



2050 5050

Received 30 November 2023 Accepted 19 February 2024

Edited by S. P. Kelley, University of Missouri-Columbia, USA

Keywords: crystal structure; binuclear copper; 3,5-diisopropylsalicylate; dimethyl sulfoxide..

CCDC reference: 2333981

Supporting information: this article has supporting information at journals.iucr.org/e





Crystal structure of tetrakis(µ-2-hydroxy-3,5-diisopropylbenzoato)bis[(dimethyl sulfoxide)copper(II)]

Daniel G. Shlian,^a Rachael H. Summers,^b Katelyn Martinez^b and Rita K. Upmacis^b*

^aDepartment of Chemistry, Columbia University, New York, NY 10027, USA, and ^bDepartment of Chemistry & Physical Sciences, Pace University, New York, NY 10038, USA. *Correspondence e-mail: rupmacis@pace.edu

Metal complexes of 3,5-diisopropylsalicylate are reported to have anti-inflammatory and anti-convulsant activities. The title binuclear copper complex, $[Cu_2(C_{13}H_{17}O_3)_4(C_2H_6OS)_2]$ or $[Cu(II)_2(3,5-DIPS)_4(DMSO)_2]$, contains two five-coordinate copper atoms that are bridged by four 3,5-diisopropylsalicylate ligands and capped by two axial dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) moieties. Each copper atom is attached to four oxygen atoms in an almost square-planar fashion, with the addition of a DMSO ligand in an apical position leading to a square-pyramidal arrangement. The hydroxy group of the diisopropylsalicylate ligands participates in intramolecular $O-H\cdots O$ hydrogen-bonding interactions.

1. Chemical context

A variety of binuclear Cu^{II} complexes bound to carboxylate moieties and donor ligands are known (Doedens, 1976). These include, for instance, Cu^{II} complexes with dialkylsalicylates (Morgant et al., 2000; Benisvy et al., 2006; Seguin et al., 2021) and non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs) (Dendrinou-Samara et al., 1990; Kovala-Demertzi et al., 1997; Guessous et al., 1998; Greenaway et al., 1999; Viossat et al., 2003, 2005). With regard to Cu^{II} complexes with dialkylsalicylates, several complexes containing 3,5-diisopropylsalicylate (3,5-DIPS) of the type $[Cu(II)_2(3,5-DIPS)_4(L)_2]$, in which L is a donor molecule, are known and have been characterized by electron paramagnetic resonance (EPR), infrared (IR) and ultraviolet-visible (UV-Vis) spectroscopies (Greenaway et al., 1988). However, compounds featuring dimethyl formamide (DMF) and diethylether giving rise to $[Cu(II)_2(3,5-DIPS)_4(DMF)_2]$ and $[Cu(II)_2(3,5-DIPS)_4 (OEt_2)_2$], respectively, have been characterized by X-ray diffraction (Morgant et al., 2000).

In contrast to the binuclear structures of these copper compounds, the structure of the zinc counterpart that is obtained from dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) is mononuclear, $[Zn(II)(3,5-DIPS)_2(DMSO)_2]$, as determined by X-ray crystallography (Morgant *et al.*, 1998). Since Cu^{II} and Zn^{II} complexes of 3,5-DIPS are of interest because they inhibit polymorphonuclear leukocyte oxidative metabolism *in vitro* and have anticonvulsant activity (Morgant *et al.*, 1998, 2000), it is pertinent to determine the structure of the corresponding copper complex. Therefore, herein, we describe the X-ray crystallography structure of the binuclear copper complex, $[Cu(II)_2(3,5-DIPS)_4(DMSO)_2]$, which is obtained from a solution of copper(II) 3,5-diisopropylsalicylate hydrate in DMSO.



2. Structural commentary

The structure of $[Cu(II)_2(3,5-DIPS)_4(DMSO)_2]$, shown in Fig. 1, reveals that the compound is a centrosymmetric binuclear complex containing two copper atoms, with a Cu···Cu distance of 2.6170 (7) Å, that are bridged by four 3,5-diisopropylsalicylate (DIPS) ligands. The internal symmetry element (inversion center) allows for half of the complex to be represented in the asymmetric unit. As found with other [Cu(II)(3,5-DIPS)] compounds, the OH moiety attached to the aromatic ring is not involved in bonding to the copper centers (Ranford *et al.*, 1993; Morgant *et al.*, 2000). Each Cu atom forms an almost square-planar geometry with four oxygen atoms from the carboxylate groups of the 3,5-DIPS moieties, with Cu–O distances ranging between 1.958 (2) and 1.972 (2) Å. The O–Cu–O angles range from 88.12 (9) to



Figure 1

Crystal structure of $[Cu(II)_2(3,5-DIPS)_4(DMSO)_2]$. For clarity, hydrogen atoms on carbon have been omitted. The OH group is disordered over two sites on each aromatic ring, namely C13/C17 and C33/C37, with site occupancy ratios of 0.723 (6):0.277 (6) and 0.859 (5):0.141 (5), respectively; for clarity, only the major component with its hydrogen-bonding interactions is illustrated. Displacement ellipsoids are shown at the 30% probability level. 90.21 (9)° for *cis* and 168.77 (7) to 168.80 (8)° for *trans* positions, indicating that the arrangement is close to an idealized square-planar geometry.

Each Cu atom is also capped by a DMSO ligand in the apical position with a Cu–OSMe₂ distance of 2.1226 (19) Å leading to a square-pyramidal arrangement. The O11–Cu–OSMe₂, O12–Cu–OSMe₂, O31–Cu–OSMe₂ and O32–Cu–OSMe₂ angles range from 95.41 (8) to 95.79 (7)°, indicating a slight deviation from the 90° angle expected for an idealized square-pyramidal arrangement. In accord with this description, the τ_5 geometry index (Addison *et al.*, 1984) for the [CuO₅] moiety is close to zero (0.00005); for reference, a τ_5 geometry index of 0.00 corresponds to a square-pyramidal geometry while a value of 1.00 corresponds to an idealized trigonal–bipyramidal geometry (Addison *et al.*, 1984; Palmer & Parkin, 2014).

