Acta Crystallographica Section E **Structure Reports** Online

ISSN 1600-5368

cis-Bis[2-(diphenylphosphino)benzenethiolato- $\kappa^2 P$,S]palladium(II)

Jaime Fierro-Arias, David Morales-Morales* and Simón Hernández-Ortega*

Instituto de Química, Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México, Circuito Exterior, Ciudad Universitaria, México 04510, D. F., México.

Correspondence e-mail: damor@servidor.unam.mx, simonho@servidor.unam.mx

Received 4 July 2008; accepted 19 August 2008

Key indicators: single-crystal X-ray study: T = 298 K: mean σ (C–C) = 0.014 Å: R factor = 0.046; wR factor = 0.084; data-to-parameter ratio = 14.8.

The title compound, $[Pd(C_{18}H_{14}PS)_2]$, was synthesized by the reaction of (Ph₂PC₆H₄SH) with [PdCl₂(NCC₆H₅)₂] in a 2:1 molar ratio in the presence of a slight excess of NEt₃ as base in dichloromethane. The compound crystallizes with the Pd(II) atom on a twofold rotation axis. The palladium center has a slightly distorted square-planar environment, with the two P-S chelating ligands adopting a *cis* configuration. The present structure is a pseudo-polymorph of $[Pd(C_{18}H_{14}PS)_2] \cdot CH_2Cl_2$.

Related literature

For related literature, see: Andreasen et al. (1999): Braunstein & Naud (2001); Real et al. (2000); Canseco-Gonzalez et al. (2003, 2004); Dilworth & Weatley (2000); Dilworth et al. (2000); Gómez-Benítez et al. (2003, 2007a,b); Morales-Morales et al. (2002a,b); Ortner et al. (2000); Ríos-Moreno et al. (2005); Taguchi et al. (1999).



Experimental

Crystal data

 $[Pd(C_{18}H_{14}PS)_2]$ $M_r = 693.04$ Trigonal, P3₂21 a = 9.306 (1) Åc = 30.069 (8) Å V = 2255.2 (7) Å³

Data collection

Bruker SMART APEX CCD areadetector diffractometer

```
Z = 3
Mo K\alpha radiation
\mu = 0.89 \text{ mm}^{-1}
T = 298 (2) K
0.16 \times 0.07 \times 0.04~\text{mm}
```

Absorption correction: multi-scan (SADABS; Sheldrick, 1996) $T_{\min} = 0.877, T_{\max} = 0.967$ 18737 measured reflections

2749 independent reflections 1811 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$

Refinement

$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.046$	$\Delta \rho_{\rm max} = 1.40 \ {\rm e} \ {\rm \AA}^{-3}$
$wR(F^2) = 0.084$	$\Delta \rho_{\rm min} = -0.31 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$
S = 0.82	Absolute structure: Flack (1983),
2749 reflections	1113 Friedel Pairs
186 parameters	Flack parameter: -0.05 (6)
H-atom parameters constrained	

 $R_{\rm int} = 0.113$

Table 1

Selected geometric parameters (Å, °).

Pd-P1	2.2861 (18)	Pd-S1	2.316 (2)
P1 ⁱ —Pd—P1 P1 ⁱ —Pd—S1	101.33 (9) 171.41 (7)	P1-Pd-S1 $S1-Pd-S1^{i}$	86.90 (7) 85.00 (11)

Symmetry code: (i) y, x, -z.

Data collection: SMART (Bruker, 1999); cell refinement: SAINT (Bruker, 1999); data reduction: SAINT; program(s) used to solve structure: SHELXTL (Sheldrick, 2008); program(s) used to refine structure: SHELXTL; molecular graphics: SHELXTL; software used to prepare material for publication: SHELXTL.

The support of this research by CONACYT (F58692) and DGAPA-UNAM (IN227008) is gratefully acknowledged.

Supplementary data and figures for this paper are available from the IUCr electronic archives (Reference: BT2741).

