organic compounds

2-Bromo-1,3-bis(bromomethyl)-benzene, with $Z' = 1.5$: whole-molecule disorder of one of the two independent molecules

Peter Kirsop, John M. D. Storey and William T. A. Harrison*

Department of Chemistry, University of Aberdeen, Meston Walk, Aberdeen AB24 3UE, Scotland

Correspondence e-mail: w.harrison@abdn.ac.uk

The title compound, $C_8H_7Br_3$, possesses normal geometrical parameters. There are two independent molecules; one shows whole-molecule disorder with respect to an inversion-symmetry-generated partner, while the other is undisordered. This results in the unusual situation of $Z' = 1.5$ and $Z = 6$ for a monoclinic crystal system. The undisordered molecule interacts with its neighbours by way of π–π stacking.

Comment

The title compound, (I), prepared earlier by Newcombe *et al.* (1977), was obtained during our ongoing studies to determine the philicity of aryl radicals by competitive cyclization reactions (Kirsop *et al.*, 2004*a,b,c,d*).

(I)

There are two independent molecules of (I) (Fig. 1). Both appear to possess their expected geometrical parameters, allowing for the rather low bond precisions obtained in this study. The C1-containing species is unexceptional. With respect to the mean plane of the C1–C6 benzene ring, one of the side-arm terminal Br atoms points 'up' [the displacement of Br2 is 1.790 (12) Å] and one points 'down' [the displacement of Br3 is −1.792 (12) Å].

The most interesting feature of the structure is the whole-molecule disorder displayed by the C11-containing molecule. This arises from inversion symmetry at the point $(1, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2})$ and symmetry-related locations. The resulting overlapped molecules (Fig. 2) are constrained by symmetry to have equal population parameters of 0.5 for all atoms in the molecule. As with the C1-containing molecule, the two side-arm terminal Br atoms are displaced in opposite senses with respect to the

mean plane of the C11–C16 benzene ring [with displacements for Br12 and Br13 of 1.825 (16) and −1.74 (3) Å, respectively]. This situation of one ordered and one disordered molecule results in the atypical situation of $Z' = 1.5$ and $Z = 6$ for a monoclinic system.

As well as van der Waals forces, the crystal packing is influenced by π–π stacking interactions involving the C1-containing molecule (Fig. 3) generated by the *c*-glide symmetry operation. The $Cg \cdots Cg^i$ separation [Cg is the centroid of the C1–C6 ring; symmetry code: (i) $x, \frac{3}{2} - y, \frac{1}{2} + x$] is 3.755 (4) Å and the $C1–C6/C1^i–C6^i$ interplanar separation is 3.411 Å. A *PLATON* (Spek, 2003) analysis of (I) revealed a slightly short $Br1 \cdots Br11^{ii}$ contact of 3.595 (2) Å [symmetry code: (ii) $2 - x, 1 - y, 1 - z$], some 0.1 Å less than the van der Waals radius sum of 3.70 Å (Spek, 2003). Such $Br \cdots Br$ contacts are quite common and their significance – specific attractive forces (Desiraju & Parthasarathy, 1989) or packing contacts (Eriksson & Hu, 2001) – has been debated.

The packing of (I) is shown in Fig. 4, indicating how the ordered and disordered molecules segregate into (010) sheets.

Figure 1
A view of (I), showing 50% probability displacement spheres and ellipsoids (H atoms are drawn as spheres of arbitrary radii).

Figure 2
A detail of (I), showing the whole-molecule disorder of the C11-containing molecule (50% probability displacement spheres/ellipsoids; all H atoms have been omitted for clarity). Atoms with the suffix *A* are generated by the symmetry operation $(2 - x, 1 - y, 1 - z)$.

DOI: 10.1107/S0108270106017707

Because the C11-containing molecules are almost perpendicular to, and are sandwiched between, the π–π stacks of C1-containing molecules, there can be no π–π forces involving the former molecules [the dihedral angle between the C1–C6 and C11–C16 mean planes is 80.8 (6)°].

Aside from very simple molecules and fragments, whole-molecule disorder (WMD) is not particularly common. A classic example is the 10 π electron molecule azulene, $C_{10}H_8$, containing fused, planar, five- and seven-membered rings. After several conflicting studies it was concluded (Robertson *et al.*, 1962) that azulene shows WMD with the 5/7 and 7/5 conformations overlapped at random. More recently, Ichharam & Boeyens (2001) observed WMD in 2-(2-thienyl)-

1-(2-pyrazinyl)ethene and 2-(2-thienyl)-1-(2-quinoxalinyl)-ethene. In both cases, the disordered components were related by pseudo-twofold axes. Cox & Wardell (2003) found WMD in 4,4′-sulfonylbis[*N*-(4-nitrophenylmethylene)benzenamine], with no (pseudo)symmetry relating the two slightly displaced disorder components.

Experimental

2-Bromo-1,3-dimethylbenzene (5.0 g, 0.027 mol), *N*-bromosuccinamide (NBS, 9.6 g, 0.054 mol) and azobisisobutyronitrile (0.88 g, 0.0054 mol) were added to chloroform (100 ml). The mixture was stirred at reflux under a nitrogen atmosphere for 12 h. After cooling, the mixture was filtered and the solvent was removed at reduced pressure to give a yellow solid. Thin-layer chromatography (hexane) showed 2-bromo-1,3-bis(bromomethyl)benzene as a sharp spot at $R_F = 0.21$. The NBS residues were removed by flash column chromatography (20:1 hexane–ethyl acetate) and the solvent was removed. The product was washed with hexane, giving a white solid (4.9 g, 53%). A sample was recrystallized from hot hexane–ethyl acetate (20:1) to give clear needles of (I) [m.p. 371–373 K, literature (Newcombe *et al.*, 1977) 374–376 K]. ^{1}H NMR (CDCl$_3$): δ_H 4.64 (4H, *s*, 2 × CH$_2$), 7.28 (1H, *t*, *J* = 8.1 Hz, Ar–H), 7.41 (2H, *d*, *J* = 8.1 Hz, 2 × Ar–H); ^{13}C NMR (CDCl$_3$): δ_C 33.8, 126.6, 128.0, 131.3, 138.5.

