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This study describes the structure of DNA polymerase I from Thermus phage G20c, termed PolI_G20c. This is the first structure of a DNA polymerase originating from a group of related thermophilic bacteriophages infecting Thermus thermophilus, including phages G20c, TSP4, P74-26, P23-45 and phiFA and the novel phage Tth15-6. Sequence and structural analysis of PolI_G20c revealed a $3^{\prime}-5^{\prime}$ exonuclease domain and a DNA polymerase domain, and activity screening confirmed that both domains were functional. No functional $5^{\prime}-3^{\prime}$ exonuclease domain was present. Structural analysis also revealed a novel specific structure motif, here termed $\mathrm{S} \beta \alpha \mathrm{R}$, that was not previously identified in any polymerase belonging to the DNA polymerases I (or the DNA polymerase A family). The $\mathrm{S} \beta \alpha \mathrm{R}$ motif did not show any homology to the sequences or structures of known DNA polymerases. The exception was the sequence conservation of the residues in this motif in putative DNA polymerases encoded in the genomes of a group of thermophilic phages related to Thermus phage G20c. The structure of PolI_G20c was determined with the aid of another structure that was determined in parallel and was used as a model for molecular replacement. This other structure was of a $3^{\prime}-5^{\prime}$ exonuclease termed ExnV1. The cloned and expressed gene encoding ExnV1 was isolated from a thermophilic virus metagenome that was collected from several hot springs in Iceland. The structure of ExnV1, which contains the novel $\mathrm{S} \beta \alpha \mathrm{R}$ motif, was first determined to 2.19 Å resolution. With these data at hand, the structure of PolI_G20c was determined to 2.97 A resolution. The structures of PolI_G20c and ExnV1 are most similar to those of the Klenow fragment of DNA polymerase I (PDB entry 2kzz) from Escherichia coli, DNA polymerase I from Geobacillus stearothermophilus (PDB entry 1knc) and Taq polymerase (PDB entry 1bgx) from Thermus aquaticus.

## 1. Introduction

Arthur Kornberg and coworkers described the first polymerase in 1956 (Kornberg, Kornberg et al., 1956; Kornberg, Lehman et al., 1956). This DNA polymerase was later classified as a DNA polymerase I, which is one of the enzymes that participates in DNA replication of prokaryotes (Worthington \& Worthington, 2011). There are several types of complementary polymerases that act on DNA and/or RNA, and combined they ensure all DNA and RNA replication and repair processes required for each species. The number of DNA polymerases in a given organism can be correlated to the complexity of its genome and replication process. Thus, viruses generally only have one DNA polymerase, while
prokaryotic cells generally possess five different DNA polymerases and eukaryotic cells can contain up to 15 different DNA polymerases (Maga, 2019). Based on sequence similarity, the polymerases are separated into seven families (A, B, C, D, X, Y and RT), and polymerases of the same family may appear in several species (Ishino \& Ishino, 2014). DNA polymerase I, which belongs to polymerase family A (Delarue et al., 1990), is one of the most abundant polymerase types in prokaryotes. One of its main functions is to fill the gaps in DNA that arise during DNA replication, repair and recombination according to the general DNA polymerase reaction $\mathrm{dNTP}+\mathrm{DNA}_{n} \rightleftharpoons \mathrm{PP}_{\mathrm{i}}+\mathrm{DNA}_{n+1}$, as described previously (Kornberg, Kornberg et al., 1956; Kornberg, Lehman et al., 1956; Worthington \& Worthington, 2011; Steitz, 1998; Choi, 2012).

The active site of DNA polymerase I has binding sites for a template strand, the DNA primer terminus (the initiation ' i ' site) and the incoming dNTP (the ' $\mathrm{i}+1$ ' site). The third residue in the Asp-Glu-Asp catalytic triad coordinates two divalent metal ions (either $\mathrm{Mg}^{2+}$ or $\mathrm{Mn}^{2+}$ ) that stabilize the charge and geometry during the nucleotidyl-transfer reaction. One of the ions binds the $3^{\prime}$-hydroxyl ( $3^{\prime}-\mathrm{OH}$ ) of the terminal DNA primer at the ' i ' site and decreases the $\mathrm{p} K_{\mathrm{a}}$ of the $3^{\prime}-\mathrm{OH}$, facilitating nucleophilic attack of $3^{\prime}-\mathrm{O}$ on the $\alpha$-phosphate of the incoming nucleotide. The other metal ion binds the phosphates of the incoming dNTP at the ' $\mathrm{i}+1$ ' site, positioning the incoming dNTP and stabilizing the $\mathrm{PP}_{\mathrm{i}}$ leaving group. The $3^{\prime}$-OH of the DNA primer terminus then attacks the $\alpha$-phosphate of the dNTP and a new phosphodiester bond is formed with release of $\mathrm{PP}_{\mathrm{i}}$, after which the newly formed DNA primer terminus translocates by one base from the ' $\mathrm{i}+1$ ' site to the ' i ' site and the nucleotidyl-transfer reaction is repeated (Steitz, 1998; Choi, 2012).

Moreover, DNA polymerase I enzymes have $3^{\prime}-5^{\prime}$ exonuclease activity for proofreading that excises misincorporated nucleotides to ensure that an accurate sequence is synthesized (Hashimoto et al., 2001), as well as $5^{\prime}-3^{\prime}$ exonuclease activity that enables the removal of deoxyribonucleotides and ribonucleotide primers (on the lagging strand) during DNA replication (Bhagavan \& Ha, 2015). This latter activity has also been described as $5^{\prime}$ nuclease (Lyamichev et al., 1993) or more commonly as flap endonuclease or FEN activity (Harrington \& Lieber, 1994; Xie \& Sayers, 2011).

