Acta Crystallographica Section F Structural Biology and Crystallization Communications

ISSN 1744-3091

## Jun Li,<sup>a</sup>‡ Wei Shen,<sup>a</sup>‡ Ming Liao<sup>b</sup>\* and Mark Bartlam<sup>a,c</sup>\*

<sup>a</sup>Laboratory of Structural Biology, Tsinghua University, Beijing 100084, People's Republic of China, <sup>b</sup>Laboratory of Avian Medicine, College of Veterinary Medicine, South China Agricultural University, Guangzhou 510642, People's Republic of China, and <sup>c</sup>National Laboratory of Biomacromolecules, Institute of Biophysics, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100101, People's Republic of China

**‡** These authors contributed equally to this work.

Correspondence e-mail: mliao@scau.edu.cn, bartlam@xtal.tsinghua.edu.cn

Received 10 November 2006 Accepted 4 December 2006



© 2007 International Union of Crystallography All rights reserved

# Preliminary crystallographic analysis of avian infectious bronchitis virus main protease

Infectious bronchitis virus (IBV) is the prototype of the genus *Coronavirus*. It causes a highly contagious disease which affects the respiratory, reproductive, neurological and renal systems of chickens, resulting great economic losses in the poultry industry worldwide. The coronavirus (CoV) main protease (M<sup>pro</sup>), which plays a pivotal role in viral gene expression and replication through a highly complex cascade involving the proteolytic processing of replicase polyproteins, is an attractive target for antiviral drug design. In this study, IBV M<sup>pro</sup> was overexpressed in *Escherichia coli*. Crystals suitable for X-ray crystallography have been obtained using microseeding techniques and belong to space group  $P6_122$ . X-ray diffraction data were collected in-house to 2.7 Å resolution from a single crystal. The unit-cell parameters were a = b = 119.1, c = 270.7 Å,  $\alpha = \beta = 90$ ,  $\gamma = 120^\circ$ . Three molecules were predicted to be present in the asymmetric unit from a calculated self-rotation function.

## 1. Introduction

Infectious bronchitis virus (IBV) is the prototype of the genus Coronavirus (Spaan & Cavanagh, 2004; Lai & Holmes, 2001), the members of which can infect humans and multiple species of animals, causing a variety of highly prevalent and severe diseases (Spaan & Cavanagh, 2004; Ziebuhr, 2005; Pereira, 1989; Lai & Holmes, 2001). For instance, a previously unknown human coronavirus called severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus (SARS-CoV), the emergence of which was most likely to have been the result of animalhuman transmission (Lau et al., 2005; Li et al., 2005), was identified as the aetiological agent of a global outbreak of a life-threatening form of pneumonia called severe acute respiratory syndrome (Peiris et al., 2003; Kuiken et al., 2003; Ksiazek et al., 2003; Drosten et al., 2003). From their genome sequences, coronaviruses can be classified into three distinct groups (Spaan & Cavanagh, 2004). IBV belongs to group III (Lai & Holmes, 2001), which only infects avian species, and leads to a highly contagious disease affecting the respiratory, reproductive, neurological and renal systems of chickens, resulting in a drop in egg production in adult birds and damaging the developing reproductive system in young birds. IBV infection predisposes chickens to infection with secondary pathogens. The incidence of IBV-related nephritis can result in high mortality rates (Siddell et al., 2005; Cavanagh, 2005; Ignjatovic & Sapats, 2000). IBV infection is prevalent in all countries with an intensive poultry industry, with the incidence of infection approaching 100% in most locations, causing great economic loss worldwide (Ignjatovic & Sapats, 2000). At the present time, control of IBV relies almost exclusively on vaccination and management (Holmes, 2001; Siddell et al., 2005). However, there are two major problems associated with the use of vaccines. Firstly, there are many serotypes of IBV; secondly, there is at least circumstantial evidence to suggest that new serotypes may emerge by recombination, possibly involving the live vaccine virus itself (Lee & Jackwood, 2000; Cavanagh, 2003).

The coronavirus main protease ( $M^{pro}$ ) is a chymotrypsin-like cysteine protease (Anand *et al.*, 2002; Yang *et al.*, 2003). It not only processes at its own flanking sites within the replicase polyproteins involved in viral RNA synthesis, but also directs the processing of all

Table 1		
Data-collection	and processing statistics.	