References

- Andreasen, L. V., Simonsen, O. & Wernberg, O. (1999). Inorg. Chim. Acta, 295, 153 - 163
- Braunstein, P. & Naud, F. (2001). Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 40, 680-699.
- Bruker (1999). SMART and SAINT. Bruker AXS Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.
- Canseco-Gonzalez, D., Gómez-Benítez, D., Baldovino-Pantaleon, O., Hernandez-Ortega, S. & Morales-Morales, D. (2004). J. Organomet. Chem. 689, 174-180.
- Canseco-Gonzalez, D., Gómez-Benítez, D., Hernandez-Ortega, S., Toscano, R. A. & Morales-Morales, D. (2003). J. Organomet. Chem. 679, 101-109.
- Dilworth, J. R., Morales, D. & Zheng, Y. (2000). J. Chem. Soc. Dalton Trans. pp. 3007-3015.
- Dilworth, J. R. & Weatley, N. (2000). Coord. Chem. Rev. 199, 89-158.
- Flack, H. D. (1983). Acta Cryst. A39, 876-881.
- Gómez-Benítez, V., Hernández-Ortega, S. & Morales-Morales, D. (2003). Inorg. Chim. Acta, 346, 256-260.
- Gómez-Benítez, V., Hernánez-Ortega, S., Toscano, R. A. & Morales-Morales, D. (2007b). Inorg. Chim. Acta, 360, 2128-2138.
- Gómez-Benítez, V., Toscano, R. A. & Morales-Morales, D. (2007a). Inorg. Chem. Commun. 10, 1-6.
- Morales-Morales, D., Redón, R., Zheng, Y. & Dilworth, J. R. (2002b). Inorg. Chim. Acta, 328, 39-44.
- Morales-Morales, D. S., Rodríguez-Morales, S., Dilworth, J. R., Sousa-Pedrares, A. & Zheng, Y. (2002a). Inorg. Chim. Acta, 332, 101-107.
- Ortner, K., Hilditch, L., Zheng, Z., Dilworth, J. R. & Abram, U. (2000). Inorg. Chem. 39, 2801-2806.
- Real, J., Prat, E., Polo, A., Alvarez-Larena, A. & Piniella, J. F. (2000). Inorg. Chem. Commun. 3, 221-223.
- Ríos-Moreno, G., Toscano, R. A., Redón, R., Nakano, H., Okuvama, Y. & Morales-Morales, D. (2005). Inorg. Chim. Acta, 358, 303-309.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1996). SADABS. University of Göttingen, Germany.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (2008). Acta Cryst. A64, 112-122.
- Taguchi, N., Kashiwabara, K., Nakajima, K., Kawaguchi, H. & Tatsumi, K. (1999). J. Organomet. Chem. 587, 290-298.



supporting information

Acta Cryst. (2008). E64, m1196 [doi:10.1107/S1600536808026718]

cis-Bis[2-(diphenylphosphino)benzenethiolato- $\kappa^2 P, S$]palladium(II)

Jaime Fierro-Arias, David Morales-Morales and Simón Hernández-Ortega

S1. Comment

In recent years, attention has increasingly been paid to the coordination chemistry of polydentate ligands incorporating both thiolate and tertiary phosphine donor ligands, as their combination is likely to confer unusual structures and reactivities on their metal complexes (Dilworth, *et al.*, 2000; Morales-Morales *et al.*, 2002*a*; Gómez-Benítez *et al.*, 2003). These complexes have shown an intriguing variety of structures (Andreasen *et al.* 1999; Taguchi *et al.*, 1999) or unusual oxidation states and enhanced solubility (Ortner *et al.*, 2000), making these species excellent candidates for further studies in reactivity. Moreover, the presence of these ligands in the coordination sphere of transition metal complexes may render interesting behaviours in solution as these ligands can be capable of full or partial de-ligation (hemilability) (Dilworth & Weatley, 2000; Braunstein & Naud, 2001) being able to provide important extra coordination sites for incoming substrates during a catalytic process (Dilworth & Weatley, 2000, Braunstein & Naud, 2001). Thus, given our continuous interest in the synthesis of transition metal complexes bearing P—S hybrid ligands (Morales-Morales *et al.*, 2002*a*, 2002*b*; Gómez-Benítez *et al.*, 2007*a*, 2007*b*; Ríos-Moreno *et al.*, 2005; Canseco-Gonzalez *et al.*, 2003, 2004) we report the crystal structure of a pseudo-polymorph of the Pd(II) complex *cis*- [Pd(Ph₂PC₆H₄-2-S)₂] (Real *et al.*, 2000; Canseco-Gonzalez *et al.*, 2003)