The OH group is disordered over two sites on each aromatic ring, namely C13/C17 and C33/C37, with site occupancy ratios of 0.723 (6):0.277 (6) and 0.859 (5):0.141 (5), respectively. This type of disorder has previously been observed for other [Cu(II)(3,5-DIPS)] compounds, such as $[Cu(II)_2(3,5-DIPS)_4-(DMF)_2]$ and mononuclear $[Cu(II)(3,5-DIPS)_2(1,10-phenan-throline)]$ (Morgant *et al.*, 2000; Ranford *et al.*, 1993). For comparison, the OH group disorder for $[Cu(II)_2(3,5-DIPS)_4(DMF)_2]$ occurs in a 64:36 ratio for each 3,5-DIPS ligand (Morgant *et al.*, 2000), while for the mononuclear Cu structure containing 1,10-phenanthroline, the disorder occurs in a 60:40 ratio (Ranford *et al.*, 1993).

3. Supramolecular features

Fig. 2 shows the packing in the unit cell. There are no significant intermolecular interactions. However, the structure displays hydrogen-bonding interactions within the molecule, which are those between the aromatic OH groups and an oxygen atom of the carboxylate group within the 3,5-DIPS ligand. The hydrogen bond $O-H\cdots O$ distances and angles for O13-H···O11, O13A-H···O12, O33-H···O31 and



Figure 2 Unit-cell packing diagram of [Cu(II)₂(3,5-DIPS)₄(DMSO)₂].

Table 1Hydrogen-bond geometry (Å, $^{\circ}$).

$D - H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	D-H	$H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	$D \cdots A$	$D - H \cdots A$
O13−H13B···O11	0.75 (3)	1.91 (3)	2.568 (4)	147 (4)
O13A−H13C···O12	0.75 (3)	1.90 (4)	2.458 (8)	131 (4)
O33−H33 <i>B</i> ···O31	0.74 (3)	1.90 (3)	2.567 (3)	149 (4)
O33A−H33C···O32	0.75 (4)	1.90 (4)	2.511 (14)	138 (5)

 $O33A - H \cdots O32$ are reported in Table 1. As a result of the OH disorder observed, there are two $O - H \cdots O$ distances recorded for each aromatic ring.

The intramolecular hydrogen-bond distances and angles reported in Table 1 are within the typical range of other reported $[Cu(II)_2(3,5-DIPS)_4(L)_2]$ compounds. For instance, the hydrogen-bond $O-H\cdots O$ distances and angles reported for $[Cu(II)_2(3,5-DIPS)_4(DMF)_2]$ range from 2.493 (4) to 2.559 (4) Å and 137.0 to 147.6°, respectively (Morgant *et al.*, 2000).

Similar intramolecular hydrogen-bond $O-H\cdots O$ distances and angles are also reported for related binuclear copper(II) compounds containing 3,5-diisobutylsalicylate (3,5-DIBS) that also bear a ring molecule with *ortho* carboxylic and alcohol functional groups. For example, $[Cu(II)_2(3,5-DIBS)_4(CH_3-OH)_2]$ displays intramolecular hydrogen-bond $O-H\cdots O$ distances ranging from 2.575 (6) to 2.565 (6) Å, and $O-H\cdots O$ angles between 131 and 146° (Benisvy *et al.*, 2006).

4. Database survey

Crystal structures of 3,5-diisopropylsalicylate copper(II) complexes bound to additional axial donors (*L*) of the form $[Cu(II)_2(3,5-DIPS)_4(L)_2]$ are known, and include the DMF and diethylether ligated compounds (Morgant *et al.*, 2000). The title compound, as well as others containing different solvent molecules, such as the diaqua variant, have been previously characterized as $[Cu(II)_2(3,5-DIPS)_4(L)_2]$ compounds, but crystal structures were not published (Greenaway *et al.*, 1988; Ranford *et al.*, 1993).

Other ternary Cu^{II} complexes containing solvents bound in the axial positions where 3,5-DIPS is replaced by non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs) of the form [Cu(II)₂-(NSAID)₄(L)₂] are also known. These include: [Cu(II)₂-(naproxen)₄(DMSO)₂] (Dendrinou-Samara *et al.*, 1990); [Cu(II)₂(diclofenac)₄(DMF)₂] (Kovala-Demertzi *et al.*, 1997); [Cu(II)₂(indomethacinate)₄(DMF)₂] (Guessous *et al.*, 1998); [Cu(II)₂(niflumate)₄(DMSO)₂] (Greenaway *et al.*, 1999); $[Cu(II)_2(aspirinate)_4(DMSO)_2]$ (Viossat *et al.*, 2003) and $[Cu(II)_2(niflumate)_4(H_2O)_2\cdot 4DMA]$ (DMA = dimethylacetamide; Viossat *et al.*, 2005). Notably, the title compound has similar structural features to previously characterized NSAID analogs (Table 2).

Related ternary binuclear copper(II) containing 3,5-diisobutylsalicylate (3,5-DIBS) compounds that contain solvent ligands are also known. For instance, compounds such as $[Cu(II)_2(3,5-DIBS)_4(CH_3OH)_2]$ and $[Cu(II)_2(3,5-DIBS)_4-(EtOH)_2]$ have also been characterized (Benisvy *et al.*, 2006; Seguin *et al.*, 2021).