Values in parentheses are for the highest resolution shell.

Space group	P6 <sub>1</sub> 22
Unit-cell parameters (Å, °)	a = 119.1, b = 119.1, c = 270.7,
	$\alpha = 90, \ \beta = 90, \ \gamma = 120$
Wavelength (Å)	$\sim 1.0$
Resolution range (Å)	50-2.7
Observed reflections	357356
Unique reflections	31778
Completeness (%)	99.0 (98.8)
$\langle I/\sigma(I)\rangle$	21.8 (5.1)
$R_{\rm merge}$ † (%)	10.8 (41.8)

†  $R_{\text{merge}} = \sum_{hkl} \sum_i |I_i(hkl) - \langle I(hkl) \rangle | \sum_{hkl} \sum_i I_i(hkl)$ , where  $\langle I(hkl) \rangle$  is the mean of the observations  $I_i(hkl)$  of reflection hkl.

downstream domains of the replicase polyproteins *via* at least 11 conserved cleavage sites, mediating coronavirus replication and transcription (Anand *et al.*, 2005; Ziebuhr *et al.*, 2000; Ziebuhr, 2005). The functional importance and the absence of cellular homologues identifies it as an attractive target for anti-coronavrius drug design (Yang *et al.*, 2005; Anand *et al.*, 2005). To date, several crystal structures of coronavirus  $M^{pro}$ s have been reported for group I and II coronaviruses, which mostly infect mammals (Anand *et al.*, 2002, 2003; Yang *et al.*, 2003). However, there are no structures available for group III coronaviruses, which are distinct from those belonging to groups I and II. In this report, we describe the crystallization and preliminary crystallographic analysis of IBV  $M^{pro}$  as a prelude to the elucidation of its three-dimensional structure in order to provide a basis for rational drug design.

## 2. Materials and methods

## 2.1. Protein expression and purification

The cDNA encoding IBV M<sup>pro</sup> (M41 strain) was a gift from Professor Ming Liao (South China Agricultural University, People's Republic of China). The gene sequence encoding the IBV M<sup>pro</sup> was amplified using the PCR method with forward primer 5'-CGG-GATCCTCTGGTTTTAAGAAA-3' and reverse primer 5'-CCG-CTCGAGTCATTGTAATCTAACACC-3' and then inserted into the *Bam*HI and *Xho*I sites of the pGEX-4T-1 plasmid (Pharmacia, New York, USA). The resulting plasmid was then used to transform *Escherichia coli* BL21 (DE3) cells. The sequence of the insert was



#### Figure 1

Typical crystals of IBV M<sup>pro</sup> grown by the hanging-drop method in 2.5% PEG 4000, 12% 2-propanol, 0.1 *M* sodium cacodylate pH 6.5. Typical crystal dimensions are  $0.3 \times 0.06 \times 0.05$  mm.

verified by dideoxynucleotide sequencing. The positive clones harbouring the recombinant plasmid were grown to an  $OD_{600}$  of 0.6 at 310 K with shaking in 11 LB medium containing 0.1 mg ml<sup>-1</sup> ampicillin. The GST-fusion protein, GST-IBV M<sup>pro</sup>, was then expressed by introducing 0.5 m*M* IPTG and incubating at 289 K for 10 h. Cells were harvested by centrifugation, resuspended in lysis buffer (20 m*M* Tris–HCl pH 7.3, 140 m*M* NaCl, 2.7 m*M* KCl) and sonicated at 277 K. The cell lysate was centrifuged, the supernatant was collected and the fusion protein was purified by GST-glutathione affinity chromatography. The GST tag of the fusion protein was cleaved with GST-rhinovirus 3C protease. The recombinant IBV M<sup>pro</sup> was further purified using anion-exchange chromatography. The purified and concentrated IBV M<sup>pro</sup> (25 mg ml<sup>-1</sup>) was stored in 10 m*M* Tris–HCl pH 7.5, 1 m*M* DTT for crystallization.