In general, the known DNA polymerases in this group have a highly conserved structure, which usually indicates important, irreplaceable functions in the cell, conserving them in evolution. Their overall catalytic subunits vary very little from species to species and their structural organization can be described as resembling a right hand, with thumb, fingers and palm domains (Perler et al., 1996; Kohlstaedt et al., 1992). The palm domain in particular is extremely conserved in most families, while the fingers and thumb domains are more variable (Maga, 2019). The DNA is bound to the palm domain when the enzyme is active, and appears to catalyse transfer of the phosphoryl group. The function of the finger domain is to bind dNTPs, while the thumb domain plays a potential role in the processivity, translocation and positioning of the DNA
(Steitz, 1999). The processivity refers to the average number of nucleotides that are added before the polymerase needs to release its DNA template. Replicative DNA polymerases have a processivity of the order of $10^{2}-10^{3}$ nucleotides, which can be further increased up to $10^{5}-10^{6}$ by the action of auxiliary proteins (Watson, 2004; Maga, 2019).

The studied DNA polymerase, PolI_G20c, originates from the thermophilic bacteriophage G20c that infects Thermus thermophilus ( Xu et al., 2017). T. thermophilus is a Gramnegative, thermophilic heterotrophic bacterium that is found in coastal hot springs all around the world. PolI_G20c and homologous enzymes originating from evolutionarily related thermophilic bacteriophages are all annotated as DNA polymerases I in the NCBI database. All of these polymerases have the typical domain organization of DNA polymerases I; however, our investigations show that they all lack the N-terminally located part of the $5^{\prime}-3^{\prime}$ exonuclease domain. This feature is analogous to the Klenow fragment (KF), which is a cleaved part corresponding to a 605-amino-acid sequence starting at residue 324 in DNA polymerase I from Escherichia coli (UniProt accession P00582). Here, we present the first structure and activity study of the DNA polymerase from Thermus phage G20c, isolated from Geyser Valley, Kamchatka, Russia (Loredo-Varela et al., 2013), which is homologous to the putative DNA polymerase I encoded in the genomes of several long-tailed bacteriophages, including the Thermus viruses P74-26 from Uzon Valley, Kamchatka, Russia and P23-45 from Geyser Valley, Kamchatka, Russia (Minakhin et al., 2008), Thermus phage Tth15-6 from a coastal hot spring at Reykjanes in Isafjarddjup, Iceland (Ahlqvist et al., 2022), Thermus phage TSP4 from hot springs in Tengchong, People's Republic of China (Lin et al., 2010) and Thermus phage phiFa from Mount Vesuvius, Italy (Lopatina et al., 2019). The structure of PolI_G20c was solved with the aid of the structure of an exonuclease termed ExnV1, which was determined in parallel in this work and originates from an Icelandic virus metagenome collected from several hot springs in Iceland. The gene coding for ExnV1 may be part of a truncated gene that originally encoded a DNA polymerase I. A novel structural motif, $\mathrm{S} \beta \alpha \mathrm{R}$, that was not previously associated with DNA polymerases I was identified in the solved structures of ExnV1 and PolI_G20c. Based on aminoacid sequence alignments, this motif is likely to be found in the structures of the DNA polymerases from the related bacteriophages described above.

## 2. Materials and methods

### 2.1. Gene identification and cloning

The nucleotide sequence of Thermus phage G20c gene G20c_11 (GenBank accession KX987127.1) encoding PolI_G20c (GenBank accession API81819.1; Xu et al., 2017) was optimized for expression in E. coli. The synthetic gene sequence (GenBank accession ON338039; GenScript Biotech) was inserted between NdeI and XhoI restriction sites into the pET-21b(+) vector (Novagen), resulting in the construct
pET21b::PolI_G20c. The sequence was cloned in frame with the C-terminal hexahistidine tag encoded by the expression vector.

The gene (GenBank accession OK094307) encoding ExnV1 was identified in an Icelandic virus metagenome by manually curated automated annotation of the sequence data set termed matis_pooled_all accumulated from sequence data sets from several sampling sites in terrestrial hot springs located in the south of Iceland, as described by Aevarsson et al. (2021). The codon usage was optimized for expression in E. coli and the synthetic gene sequence (GenBank accession ON489251; General Biosystems) was inserted between BamHI and BsrGI restriction sites into the rhamnoseinducible vector pJOE5751.1 (Wegerer et al., 2008), resulting in the construct pLEI447.1. The gene sequence was cloned in frame with the N -terminal hexahistidine tag encoded by the expression vector.

### 2.2. Protein production

Recombinant PolI_G20c was produced in E. coli Origami (DE3) cells (Novagen) heat-shock transformed with the expression construct. The cells were grown in baffled Erlenmeyer flasks in Lysogeny Broth (Lennox) (LB) medium supplemented with $100 \mu \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{ml}^{-1}$ ampicillin at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Heterologous overexpression of PolI_G20c was induced with $1 \mathrm{~m} M$ isopropyl $\beta$-D-1-thiogalactopyranoside when the culture reached an optical density at $600 \mathrm{~nm}\left(\mathrm{OD}_{600 \mathrm{~nm}}\right)$ of 0.3 . Induction was performed for 4 h at $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and $200 \mathrm{rev} \mathrm{min}^{-1}$.

Recombinant ExnV1 was produced in E. coli JM109 cells (Promega) heat-shock transformed with the expression construct. The cells were grown in baffled Erlenmeyer flasks in LB medium supplemented with $100 \mu \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{ml}^{-1}$ ampicillin at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Heterologous overexpression of ExnV1 was induced with $0.2 \%(w / v)$ L-rhamnose when the culture reached an $\mathrm{OD}_{600 \mathrm{~nm}}$ of $0.3-0.4$. Induction was performed for 4 h at $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and $200 \mathrm{rev} \mathrm{min}^{-1}$. Expression cultures were harvested by centrifugation at 5000 g for 15 min at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The collected cell pellets were stored frozen until the purification of the produced recombinant proteins.

