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The title compound, $\left[\mathrm{Tc}(\mathrm{CO})_{6}\right] \mathrm{ClO}_{4}$, was synthesized by the reaction of $\left[\mathrm{TcCl}(\mathrm{CO})_{5}\right]$ with $\mathrm{AgClO}_{4}$, followed by acidification with $\mathrm{HClO}_{4}$ under a CO atmosphere. The $\left[\mathrm{Tc}(\mathrm{CO})_{6}\right]^{+}$ cation has close to idealized octahedral geometry, with the bond angles between cis-CO groups close to $90^{\circ}$ and the $\mathrm{Tc}-$ C bond lengths in the range 2.025 (3) -2.029 (3) $\AA$. The perchlorate anion is disordered over two crystallographically equivalent half-occupied positions. The Tc atom in the $\left[\mathrm{Tc}(\mathrm{CO})_{6}\right]^{+}$cation is located on an inversion centre.

## Related literature

For the first report on the $\left[\mathrm{Tc}(\mathrm{CO})_{6}\right]^{+}$cation, see: Hieber et al. (1965). For related literature, see: Aebischer et al. (2000); Alberto et al. $(1996,1998)$; Baturin et al. $(1994 a, b)$; Grigor'ev et al. (1997a,b); Miroslavov et al. (2008a,b); Schwochau (2000).


## Experimental

## Crystal data

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
{\left[\mathrm{Tc}(\mathrm{CO})_{6}\right] \mathrm{ClO}_{4}} & a=13.227(4) \AA \\
M_{r}=366.42 \\
\text { Monoclinic, } C 2 / c & b=6.8002(18) \AA \\
& c=13.616(3) \AA
\end{array}
$$

$\beta=112.56(2)^{\circ}$
$V=1131.0(5) \AA^{3}$
$Z=4$
Mo $K \alpha$ radiation

Mo $K \alpha$ radiation
Data collection
Stoe IPDS-2 diffractometer Absorption correction: integration
( $X$-RED and $X$-SHAPE; Stoe \& Cie, 2005)
$T_{\text {min }}=0.620, T_{\text {max }}=0.723$

## Refinement

$R\left[F^{2}>2 \sigma\left(F^{2}\right)\right]=0.030 \quad 99$ parameters
$w R\left(F^{2}\right)=0.067$
$S=1.06$
1508 reflections
$\mu=1.55 \mathrm{~mm}^{-1}$
$T=293$ (2) K
$0.20 \times 0.18 \times 0.10 \mathrm{~mm}$

4935 measured reflections
1508 independent reflections 1224 reflections with $I>2 \sigma(I)$ $R_{\text {int }}=0.035$

$$
\Delta \rho_{\max }=0.32 \mathrm{e} \AA^{-3}
$$

$$
\Delta \rho_{\min }=-0.44 \mathrm{e}^{-3}
$$

Data collection: $X$-AREA (Stoe \& Cie, 2007); cell refinement: $X$ AREA; data reduction: $X-R E D$ (Stoe \& Cie, 2005); program(s) used to solve structure: SHELXL97 (Sheldrick, 2008); program(s) used to refine structure: SHELXL97 (Sheldrick, 2008); molecular graphics: ATOMS (Dowty, 2000); software used to prepare material for publication: publCIF (Westrip, 2008).
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Supplementary data and figures for this paper are available from the IUCr electronic archives (Reference: FJ2134).
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Hexacarbonyltechnetium (I) perchlorate