The asymmetric unit cointains only half of molecule, with the Pd atom in special position of site symmetry 2. The structure of the title compound is shown with the numbering scheme in Fig. 1. The geometric parameters do not differ significantly from the values reported in the previously described polymorphs. The complex exhibits the Pd center into a slightly distorted square planar environment with the two P—S chelating ligands adopting a *cis* conformation.

S2. Experimental

Synthesis of *cis*-[Pd(Ph₂PC₆H₄–2-S)₂] (I). To a solution of (Ph₂PC₆H₄–2-SH) (34 mg, 0.12 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (20 ml), NEt₃ (13 mg, 0.13 mmol) and a CH₂Cl₂ solution (30 ml) of [Pd(Cl)₂(NCC₆H₅)₂] (22 mg, 0.058 mmol) were added under stirring. The resulting mixture was allowed to stir overnight. After this time, formation of a pale yellow precipitated was noticed, the product was filtered off under vacuum and washed twice with methanol. Recrystallization from a double layer solvent system CH₂Cl₂/MeOH afforded complex I as a microcrystalline yellow powder. Yield 87.5%. ¹*H*-NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃), (7.71–6.79 (m, Ph, 28H); ³¹P-NMR (121 MHz, CDCl₃), (-42.42 (*s*). Elem. Anal. Calculated for [C₃₆H₂₈P₂S₂Pd] Calc. %: C: 64.20, H: 4.00. Found %: C: 64.00, H: 4.20. MS-FAB⁺ [M^+] = 692 m/z.

S3. Refinement

H atoms were included in calculated positions (C—H = 0.93 Å), and refined using a riding model, with $U_{iso}(H) = 1.2U_{eq}$ of the carrier atom. Geometrical restraints were applied in phenyl rings on P atom.



Figure 1

Molecular structure of the title compound with the atoms numbering scheme. Displacement ellipsoids are shown at the 40% probability level. All H atoms have been omitted for clarity. The symmetry operator for generating equivalent atoms symmetry operator; y, x, -z.

cis-Bis[2-(diphenylphosphino)benzenethiolato- κ²P,S]palladium(II)

Crystal data	
$[Pd(C_{18}H_{14}PS)_{2}]$ $M_{r} = 693.04$ Trigonal, P3 ₂ 2 ₁ Hall symbol: P 32 2" a = 9.306 (1) Å c = 30.069 (8) Å $V = 2255.2 (7) \text{ Å}^{3}$ Z = 3	$D_x = 1.531 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$ Mo $K\alpha$ radiation, $\lambda = 0.71073 \text{ Å}$ Cell parameters from 2935 reflections $\theta = 2.5-19.9^{\circ}$ $\mu = 0.89 \text{ mm}^{-1}$ T = 298 K Prism, yellow $0.16 \times 0.07 \times 0.04 \text{ mm}$
F(000) = 1056	
Data collection	
Bruker SMART APEX CCD area-detector diffractometer Radiation source: fine-focus sealed tube Graphite monochromator Detector resolution: 0.83 pixels mm ⁻¹ ω scans Absorption correction: multi-scan (<i>SADABS</i> ; Sheldrick, 1996) $T_{\min} = 0.877, T_{\max} = 0.967$	18737 measured reflections 2749 independent reflections 1811 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$ $R_{int} = 0.113$ $\theta_{max} = 25.3^{\circ}, \ \theta_{min} = 2.0^{\circ}$ $h = -11 \rightarrow 11$ $k = -11 \rightarrow 11$ $l = -36 \rightarrow 36$