### 2.3. Protein purification

Harvested cells containing recombinant PolI_G20c or ExnV1 were lysed by ultrasonication using a UP400s homogenizer (Hielscher Ultrasound Technology). The lysates were separated from cell debris by centrifugation at 14000 g for 30 min at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The supernatants were filtered through regenerated cellulose $0.22 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ pore-size filters (GE Healthcare Life Sciences). His-tagged PolI_G20c and ExnV1 were purified by immobilized metal ion affinity chromatography (IMAC), with nickel as a ligand, using HisTrap columns (GE Healthcare Life Sciences) and an ÄKTA start FPLC purification system (GE Healthcare Life Sciences). Recombinant PolI_G20c bound to the resin in binding buffer [ $100 \mathrm{~m} M$ Tris- $\mathrm{HCl} \mathrm{pH} 7.4,500 \mathrm{~m} M$ $\mathrm{NaCl}, 5 \%(v / v)$ glycerol] was eluted with a linear gradient to $100 \%$ elution buffer [ $100 \mathrm{~m} M$ Tris- $\mathrm{HCl} \mathrm{pH} 7.4,500 \mathrm{~m} M \mathrm{NaCl}$, $500 \mathrm{~m} M$ imidazole, $5 \%(v / v)$ glycerol].

Recombinant ExnV1 bound to the resin in binding buffer [ $50 \mathrm{~m} M$ Tris- $\mathrm{HCl} \mathrm{pH} 7.4,500 \mathrm{~m} M \mathrm{NaCl}, 60 \mathrm{~m} M$ imidazole, $10 \%(v / v)$ glycerol] was eluted with elution buffer [ $50 \mathrm{~m} M$ Tris- $\mathrm{HCl} \mathrm{pH} 7.4,500 \mathrm{~m} M \mathrm{NaCl}, 500 \mathrm{~m} M$ imidazole, $10 \%(v / v)$ glycerol]. Buffer exchange was performed by dialysis. The purity and integrity of PolI_G20c and ExnV1 were assessed by glycine SDS-PAGE using $4-20 \%$ gradient gels. The protein concentration was determined considering the theoretically calculated extinction coefficients by measuring the absorbance at $280 \mathrm{~nm}\left(A_{280}\right)$ using a NanoDrop 1000 spectrophotometer (Thermo Fisher Scientific).

### 2.4. Screening for polymerase and $3^{\prime}-5^{\prime}$ exonuclease activity of Poll G20c

The polymerase activity of PolI_G20c was assessed as described by Schrier \& Wilson (1976) with minor modifications. PolI_G20c was diluted with dilution buffer [10 m M Tris$\mathrm{HCl} \mathrm{pH} 7.5,50 \mathrm{~m} M \mathrm{KCl}, 1 \%(v / v)$ glycerol, $0.1 \%(v / v)$ Triton $\mathrm{X}-100$ ] to achieve an incorporation rate inside the linear range of the activity assay, resulting in a final concentration of $0.02 \mu \mathrm{~g} \mu \mathrm{l}^{-1}$. The reaction mixtures were incubated at temperatures ranging from 20 to $85^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in reaction buffer [ $50 \mathrm{~m} M$ Tris- HCl pH 7.9 for $20-45^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{pH} 8.5$ for $40-65^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ or pH 9.1 for $60-85^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 50 \mathrm{~m} M \mathrm{KCl}, 5 \mathrm{~m} M \mathrm{MgCl}_{2}, 33 \mu M$ of each dNTP, $0.125 \mu M\left[{ }^{3} \mathrm{H}\right]$-dTTP (American Radiolabeled Chemicals)]. The reaction buffer was supplemented with herring sperm DNA (Promega) to compensate for temperature effects on pH and substrate availability up to $0.7 \mathrm{mg} \mathrm{ml}^{-1}$ denatured herring sperm DNA for $20-65^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and up to $4 \mathrm{mg} \mathrm{ml}^{-1}$ for $60-$ $85^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Reactions were terminated after 10 min incubation with $10 \mathrm{~m} M$ EDTA. The reaction mixtures were then precipitated on Whatman glass fibre mats (Cytiva) with $5 \%(w / v)$ trichloroacetic acid. Radioactive decay was assessed using a scintillation cocktail and a scintillation counter (PerkinElmer). The temperature profile of PolI_G20c was compared with the thermoactivity of IsoPol $\mathrm{BST}^{+}$DNA polymerase (ArcticZymes Technologies) and of GoTaq DNA polymerase (Promega).

The $3^{\prime}-5^{\prime}$ exonuclease activity of PolI_G20c was assessed by applying a method based on activity measurements both in the absence and the presence of $4.7 \mathrm{~m} M$ cold nonradioactive dNTPs with a [ $\left.{ }^{3} \mathrm{H}\right]$-dNTP-labelled double-strand PCR fragment serving as a substrate. PolI_G20c was diluted with dilution buffer $[10 \mathrm{~m} M$ Tris- $\mathrm{HCl} \mathrm{pH} 7.5,150 \mathrm{~m} M \mathrm{NaCl}$, $1 \%(v / v)$ glycerol, $0.1 \%(v / v)$ Triton X-100]. The reaction mixtures were incubated at $65^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in reaction buffer ( $20 \mathrm{~m} M$ Tris- $\mathrm{HCl} \mathrm{pH} 8.5,50 \mathrm{~m} M \mathrm{NaCl}, 5 \mathrm{~m} M \mathrm{MgCl}_{2}, 4.7 \mathrm{~m} M \mathrm{dNTP}$ or $27 \mathrm{~m} M$ Tris- $\mathrm{HCl} \mathrm{pH} 8.5,67 \mathrm{~m} M \mathrm{NaCl}, 6.7 \mathrm{~m} M \mathrm{MgCl}_{2}, 4.7 \mathrm{~m} M$ dNTP). Phusion High-Fidelity DNA polymerase (New England Biolabs) diluted with dilution buffer was used as a positive control. The exonuclease activity was assessed by measuring the release of soluble nonprecipitating radioactive nucleotides with a MicroBeta ${ }^{2}$ microplate counter (PerkinElmer). High radioactivity release in the absence of nucleotides and a lack of radioactivity release in the presence of nucleotides confirms $3^{\prime}-5^{\prime}$ exonuclease activity, as cold dNTPs effectively inhibit exonuclease activity.