In contrast to these binuclear copper structures, other motifs are observed for different metals. For example, the zinc compound contains a mononuclear zinc center surrounded by two 3,5-DIPS ligands and two DMSO solvent molecules of the form $[Zn(II)(3,5-DIPS)_2(DMSO)_2]$ (Morgant *et al.*, 1998). The Zn^{II} complex of 3,5-DIPS has anticonvulsant activity and inhibits polymorphonuclear leukocyte oxidative bursts *in vitro* (Morgant *et al.*, 1998). The (3,5-DIPS) compounds of Fe and Mn also exhibit anti-oxidant activity (Tavadyan *et al.*, 2004).

5. Synthesis and crystallization

A green block of $[Cu(II)_2(3,5-DIPS)_4(DMSO)_2]$ suitable for X-ray diffraction was obtained by directing a flow of air above a solution of copper(II) 3,5-diisopropylsalicylate hydrate (0.07 g, 0.14 mmol) in DMSO (15 mL) over several days at room temperature. In the absence of a flow of air, crystals were also obtained over a period of 11 months.

6. Refinement

Crystal data, data collection and structure refinement details are summarized in Table 3. Disordered groups were treated using fully constrained refinement (site occupancies, coordinates, thermal parameters) with *SHELXTL* (Version 2014/7; Sheldrick, 2008). Hydrogen atoms on carbon were placed in calculated positions (C-H = 0.95–1.00 Å) and included as riding contributions with isotropic displacement parameters $U_{iso}(H) = 1.2U_{eq}(Csp^2)$ or $1.5U_{eq}(Csp^3)$. The disorder of the hydroxyl groups was modeled such that the sum of their site occupancies is 1.0.

Table 2

Comparison of selected structural characteristics (Å, °) of ternary Cu^{II} complexes with various axial ligands (L = DMSO, DMF, OEt₂, H₂O).

Compound	$Cu{\cdot}\cdot{\cdot}Cu$	C-O (basal)	C-O (axial)	Cu-O-C	0-C-0	Reference
[Cu(II)2(naproxen)4(DMSO)2]	2.629(1)	1.995 (4) 1.958 (4)	2.155 (5) 2.123 (5)	123.1 (4) 121.7 (4)	125.7 (5) 126.2 (5)	Dendrinou-Samara et al. (1990)
[Cu(II)2(diclofenac)4(DMF)2]	2.6265 (8)	1.981 (2) 1.953 (2)	2.122 (2)	124.7 (2) 121.2 (2)	125.5 (3)	Kovala-Demertzi et al. (1997)
[Cu(II)2(indomethacinate)4(DMF)2]	2.629 (2)	1.956 (7) 1.967 (7)	2.154 (6)	122.3 (6) 123.6 (6)	125.1 (9) 125.9 (8)	Guessous et al. (1998)
[Cu(II)2(niflumate)4(DMSO)2]	2.6272 (5)	1.952 (2) 1.968 (2)	2.152 (2)	117.2 (2) 130.5 (2)	123.8 (2) 124.1 (2)	Greenaway et al. (1999)
[Cu(II) ₂ (3,5-DIPS) ₄ (DMF) ₂]	2.6139 (9)	1.950 (2) 1.967 (2)	2.129 (2)	121.9 (2) 125.29 (2)	123.8 (3) 123.9 (3)	Morgant et al. (2000)
$[Cu(II)_2(3,5-DIPS)_4(OEt)_2]$	2.613 (1)	1.948 (3) 1.957 (3)	2.230 (3)	119.7 (3) 127.0 (3)	124.0 (4) 124.1 (4)	Morgant et al. (2000)
[Cu(II)2(aspirinate)4(DMF)2]	2.6154 (4)	1.953 (1) 1.971 (1)	2.154 (1)	119.(1) 125.2 (1)	125.7 (2) 125.8 (2)	Viossat et al. (2003)
[Cu(II)2(niflumate)4(H2O)2]·4DMA	2.6439 (7)	1.952 (2) 1.970 (2)	2.128 (2)	120.9 (2) 127.2 (2)	123.8 (3) 124.6 (3)	Viossat et al. (2005)
[Cu(II) ₂ (3,5-DIPS) ₄ (DMSO) ₂]	2.6170 (7)	1.958 (2) 1.972 (2)	2.1226 (19)	122.04 (19) 125.71 (19)	123.3 (3) 123.3 (3)	This work

Acknowledgements

Gerard Parkin (Columbia University) is thanked for helpful discussions. RKU would like to thank Pace University for Scholarly Research support awards. KM would like to thank the Collegiate Science and Technology Program of Pace University for financial support.

References

- Addison, A. W., Rao, T. N., Reedijk, J., van Rijn, J. & Verschoor, G. C. (1984). J. Chem. Soc. Dalton Trans. pp. 1349–1356.
- Benisvy, L., Mutikainen, I., Quesada, M., Turpeinen, U., Gamez, P. & Reedijk, J. (2006). *Chem. Commun.* pp. 3723–3725.
- Bruker (2014). APEX2 and SAINT. Bruker AXS Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.
- Dendrinou-Samara, C., Kessissoglou, D. P., Manoussakis, G. E., Mentzafos, D. & Terzis, A. (1990). J. Chem. Soc. Dalton Trans. pp. 959–965.
- Doedens, R. J. (1976). Structure and Metal–Metal interactions in Copper(II) Carboxylate Complexes. In Progress in Inorganic Chemistry, Vol. 21, edited by S. J. Lippard, pp. 209-231. New York: John Wiley & Sons, Inc.
- Greenaway, F. T., Joseph Norris, L. & Sorenson, J. R. J. (1988). Inorg. Chim. Acta, 145, 279–284.
- Greenaway, F. T., Riviere, E., Girerd, J. J., Labouze, X., Morgant, G., Viossat, B., Daran, J. C., Roch Arveiller, M. & Dung, N. H. (1999). J. Inorg. Biochem. 76, 19–27.
- Guessous, F., Daran, J.-C. B. V., Viossat, B., Morgant, G., Labouze, X., Leroy, A. L., Roch-Arveiller, M. & Dung, N. H. (1998). *Met.-Based Drugs*, 5, 337–345.
- Kovala-Demertzi, D., Theodorou, A., Demertzis, M. A., Raptopoulou, C. P. & Terzis, A. (1997). J. Inorg. Biochem. 65, 151– 157.
- Krause, L., Herbst-Irmer, R., Sheldrick, G. M. & Stalke, D. (2015). J. Appl. Cryst. 48, 3–10.
- Morgant, G., Dung, N. H., Daran, J. C., Viossat, B., Labouze, X., Roch-Arveiller, M., Greenaway, F. T., Cordes, W. & Sorenson, J. R. (2000). J. Inorg. Biochem. **81**, 11–22.
- Morgant, G., Viossat, B., Daran, J. C., Roch-Arveiller, M., Giroud, J. P., Nguyen, H. D. & Sorenson, J. R. J. (1998). *J. Inorg. Biochem.* **70**, 137–143.
- Palmer, J. H. & Parkin, G. (2014). Dalton Trans. 43, 13874-13882.
- Ranford, J. D., Sadler, P. J. & Tocher, D. A. (1993). J. Chem. Soc. Dalton Trans. pp. 3393–3399.