Refinement

Refinement on F^2	Hydrogen site location: inferred from
Least-squares matrix: full	neighbouring sites
$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.046$	H-atom parameters constrained
$wR(F^2) = 0.084$	$w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.025P)^2]$
S = 0.82	where $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$
2749 reflections	$(\Delta/\sigma)_{\rm max} = 0.001$
186 parameters	$\Delta \rho_{\rm max} = 1.40 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$
0 restraints	$\Delta \rho_{\rm min} = -0.31 \text{ e} \text{\AA}^{-3}$
Primary atom site location: structure-invariant direct methods	Absolute structure: Flack (1983), 1113 Friedel Pairs
Secondary atom site location: difference Fourier	Absolute structure parameter: -0.05 (6)
map	

Special details

Geometry. All e.s.d.'s (except the e.s.d. in the dihedral angle between two l.s. planes) are estimated using the full covariance matrix. The cell e.s.d.'s are taken into account individually in the estimation of e.s.d.'s in distances, angles and torsion angles; correlations between e.s.d.'s in cell parameters are only used when they are defined by crystal symmetry. An approximate (isotropic) treatment of cell e.s.d.'s is used for estimating e.s.d.'s involving l.s. planes.

Refinement. Refinement of F^2 against ALL reflections. The weighted *R*-factor *wR* and goodness of fit *S* are based on F^2 , conventional *R*-factors *R* are based on *F*, with *F* set to zero for negative F^2 . The threshold expression of $F^2 > \sigma(F^2)$ is used only for calculating *R*-factors(gt) *etc.* and is not relevant to the choice of reflections for refinement. *R*-factors based on F^2 are statistically about twice as large as those based on *F*, and *R*- factors based on ALL data will be even larger.

Fractional atomic coordinates and isotropic or equivalent isotropic displacement parameters (\hat{A}^2)

	x	у	Z	$U_{\rm iso}*/U_{\rm eq}$
Pd	0.48559 (7)	0.48559 (7)	0.0000	0.0377 (2)
P1	0.2993 (2)	0.3605 (2)	0.05647 (6)	0.0399 (5)
S1	0.6481 (2)	0.6901 (2)	0.05082 (7)	0.0592 (6)
C1	0.5327 (8)	0.6409 (8)	0.0997 (2)	0.0408 (18)
C2	0.3770 (9)	0.4982 (8)	0.1037 (2)	0.0397 (18)
C3	0.2892 (10)	0.4667 (9)	0.1433 (2)	0.060 (2)
Н3	0.1854	0.3719	0.1458	0.072*
C4	0.3528 (12)	0.5730 (12)	0.1790 (2)	0.072 (3)
H4	0.2918	0.5509	0.2051	0.086*
C5	0.5077 (12)	0.7126 (11)	0.1757 (3)	0.069 (3)
Н5	0.5526	0.7837	0.1999	0.083*
C6	0.5958 (10)	0.7467 (9)	0.1367 (2)	0.059 (2)
H6	0.6995	0.8419	0.1347	0.070*
C7	0.2670 (9)	0.1641 (8)	0.0782 (2)	0.0457 (19)
C8	0.1239 (11)	0.0432 (10)	0.0973 (3)	0.076 (3)
H8	0.0317	0.0570	0.0987	0.091*
С9	0.1143 (15)	-0.0996 (12)	0.1145 (3)	0.095 (3)
Н9	0.0142	-0.1808	0.1265	0.114*
C10	0.2415 (15)	-0.1239 (12)	0.1144 (3)	0.083 (3)
H10	0.2336	-0.2182	0.1275	0.100*
C11	0.3848 (12)	-0.0089 (12)	0.0949 (3)	0.085 (3)
H11	0.4754	-0.0254	0.0939	0.102*
C12	0.3967 (11)	0.1320 (11)	0.0765 (2)	0.064 (2)