### 2.5. Crystallization and X-ray diffraction data collection of Poll_G20c and ExnV1

The buffer of ExnV1 was exchanged from $50 \mathrm{~m} M$ Tris- HCl $\mathrm{pH} 7.4,500 \mathrm{~m} M \mathrm{NaCl}, 500 \mathrm{~m} M$ imidazole, $10 \%(v / v)$ glycerol to $20 \mathrm{~m} M$ Tris- $\mathrm{HCl} \mathrm{pH} 7.4,500 \mathrm{~m} M \mathrm{NaCl}, 10 \%(v / v)$ glycerol. Differential scanning fluorimetry showed that ExnV1 was stabilized by thymidine monophosphate (TMP) and $\mathrm{MgCl}_{2}$, which was added to the buffer prior to concentration. Crystals appeared in the condition $100 \mathrm{~m} M$ HEPES-NaOH pH 7.5, $200 \mathrm{~m} M \mathrm{MgCl}_{2}, 13 \%(v / v)$ PEG Smear Medium, 5\%(v/v) 2-propanol, $5 \%(v / v)$ glycerol and a seed stock was prepared. Co-crystallization with a terbium compound for use in experimental phasing was obtained by adding $100 \mu \mathrm{l}$ protein solution to 0.6 mg of the terbium cluster compound Crystallophore (Molecular Dimensions; Engilberge et al., 2017). Crystals were obtained by setting up micro matrix-seeded crystallization experiments (D'Arcy et al., 2007) using a protein concentration of $13.9 \mathrm{mg} \mathrm{ml}^{-1}$ and the BCS screen (Molecular Dimensions). Crystallization experiments were set up as sitting drops in MRC 3-well plates using a Mosquito robot (TTP Labtech) at $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Crystals appeared in the following condition: $100 \mathrm{~m} M$ Bicine $\mathrm{pH} 9.3,30 \%(v / v)$ PEG Smear Low. Crystals were flash-cooled in liquid nitrogen after addition of a cryo-solution consisting of $100 \mathrm{~m} M$ Bicine pH $9.3,30 \%(v / v)$ PEG Smear Low, $5 \mathrm{~m} M$ TMP, $10 \mathrm{~m} M \mathrm{MgCl}_{2}$, 20\% ( $v / v$ ) PEG 400.

Prior to the crystallization of PolI_G20c, a buffer exchange was performed by changing the buffer from $100 \mathrm{~m} M$ Tris- HCl $\mathrm{pH} 7.4,500 \mathrm{~m} M \mathrm{NaCl}, 250 \mathrm{~m} M$ imidazole, $5 \%(v / v)$ glycerol to $20 \mathrm{~m} M$ sodium cacodylate $\mathrm{pH} 6.7,150 \mathrm{~m} M \mathrm{NaCl}$. The protein was concentrated to $6.4 \mathrm{mg} \mathrm{ml}^{-1}$ and mixed with $5 \mathrm{~m} M$ TMP and $10 \mathrm{~m} M \mathrm{MgCl}_{2}$. The initial crystals were obtained from a JCSG+ screen condition ( $100 \mathrm{~m} M$ Tris- $\mathrm{HCl} \mathrm{pH} 8.5,1.26 \mathrm{M}$ ammonium sulfate, $200 \mathrm{~m} M$ lithium sulfate). A seed stock was prepared from these crystals and was used for further optimization. The crystals used for data collection appeared in the condition $100 \mathrm{~m} M$ Tris- $\mathrm{HCl} \mathrm{pH} 8,1.3 \mathrm{M}$ ammonium sulfate, $50 \mathrm{~m} M \mathrm{Li}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}, 20 \mathrm{~m} M \mathrm{MgCl}_{2}$; prior to crystallization the reservoir was supplemented with $2 \mathrm{~m} M$ DTT. The crystals were flash-cooled in liquid nitrogen after addition of a cryosolution consisting of $100 \mathrm{~m} M$ Tris- $\mathrm{HCl} \mathrm{pH} 8,1.3 \mathrm{M}$ ammonium sulfate, $50 \mathrm{~m} M$ lithium sulfate, $25 \%(v / v)$ glycerol, $20 \mathrm{~m} M$ $\mathrm{MgCl}_{2}, 5 \mathrm{~m} M$ TMP.

### 2.6. Data collection, structure solution and refinement of ExnV1 and Poll_G20c

For ExnV1, data were collected at 100 K at station I03 of Diamond Light Source, Didcot, UK $(\lambda=1.6488$ Å) equipped with an EIGER2 XE 16M detector. Data were collected with a rotation range of $0.1^{\circ}$ per image and an exposure time of 10 ms per image. The anomalous data were processed in $X D S$ (Kabsch, 2010) and scaled in XSCALE. AIMLESS (Evans \& Murshudov, 2013) was used to produce an MTZ file that was fed into the CRANK2 pipeline (Pannu et al., 2011), which uses SHELXC/D/E (Sheldrick, 2010) for automatic phasing by single-wavelength anomalous diffraction (SAD). One copy of

Table 1
X-ray diffraction data-collection, structure-determination and refinement statistics for Poli_G20c and ExnV1.