Figure 3
A detail of (I), showing the π–π stacking interaction involving the C1-containing molecule. The molecules containing atoms Br1*A* and Br1*B* are generated by the symmetry operations $(x, \frac{3}{2} - y, \frac{1}{2} + z)$ and $(x, \frac{3}{2} - y, z - \frac{1}{2})$, respectively.

Crystal data

|	
$C_8H_7Br_3$	$Z = 6$
$M_r = 342.87$	$D_x = 2.385$ Mg m^{-3}
Monoclinic, $P2_1/c$	Mo $K\alpha$ radiation
$a = 9.1114\ (4)$ Å	$\mu = 12.61$ mm^{-1}
$b = 22.6016\ (10)$ Å	$T = 120\ (2)$ K
$c = 7.5004\ (3)$ Å	Blade, colourless
$\beta = 111.971\ (3)°$	$0.60 \times 0.10 \times 0.01$ mm
$V = 1432.40\ (11)$ Å^3	

Data collection

Nonius KappaCCD diffractometer	14563 measured reflections
ω and φ scans	3266 independent reflections
Absorption correction: multi-scan	2406 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$
(*SORTAV*; Blessing, 1995)	$R_{int} = 0.099$
$T_{min} = 0.049$, $T_{max} = 0.940$	$\theta_{max} = 27.6°$
(expected range = 0.046–0.882)	

Refinement

|	
Refinement on F^2	H-atom parameters constrained
$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.084$	$w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.1847P)^2]$
$wR(F^2) = 0.253$	where $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$
$S = 1.01$	$(\Delta/\sigma)_{max} < 0.001$
3266 reflections	$\Delta\rho_{max} = 1.89$ e Å^{-3}
146 parameters	$\Delta\rho_{min} = -2.79$ e Å^{-3}

The C1-containing molecule was located and refined straightforwardly. The C11-containing molecule evidently showed massive disorder. By careful analysis of difference maps, the disorder could be resolved into two overlapped symmetry-related molecules of (I) (as described in the *Comment*). The C atoms of the disordered molecule were refined isotropically. All H atoms were placed in calculated positions (C—H = 0.95–0.99 Å) and refined as riding, with U_{iso}(H) values of 1.2U_{eq}(C). The largest difference peak is 1.04 Å from atom Br2 and the deepest difference hole is 0.85 Å from the same atom. Attempts to model the crystal in lower-symmetry space groups were not successful.

Data collection: *COLLECT* (Nonius, 1998); cell refinement: *SCALEPACK* (Otwinowski & Minor, 1997); data reduction: *DENZO* (Otwinowski & Minor, 1997), *SCALEPACK* and *SORTAV*

Figure 4
The packing in (I), viewed down [001], with H atoms omitted.

organic compounds

Supplementary data for this paper are available from the IUCr electronic archives (Reference: SK3024). Services for accessing these data are described at the back of the journal.

References

Blessing, R. H. (1995). *Acta Cryst.* A**51**, 33–38.
Cox, P. J. & Wardell, J. L. (2003). *Acta Cryst.* C**59**, o706–o708.
Desiraju, G. R. & Parthasarathy, R. (1989). *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **111**, 8725–8726.
Eriksson, L. & Hu, J. (2001). *Acta Cryst.* E**57**, o930–o932.
Farrugia, L. J. (1997). *J. Appl. Cryst.* **30**, 565.
Ichharam, V. & Boeyens, J. C. A. (2001). *Cryst. Eng.* **4**, 171–178.
Kirsop, P., Storey, J. M. D. & Harrison, W. T. A. (2004a). *Acta Cryst.* C**60**, o353–o355.
Kirsop, P., Storey, J. M. D. & Harrison, W. T. A. (2004b). *Acta Cryst.* E**60**, o222–o224.
Kirsop, P., Storey, J. M. D. & Harrison, W. T. A. (2004c). *Acta Cryst.* E**60**, o1147–o1148.
Kirsop, P., Storey, J. M. D. & Harrison, W. T. A. (2004d). *Acta Cryst.* E**60**, o1636–o1638.
Newcombe, M., Moore, S. S. & Cram, D. J. (1977). *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **99**, 6405–6410.
Nonius (1998). *COLLECT*. Nonius BV, Delft, The Netherlands.
Otwinowski, Z. & Minor, W. (1997). *Methods in Enzymology*, Vol. 276, *Macromolecular Crystallography*, Part A, edited by C. W. Carter Jr & R. M. Sweet, pp. 307–326. New York: Academic Press.
Robertson, J. M., Shearer, H. M. M., Sim, G. A. & Watson, D. G. (1962). *Acta Cryst.* **15**, 1–8.
Sheldrick, G. M. (1997). *SHELXS97* and *SHELXL97*. University of Göttingen, Germany.
Spek, A. L. (2003). *J. Appl. Cryst.* **36**, 7–13.