Table 3

Experimental details.

 $\Delta \rho_{\text{max}}, \Delta \rho_{\text{min}} \text{ (e Å}^{-3})$

Crystal data	
Chemical formula	$[Cu_2(C_{13}H_{17}O_3)_4(C_2H_6OS)_2]$
M _r	1168.40
Crystal system, space group	Triclinic, $P\overline{1}$
Temperature (K)	180
<i>a</i> , <i>b</i> , <i>c</i> (Å)	10.2990 (17), 11.734 (2), 12.846 (2)
α, β, γ (°)	87.275 (3), 88.918 (3), 72.096 (2)
$V(Å^3)$	1475.6 (4)
Ζ	1
Radiation type	Μο Κα
$\mu (\text{mm}^{-1})$	0.85
Crystal size (mm)	$0.13\times0.08\times0.05$
Data collection	
Diffractometer	Bruker APEXII CCD
Absorption correction	Empirical (using intensity measurements) (SADABS;
	Krause <i>et al.</i> , 2015)
T_{\min}, T_{\max}	0.692, 0.746
No. of measured, independent and	19892, 6767, 4879
observed $[I > 2\sigma(I)]$ reflections	
R _{int}	0.046
$(\sin \theta / \lambda)_{\max} (\text{\AA}^{-1})$	0.649
Refinement	
$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)], wR(F^2), S$	0.047, 0.130, 1.09
No. of reflections	6767
No. of parameters	367
No. of restraints	12
H-atom treatment	H atoms treated by a mixture of
	independent and constrained
	refinement

Computer programs: APEX2 and SAINT (Bruker, 2014), SHELXS97 and SHELXTL (Sheldrick 2008), and SHELXL2014/7 (Sheldrick, 2015).

0.61, -0.52

- Seguin, A. K., Wrighton-Araneda, K., Cortés-Arriagada, D., Cruz, C., Venegas-Yazigi, D. & Paredes-García, V. (2021). J. Mol. Struct. 1224, 129172.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (2008). Acta Cryst. A64, 112-122.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (2015). Acta Cryst. C71, 3-8.
- Tavadyan, L. A., Sedrakyan, G. Z., Minasyan, S. H., Greenaway, F. T. & Sorenson, J. R. J. (2004). *Transition Met. Chem.* 29, 684–696.
- Viossat, B., Daran, J. C., Savouret, G., Morgant, G., Greenaway, F. T., Dung, N. H., Pham-Tran, V. A. & Sorenson, J. R. J. (2003). *J. Inorg. Biochem.* 96, 375–385.
- Viossat, B., Greenaway, F. T., Morgant, G., Daran, J. C., Dung, N. H. & Sorenson, J. R. J. (2005). J. Inorg. Biochem. 99, 355–367.

Acta Cryst. (2024). E80, 335-338 [https://doi.org/10.1107/S205698902400166X]

Crystal structure of tetrakis(*µ*-2-hydroxy-3,5-diisopropylbenzoato)bis[(dimethyl sulfoxide)copper(II)]

Daniel G. Shlian, Rachael H. Summers, Katelyn Martinez and Rita K. Upmacis

Computing details

Tetrakis(µ-2-hydroxy-3,5-diisopropylbenzoato)bis[(dimethyl sulfoxide)copper(II)]

Crystal data

 $[Cu_{2}(C_{13}H_{17}O_{3})_{4}(C_{2}H_{6}OS)_{2}]$ $M_{r} = 1168.40$ Triclinic, $P\overline{1}$ a = 10.2990 (17) Å b = 11.734 (2) Å c = 12.846 (2) Å $a = 87.275 (3)^{\circ}$ $\beta = 88.918 (3)^{\circ}$ $\gamma = 72.096 (2)^{\circ}$ $V = 1475.6 (4) Å^{3}$

Data collection

Bruker APEXII CCD
diffractometer
φ and ω scans
Absorption correction: empirical (using
intensity measurements)
(SADABS; Krause et al., 2015)
$T_{\min} = 0.692, \ T_{\max} = 0.746$
19892 measured reflections

Refinement

Refinement on F^2 Least-squares matrix: full $R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.047$ $wR(F^2) = 0.130$ S = 1.096767 reflections 367 parameters 12 restraints Z = 1 F(000) = 618 $D_x = 1.315 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$ Mo K α radiation, $\lambda = 0.71073 \text{ Å}$ Cell parameters from 6990 reflections $\theta = 2.3-28.4^{\circ}$ $\mu = 0.85 \text{ mm}^{-1}$ T = 180 K Block, green $0.13 \times 0.08 \times 0.05 \text{ mm}$