Values in parentheses are for the highest resolution shell. Other relevant quality indicators can easily be extracted from the PDB file header.

|  | PolI_G20c | ExnV1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Resolution (A) | $\begin{aligned} & 76.7-2.97 \\ & (3.25-2.97) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 45.8-2.19 \\ & (2.26-2.19) \end{aligned}$ |
| Anisotropic limits ( A ) | 3.9, 2.89, 3.1 |  |
| Wavelength ( $\AA$ ) | 0.97623 | 1.6488 |
| Space group | C2 | $P 22_{1} 2_{1}$ |
| $a, b, c$ (A) | 309.8, 98.0, 77.6 | 42.3, 54.1, 171.7 |
| $\alpha, \beta, \gamma\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ | 90, 98.9, 90 | 90, 90, 90 |
| Spherical completeness (\%) | 68.9 (14.6) | 98.1 (92.0) |
| Ellipsoidal completeness (\%) | 92.5 (53.9) |  |
| Multiplicity | 7.1 (7.3) | 12.7 (12.3) |
| No. of observations | 230652 (32680) | 260608 (20566) |
| No. of unique reflections | 11889 (1634) | 20363 (1650) |
| $\langle I / \sigma(I)\rangle$ | 12.3 (1.5) | 12.5 (1.1) |
| $\mathrm{CC}_{1 / 2}$ (\%) | 99.9 (55.5) | 99.9 (79.2) |
| $R_{\text {meas }}(I)$ (\%) | 10.3 (148.5) | 14.1 (332.5) |
| Resolution used for refinement ( $\AA$ ) | $\begin{aligned} & 153.0-2.97 \\ & (3.14-2.97) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 45.78-2.19 \\ & (2.21-2.19) \end{aligned}$ |
| $R_{\text {model }}(F)$ (\%) | 21.6 (28.9) | 23.7 (43.3) |
| $R_{\text {free }}(F)(\%)$ | 26.1 (33.9) | 27.8 (53.1) |
| No. of non-H atoms | 11067 | 2415 |
| No. of water molecules | 0 | 46 |
| Mean $B$ factor, chain $A, B\left(\AA^{2}\right)$ | 110.0, 138.3 | 62.4 |
| Mean $B$ factor, water ( $\AA^{2}$ ) | - | 55.9 |
| R.m.s.d. from ideal geometry |  |  |
| Bond lengths (A) | 0.009 | 0.008 |
| Bond angles ( ${ }^{\circ}$ ) | 1.0 | 0.9 |
| Ramachandran plot quality $\dagger$ |  |  |
| Favoured regions (\%) | 93.0 | 97.5 |
| Allowed regions (\%) | 6.2 | 2.15 |
| Outliers (\%) | 0.8 | 0.35 |

$\dagger$ Calculated using a local MolProbity server.
the protein was found in the asymmetric unit with one terbium cluster bound per molecule. Refinement was performed with BUSTER (Bricogne et al., 2011) using TLS parametrization in the modelling of $B$ factors. Model building was carried out in Coot (Emsley et al., 2010). Data-collection and refinement statistics are shown in Table 1.

For PolI_G20c, data were collected at 100 K at station I03 of Diamond Light Source, Didcot, UK $(\lambda=0.9762$ Å) equipped with an EIGER2 XE 16M detector. Data were collected with a rotation range of $0.1^{\circ}$ per image and an exposure time of 4 ms per image. The data set was integrated by the expert system autoPROC (Vonrhein et al., 2011) using XDS (Kabsch, 2010) and utilizing STARANISO anisotropic scaling (Tickle et al., 2018), which gave diffraction limits of $2.9,3.1$ and $3.9 \AA$ in the three principal directions of diffraction.

The structure was determined using the Phaser molecularreplacement software (McCoy et al., 2007). The structure of ExnV1 and PDB entry 4bwm (Blatter et al., 2013) were used as search models. Two copies of the protein $(A$ and $B)$ were found in the asymmetric unit. The stretch comprising residues 326-376 in both the $A$ and $B$ chains was built using Coot and the corresponding residues of PDB entries $1 \mathrm{k} \ln$ (Beese et al., 1993), 1d9d and 1d8y (Teplova et al., 1999). The structure was refined in BUSTER (Bricogne et al., 2011) using a sequence number-modified model of the ExnV1 external target for
geometrical restraints (Smart et al., 2012) for chains $A$ and $B$. In the late stages of model building and refinement five models were generated using the ColabFold (Mirdita et al., 2017, 2019, 2021; Mitchell et al., 2020; Steinegger et al., 2019) interface to the AlphaFold2 (AF2; Jumper et al., 2021) automatic 3D folding software using the sequence of PolI_G20c as input. The option to use template structures was selected and 518 known 3D structures from the PDB were used in model generation. The AF2 model with the highest predicted mean IDDT (Mariani et al., 2013; model 1, with a mean IDDT of 93.2) was chosen and compared with the current X-ray model. The initial C ${ }^{\alpha}$ root-mean-square deviation (r.m.s.d.) after $S S M$ (Krissinel \& Henrick, 2004) superimposition was $1.70 \AA$ for 667 residues. After local least-squares superimposition of parts of the AF2 model with the X-ray model, two residue stretches from the AF2 model (327-386 and 518-536) were chosen to replace the residues of the X-ray model in both the $A$ and $B$ chains. Those residues were thereafter subjected to real-space refinement in Coot towards the electron density and finally to reciprocal-space refinement in BUSTER. Datacollection and refinement statistics are shown in Table 1.

### 2.7. Bioinformatic tools and softwares

The theoretical pI value and molecular mass of the protein were estimated using the ExPASy server (https://web.expasy.org/