## 2.2. Crystallization

The purified protein was concentrated to  $25 \text{ mg ml}^{-1}$  using a 5K Filtron ultrafiltration membrane. Protein concentrations were determined by absorbance at 280 nm. Hampton Research Crystal Screen kits were used to screen crystallization conditions and positive hits were then optimized. Each drop was formed by mixing equal volumes (1.5 µl) of protein solution and reservoir solution and was allowed to equilibrate via vapour diffusion over 400 µl reservoir solution at 291 K. After one month, we obtained a cluster of small crystals. Some small crystals were transferred into a microcentrifuge tube containing 20 µl stabilizing solution including 5% PEG 4000, 12% 2-propanol, 0.1 M sodium cacodylate pH 6.5. The crystals were then crushed with a 3 mm diameter PTFE bead by vortexing  $(20 \times 1 \text{ s cycles on a})$ Vortex mixer) and 180 µl of stabilizing solution was added to the suspension, making up the seed stock. The seeds in the seed stock were then transferred using a fine whisker to new drops that had been pre-equilibrated for 4-5 d. One week later, several single crystals appeared in the drops (Fig. 1).

## 2.3. Data collection and processing

Diffraction data were collected at the Beijing Synchrotron Radiation Facility (BSRF, Institute of High Energy Physics, Beijing, People's Republic of China) at a wavelength of ~1.0 Å with a MAR 345 (MAR Research, Hamburg) image-plate detector at 100 K. The crystal was mounted on a nylon loop and flash-cooled in a cold nitrogen-gas stream at 100 K using an Oxford Cryosystems cryostream. The cryoprotectant solution contained 20% glycerol, 2% PEG 4000, 9.6% 2-propanol, 0.08 *M* sodium cacodylate pH 6.5. A total of 120 frames of data were collected with an oscillation angle of 0.6° and an exposure time of 180 s for each image (Fig. 2). All intensity data were indexed, integrated and scaled with the *HKL*-2000 programs *DENZO* and *SCALEPACK* (Otwinowski & Minor, 1997).

## 3. Results and discussion

Initial microcrystals were obtained from condition No. 41 of Crystal Screen I (Hampton Research) containing 20% PEG 4000, 10% 2-propanol, 0.1 *M* Na HEPES pH 7.5. Optimization of the conditions yielded the best crystals from a solution containing 2.5% PEG 4000, 12% 2-propanol, 0.1 *M* sodium cacodylate pH 6.5, but the crystals were found to be unsuitable for X-ray diffraction. Crystal growth was further optimized by the microseeding technique and IBV M<sup>pro</sup> crystals subsequently diffracted to 2.7 Å resolution. The crystals belong to space group *P*6<sub>1</sub>22, with unit-cell parameters a = b = 119.1, c = 270.7 Å,  $\alpha = \beta = 90$ ,  $\gamma = 120^{\circ}$  (see Table 1). From 357 356 observed



Figure 2

A typical X-ray diffraction pattern from a crystal of IBV M<sup>pro</sup>. The diffraction image was collected on a MAR Research image-plate detector. An enlarged image is shown on the right.

reflections, 31 778 independent reflections were selected according to the criterion for observed reflections  $[I/\sigma(I) > 0]$ . Assuming the presence of three molecules in the asymmetric unit predicted from a self-rotation function calculated using *CCP*4 (Collaborative Computational Project, Number 4, 1994), the solvent content is estimated to be 55% and the Matthews coefficient ( $V_{\rm M}$ ; Matthews, 1968) 2.7 Å<sup>3</sup> Da<sup>-1</sup>. Selected data statistics are given in Table 1. The structural and functional analysis of IBV M<sup>pro</sup> will be published elsewhere.

We thank Professor Zihe Rao for generous support and Xiaoyu Xue, Qi Zhao and Haitao Yang for technical assistance. This work was supported by Project 973 of the Ministry of Science and Technology of China (grant No. 2006CB806503), the National Natural Science Foundation of China (grant No. 30221003), the Sino–German Center [grant No. GZ236(202/9)], the Sino–European Project on SARS Diagnostics and Antivirals (SEPSDA) of the European Commission (grant No. 003831), the Innovation Team Project of the Natural Science Foundation of Guangdong (grant No. 5200638) and the Knowledge Innovation Project of the Chinese Academy of Sciences (grant No. KSCX1-YW-R-05).