V. V. Gurzhiy, A. E. Miroslavov, G. V. Sidorenko, A. A. Lumpov, S. V. Krivovichev and D. N. Suglobov

## S1. Comment

Among technetium(I) carbonyl complexes, the highest carbonyl, $\left[\mathrm{Tc}(\mathrm{CO})_{6}\right]^{+}$cation, is the least studied compared to penta-, tetra-, and especially tricarbonyl complexes (Schwochau, 2000). No data on the crystal structure of its salts are available. More detailed study of this cation is significant for the development of the coordination chemistry of technetium (and d block as a whole). The first report on the $\left[\mathrm{Tc}(\mathrm{CO})_{6}\right]^{+}$cation is dated by Hieber et al., 1965 prepared this species in the form of the solid compound $\left[\mathrm{Tc}(\mathrm{CO})_{6}\right]\left[\mathrm{AlCl}_{4}\right]$ by the solid-phase reaction of $\left[\mathrm{TcCl}(\mathrm{CO})_{5}\right]$ with $\mathrm{AlCl}_{3}$ under high CO pressure (300 atm) at 363 K (Heiber et al., 1965). The product was characterized by chemical analysis, and the cation appeared to be stable in solutions. Relatively recently (Aebischer et al., 2000) observed successive formation of higher technetium carbonyls $\left[\mathrm{Tc}(\mathrm{CO})_{n}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{6-\mathrm{n}}\right]^{+}(\mathrm{n}=4-6)$ in aqueous solution $\left(2 \mathrm{MHClO}_{4}\right)$ from the complex $\left[\mathrm{Tc}(\mathrm{CO})_{3}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{3}\right]^{+}$at room temperature and moderately high CO pressure (about 50 atm ), after removal of chloride ions. The reaction progress was monitored by the ${ }^{99} \mathrm{Tc}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR. The relative content of the $\left[\mathrm{Tc}(\mathrm{CO})_{6}\right]^{+}$cation in the mixture of technetium carbonyl species was low, and no solid salt of this cation was isolated. Here we report on the synthesis and crystal structure of hexacarbonyltechnetium(I) perchlorate, $\left[\mathrm{Tc}(\mathrm{CO})_{6}\right] \mathrm{ClO}_{4}$.

## S2. Experimental

Pentacarbonyltechnetium chloride $\left[\mathrm{TcCl}(\mathrm{CO})_{5}\right]$ (SU Inventor's Certificate 1512003) was dissolved in boiled water, and a stoichiometric amount of $\mathrm{AgClO}_{4}$ was added after cooling to remove chloride ions interfering with the synthesis (Miroslavov et al., 2008a). The resulting solution was acidified with $\mathrm{HClO}_{4}$ to a concentration of 2 M and treated with CO in a pressure vessel ( $443 \mathrm{~K}, 150 \mathrm{~atm}, 1 \mathrm{~h}$ ). After completing the reaction and removing the excess of CO , the reaction system consisted of an aqueous solution and a colorless crystalline precipitate. The precipitate was separated, washed with water and methylene chloride (to remove an impurity of $\left[\mathrm{TcCl}(\mathrm{CO})_{5}\right]$ (Miroslavov et al., 2008a) ), and dried in air. The product was identified as $\left[\mathrm{Tc}(\mathrm{CO})_{6}\right] \mathrm{ClO}_{4}$. Some of the crystals appeared to be suitable for an X-ray diffraction analysis. ${ }^{99} \mathrm{Tc}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}\right)$ : -1924 p.p.m.. IR $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}\right)$ : $\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{CO}} 2095 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. Found Tc, \%: 27.12. $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{ClO}_{10} \mathrm{Tc}$. Calculated Tc, \%: 27.01. The IR spectrum was recorded on a Shimadzu FTIR 8700 spectrophotometer. The ${ }^{99} \mathrm{Tc}$ NMR spectrum was taken on a Bruker WP-200 spectrometer.