Figure 1
Production and purity of PolI_G20c and ExnV1. (a) Molecular-weight and purity assessment of DNA polymerase I from Thermus phage G20c (PolI_G20c). Lane M, Precision Plus Protein Dual Colour Standards (Bio-Rad) molecular-mass marker; lane PolI_G20c, recombinant PolI_G20c purified by IMAC. (b) Production, purity and molecularweight assessment of ExnV1. Lane M, PageRuler Unstained Broad Range Protein Ladder (Thermo Fisher Scientific); lane -, non-induced soluble crude extract of the ExnV1 expression culture; lane + , induced soluble crude extract of the ExnV1 expression culture; lane P , recombinant ExnV1 purified by IMAC.
compute_pi/; Gasteiger et al., 2005). Sequence-similarity search, conserved domain identification and initial distance-tree analysis were made in NCBI BlastP (https://blast.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/; NCBI Resource Coordinators, 2016) against the nonredundant protein sequence database, excluding Models XM/XP and uncultured/environmental sample sequences, using default algorithm parameters. Alignments, pairwise alignment scores and alignment colouring were performed and collected with Jalview version 2.11.14 (Waterhouse et al., 2009), using the Clustal web service, default settings and ClustalX colouring, unless stated otherwise. Evolutionary analysis was performed and a phylogenetic tree was obtained with $M E G A$ version X (Kumar et al., 2018) applying the maximum-likelihood method and a JTT matrix-based model (Jones et al., 1992) under default parameter values with 500 bootstrap replications. Sequences were collected from a search in NCBI Blast $P$ along with the manually added sequences of PolI_Tth15-6 and ExnV1. Protein attributions to (super)families were performed with InterPro version 83.0 (https://www.ebi.ac.uk/interpro; Blum et al., 2021). All illustrations of protein structures were prepared with CCP4mg (McNicholas et al., 2011) and previous structures were collected from the PDB (Berman et al., 2003). Conservative sequence motifs were investigated in the Conserved Domain Database (CDD) version 3.18 (Lu et al., 2020). Protein structure comparisons were made with PolI_G20c chain $A$ (PDB entry 7r0k) and ExnV1 (PDB entry 7r0t) in the DALI server (https:// ekhidna2.biocenter.helsinki.fi/dali; Holm, 2020) against the full PDB.

## 3. Results and discussion

### 3.1. Production and purification of Poll_G20c and ExnV1

The gene encoding PolI_G20c originates from Thermus phage G20c, which was first isolated in Russia (Xu et al., 2017). In this study, PolI_G20c was successfully produced in E. coli Origami (DE3) cells in shake-flask cultivations with a maximum productivity $Q=0.36 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{l}^{-1} \mathrm{~h}^{-1}$. The deduced amino-acid sequence of PolI_G20c consists of 728 residues of biological relevance and a C-terminal hexahistidine tag. The calculated molecular weight and pI value, excluding the tag, are 82.4 kDa and 5.85 , respectively (inclusion of the tag corresponds to a molecular weight and pI of 83.5 kDa and 5.98, respectively), consistent with the molecular weight detected by SDS-PAGE analysis of pure recombinant PolI_G20c obtained after a single IMAC step (Fig. 1a).

The gene coding for ExnV1 originates from a metagenome collected within the Virus-X project (Aevarsson et al., 2021). It has a length of 942 bp (corresponding to 314 residues), and sequence comparison using Blast $P$ and the CDD revealed that ExnV1 only contains the putative $3^{\prime}-5^{\prime}$ exonuclease domain typical of DNA polymerases I, indicating that ExnV1 may be a truncated DNA polymerase I.

A synthetic gene, codon-optimized for expression in E. coli, resulted in high yields of recombinant protein in E. coli strain JM109. The maximum productivity of ExnV1 obtained in shake-flask cultivation was $Q=0.65 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{l}^{-1} \mathrm{~h}^{-1}$. ExnV1 showed
no oligomerization and was soluble both in the cytoplasm of the expression strain and in the crude extract after cell lysis. SDS-PAGE demonstrated the expected molecular weight of about 36 kDa (Fig. 1b). ExnV1 remained soluble after purification by IMAC and did not aggregate, resulting in successful purification to near-homogeneity (Fig. 1b).

### 3.2. Polymerase and $3^{\prime}-5^{\prime}$ exonuclease activity of Poll_G20c

The temperature range for polymerase activity of Poll_ G20c was assessed as described in Section 2 and was compared with those of the reference polymerases IsoPol $\mathrm{BST}^{+}$and GoTaq (Fig. 2a). The maximum activity of PolI_G20c and GoTaq was measured at about $70^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, while IsoPol $\mathrm{BST}^{+}$ exhibits maximum activity at $65^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. IsoPol $\mathrm{BST}^{+}$also exhibited significant activity at temperatures below $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, whereas PolI_ G20c displayed very limited activity below this temperature. Hence, PolI_G20c exhibited a significantly narrower temperature range compared with the commercial polymerase.

IsoPol $\mathrm{BST}^{+}$lacks both $5^{\prime}-3$ and $3^{\prime}-5^{\prime}$ exonuclease activity, while GoTaq Polymerase is a polymerase that lacks $3^{\prime}-5^{\prime}$ exonuclease activity. To prove the presence of $3^{\prime}-5^{\prime}$ exonuclease activity of PolI_G20c (as predicted from sequence analysis; see below), another reference polymerase (Phusion High-Fidelity) was included for comparison. In the analysis, the fact that the $3^{\prime}-5^{\prime}$ exonuclease activity of DNA polymerases can be turned off by adding nucleotides to the reaction mixture was used, as previously demonstrated for T4 DNA polymerase (Hershfield \& Nossal, 1972). The presence of nucleotides in the reaction mixture initiates the polymerase activity of such polymerases, which 'overrides' the exonuclease activity that acts in the opposite direction. In the present study, this effect could also be detected in PolI_G20c when compared with the temperature-stable Phusion High-

Fidelity polymerase. Hence, it was concluded that $3^{\prime}-5^{\prime}$ exonuclease activity was present in PolI_G20c (Fig. 2b).