H12	0.4949	0.2079	0.0625	0.077*	
C13	0.0948 (8)	0.3330 (8)	0.0457 (2)	0.0414 (19)	
C14	0.0640 (11)	0.4611 (12)	0.0535 (2)	0.064 (2)	
H14	0.1450	0.5570	0.0673	0.076*	
C15	-0.0844 (10)	0.4507 (12)	0.0413 (3)	0.065 (3)	
H15	-0.1031	0.5386	0.0465	0.078*	
C16	-0.2044 (12)	0.3062 (16)	0.0212 (3)	0.094 (4)	
H16	-0.3033	0.2980	0.0118	0.113*	
C17	-0.1781 (11)	0.1752 (13)	0.0151 (3)	0.105 (3)	
H17	-0.2609	0.0766	0.0029	0.125*	
C18	-0.0297 (10)	0.1907 (11)	0.0272 (3)	0.080 (3)	
H18	-0.0127	0.1014	0.0228	0.096*	

Atomic displacement parameters $(Å^2)$

	U^{11}	U^{22}	U^{33}	U^{12}	U^{13}	U^{23}
Pd	0.0332 (3)	0.0332 (3)	0.0451 (4)	0.0155 (4)	-0.0016 (2)	0.0016 (2)
P1	0.0406 (11)	0.0357 (12)	0.0438 (12)	0.0193 (9)	-0.0009 (9)	0.0022 (9)
S 1	0.0476 (13)	0.0509 (13)	0.0634 (14)	0.0129 (10)	-0.0031 (10)	-0.0121 (11)
C1	0.051 (5)	0.038 (4)	0.042 (4)	0.029 (4)	-0.011 (4)	-0.002 (3)
C2	0.050 (5)	0.041 (4)	0.037 (4)	0.029 (4)	-0.002 (4)	0.000 (3)
C3	0.094 (7)	0.061 (5)	0.034 (5)	0.046 (5)	-0.007 (5)	-0.002 (4)
C4	0.105 (8)	0.091 (7)	0.038 (5)	0.064 (7)	0.003 (5)	0.005 (5)
C5	0.105 (8)	0.067 (6)	0.048 (6)	0.053 (6)	-0.025 (5)	-0.019 (5)
C6	0.057 (6)	0.057 (5)	0.062 (5)	0.029 (5)	-0.010 (5)	-0.018 (4)
C7	0.050 (5)	0.035 (5)	0.042 (4)	0.014 (4)	0.003 (3)	0.002 (3)
C8	0.073 (6)	0.046 (6)	0.111 (7)	0.033 (5)	0.040 (5)	0.031 (5)
C9	0.119 (10)	0.055 (7)	0.103 (8)	0.038 (7)	0.040 (8)	0.039 (6)
C10	0.138 (9)	0.044 (6)	0.069 (6)	0.046 (7)	-0.023 (6)	0.000 (5)
C11	0.096 (8)	0.079 (8)	0.100 (8)	0.059 (7)	-0.039 (6)	-0.006 (6)
C12	0.046 (6)	0.064 (6)	0.082 (6)	0.027 (4)	-0.004 (5)	0.011 (5)
C13	0.040 (4)	0.039 (5)	0.040 (4)	0.016 (4)	0.010 (3)	0.003 (3)
C14	0.063 (6)	0.071 (7)	0.060 (5)	0.036 (5)	0.014 (5)	0.023 (5)
C15	0.056 (5)	0.096 (8)	0.065 (6)	0.055 (6)	0.005 (4)	0.012 (5)
C16	0.068 (7)	0.185 (13)	0.059 (6)	0.085 (9)	0.018 (5)	0.027 (7)
C17	0.053 (6)	0.153 (10)	0.119 (7)	0.060 (7)	-0.031 (6)	-0.039 (7)
C18	0.059 (6)	0.095 (8)	0.095 (7)	0.045 (6)	-0.012 (5)	-0.021 (6)

Geometric parameters (Å, °)

Pd—P1 ⁱ	2.2861 (18)	C8—C9	1.386 (10)
Pd—P1	2.2861 (18)	C8—H8	0.9300
Pd—S1	2.316 (2)	C9—C10	1.312 (10)
$Pd - S1^i$	2.316 (2)	С9—Н9	0.9300
P1—C2	1.805 (7)	C10-C11	1.358 (11)
P1—C7	1.818 (7)	C10—H10	0.9300
P1—C13	1.818 (7)	C11—C12	1.375 (10)
S1—C1	1.740 (7)	C11—H11	0.9300