## S3. Refinement

The crystal structure of $\left[\mathrm{Tc}(\mathrm{CO})_{6}\right] \mathrm{ClO}_{4}$ contains one symmetrically independent $\mathrm{Tc}^{+}$cation octahedrally coordinated by six carbon atoms (Figs. 1, 2). The $\mathrm{Tc}-\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ fragments are linear to within $3^{\circ}$. The coordination polyhedron of technetium in the $\left[\mathrm{Tc}(\mathrm{CO})_{6}\right]^{+}$cation is close to an ideal octahedron, with the bond angles between cis- CO groups equal to $90^{\circ}$ (within $\pm 1.5^{\circ}$ ) and the Tc-C bond lengths in the range of $2.025-2.029 \AA$. These distances are significantly (by $0.1-0.15 \AA$ ) longer than the $\mathrm{Tc}-\mathrm{C}$ distances in trans- $\mathrm{OC}-\mathrm{Tc}-\sigma$ donor fragments, e.g.: $\left[\mathrm{TcI}(\mathrm{CO})_{5}\right]\left(\mathrm{Tc}-\mathrm{C}_{\text {trans- }}\right) 1.938$ (Grigor'ev et al., 1997a),
$\left[\mathrm{TcI}(\mathrm{CO})_{4}\right]_{2}\left(\mathrm{Tc}-\mathrm{C}_{\text {trans }-\mathrm{I}}\right) 1.89-1.92$ (Grigor'ev et al., 1997b), $\left[\mathrm{TcCl}(\mathrm{CO})_{3}\right]_{4} 1.903$ (Baturin et al., 1994a), $\left[\mathrm{TcBr}(\mathrm{CO})_{3}(\mathrm{en})\right]$ 1.882-1.889 (Baturin et al., 1994b), $\left[\mathrm{Tc}(\mathrm{OH})(\mathrm{CO})_{3}\right]_{4} 1.886-1.905 \AA$ (Alberto et al., 1998). At the same time, they are only slightly longer than the $\mathrm{Tc}-\mathrm{C}$ distances in trans $-\mathrm{OC}-\mathrm{Tc}-\pi$ acceptor fragments of other structurally examined complexes ( $\pi$ acceptor is another CO group, $\mathrm{PPh}_{3}$, or $\mathrm{Bu}^{\dagger} \mathrm{NC}$ ): $\left[\mathrm{Tc}(\mathrm{CO})_{5}\left(\mathrm{Bu}^{\dagger} \mathrm{NC}\right)\right] \mathrm{ClO}_{4} 1.999-2.022$ (Miroslavov et al., 2008b), $\left[\mathrm{Tc}(\mathrm{CO})_{5}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)\right] \mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{SO}_{3} 1.985-2.019$ (Alberto et al., 1998) (in these two compounds, the lengths of the equatorial and axial $\mathrm{Tc}-\mathrm{CO}$ bonds are similar), $\left[f a c-\mathrm{Tc}(\mathrm{CO})_{3}\left(\mathrm{Bu}^{\dagger} \mathrm{NC}_{3}\right)_{3} \mathrm{NO}_{3} 1.963-1.975\right.$ (Alberto et al., 1996) $\left[\mathrm{TcI}(\mathrm{CO})_{5}\right]$ (Tc-C $\sim$ trans-CO~) 2.015 (Grigor'ev et al., 1997a), $\left[\mathrm{TcI}(\mathrm{CO})_{4}\right]_{2}\left(\mathrm{Tc}-\mathrm{C}_{\text {trans-Co }}\right) 1.98-2.01 \AA$ (Grigor'ev et al., 1997b). The large difference between the $\mathrm{Tc}-\mathrm{CO}$ bond lengths in cases when the transposition to the CO group is occupied by a $\pi$ acceptor or a $\sigma$ donor can be attributed to the trans effect (competition between the $\pi$ acceptors arranged trans to each other for the same occupied d orbital of the metal ion). A certain cis effect, however, also takes place, because the Tc-CO bonds in the $\left[\mathrm{Tc}(\mathrm{CO})_{6}\right]^{+}$cation are somewhat longer than the $\mathrm{Tc}-\mathrm{CO}$ bonds in trans- $\mathrm{OC}-\mathrm{Tc}-\mathrm{CO}$ fragments of complexes containing in cis positions ligands that are $\sigma$ donors or $\pi$ acceptors weaker than CO.
The Cl atom in the structure of $\left[\mathrm{Tc}(\mathrm{CO})_{6}\right] \mathrm{ClO}_{4}$ is tetrahedrally coordinated by four O atoms (mean $\mathrm{Cl}-\mathrm{O}$ distance is $1.403 \AA$ ). The perchlorate anion is disordered over two crystallographically equivalent half-occupied positions (Fig. 2) with the total site-occupation factor (s.o.f.) equal to 1.0 . The central atoms of $\left[\mathrm{Tc}(\mathrm{CO})_{6}\right]^{+}$octahedra and $\left[\mathrm{ClO}_{4}\right]^{-}$tetrahedra ( Tc and Cl respectively) form a distorted NaCl -type lattice oriented along $a_{\mathrm{NaCl}}[110], b_{\mathrm{NaCl}}[10-1], c_{\mathrm{NaCl}}[-110]$ (Fig. 3).