### 3.3. Phylogenetic and domain analysis of Poll_G20c and ExnV1

Sequence analysis of PolI_G20c revealed that the enzyme has a sequence identity of $61 \%$ or greater to ExnV1 and to putative DNA polymerases I encoded in the genomes of a group of related phages that have been isolated from different parts of the world (Jasilionis et al., in preparation). The related phages are Thermus virus P23-45 (GenBank accession ABU96844.1), Thermus virus P74-26 (GenBank accession ABU96961.1; Minakhin et al., 2008), Thermus phage TSP4 (GenBank accession QAY18104.1; Lin et al., 2010), Thermus phage phiFa (GenBank accession AYJ74703.1) and Thermus phage Tth15-6. The similarity to other deposited sequences annotated as polymerases was significant lower, with a sequence identity of $31 \%$ or below. Evolutionary trees were constructed based on the relationship of PolI_G20c to the closest related protein and PolI_Tth15-6 (Fig. 3a) as well as the relationship of ExnV1 to $3^{\prime}-5^{\prime}$ exonuclease domain sequences from the most closely related DNA polymerases I (Fig. 3b). An alignment of the putative proteins (Supplementary Fig. S1) also confirmed the expected close relationship of PolI_G20c and PolI_Tth15-6 to the putative DNA polymerases I from thermophilic bacteriophages, corroborating the suggestion that ExnV1 is likely to originate from a related thermophilic bacteriophage.

Sequence-based domain analysis was subsequently made using the deduced amino-acid sequences of PolI_G20c and ExnV1. According to InterPro, the sequence corresponding to amino-acid residues $88-723$ of PolI_G20c belongs to DNA polymerase family A (InterPro accession IPR002298) and


Determination of the activity of PolI_G20c. (a) Detection of polymerase activity of PolI_G20c at temperatures ranging from 20 to $85^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and comparison with the activity of IsoPol BST ${ }^{+}$and GoTaq polymerase. (b) Detection of $3^{\prime}-5^{\prime}$ exonuclease activity of PolI_G20c and comparison with the activity of Phusion High-Fidelity polymerase exonuclease.

DNA polymerases I (Panther accession PTHR10133) (marked in bold in Fig. 4). Within this sequence, residues 299-714 (grey background in Fig. 4) correspond to the palm domain (InterPro accession IPR001098) and residues 7-153 (underlined with a dotted line) correspond to the $3^{\prime}-5^{\prime}$ exonuclease domain, where approximately half of the domain is included in the sequence identified in the DNA polymerase A family (Fig. 4). The $3^{\prime}-5^{\prime}$ exonuclease domain, including the residues stretching forward to the start of the palm domain (residues 4284), is also attributed to belong to the homologous ribonuclease H-like superfamily. This area is also present in ExnV1, corresponding to residues $3-287$ of a total of 314 amino acids. This domain is, for example, also present in DNA polymerase I from E. coli, but is missing in DNA polymerase I from T. aquaticus (Taq polymerase; UniProt accession P19821 and PDB entry 1bgx; Kim et al., 1995), which on the other hand encodes the typical $5^{\prime}-3^{\prime}$ exonuclease domain. The $5^{\prime}-3^{\prime}$ exonuclease domain includes a first part (or subdomain) at the N -terminus and a second part at the C-terminus. Interestingly, the N -terminal subdomain of the $5^{\prime}-3^{\prime}$ exonuclease domain is not present in PolI_G20c, while the C-terminal subdomain comprising residues 485-653 (underlined in Fig. 4) can be found based on data collected from the unintegrated Cathedral database, where it is considered to be involved in interactions with DNA and proteins (InterPro accession IPR036279).

### 3.4. Overall crystal structures of ExnV1 and Poll G20c

The crystal structure of ExnV1 contained one polypeptide chain and the overall structure was determined to $2.19 \AA$
resolution using the co-crystallized terbium compound. Attempts to crystallize seleno-L-methionine-derivatized PolI_G20c (or crystallization with terbium as above) were not successful, but the structure of PolI_G20c could be determined using the ExnV1 structure combined with PDB entry 4bwm (Blatter et al., 2013), as described in Section 2. The structure of PolI_G20c consists of two polypeptide chains, $A$ and $B$, that could possibly be arranged as a dimer according to PISA structure analysis in CCP4mg. ExnV1 corresponds to the first 315 residues of PolI_G20c (Supplementary Fig. S1), and superposition of ExnV1 on PolI_G20c (with an r.m.s.d. of $1.01 \AA$ over 236 residues) shows that the structures of the two proteins match each other very well (Fig. 5). Residues 152-185 are missing in chain $B$ of PolI_G20c; however, the residues corresponding to those in ExnV1 are present and from the sequence alignment it is interpreted that PolI_G20c also resembles ExnV1 in this area, which is part of a novel structure motif ( $\mathrm{S} \beta \alpha \mathrm{R}$ ) that is discussed further below. The major difference between the two proteins is that ExnV1 only comprises the smaller $3^{\prime}-5^{\prime}$ exonuclease, whereas PolI_G20c also includes the larger palm domain that is responsible for the polymerase activity.

### 3.5. Structure of Poll_G20c

The secondary-structure elements in PolI_G20c are displayed in Fig. 6. It contains $25 \alpha$-helices and $27 \beta$-strands as identified in CCP4mg based on both chains $A$ and $B$ in PDB entry 7r0k for PolI_G20c.


Figure 3
Evolutionary trees displaying the relationships (a) of PolI_G20c to PolI_Tth15-6 and deposited sequences encoding DNA polymerases I from thermophilic bacteriophages and $(b)$ of ExnV1 to the $3^{\prime}-5^{\prime}$ exonuclease domain in the closest related DNA polymerase I sequences. The trees are presented to scale, with branch lengths measured in number of substitutions per site. The trees were prepared with MEGA version X and were graphically edited with iTOL and Microsoft PowerPoint.