6767 independent reflections 4879 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$ $R_{int} = 0.046$ $\theta_{max} = 27.5^{\circ}, \theta_{min} = 1.6^{\circ}$ $h = -13 \rightarrow 13$ $k = -15 \rightarrow 15$ $l = -16 \rightarrow 16$

Hydrogen site location: mixed H atoms treated by a mixture of independent and constrained refinement $w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.0587P)^2 + 0.2858P]$ where $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$ $(\Delta/\sigma)_{max} = 0.001$ $\Delta\rho_{max} = 0.61$ e Å⁻³ $\Delta\rho_{min} = -0.52$ e Å⁻³

Special details

Geometry. All esds (except the esd in the dihedral angle between two l.s. planes) are estimated using the full covariance matrix. The cell esds are taken into account individually in the estimation of esds in distances, angles and torsion angles; correlations between esds in cell parameters are only used when they are defined by crystal symmetry. An approximate (isotropic) treatment of cell esds is used for estimating esds involving l.s. planes.

Refinement. X-ray diffraction data were collected on a Bruker APEXII diffractometer using Mo-K α radiation. The structures were solved by using direct methods and standard difference map techniques and were refined by full-matrix least-squares procedures on F^2 with SHELXTL (Version 2014/7).

	x	У	Ζ	$U_{ m iso}$ */ $U_{ m eq}$	Occ. (<1)
Cu	0.37845 (3)	0.49460 (3)	0.47832 (2)	0.02804 (12)	
S	0.17501 (7)	0.37569 (7)	0.38084 (6)	0.0393 (2)	
01	0.18432 (19)	0.48200 (18)	0.43872 (16)	0.0389 (5)	
C1	0.0029 (3)	0.4164 (3)	0.3402 (3)	0.0507 (9)	
H1A	-0.0116	0.3512	0.3016	0.076*	
H1B	-0.0573	0.4310	0.4014	0.076*	
H1C	-0.0178	0.4894	0.2951	0.076*	
C2	0.1689 (4)	0.2664 (4)	0.4795 (4)	0.0768 (14)	
H2A	0.1627	0.1942	0.4472	0.115*	
H2B	0.2518	0.2461	0.5218	0.115*	
H2C	0.0888	0.2984	0.5240	0.115*	
011	0.6237 (2)	0.56862 (18)	0.37685 (15)	0.0372 (5)	
012	0.4147 (2)	0.56118 (19)	0.34213 (15)	0.0399 (5)	
013	0.7689 (3)	0.6204 (3)	0.2281 (3)	0.0555 (12)	0.723 (6)
H13B	0.750 (4)	0.609 (4)	0.284 (3)	0.050 (10)*	0.723 (6)
O13A	0.3219 (9)	0.6118 (9)	0.1651 (6)	0.053 (3)	0.277 (6)
H13C	0.317 (9)	0.579 (10)	0.215 (4)	0.050 (10)*	0.277 (6)
C11	0.5242 (3)	0.5824 (2)	0.3152 (2)	0.0347 (6)	
C12	0.5380 (3)	0.6236 (3)	0.2058 (2)	0.0373 (7)	
C13	0.6608 (3)	0.6379 (3)	0.1690 (2)	0.0427 (7)	
H13	0.7350	0.6248	0.2157	0.051*	0.277 (6)
C14	0.6762 (4)	0.6711 (3)	0.0650(3)	0.0577 (10)	
C15	0.5636 (5)	0.6937 (3)	0.0008 (3)	0.0617 (10)	
H15A	0.5717	0.7184	-0.0698	0.074*	
C16	0.4389 (5)	0.6821 (3)	0.0352 (3)	0.0624 (11)	
C17	0.4288 (4)	0.6456 (3)	0.1381 (3)	0.0516 (9)	
H17	0.3455	0.6355	0.1630	0.062*	0.723 (6)
C21	0.8506 (7)	0.6300 (7)	-0.0806 (5)	0.161 (3)	
H21A	0.8511	0.5462	-0.0773	0.242*	
H21B	0.7839	0.6758	-0.1325	0.242*	
H21C	0.9415	0.6338	-0.1005	0.242*	
C22	0.8122 (5)	0.6828 (4)	0.0253 (3)	0.0814 (14)	
H22A	0.8843	0.6370	0.0758	0.098*	
C23	0.8107 (5)	0.8131 (5)	0.0221 (4)	0.0937 (16)	
H23A	0.7858	0.8459	0.0910	0.141*	
H23B	0.9015	0.8176	0.0026	0.141*	

Fractional atomic coordinates and isotropic or equivalent isotropic displacement parameters (A^2)

