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The re-investigated structure of the title compound, $\left[\mathrm{Cu}_{2} \mathrm{I}_{2}\left(\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{10} \mathrm{~N}_{2}\right)\right]_{n}$, a twodimensional coordination polymer crystallizing with monoclinic ( $P 2_{1} / n$ ) symmetry, is based on data collected at 100 K , while the previously reported structure was obtained with data collected at 203 K [Blake et al. (1999). Cryst. Eng. 2, 181-195]. The refinement of the crystal structure is greatly improved; for example, the $w R_{2}$ residual converges to 0.047 for 1532 independent data, versus $w R_{2}=0.179$ for 992 independent data in the 1999 study.


## Chemical scheme



## Structure description

The previously reported structure for the title compound (Blake et al., 1999) at 203 K with $R_{1}=6.48 \%[I>2 \sigma(I)]$ was re-investigated at 100 K (Fig. 1), producing a much more accurate refinement for the crystal structure. We were able to achieve $R_{1}=1.78 \%$ and $w R_{2}=4.74 \%$ (as compared with $R_{1}=6.48 \%$ and $w R_{2}=17.86 \%$ for the structure reported by Blake et al.) after collecting 1532 independent reflections for 83 refined parameters (as compared with 992 independent reflections for 82 parameters in the previously reported structure). Our completeness is $100 \%$, a vast improvement over the reported $76.6 \%$, and our goodness of fit is 1.073 (as compared with 1.109). We were able to achieve an $R_{\text {int }}$ of $2.19 \%$ after collecting experimental data up to $2 \theta_{\text {max }}$ angle of $54^{\circ}$ as compared with an $R_{\text {int }}$ of $13.48 \%$ after collecting experimental data up to $2 \theta_{\max }$ angle of $48^{\circ}$. A comparison of the improved resolution of select bond lengths between this refinement and that reported by Blake et al. is given in Table 1.

Analysis of the crystal packing shows that molecules in the crystal form staggered sheets (Fig. 2). Equidistant intermolecular contacts $\mathrm{Cu} 1 \cdots \mathrm{Cu} 1[2.7852$ (4) $\AA$ ] and I $1 \cdots \mathrm{I} 1$ [4.0743 (1) Å] link these sheets along the $b$-axis direction and form stacks (Fig. 3).


Figure 1
Ellipsoid plot (50\% probability level for all non-hydrogen atoms) of the title compound with additional $\mathrm{Cu}-\mathrm{I}$ fragments included to indicate the directionality of the two-dimensional coordination polymer.

## Synthesis and crystallization

Following the general procedure for making copper(I)-pyri-dine-iodide clusters (Parmeggiani \& Sacchetti, 2012), an acetonitrile solution of copper(I) iodide, ascorbic acid, and potassium iodide was added to a thin tube and layered first with acetonitrile then with an acetonitrile solution of 1,2-di(pyridin-4-yl)ethylene. Large yello-orange crystals were present after 1 week.

## Refinement

Crystal data, data collection and structure refinement details are summarized in Table 2. Single crystal X-ray data were collected using a Rigaku XtaLAB Synergy-S diffractometer equipped with a HyPix-6000HE Hybrid Photon Counting (HPC) detector and dual Mo and Cu microfocus sealed X-ray source as well as a low-temperature Oxford Cryostream 800 liquid nitrogen cooling system at 100 (2) K. The data collection strategy was calculated within CrysAlis PRO (Rigaku OD, 2018) to ensure desired data redundancy and percent completeness.


Figure 2
Fragment of the crystal packing along the $b$-axis direction.

Table 1
Comparison of some bond lengths ( $\AA$ ) in the reported refinement and the refinement published by Blake et al. (1999).

| Bond | This structure | Structure of Blake et al. |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{Cu} 1-\mathrm{I} 1$ | $2.6200(4)$ | $2.6314(16)$ |
| $\mathrm{Cu} 1 \cdots \mathrm{Cu} 1^{\mathrm{i}}$ | $2.7852(4)$ | $2.8182(17)$ |
| $\mathrm{Cu} 1-\mathrm{N} 1$ | $2.039(2)$ | $2.055(8)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}{ }^{\mathrm{ii}}$ | $1.329(5)$ | $1.31(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{N} 1-\mathrm{C} 1$ | $1.344(4)$ | $1.360(14)$ |
| $\mathrm{N} 1-\mathrm{C} 5$ | $1.343(4)$ | $1.331(15)$ |

Symmetry codes: (i) $\frac{3}{2}-x, y-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{2}-z$; (ii) $-x, 1-y, 1-z$.