b)

## Figure 1

View of the $\left[\mathrm{Tc}(\mathrm{CO})_{6}\right]^{+}$cation $(a)$ and one component of the disordered perchlorate anion $(b)$ in the structure of $\left[\mathrm{Tc}(\mathrm{CO})_{6}\right] \mathrm{ClO}_{4}$. Thermal ellipsoids are drawn at the $50 \%$ probability level.


Figure 2
Crystal structure of $\left[\mathrm{Tc}(\mathrm{CO})_{6}\right] \mathrm{ClO}_{4}$. Tc atoms are dark-grey, O atoms are grey, Cl atoms are light-grey, and C atoms are white circles.


Figure 3
Relationship of title structure to NaCl structure.

## Hexacarbonyltechnetium(I) perchlorate

## Crystal data

$\left[\mathrm{Tc}(\mathrm{CO})_{6}\right] \mathrm{ClO}_{4}$
$M_{r}=366.42$
Monoclinic, C2/c
Hall symbol: -C 2yc
$a=13.227$ (4) $\AA$
$b=6.8002(18) \AA$
$c=13.616$ (3) $\AA$
$\beta=112.56(2)^{\circ}$
$V=1131.0(5) \AA^{3}$
$Z=4$
$F(000)=704$
$D_{\mathrm{x}}=2.152 \mathrm{Mg} \mathrm{m}^{-3}$
Mo $K \alpha$ radiation, $\lambda=0.71073 \AA$
Cell parameters from 5446 reflections
$\theta=2.0-29.6^{\circ}$
$\mu=1.55 \mathrm{~mm}^{-1}$
$T=293 \mathrm{~K}$
Prism, colorless
$0.20 \times 0.18 \times 0.10 \mathrm{~mm}$

## Data collection

Stoe IPDS-2
diffractometer
Radiation source: fine-focus sealed tube
Graphite monochromator
Detector resolution: 6.67 pixels $\mathrm{mm}^{-1}$
rotation method scans
Absorption correction: integration
( $X$-RED and $X$-SHAPE; Stoe \& Cie, 2005)
$T_{\min }=0.620, T_{\text {max }}=0.723$

## Refinement

Refinement on $F^{2}$
Least-squares matrix: full
$R\left[F^{2}>2 \sigma\left(F^{2}\right)\right]=0.031$
$w R\left(F^{2}\right)=0.067$
$S=1.06$
1508 reflections
99 parameters
0 restraints
Primary atom site location: structure-invariant direct methods

> 4935 measured reflections
> 1508 independent reflections
> 1224 reflections with $I>2 \sigma(I)$
> $R_{\text {int }}=0.035$
> $\theta_{\max }=29.2^{\circ}, \theta_{\min }=3.2^{\circ}$
> $h=-18 \rightarrow 18$
> $k=-9 \rightarrow 8$
> $l=-18 \rightarrow 18$