H23C	0.7439	0.8596	-0.0294	0.141*	
C24	0.3386 (9)	0.6856 (6)	-0.1406 (5)	0.208 (5)	
H24A	0.4008	0.6039	-0.1461	0.313*	
H24B	0.2521	0.6921	-0.1746	0.313*	
H24C	0.3798	0.7427	-0.1746	0.313*	
C25	0.3147 (7)	0.7109 (6)	-0.0361 (4)	0.109 (2)	
H25A	0.2630	0.6559	-0.0095	0.131*	
C26	0.2219 (6)	0.8322 (9)	-0.0186 (5)	0.188 (4)	
H26A	0.2098	0.8436	0.0564	0.282*	
H26B	0.2610	0.8920	-0.0503	0.282*	
H26C	0.1333	0.8415	-0.0504	0.282*	
O31	0.31003 (18)	0.65648 (16)	0.53077 (16)	0.0351 (5)	
032	0.51797 (18)	0.66681 (17)	0.56497 (15)	0.0338 (4)	
033	0.0967 (2)	0.8246 (2)	0.5838 (2)	0.0379 (7)	0.859 (5)
H33B	0.138 (3)	0.772 (3)	0.555 (3)	0.050 (10)*	0.859 (5)
O33A	0.5527 (15)	0.8378 (14)	0.6593 (16)	0.054 (6)	0.141 (5)
H33C	0.578 (12)	0.789 (14)	0.622 (14)	0.050 (10)*	0.141 (5)
C31	0.3898 (3)	0.7105 (2)	0.5652 (2)	0.0295 (6)	
C32	0.3274 (3)	0.8303 (2)	0.6083 (2)	0.0286 (6)	
C33	0.1852 (3)	0.8809 (2)	0.6158 (2)	0.0304 (6)	
H33	0.1274	0.8388	0.5912	0.036*	0.141 (5)
C34	0.1278 (3)	0.9922 (3)	0.6591 (2)	0.0313 (6)	
C35	0.2159 (3)	1.0517 (2)	0.6925 (2)	0.0320 (6)	
H35A	0.1779	1.1284	0.7207	0.038*	
C36	0.3580 (3)	1.0038 (2)	0.6866 (2)	0.0317 (6)	
C37	0.4114 (3)	0.8930 (2)	0.6445 (2)	0.0320 (6)	
H37	0.5076	0.8585	0.6401	0.038*	0.859 (5)
C41	-0.0798 (3)	1.1751 (3)	0.6886 (3)	0.0557 (9)	
H41A	-0.1792	1.1996	0.6968	0.084*	
H41B	-0.0387	1.1936	0.7513	0.084*	
H41C	-0.0558	1.2185	0.6279	0.084*	
C42	-0.0267 (3)	1.0413 (3)	0.6728 (2)	0.0398 (7)	
H42A	-0.0692	1.0259	0.6078	0.048*	
C43	-0.0731 (3)	0.9727 (4)	0.7631 (3)	0.0625 (11)	
H43A	-0.0383	0.8864	0.7522	0.094*	
H43B	-0.0377	0.9899	0.8288	0.094*	
H43C	-0.1730	0.9978	0.7659	0.094*	
C44	0.5460 (4)	1.0940 (3)	0.6422 (3)	0.0520 (9)	
H44A	0.4937	1.1331	0.5803	0.078*	
H44B	0.5954	1.1459	0.6685	0.078*	
H44C	0.6112	1.0174	0.6236	0.078*	
C45	0.4495 (3)	1.0721 (3)	0.7256 (3)	0.0394 (7)	
H45A	0.3888	1.1524	0.7456	0.047*	
C46	0.5272 (4)	1.0117 (3)	0.8226 (3)	0.0575 (10)	
H46C	0.4628	0.9984	0.8754	0.086*	
H46D	0.5923	0.9345	0.8050	0.086*	
H46A	0.5765	1.0630	0.8499	0.086*	

Atomic displacement parameters $(Å^2)$

	U^{11}	<i>U</i> ²²	<i>U</i> ³³	U^{12}	<i>U</i> ¹³	U ²³
Cu	0.02434 (18)	0.02916 (19)	0.0328 (2)	-0.01076 (14)	0.00134 (13)	-0.00635 (14)
S	0.0299 (4)	0.0473 (5)	0.0447 (5)	-0.0169 (3)	-0.0029 (3)	-0.0084 (4)
01	0.0289 (10)	0.0425 (12)	0.0486 (13)	-0.0146 (9)	0.0000 (9)	-0.0120 (10)
C1	0.0322 (16)	0.071 (2)	0.054 (2)	-0.0201 (16)	-0.0063 (14)	-0.0122 (18)
C2	0.077 (3)	0.070 (3)	0.097 (3)	-0.047 (2)	-0.037 (2)	0.035 (2)
011	0.0368 (11)	0.0413 (12)	0.0333 (11)	-0.0120 (9)	0.0022 (9)	-0.0004 (9)
O12	0.0422 (12)	0.0466 (13)	0.0357 (11)	-0.0206 (10)	-0.0020 (9)	-0.0023 (9)
013	0.0343 (18)	0.073 (3)	0.047 (2)	-0.0008 (16)	0.0075 (15)	0.0165 (18)
O13A	0.055 (6)	0.085 (7)	0.030 (5)	-0.038 (5)	-0.010 (4)	0.010 (4)
C11	0.0403 (17)	0.0274 (15)	0.0356 (16)	-0.0086 (13)	0.0035 (13)	-0.0070 (12)
C12	0.0453 (17)	0.0332 (16)	0.0315 (16)	-0.0090 (13)	0.0031 (13)	-0.0056 (12)
C13	0.0461 (19)	0.0387 (17)	0.0379 (17)	-0.0054 (14)	0.0068 (14)	-0.0040 (14)
C14	0.066 (2)	0.050 (2)	0.048 (2)	-0.0044 (18)	0.0175 (18)	0.0020 (17)
C15	0.094 (3)	0.055 (2)	0.0348 (19)	-0.020 (2)	0.009 (2)	-0.0002 (16)
C16	0.095 (3)	0.063 (3)	0.0359 (19)	-0.034 (2)	-0.0154 (19)	0.0015 (17)
C17	0.067 (2)	0.058 (2)	0.0380 (19)	-0.0307 (19)	-0.0072 (16)	-0.0014 (16)
C21	0.177 (7)	0.184 (7)	0.138 (6)	-0.074 (6)	0.114 (5)	-0.078 (5)
C22	0.069 (3)	0.098 (4)	0.057 (3)	0.000 (2)	0.034 (2)	0.018 (2)
C23	0.070 (3)	0.135 (5)	0.087 (4)	-0.048 (3)	0.016 (3)	-0.005 (3)
C24	0.321 (12)	0.114 (5)	0.131 (6)	0.036 (6)	-0.134 (7)	-0.053 (5)
C25	0.146 (5)	0.158 (6)	0.048 (3)	-0.086 (5)	-0.049 (3)	0.028 (3)
C26	0.079 (4)	0.298 (11)	0.127 (6)	0.046 (5)	-0.056 (4)	-0.092 (6)
O31	0.0268 (10)	0.0308 (11)	0.0498 (12)	-0.0105 (8)	-0.0015 (9)	-0.0120 (9)
O32	0.0240 (10)	0.0324 (11)	0.0458 (12)	-0.0087 (8)	0.0040 (8)	-0.0119 (9)
O33	0.0240 (12)	0.0396 (15)	0.0538 (17)	-0.0135 (11)	-0.0009 (11)	-0.0140 (12)
O33A	0.029 (8)	0.032 (9)	0.107 (16)	-0.014 (7)	0.004 (8)	-0.030 (9)
C31	0.0265 (14)	0.0309 (15)	0.0328 (15)	-0.0108 (12)	0.0008 (11)	-0.0041 (12)
C32	0.0241 (13)	0.0292 (14)	0.0342 (15)	-0.0104 (11)	0.0022 (11)	-0.0038 (11)
C33	0.0257 (13)	0.0326 (15)	0.0335 (15)	-0.0098 (12)	-0.0004 (11)	-0.0012 (12)
C34	0.0243 (13)	0.0356 (16)	0.0332 (15)	-0.0083 (12)	-0.0014 (11)	0.0012 (12)
C35	0.0320 (15)	0.0265 (14)	0.0338 (15)	-0.0030 (12)	0.0001 (12)	-0.0058 (12)
C36	0.0257 (14)	0.0290 (15)	0.0396 (16)	-0.0069 (11)	-0.0016 (12)	-0.0026 (12)
C37	0.0219 (13)	0.0318 (15)	0.0411 (17)	-0.0062 (11)	0.0003 (11)	-0.0049 (12)
C41	0.0314 (17)	0.053 (2)	0.073 (3)	0.0015 (15)	0.0025 (16)	-0.0090 (18)
C42	0.0234 (14)	0.0443 (18)	0.0475 (18)	-0.0039 (13)	0.0008 (13)	-0.0045 (14)
C43	0.0325 (18)	0.071 (3)	0.077 (3)	-0.0082 (17)	0.0171 (17)	0.008 (2)
C44	0.052 (2)	0.054 (2)	0.062 (2)	-0.0333 (17)	-0.0034 (17)	0.0014 (17)
C45	0.0319 (15)	0.0307 (16)	0.058 (2)	-0.0109 (13)	-0.0031 (14)	-0.0125 (14)
C46	0.057 (2)	0.074 (3)	0.052 (2)	-0.035 (2)	-0.0099 (17)	-0.0036 (19)