Table 2
Experimental details.

| Crystal data |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Chemical formula | $\left[\mathrm{Cu}_{2} \mathrm{I}_{2}\left(\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{10} \mathrm{~N}_{2}\right)\right]$ |
| $M_{\text {r }}$ | 563.1 |
| Crystal system, space group | Monoclinic, $P 2_{1} / n$ |
| Temperature (K) | 100 |
| $a, b, c(\mathrm{~A})$ | 9.4695 (3), 4.0743 (1), 18.6048 (6) |
| $\beta\left({ }^{\circ}\right.$ ) | 102.878 (4) |
| $V\left(\mathrm{~A}^{3}\right)$ | 699.75 (4) |
| $Z$ | 2 |
| Radiation type | Mo $K \alpha$ |
| $\mu\left(\mathrm{mm}^{-1}\right)$ | 7.43 |
| Crystal size (mm) | $0.09 \times 0.03 \times 0.02$ |
| Data collection |  |
| Diffractometer | Rigaku XtaLAB Synergy, Dualflex, HyPix |
| Absorption correction | Multi-scan (CrysAlis PRO; Rigaku OD, 2018) |
| $T_{\text {min }}, T_{\text {max }}$ | $0.604,1.000$ |
| No. of measured, independent and observed $[I>2 \sigma(I)]$ reflections | 4364, 1532, 1435 |
| $R_{\text {int }}$ | 0.022 |
| $(\sin \theta / \lambda)_{\text {max }}\left(\AA^{-1}\right)$ | 0.641 |
| Refinement |  |
| $R\left[F^{2}>2 \sigma\left(F^{2}\right)\right], w R\left(F^{2}\right), S$ | 0.018, 0.047, 1.07 |
| No. of reflections | 1532 |
| No. of parameters | 83 |
| H -atom treatment | H -atom parameters constrained |
| $\Delta \rho_{\text {max }}, \Delta \rho_{\text {min }}\left(\mathrm{e} \AA^{-3}\right)$ | 0.46, -0.70 |

Computer programs: CrysAlis PRO (Rigaku OD, 2018), SHELXT2018 (Sheldrick, 2015a), SHELXL2018 (Sheldrick, 2015b), OLEX2 (Dolomanov et al., 2009) and PLATON (Spek, 2009).


Figure 3
Fragment of the crystal packing along the $a$-axis direction. Dashed lines indicate intermolecular $\mathrm{Cu} \cdots \mathrm{Cu}$ and $\mathrm{I} \cdots \mathrm{I}$ contacts.
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## Crystal data

$\left[\mathrm{Cu}_{2} \mathrm{I}_{2}\left(\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{10} \mathrm{~N}_{2}\right)\right]$
$M_{r}=563.1$
Monoclinic, $P 2_{1} / n$
$a=9.4695$ (3) $\AA$
$b=4.0743$ (1) $\AA$
$c=18.6048(6) \AA$
$\beta=102.878(4)^{\circ}$
$V=699.75$ (4) $\AA^{3}$
$Z=2$

## Data collection

Rigaku XtaLAB Synergy, Dualflex, HyPix diffractometer
Radiation source: micro-focus sealed X-ray tube, PhotonJet X-ray Source
Mirror monochromator
$\omega$ scans
Absorption correction: multi-scan
(CrysAlis PRO; Rigaku OD, 2018)
$T_{\text {min }}=0.604, T_{\text {max }}=1.000$
$F(000)=520$
$D_{\mathrm{x}}=2.673 \mathrm{Mg} \mathrm{m}^{-3}$
Mo $K \alpha$ radiation, $\lambda=0.71073 \AA$
Cell parameters from 3630 reflections
$\theta=2.3-31.1^{\circ}$
$\mu=7.43 \mathrm{~mm}^{-1}$
$T=100 \mathrm{~K}$
Plate, clear light yellow
$0.09 \times 0.03 \times 0.02 \mathrm{~mm}$