Secondary atom site location: difference Fourier map
$w=1 /\left[\sigma^{2}\left(F_{0}{ }^{2}\right)+(0.0289 P)^{2}+1.543 P\right]$
where $P=\left(F_{\mathrm{o}}{ }^{2}+2 F_{\mathrm{c}}{ }^{2}\right) / 3$
$(\Delta / \sigma)_{\max }<0.001$
$\Delta \rho_{\text {max }}=0.32$ e $\AA^{-3}$
$\Delta \rho_{\text {min }}=-0.44 \mathrm{e}^{-3}$
Extinction correction: SHELXL97 (Sheldrick, 2008), $\mathrm{Fc}^{*}=\mathrm{kFc}\left[1+0.001 \mathrm{xFc}^{2} \lambda^{3} / \sin (2 \theta)\right]^{-1 / 4}$

Extinction coefficient: 0.0043 (12)

## Special details

Geometry. All e.s.d.'s (except the e.s.d. in the dihedral angle between two l.s. planes) are estimated using the full covariance matrix. The cell e.s.d.'s are taken into account individually in the estimation of e.s.d.'s in distances, angles and torsion angles; correlations between e.s.d.'s in cell parameters are only used when they are defined by crystal symmetry. An approximate (isotropic) treatment of cell e.s.d.'s is used for estimating e.s.d.'s involving l.s. planes.
Refinement. Refinement of $F^{2}$ against ALL reflections. The weighted $R$-factor $w R$ and goodness of fit $S$ are based on $F^{2}$, conventional $R$-factors $R$ are based on $F$, with $F$ set to zero for negative $F^{2}$. The threshold expression of $F^{2}>\sigma\left(F^{2}\right)$ is used only for calculating $R$-factors $(\mathrm{gt})$ etc. and is not relevant to the choice of reflections for refinement. $R$-factors based on $F^{2}$ are statistically about twice as large as those based on $F$, and $R$ - factors based on ALL data will be even larger.

Fractional atomic coordinates and isotropic or equivalent isotropic displacement parameters ( $\AA^{2}$ )

|  | $x$ | $y$ | $z$ | $U_{\text {iso }} * / U_{\text {eq }}$ | Occ. $(<1)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Tc1 | 0.2500 | 0.2500 | 0.0000 | $0.03673(14)$ |  |
| C1 | $0.2509(2)$ | $0.1350(5)$ | $0.1375(2)$ | $0.0484(7)$ |  |
| C2 | $0.3021(2)$ | $-0.0119(5)$ | $-0.0345(2)$ | $0.0446(6)$ |  |
| C3 | $0.4088(2)$ | $0.3328(5)$ | $0.0721(3)$ | $0.0501(7)$ |  |
| O1 | $0.3312(2)$ | $-0.1557(4)$ | $-0.0529(2)$ | $0.0632(6)$ |  |
| O2 | $0.49658(19)$ | $0.3762(4)$ | $0.1078(3)$ | $0.0774(8)$ |  |
| O3 | $0.2481(2)$ | $0.0685(5)$ | $0.2111(2)$ | $0.0778(8)$ |  |
| O4 | $0.0932(2)$ | $-0.2268(5)$ | $-0.1933(3)$ | $0.0814(9)$ |  |
| O5 | $0.503(3)$ | $0.0179(9)$ | $0.2724(16)$ | $0.109(7)$ | 0.50 |
| O6 | $0.5401(5)$ | $-0.1423(12)$ | $0.1398(5)$ | $0.0856(18)$ | 0.50 |
| C11 | $0.50996(15)$ | $-0.1697(2)$ | $0.22853(14)$ | $0.0480(4)$ | 0.50 |