Geometric parameters (Å, °)

Cu—O12	1.958 (2)	C21—C22	1.518 (7)
Cu—O31	1.9595 (19)	C22—C23	1.522 (7)
Cu—O32 ⁱ	1.9672 (19)	C24—C25	1.389 (8)

Cu—O11 ⁱ	1.972 (2)	C25—C26	1,474 (8)
Cu—O1	2.1226 (19)	031-031	1.278 (3)
Cu—Cu ⁱ	2.6170 (7)	032-031	1.261 (3)
S-01	1.511 (2)	O32—Cu ⁱ	1.9672 (19)
S-C1	1 770 (3)	$C_{31} - C_{32}$	1 483 (4)
S-C2	1 774 (4)	$C_{32} - C_{37}$	1 394 (4)
011 - C11	1 273 (3)	$C_{32} = C_{33}$	1 404 (4)
$011 - Cu^{i}$	1.273(3)	C_{33} C_{34}	1.101 (1)
012-011	1.972(2) 1.267(3)	C_{34}	1.394(4) 1 388(4)
	1.207(3) 1.483(4)	C_{34} C_{42}	1.500(4) 1.527(4)
C_{12} C_{12} C_{17}	1.403(4) 1.387(4)	$C_{34} = C_{42}$	1.327(4)
$C_{12} = C_{17}$	1.307(4)	$C_{35} = C_{30}$	1.400(4) 1.370(4)
$C_{12} = C_{13}$	1.397(4) 1.304(4)	$C_{30} = C_{37}$	1.579(4)
$C_{13} - C_{14}$	1.394 (4)	$C_{30} - C_{43}$	1.317(4)
C14 - C13	1.500 (0)	C41 - C42	1.517(4)
C14 - C22	1.323(0) 1.202(6)	C42 - C43	1.332(4)
	1.393 (0)	C44 - C43	1.514 (4)
	1.382 (5)	C45—C40	1.516 (4)
C16-C25	1.529 (6)		
O_{12} Cu O_{31}	90.21(0)	C17 C16 C25	120.0(4)
$012 - Cu - 031^{i}$	90.21 (9) 89 59 (9)	C15-C16-C25	120.0(4) 122.2(4)
$O_{12} = C_{4} = O_{32}^{i}$	168.77(7)	$C_{15} = C_{10} = C_{25}$	122.2(4) 1213(4)
012 Cu = 011i	168 80 (8)	$C_{10} = C_{17} = C_{12}$	121.3(4)
$0.12 - Cu - 0.11^{i}$	88 12 (0)	$C_{21} = C_{22} = C_{23}$	110.4(4)
$O_{22i} C_{ij} O_{11i}$	80.12(9)	$C_{21} = C_{22} = C_{14}$	112.3(3)
$0.12 C_{11} 0.12$	09.91 (0) 05.71 (9)	$C_{23} = C_{22} = C_{14}$	111.0(3)
012 - Cu - 01	95.71 (0)	$C_{24} = C_{25} = C_{20}$	114.0(3)
031 - Cu - 01	95.79(7)	$C_{24} = C_{25} = C_{16}$	117.5(0)
0.11 $C_{\rm T}$ 0.1	95.41 (0)	$C_{20} = C_{23} = C_{10}$	110.0(4)
012 Cm	93.48 (8)	$C_{21} = O_{22} = C_{12}$	122.11(17) 125.62(18)
$O12 - Cu - Cu^{2}$	85.21 (0)	$C_{31} = 0_{32} = C_{11}$	123.03(18)
031 - Cu - Cu	85.92 (0)	032 - 031 - 031	123.3(3)
	82.91 (0)	032 - 031 - 032	118.8 (2)
	85.63 (6)	031 - 031 - 032	117.9(2)
$OI = Cu = Cu^{\prime}$	1//.99 (6)	$C_{37} = C_{32} = C_{33}$	119.1 (3)
OI_S_CI	104.4/(14)	$C_{37} - C_{32} - C_{31}$	119.4 (2)
01—S—C2	105.02 (18)	$C_{33} = C_{32} = C_{31}$	121.4 (2)
CI_S_C2	98.30 (18)	$C_{34} = C_{33} = C_{32}$	120.9 (2)
S—OI—Cu	119.72 (11)	$C_{35} = C_{34} = C_{33}$	117.8 (2)
CII—OII—Cu ¹	122.04 (19)	$C_{35} - C_{34} - C_{42}$	122.4 (3)
C11—012—Cu	125.71 (19)	C33—C34—C42	119.8 (2)
012-011-011	123.3 (3)	C34—C35—C36	122.9 (3)
O12—C11—C12	118.3 (3)	C37—C36—C35	117.8 (2)
011-C11-C12	118.4 (3)	C37—C36—C45	121.5 (2)
C17—C12—C13	119.4 (3)	C35—C36—C45	120.7 (2)
C17—C12—C11	119.8 (3)	C36—C37—C32	121.5 (2)
C13—C12—C11	120.8 (3)	C41—C42—C34	114.4 (3)
C14—C13—C12	121.0 (3)	C41—C42—C43	110.1 (3)
C15—C14—C13	117.4 (3)	C34—C42—C43	110.0 (2)