4364 measured reflections
1532 independent reflections
1435 reflections with $I>2 \sigma(I)$
$R_{\text {int }}=0.022$
$\theta_{\text {max }}=27.1^{\circ}, \theta_{\text {min }}=2.2^{\circ}$
$h=-12 \rightarrow 12$
$k=-5 \rightarrow 5$
$l=-23 \rightarrow 23$

## Refinement

Refinement on $F^{2}$
Least-squares matrix: full
$R\left[F^{2}>2 \sigma\left(F^{2}\right)\right]=0.018$
$w R\left(F^{2}\right)=0.047$
$S=1.07$
1532 reflections
83 parameters
0 restraints
Primary atom site location: dual
Secondary atom site location: difference Fourier map

Hydrogen site location: inferred from neighbouring sites
H -atom parameters constrained
$w=1 /\left[\sigma^{2}\left(F_{0}{ }^{2}\right)+(0.023 P)^{2}+0.7134 P\right]$
where $P=\left(F_{\mathrm{o}}{ }^{2}+2 F_{\mathrm{c}}{ }^{2}\right) / 3$
$(\Delta / \sigma)_{\text {max }}=0.002$
$\Delta \rho_{\text {max }}=0.46 \mathrm{e} \AA^{-3}$
$\Delta \rho_{\text {min }}=-0.70 \mathrm{e}^{-3}$
Extinction correction: SHELXL2018
(Sheldrick, 2015b),
$\mathrm{Fc}^{*}=\mathrm{kFc}\left[1+0.001 \mathrm{xFc}^{2} \lambda^{3} / \sin (2 \theta)\right]^{-1 / 4}$
Extinction coefficient: 0.0016 (3)

## Special details

Refinement. Single crystal X-ray data were collected using a Rigaku XtaLAB Synergy-S diffractometer equipped with a HyPix-6000HE Hybrid Photon Counting (HPC) detector and dual Mo and Cu microfocus sealed X-ray source as well as a low-temperature Oxford Cryostream 800 liquid nitrogen cooling system at 100 (2) K. The data collection strategy was calculated within CrysAlis PRO (Rigaku OD, 2018; Table 2) to ensure desired data redundancy and percent completeness. All non-hydrogen atoms were refined anisotropically using SHELXL (Sheldrick, 2015b) and the space group was unambiguously verified by PLATON (Spek, 2009). All H atoms were attached via the riding model at calculated positions, with calculated isotropic displacement parameters.

Fractional atomic coordinates and isotropic or equivalent isotropic displacement parameters ( $\hat{A}^{2}$ )

|  | $x$ | $y$ | $z$ | $U_{\mathrm{iso}} * / U_{\mathrm{eq}}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| I 1 | $0.68604(2)$ | $0.62004(4)$ | $0.84525(2)$ | $0.01014(9)$ |
| Cu1 | $0.68200(4)$ | $0.62033(8)$ | $0.70399(2)$ | $0.01281(10)$ |
| N1 | $0.4696(2)$ | $0.5974(5)$ | $0.65013(12)$ | $0.0123(5)$ |
| C1 | $0.3630(3)$ | $0.7307(7)$ | $0.67755(14)$ | $0.0141(5)$ |
| H1 | 0.387165 | 0.831271 | 0.723539 | $0.017^{*}$ |
| C2 | $0.2186(3)$ | $0.7258(7)$ | $0.64066(15)$ | $0.0149(6)$ |
| H2 | 0.148556 | 0.821387 | 0.661890 | $0.018^{*}$ |
| C3 | $0.1788(3)$ | $0.5767(7)$ | $0.57138(14)$ | $0.0115(5)$ |
| C4 | $0.2899(3)$ | $0.4386(7)$ | $0.54285(14)$ | $0.0139(5)$ |
| H4 | 0.269163 | 0.338118 | 0.496805 | $0.017^{*}$ |
| C5 | $0.4311(3)$ | $0.4528(7)$ | $0.58375(14)$ | $0.0138(5)$ |
| H5 | 0.503348 | 0.356747 | 0.564167 | $0.017^{*}$ |
| C6 | $0.0256(3)$ | $0.5728(7)$ | $0.53223(15)$ | $0.0122(5)$ |
| H6 | -0.040426 | 0.680460 | 0.554244 | $0.015^{*}$ |