## References

- Anand, K., Palm, G. J., Mesters, J. R., Siddell, S. G., Ziebuhr, J. & Hilgenfeld, R. (2002). *EMBO J.* **21**, 3213–3224.
- Anand, K., Yang, H., Bartlam, M., Rao, Z. & Hilgenfeld, R. (2005). Coronaviruses with Special Emphasis on First Insights Concerning SARS, edited by A. Schmidt, M. H. Wolff & O. Weber, pp. 173–199. Basel: Birkhäuser Verlag.
- Anand, K., Ziebuhr, J., Wadhwani, P., Mesters, J. R. & Hilgenfeld, R. (2003). *Science*, **300**, 1763–1767.
- Cavanagh, D. (2003). Avian Pathol. 32, 567-582.
- Cavanagh, D. (2005). Avian Pathol. 34, 439-448.

- Collaborative Computational Project, Number 4 (1994). Acta Cryst. D50, 760–763.
- Drosten, C. et al. (2003). N. Engl. J. Med. 348, 1967-1976.
- Holmes, K. V. (2001). *Fields Virology*, edited by D. M. Knipe & P. M. Howley, pp. 1187–1203. Philadelphia: Lippincott Williams & Wilkins.
- Ignjatovic, J. & Sapats, S. (2000). Rev. Sci. Tech. 19, 493-508.
- Ksiazek, T. G. et al. (2003). N. Engl. J. Med. 348, 1953-1966.
- Kuiken, T. et al. (2003). Lancet, 362, 263-270.
- Lai, M. M. C. & Holmes, K. V. (2001). Fields Virology, edited by D. M. Knipe & P. M. Howley, pp. 1163–1179. Philadelphia: Lippincott Williams & Wilkins.
- Lau, S. K. P., Woo, P. C. Y., Li, K. S. M., Huang, Y., Tsoi, H.-W., Wong, B. H. L., Wong, S. S. Y., Leung, S.-Y., Chan, K.-H. & Yuen, K.-Y. (2005). Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA, 102, 14040–14045.
- Lee, C. W. & Jackwood, M. W. (2000). Arch. Virol. 145, 2135-2148.
- Li, W., Shi, Z., Yu, M., Ren, W., Smith, C., Epstein, J. H., Wang, H., Crameri, G., Hu, Z., Zhang, H., Zhang, J., McEachern, J., Field, H., Daszak, P., Eaton, B. T., Zhang, S. & Wang, L.-F. (2005). *Science*, **309**, 1864–1868.
- Matthews, B. W. (1968). J. Mol. Biol. 33, 491-497.
- Otwinowski, Z. & Minor, W. (1997). Methods Eznymol. 276, 307-326.
- D. N., Yung, R. W., Ng, T. K. & Yuen, K. Y. (2003). Lancet, **361**, 1319–1325. Pereira, H. G. (1989). Andrewes' Viruses of Vertebrates, edited by J. S.
- Porterfield, pp. 42–57. London: Baillière Tindall.
  Siddell, S. G., Ziebuhr, J. & Snijder, E. J. (2005). Topley and Wilson's Microbiology and Microbia Infections: Virology, 10th ed., edited by B. W. J. Mahy & V. ter Meulen, pp. 823–856. London: Hodder Arnold.
- Spaan, W. J. M. & Cavanagh, D. (2004). Virus Taxonomy. VIIIth Report of the ICTV, pp. 945–962. London: Elsevier/Academic Press.
- Yang, H., Xie, W., Xue, X., Yang, K., Ma, J., Liang, W., Zhao, Q., Zhou, Z., Pei, D., Ziebuhr, J., Hilgenfeld, R., Yuen, K. Y., Wong, L., Gao, G., Chen, S., Chen, Z., Ma, D., Bartlam, M. & Rao, Z. (2005). *PLoS Biol.* **3**, e324.
- Yang, H., Yang, M., Ding, Y., Liu, Y., Lou, Z., Zhou, Z., Sun, L., Mo, L., Ye, S., Pang, H., Gao, G. F., Anand, K., Bartlam, M., Hilgenfeld, R. & Rao, Z. (2003). Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA, 100, 13190–13195.
- Ziebuhr, J. (2005). Curr. Top. Microbiol. Immunol. 287, 57-94.
- Ziebuhr, J., Snijder, E. J. & Gorbalenya, A. E. (2000). J. Gen. Virol. 81, 853–879.