C15—C14—C22	122.2 (3)	C44—C45—C46	110.9 (3)
C13—C14—C22	120.4 (4)	C44—C45—C36	112.5 (3)
C14—C15—C16	123.1 (3)	C46—C45—C36	112.0 (3)
C17—C16—C15	117.8 (4)		
C1—S—O1—Cu	-167.93 (15)	C15—C16—C25—C26	-98.5 (7)
C2—S—O1—Cu	89.15 (19)	Cu ⁱ —O32—C31—O31	-4.4 (4)
Cu-O12-C11-O11	-4.0 (4)	Cu ⁱ —O32—C31—C32	175.15 (17)
Cu-O12-C11-C12	175.03 (18)	Cu—O31—C31—O32	2.6 (4)
Cu ⁱ	2.6 (4)	Cu—O31—C31—C32	-176.86 (17)
Cu ⁱ —O11—C11—C12	-176.39 (18)	O32—C31—C32—C37	1.9 (4)
O12—C11—C12—C17	4.4 (4)	O31—C31—C32—C37	-178.6 (3)
O11—C11—C12—C17	-176.6 (3)	O32—C31—C32—C33	-176.6 (3)
O12—C11—C12—C13	-174.1 (3)	O31—C31—C32—C33	3.0 (4)
O11—C11—C12—C13	5.0 (4)	C37—C32—C33—C34	-0.2 (4)
C17—C12—C13—C14	-1.6 (5)	C31—C32—C33—C34	178.3 (2)
C11—C12—C13—C14	176.9 (3)	C32—C33—C34—C35	1.1 (4)
C12—C13—C14—C15	2.5 (5)	C32—C33—C34—C42	-176.0(3)
C12—C13—C14—C22	-178.3 (3)	C33—C34—C35—C36	-1.4 (4)
C13—C14—C15—C16	-1.6 (6)	C42—C34—C35—C36	175.7 (3)
C22-C14-C15-C16	179.2 (4)	C34—C35—C36—C37	0.6 (4)
C14—C15—C16—C17	-0.3 (6)	C34—C35—C36—C45	-179.2 (3)
C14—C15—C16—C25	178.2 (4)	C35—C36—C37—C32	0.4 (4)
C15—C16—C17—C12	1.3 (6)	C45—C36—C37—C32	-179.8 (3)
C25—C16—C17—C12	-177.3 (4)	C33—C32—C37—C36	-0.6 (4)
C13—C12—C17—C16	-0.4 (5)	C31—C32—C37—C36	-179.1 (3)
C11—C12—C17—C16	-178.8 (3)	C35—C34—C42—C41	20.9 (4)
C15—C14—C22—C21	-42.9 (6)	C33—C34—C42—C41	-162.2 (3)
C13—C14—C22—C21	137.9 (5)	C35—C34—C42—C43	-103.7 (3)
C15—C14—C22—C23	81.2 (5)	C33—C34—C42—C43	73.3 (4)
C13—C14—C22—C23	-98.0 (4)	C37—C36—C45—C44	57.2 (4)
C17—C16—C25—C24	-146.9 (6)	C35—C36—C45—C44	-123.1 (3)
C15—C16—C25—C24	34.6 (8)	C37—C36—C45—C46	-68.6 (4)
C17—C16—C25—C26	80.0 (6)	C35—C36—C45—C46	111.2 (3)

Symmetry code: (i) -x+1, -y+1, -z+1.

Hydrogen-bond geometry (Å, °)

D—H···A	<i>D</i> —Н	$H \cdots A$	$D \cdots A$	D—H···A
013—H13 <i>B</i> …O11	0.75 (3)	1.91 (3)	2.568 (4)	147 (4)
O13A—H13C…O12	0.75 (3)	1.90 (4)	2.458 (8)	131 (4)
O33—H33 <i>B</i> ···O31	0.74 (3)	1.90 (3)	2.567 (3)	149 (4)
O33 <i>A</i> —H33 <i>C</i> ···O32	0.75 (4)	1.90 (4)	2.511 (14)	138 (5)