Atomic displacement parameters $\left(\AA^{2}\right)$

|  | $U^{11}$ | $U^{22}$ | $U^{33}$ | $U^{12}$ | $U^{13}$ | $U^{23}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| I1 | $0.01214(11)$ | $0.00854(12)$ | $0.01046(11)$ | $-0.00082(6)$ | $0.00406(7)$ | $0.00027(6)$ |
| Cu 1 | $0.01037(17)$ | $0.0149(2)$ | $0.01267(17)$ | $-0.00004(12)$ | $0.00152(13)$ | $-0.00116(13)$ |
| N 1 | $0.0103(10)$ | $0.0145(12)$ | $0.0116(10)$ | $-0.0003(9)$ | $0.0013(8)$ | $-0.0003(9)$ |
| C 1 | $0.0137(13)$ | $0.0161(14)$ | $0.0116(12)$ | $0.0018(11)$ | $0.0011(10)$ | $-0.0024(11)$ |
| C 2 | $0.0136(13)$ | $0.0155(14)$ | $0.0166(13)$ | $0.0020(11)$ | $0.0053(10)$ | $-0.0017(11)$ |
| C 3 | $0.0099(12)$ | $0.0129(13)$ | $0.0117(12)$ | $-0.0014(10)$ | $0.0021(10)$ | $0.0024(10)$ |
| C 4 | $0.0137(13)$ | $0.0178(14)$ | $0.0095(11)$ | $-0.0012(11)$ | $0.0013(10)$ | $-0.0023(11)$ |
| C 5 | $0.0115(12)$ | $0.0168(14)$ | $0.0132(12)$ | $0.0013(11)$ | $0.0028(10)$ | $-0.0010(11)$ |
| C 6 | $0.0109(12)$ | $0.0130(13)$ | $0.0135(12)$ | $0.0008(10)$ | $0.0042(9)$ | $0.0017(10)$ |

Geometric parameters $\left(\AA,{ }^{\circ}\right)$

| $\mathrm{I} 1-\mathrm{Cu} 1$ | $2.6200(4)$ | $\mathrm{C} 2-\mathrm{H} 2$ | 0.9300 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{I} 1-\mathrm{Cu} 1^{\mathrm{i}}$ | $2.6563(4)$ | $\mathrm{C} 2-\mathrm{C} 3$ | $1.399(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{I} 1-\mathrm{Cu} 1^{\mathrm{ii}}$ | $2.6582(4)$ | $\mathrm{C} 3-\mathrm{C} 4$ | $1.398(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{Cu} 1-\mathrm{Cu} 1^{\mathrm{i}}$ | $2.7852(4)$ | $\mathrm{C} 3-\mathrm{C} 6$ | $1.472(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{Cu} 1-\mathrm{Cu} 1^{\mathrm{ii}}$ | $2.7852(4)$ | $\mathrm{C} 4-\mathrm{H} 4$ | 0.9300 |
| $\mathrm{Cu} 1-\mathrm{N} 1$ | $2.039(2)$ | $\mathrm{C} 4-\mathrm{C} 5$ | $1.384(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{N} 1-\mathrm{C} 1$ | $1.344(4)$ | $\mathrm{C} 5-\mathrm{H} 5$ | 0.9300 |