Atomic displacement parameters $\left(A^{2}\right)$

|  | $U^{11}$ | $U^{22}$ | $U^{33}$ | $U^{12}$ | $U^{13}$ | $U^{23}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Tc1 | $0.03421(17)$ | $0.04318(19)$ | $0.03316(17)$ | $0.00255(14)$ | $0.01331(11)$ | $-0.00340(15)$ |


| C1 | $0.0481(15)$ | $0.0592(18)$ | $0.0423(16)$ | $0.0126(13)$ | $0.0222(12)$ | $0.0041(13)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| C2 | $0.0403(13)$ | $0.0519(15)$ | $0.0404(14)$ | $0.0037(12)$ | $0.0141(11)$ | $-0.0028(12)$ |
| C3 | $0.0418(14)$ | $0.0527(15)$ | $0.0545(18)$ | $0.0005(13)$ | $0.0172(13)$ | $-0.0074(14)$ |
| O1 | $0.0693(15)$ | $0.0567(14)$ | $0.0658(16)$ | $0.0148(12)$ | $0.0284(12)$ | $-0.0076(12)$ |
| O2 | $0.0433(12)$ | $0.0782(18)$ | $0.105(2)$ | $-0.0091(12)$ | $0.0216(13)$ | $-0.0225(16)$ |
| O3 | $0.0830(18)$ | $0.105(2)$ | $0.0609(16)$ | $0.0297(16)$ | $0.0444(14)$ | $0.0249(16)$ |
| O4 | $0.0566(14)$ | $0.096(2)$ | $0.087(2)$ | $-0.0202(14)$ | $0.0229(14)$ | $-0.0261(16)$ |
| O5 | $0.077(4)$ | $0.074(3)$ | $0.155(19)$ | $-0.002(8)$ | $0.021(12)$ | $-0.052(8)$ |
| O6 | $0.072(3)$ | $0.120(5)$ | $0.061(3)$ | $-0.019(3)$ | $0.021(3)$ | $0.019(4)$ |
| C11 | $0.0366(7)$ | $0.0512(6)$ | $0.0497(12)$ | $-0.0023(6)$ | $0.0094(6)$ | $-0.0015(6)$ |

Geometric parameters ( $\AA,{ }^{\circ}$ )