C1 $C2$	1 308 (8)	C12 H12	0.0300
$C_1 = C_2$	1.398 (8)	C_{12} C_{12} C_{12} C_{13} C_{18}	1.367(0)
$C_1 = C_0$	1.405 (8)	$C_{13} = C_{14}$	1.307(9) 1 370(9)
$C_2 = C_3$	1.390(9) 1.276(0)	C14 $C15$	1.379(9) 1.284(0)
$C_3 = U_4$	0.0200	C14 = U13	1.384 (9)
C3—H3	0.9300	C15 $C16$	0.9300
C4 - C3	1.579 (11)	C15_U15	1.380 (11)
	0.9300		0.9300
C5—C6	1.374 (9)		1.309 (12)
CS—HS	0.9300	C10—H10	0.9300
	0.9300		1.364 (10)
C/-C8	1.368 (9)		0.9300
C/C12	1.382 (8)	C18—H18	0.9300
P1 ⁱ —Pd—P1	101.33 (9)	С7—С8—С9	121.0 (9)
$P1^{i}$ — Pd — $S1$	171.41 (7)	С7—С8—Н8	119.5
P1—Pd—S1	86.90 (7)	С9—С8—Н8	119.5
$P1^{i}$ — Pd — $S1^{i}$	86.90 (7)	С10—С9—С8	122.2 (11)
$P1$ — Pd — $S1^i$	171.41 (7)	С10—С9—Н9	118.9
$S1$ — Pd — $S1^i$	85.00 (11)	С8—С9—Н9	118.9
C2—P1—C7	103.7 (3)	C9—C10—C11	118.7 (11)
C2—P1—C13	105.1 (3)	C9—C10—H10	120.6
C7—P1—C13	106.7 (3)	C11—C10—H10	120.6
C2—P1—Pd	106.9 (2)	C10-C11-C12	120.3 (10)
C7—P1—Pd	118.7 (2)	C10—C11—H11	119.9
C13—P1—Pd	114.4 (2)	C12—C11—H11	119.9
C1 - S1 - Pd	106.1 (2)	C11 - C12 - C7	122.0 (9)
$C_{2}-C_{1}-C_{6}$	1179(7)	C11 - C12 - H12	119.0
$C_2 - C_1 - S_1$	122 3 (5)	C7-C12-H12	119.0
C_{6}	119.8 (6)	C18 - C13 - C14	117.0 117.7(7)
$C_3 - C_2 - C_1$	119.7 (6)	C18 - C13 - P1	122.0 (6)
C_{3} C_{2} P_{1}	122.7 (6)	C14-C13-P1	122.0 (6)
$C_1 - C_2 - P_1$	117.6 (6)	C_{13} C_{14} C_{15} C_{15}	120.2(0) 121.8(10)
C4 - C3 - C2	121 3 (8)	C_{13} C_{14} H_{14}	119 1
$C_{4} = C_{3} = H_{3}$	110.3	C_{15} C_{14} H_{14}	119.1
C2_C3_H3	119.3	C_{14} C_{15} C_{16}	119.1
$C_{2} = C_{3} = C_{4} = C_{5}$	119.5 (8)	C14 - C15 - H15	120.8
$C_3 - C_4 - H_4$	120.2	C_{16} C_{15} H_{15}	120.8
$C_5 = C_4 = H_4$	120.2	$C_{10} = C_{15} = M_{15}$	120.3
$C_{5} = C_{4} = 114$	120.2	C17 = C16 = U16	120.4 (9)
$C_{0} = C_{3} = C_{4}$	120.0 (7)	$C_{1} = C_{10} = H_{10}$	119.0
C_{0}	120.0	$C_{13}^{10} - C_{10}^{110} - C_{16}^{110}$	119.8
C_{+} C_{-} C_{-} C_{-} C_{-} C_{-} C_{-}	120.0 121.5(7)	$C_{10} = C_{17} = C_{10}$	119.3 (10)
$C_{5} = C_{6} = U_{6}$	121.3 (7)	$C_{10} - C_{17} - \Pi_{17}$	120.3
$C_{1} C_{6} U_{6}$	119.2	$C_{10} - C_{17} - C_{18} - C_{12}$	120.3
$C_{1} = C_{0} = H_{0}$	119.2	$C_{17} = C_{18} = U_{18}$	122.2 (8)
10 - 1 - 12	113.7 (8)	$C_{12} = C_{12} = H_{13}$	118.9
	125.5 (6)	U13—U18—H18	118.9
C12-C/-P1	118.8 (6)		