| N1-C5 | 1.343 (4) | C6- $\mathrm{C6}^{\text {iii }}$ | 1.329 (5) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C1-H1 | 0.9300 | C6-H6 | 0.9300 |
| C1-C2 | 1.386 (4) |  |  |
| $\mathrm{Cu}-\mathrm{Il}-\mathrm{Cu} 1^{\text {i }}$ | 63.719 (10) | C5-N1-C1 | 117.0 (2) |
| $\mathrm{Cul}-\mathrm{Il}-\mathrm{Cu}{ }^{\text {ii }}$ | 63.694 (10) | N1-C1-H1 | 118.4 |
| $\mathrm{Cu} 1^{\text {i }}-\mathrm{Il}-\mathrm{Cu} 1^{\text {ii }}$ | 100.106 (12) | $\mathrm{N} 1-\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{C} 2$ | 123.2 (2) |
| $\mathrm{I} 1-\mathrm{Cu} 1-\mathrm{I} 1^{\text {ii }}$ | 116.327 (13) | C2- $\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{H} 1$ | 118.4 |
| $\mathrm{I} 1-\mathrm{Cu} 1-\mathrm{I1}{ }^{\text {i }}$ | 116.263 (13) | $\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{C} 2-\mathrm{H} 2$ | 120.1 |
| $\mathrm{I} 1{ }^{\text {iii }}-\mathrm{Cul}-\mathrm{I} 1^{\mathrm{i}}$ | 100.105 (13) | C1-C2-C3 | 119.7 (3) |
| $\mathrm{I} 1-\mathrm{Cu} 1-\mathrm{Cu} 1^{\text {i }}$ | 58.776 (13) | C3-C2-H2 | 120.1 |
| $\mathrm{I} 1{ }^{\text {i }}-\mathrm{Cu} 1-\mathrm{Cu} 1^{\text {ii }}$ | 125.749 (19) | C2-C3-C6 | 119.6 (2) |
| $\mathrm{Il}^{\text {iii }}-\mathrm{Cu} 1-\mathrm{Cu} 1^{\text {ii }}$ | 57.506 (9) | C4-C3-C2 | 117.0 (2) |
| $\mathrm{I} 1^{\text {ii- }} \mathrm{Cu} 1-\mathrm{Cu} 1^{\text {i }}$ | 125.731 (19) | C4-C3-C6 | 123.4 (2) |
| $\mathrm{I} 1-\mathrm{Cu} 1-\mathrm{Cu}{ }^{1 i}$ | 58.820 (13) | C3-C4-H4 | 120.3 |
| $\mathrm{I} 1^{\mathrm{i}}-\mathrm{Cu} 1-\mathrm{Cu} 1^{\text {i }}$ | 57.487 (9) | C5-C4-C3 | 119.4 (2) |
| $\mathrm{Cu} 1^{\text {i }}-\mathrm{Cu} 1-\mathrm{Cu}{ }^{\text {ii }}$ | 94.010 (19) | C5-C4-H4 | 120.3 |
| N1-Cul- $1_{1}{ }^{\text {ii }}$ | 110.72 (7) | N1-C5-C4 | 123.7 (3) |
| N1-Cu1-I1 | 106.57 (6) | N1-C5-H5 | 118.2 |
| N1-Cu1-I1 ${ }^{\text {i }}$ | 106.46 (6) | C4-C5-H5 | 118.2 |
| $\mathrm{N} 1-\mathrm{Cu}-\mathrm{Cu1}{ }^{\text {ii }}$ | 127.29 (7) | C3-C6-H6 | 117.5 |
| $\mathrm{N} 1-\mathrm{Cu}-\mathrm{Cul}{ }^{\text {i }}$ | 122.60 (7) | C6 ${ }^{\text {iii }}-\mathrm{C} 6-\mathrm{C} 3$ | 124.9 (3) |
| $\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{N} 1-\mathrm{Cu} 1$ | 122.50 (18) | C6 iiii- $^{\text {- } 6-H 6 ~}$ | 117.5 |
| C5-N1-Cu1 | 120.53 (18) |  |  |
| $\mathrm{Cu} 1-\mathrm{N} 1-\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{C} 2$ | 178.0 (2) | C2-C3-C4-C5 | 0.5 (4) |
| $\mathrm{Cu} 1-\mathrm{N} 1-\mathrm{C} 5-\mathrm{C} 4$ | -177.6 (2) | $\mathrm{C} 2-\mathrm{C} 3-\mathrm{C} 6-\mathrm{C} 6^{\text {iii }}$ | -177.1 (3) |
| $\mathrm{N} 1-\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{C} 2-\mathrm{C} 3$ | 0.0 (5) | C3-C4-C5-N1 | -0.9 (5) |
| $\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{N} 1-\mathrm{C} 5-\mathrm{C} 4$ | 0.8 (4) | C4-C3-C6-C6 ${ }^{\text {iii }}$ | 3.2 (5) |
| $\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{C} 2-\mathrm{C} 3-\mathrm{C} 4$ | -0.1 (4) | $\mathrm{C} 5-\mathrm{N} 1-\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{C} 2$ | -0.3 (4) |
| C1-C2-C3-C6 | -179.8 (3) | C6-C3-C4-C5 | -179.8 (3) |

Symmetry codes: (i) $-x+3 / 2, y-1 / 2,-z+3 / 2$; (ii) $-x+3 / 2, y+1 / 2,-z+3 / 2$; (iii) $-x,-y+1,-z+1$.