| Tc1- $\mathrm{Cl}^{\text {i }}$ | 2.025 (3) | O5-O5 ${ }^{\text {iv }}$ | 0.59 (4) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{Tc} 1-\mathrm{C} 1$ | 2.025 (3) | O5-Cl1 ${ }^{\text {iv }}$ | 1.286 (8) |
| Tc $1-\mathrm{C}^{\text {i }}$ | 2.027 (3) | O5-Cl1 | 1.428 (11) |
| Tc 1-C3 | 2.027 (3) | O6-- Cl 1 | 1.422 (6) |
| Tc $1-\mathrm{C}^{2}{ }^{\text {i }}$ | 2.029 (3) | O6- $\mathrm{Cl1}^{\text {iv }}$ | 2.144 (6) |
| Tc 1-C2 | 2.029 (3) | $\mathrm{Cl} 1-\mathrm{Cl1}{ }^{\text {iv }}$ | 0.728 (3) |
| $\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{O} 3$ | 1.113 (4) | $\mathrm{Cl} 1-\mathrm{O} 5^{\text {iv }}$ | 1.286 (8) |
| C2-O1 | 1.114 (4) | $\mathrm{Cl1}-\mathrm{O}^{\text {v }}$ | 1.393 (3) |
| $\mathrm{C} 3-\mathrm{O} 2$ | 1.113 (4) | $\mathrm{Cl1}-\mathrm{O} 4{ }^{\text {iii }}$ | 1.444 (3) |
| $\mathrm{O} 4-\mathrm{Cl1}^{\text {ii }}$ | 1.393 (3) | $\mathrm{Cl1}-\mathrm{Ob}^{\text {iv }}$ | 2.144 (6) |
| $\mathrm{O} 4-\mathrm{Cl1}^{\text {iii }}$ | 1.444 (3) |  |  |
| C1 ${ }^{\text {i }}$ - $\mathrm{Tc} 1-\mathrm{C} 1$ | 180.0 (2) | $\mathrm{O} 1-\mathrm{C} 2-\mathrm{Tc} 1$ | 179.6 (3) |
| $\mathrm{C} 1{ }^{\mathrm{i}}-\mathrm{Tc} 1-\mathrm{C} 3{ }^{\text {i }}$ | 91.27 (14) | $\mathrm{O} 2-\mathrm{C} 3-\mathrm{Tc} 1$ | 177.0 (3) |
| C1-Tc1- $\mathrm{C}^{\text {i }}$ | 88.73 (14) | $\mathrm{O} 5^{\text {iv }}-\mathrm{Cl} 1-\mathrm{O} 4{ }^{\text {v }}$ | 124.7 (11) |
| C1--Tc1-C3 | 88.73 (14) | O4- ${ }^{\text {V }} 11-\mathrm{O} 5$ | 107.0 (10) |
| C1-Tc1-C3 | 91.27 (14) | $\mathrm{O} 5{ }^{\text {iv}}-\mathrm{Cl1}-\mathrm{O} 6$ | 86.5 (11) |
| C3i-Tc1-C3 | 180.0 (3) | O4 ${ }^{\text {v }}$ - $\mathrm{Cl1}-\mathrm{O} 6$ | 108.6 (3) |
| $\mathrm{C} 1{ }^{\mathrm{i}}-\mathrm{Tc} 1-\mathrm{C} 2{ }^{\mathrm{i}}$ | 89.61 (12) | O5- $\mathrm{Cl} 1-\mathrm{O} 6$ | 108.9 (9) |
| $\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{Tc} 1-\mathrm{C} 2^{\text {i }}$ | 90.39 (12) | $\mathrm{O} 5^{\text {iv }}-\mathrm{Cl} 1-\mathrm{O} 44^{\text {iii }}$ | 112.3 (14) |
| C3i-Tc $1-\mathrm{C} 2^{\text {i }}$ | 88.56 (12) | $\mathrm{O} 4{ }^{v}-\mathrm{Cl1}-\mathrm{O} 4{ }^{\text {iii }}$ | 112.1 (3) |
| $\mathrm{C} 3-\mathrm{Tc} 1-\mathrm{C} 2^{\text {i }}$ | 91.44 (12) | $\mathrm{O} 5-\mathrm{Cl1}-\mathrm{O} 4{ }^{\text {iii }}$ | 111.5 (13) |
| C1--Tc1-C2 | 90.39 (12) | $\mathrm{O} 6-\mathrm{Cl1}-\mathrm{O} 4{ }^{\text {iii }}$ | 108.6 (3) |
| C1-Tc1-C2 | 89.61 (12) | $\mathrm{O} 5^{\text {iv }}-\mathrm{Cl} 1-\mathrm{O}^{\text {iv }}$ | 80.9 (11) |
| C3i-Tc1-C2 | 91.44 (12) | $\mathrm{O} 4{ }^{\mathrm{v}}-\mathrm{Cl1}-\mathrm{O}^{\text {iv }}$ | 79.2 (2) |
| C3-Tc 1-C2 | 88.56 (12) | O5- $\mathrm{Cl1}-\mathrm{O}^{\text {iv }}$ | 58.6 (10) |
| $\mathrm{C} 2-\mathrm{Tc} 1-\mathrm{C} 2$ | 180.00 (17) | $\mathrm{O} 6-\mathrm{Cl1}-\mathrm{O}^{\text {iv }}$ | 167.4 (6) |
| $\mathrm{O} 3-\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{Tc} 1$ | 177.6 (3) | $\mathrm{O} 4{ }^{\text {iii }}-\mathrm{Cl} 1-\mathrm{O}^{\text {iv }}$ | 76.4 (2) |

Symmetry codes: (i) $-x+1 / 2,-y+1 / 2,-z$; (ii) $x-1 / 2,-y-1 / 2, z-1 / 2$; (iii) $-x+1 / 2,-y-1 / 2,-z$; (iv) $-x+1, y,-z+1 / 2$; (v) $x+1 / 2,-y-1 / 2, z+1 / 2$.

