| $\mathrm{C} 3-\mathrm{H} 13$ | 0.9800 |
| $\mathrm{C} 3-\mathrm{H} 14$ | 0.9800 |
| $\mathrm{C} 4-\mathrm{H} 15$ | 0.9800 |
| $\mathrm{C} 4-\mathrm{H} 16$ | 0.9800 |
| $\mathrm{C} 4-\mathrm{H} 17$ | 0.9800 |

104.8 (3)
117.5 (4)
110.0 (2)
104.5
109.9
109.9
109.3
109.5
108.2
109.5
120.5
109.5
112.0
109.5
109.5
94.6
109.5
109.5
$\mathrm{C} 2-\mathrm{C} 4-\mathrm{H} 15 \quad 109.5$
$\mathrm{H} 11-\mathrm{C} 4-\mathrm{H} 15 \quad 124.9$
$\mathrm{C} 2-\mathrm{C} 4-\mathrm{H} 16 \quad 109.5$
$\mathrm{H} 11-\mathrm{C} 4-\mathrm{H} 16 \quad 102.2$
$\mathrm{H} 15-\mathrm{C} 4-\mathrm{H} 16 \quad 109.5$
$\mathrm{C} 2-\mathrm{C} 4-\mathrm{H} 17 \quad 109.5$
$\mathrm{H} 11-\mathrm{C} 4-\mathrm{H} 17 \quad 100.4$
$\mathrm{H} 15-\mathrm{C} 4-\mathrm{H} 17 \quad 109.5$
$\mathrm{H} 16-\mathrm{C} 4-\mathrm{H} 17 \quad 109.5$
$\mathrm{N} 1-\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{C} 2-\mathrm{N} 2$
-164.9 (2)
80.3 (4)

Hydrogen-bond geometry ( $\hat{A},{ }^{\circ}$ )

| $D — \mathrm{H} \cdots A$ | $D-\mathrm{H}$ | $\mathrm{H} \cdots A$ | $D \cdots A$ | $D-\mathrm{H} \cdots A$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{O} 4 — \mathrm{H} 1 \cdots \mathrm{O} 2^{\mathrm{i}}$ | 0.93 | 1.76 | $2.679(2)$ | 170 |
| $\mathrm{~N} 1 — \mathrm{H} 2 \cdots \mathrm{O} 2^{\mathrm{ii}}$ | 0.91 | 1.87 | $2.765(3)$ | 168 |
| $\mathrm{~N} 1 — \mathrm{H} 3 \cdots 1^{\mathrm{iii}}$ | 0.91 | 1.83 | $2.738(3)$ | 175 |
| $\mathrm{~N} 1 — \mathrm{H} 4 \cdots \mathrm{O} 1$ | 0.91 | 1.81 | $2.716(3)$ | 177 |

## supporting information

| $\mathrm{N} 2 — \mathrm{H} 5 \cdots \mathrm{O}^{\text {iv }}$ | 0.91 | 1.82 | $2.713(3)$ | 168 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{~N} 2 — \mathrm{H} 6 \cdots 2^{v}$ | 0.91 | 1.95 | $2.829(3)$ | 162 |
| $\mathrm{~N} 2 — \mathrm{H} 7 \cdots \mathrm{O}^{\mathrm{vi}}$ | 0.91 | 1.80 | $2.702(3)$ | 169 |

Symmetry codes: (i) $-x+1,-y,-z$; (ii) $x, y+1, z$; (iii) $-x+1 / 2, y+1 / 2,-z+1 / 2$; (iv) $-x+1,-y+1,-z$; (v) $x+1 / 2,-y+1 / 2, z+1 / 2$; (vi) $x+1 / 2,-y+3 / 2, z+1 / 2$.