$P1^{i}$ — Pd — $P1$ — $C2$	-177.7 (2)	S1—C1—C6—C5	179.5 (6)
S1—Pd—P1—C2	4.8 (2)	C2—P1—C7—C8	89.7 (7)
S1 ⁱ —Pd—P1—C2	-14.7 (6)	C13—P1—C7—C8	-21.0 (8)
$P1^{i}$ — Pd — $P1$ — $C7$	65.6 (2)	Pd—P1—C7—C8	-152.0 (6)
S1—Pd—P1—C7	-111.9 (3)	C2—P1—C7—C12	-89.0 (7)
S1 ⁱ —Pd—P1—C7	-131.4 (5)	C13—P1—C7—C12	160.3 (6)
P1 ⁱ —Pd—P1—C13	-61.9 (2)	Pd—P1—C7—C12	29.3 (7)
S1—Pd—P1—C13	120.6 (3)	C12—C7—C8—C9	1.4 (12)
S1 ⁱ —Pd—P1—C13	101.1 (5)	P1-C7-C8-C9	-177.3 (7)
$P1^{i}$ — Pd — $S1$ — $C1$	-167.4 (5)	C7—C8—C9—C10	1.8 (16)
P1—Pd—S1—C1	-4.1 (2)	C8—C9—C10—C11	-3.2 (18)
$S1^{i}$ —Pd—S1—C1	173.0 (2)	C9—C10—C11—C12	1.5 (16)
Pd—S1—C1—C2	2.5 (6)	C10-C11-C12-C7	1.8 (14)
Pd—S1—C1—C6	-177.4 (5)	C8—C7—C12—C11	-3.1 (12)
C6—C1—C2—C3	1.0 (10)	P1-C7-C12-C11	175.7 (6)
S1—C1—C2—C3	-178.9 (5)	C2—P1—C13—C18	-150.7 (6)
C6—C1—C2—P1	-178.5 (5)	C7—P1—C13—C18	-40.9 (7)
S1—C1—C2—P1	1.6 (8)	Pd—P1—C13—C18	92.5 (6)
C7—P1—C2—C3	-58.1 (6)	C2—P1—C13—C14	33.4 (6)
C13—P1—C2—C3	53.8 (6)	C7—P1—C13—C14	143.1 (5)
Pd—P1—C2—C3	175.7 (5)	Pd—P1—C13—C14	-83.5 (6)
C7—P1—C2—C1	121.4 (6)	C18—C13—C14—C15	-3.0 (11)
C13—P1—C2—C1	-126.8 (5)	P1-C13-C14-C15	173.1 (6)
Pd—P1—C2—C1	-4.9 (6)	C13—C14—C15—C16	0.7 (12)
C1—C2—C3—C4	-0.3 (11)	C14—C15—C16—C17	2.4 (14)
P1—C2—C3—C4	179.1 (6)	C15—C16—C17—C18	-3.0 (14)
C2—C3—C4—C5	-0.9 (11)	C16—C17—C18—C13	0.5 (14)
C3—C4—C5—C6	1.5 (12)	C14—C13—C18—C17	2.4 (12)
C4—C5—C6—C1	-0.8 (11)	P1—C13—C18—C17	-173.6 (6)
C2-C1-C6-C5	-0.4 (10)		

Symmetry code: (i) y, x, -z.