Analysis of structural similarity using the $D A L I$ server (https://ekhidna2.biocenter.helsinki.fi/; Holm, 2020; Dawson et al., 2017) against the PDB generated 65 unique PDB entries that had a $Z$-value of between 30 and 33.3. The best matches were two structures of DNA polymerase I from the thermo-
philic bacterium G. stearothermophilus (BF) bound to a DNA substrate (PDB entries 1nkc and 6mu4; UniProt accession P52026; Jackson et al., 2019; Johnson \& Beese, 2004), the Klenow fragment from E. coli DNA polymerase I (PDB entry 2kzz; UniProt accession P00582; Brautigam et al., 1999) and


## Collected from InterPro

Amino acids $7-153=3^{\prime}-5^{\prime}$ exonuclease domain (dashed underline, yellow background) (InterPro IPR002562 and Pfam PF01612) Amino acids 88-723 = DNA polymerase family A/DNA polymerase family I (black bold font) (InterPro IPR002298/Panther PTHR10133) Amino acids 299-714 = palm domain (grey background) (InterPro IPR001098)
Amino acids 485-653 = 5' -3 ' exonuclease, C-terminal subdomain (continuous underline), CATH-Gene3D (G3DSA: 1.10.150.20)
Figure 4
Domains found in InterPro for the amino-acid sequence and secondary structure of PolI_G20c as seen in CCP4mg for chains $A$ and $B$ in PDB entry 7r0k. N indicates residues that are not shown in either chain $A$ or $B$.


Figure 5
ExnV1 (gold) is superposed on chain $B$ of PolI_G20c (ice blue, fixed model). The image in the box displays the full length of PolI_G20c chain $B$, whereas the close-up image displays residues 2-292 of PolI_G20c chain $B$ and residues $6-295$ of ExnV1. The two $\beta$-strands that are only seen in ExnV1 are part of the novel specific structure motif $\mathrm{S} \beta \alpha \mathrm{R}$ and are encircled in red. The images were prepared in CCP4mg.
the thermostable Taq polymerase (PDB entry 5ytf; UniProt accession P19821; Zeng et al., 2019). In Fig. 7(a) the Klenow fragment (PDB entry 1d9f, chain $A$; brown; Teplova et al., 1999) is superposed on PolI_G20c chain $A$ (ice blue, fixed model), while in Fig. 7(b) the G. stearothermophilus polymerase, the Klenow fragment and Taq polymerase are all superposed on Poll_G20c.

It is known that the mechanism of DNA polymerase I includes a substrate-dependent conformational shift involving a substrate-coordinating tyrosine residue in the O-helices area (Li et al., 1998), corresponding to Tyr549 and $\alpha$-helices 18, 19, 20 and 21 in PolI_G20c (see also Section 3.5.1). Despite this, all of the best-matching deposited structures were of DNA polymerase I crystallized with DNA (Fig. 7b), even though the 3D structure of PolI_G20c (PDB entry 7r0k) was not. The structural resemblance is striking, with the exception of $\alpha$-helices 7 and 8 of PolI_G20c, which differ from the other compared enzymes and are part of the novel motif ( $\mathrm{S} \beta \alpha \mathrm{R}$; further described in Section 3.5.2). These helices are situated in the more nonconserved part of the enzymes between the $3^{\prime}-5^{\prime}$ exonuclease domain and the palm domain, as displayed in Fig. 7, or possibly within the $3^{\prime}-5^{\prime}$ exonuclease domain, as discussed below.
The Klenow fragment is a well described enzyme that is known to have one small domain (approximately the first 200 residues of a total of 605 residues) that mostly comprises parallel $\beta$-pleated strands with $\alpha$-helices on both sides and one larger domain that resembles a right hand with fingers and a thumb (Ollis et al., 1985). The overall structures of PolI_G20c and the Klenow fragment were similar, with the same structural orientation of the smaller domain that contains the active-site residues of the $3^{\prime}-5^{\prime}$ exonuclease domain (Derbyshire et al., 1988; discussed further in Section 3.5.2). The larger C-terminal palm domain (indicated with a red, black and green curve) contains the active-site residues of the poly-
merase domain (Fig. 7a). Also, the orientation of the polymerase subdomains, for example the finger (at the red end of the curve) and thumb (at the green end of the curve) and the deep cleft with $\beta$-strands in its bottom between the subdomains, fits very well. A difference is seen in $\alpha$-helix 9 of PolI_G20c, which bends in a V-like shape, rather than being divided into two separate helices (as in the Klenow fragment). However, one could interpret $\alpha$-helix 9 of PolI_G20c as two separate helices (9a and 9b) since the residue (Pro268) at the bottom of the bend is a proline, which could act as a helix breaker.
3.5.1. Palm domain of Poll_G20c. The palm domain of PolI_G20c was compared with the top hits from the DALI server. The alignment of the palm domain (conserved residues coloured according to ClustalX, threshold $100 \%$; Fig. 8a)


Figure 6 Secondary structure of the DNA polymerase I PolI_G20c. The image was prepared in CCP4mg with PolI_G20c chain $A$ (PDB entry 7r0k).
indicates that all of the enzymes should share the same mechanism. To pinpoint similarities and differences in the structural conservation of catalytic residues, only the palm domains, as defined in InterPro for each enzyme, are superposed on PolI_G20c in Fig. 8(b). The catalytic amino-acid triad for polymerase activity (Li et al., 1998) at the area of the bottom of the cleft is displayed and enlarged in Fig. 8(b) and the catalytic residues correspond to Asp683, Glu684 and Asp481 in PolI_G20c (marked with red boxes in Fig. 8a). It is clearly seen that the orientation of the catalytic triad of Poli_G20c resembles that in the other polymerases, which strengthens the argument for a similar polymerase mechanism. This mechanism involves one $\mathrm{Mg}^{2+}$ ion that promotes deprotonation of the $3^{\prime}-\mathrm{OH}$ of the primer strand and assists the leaving of the pyrophosphate, and another $\mathrm{Mg}^{2+}$ ion that stabilizes the formation of a pentacovalent transition state at the $\alpha$-phosphate by facilitating the formation of a $90^{\circ}$ $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{O}$ bond angle; this ion also facilitates the leaving of pyrophosphate (Steitz, 1993).

In Fig. 8(c) the catalytic residues of Taq polymerase are superimposed on the corresponding residues of PolI_G20c and the two $\mathrm{Mg}^{2+}$ ions from the Taq polymerase model (PDB entry 5ytf), along with DNA and a dGTP. The image also displays Tyr671 in Taq polymerase, which corresponds to Tyr549 in PolI_G20c. The tyrosine residues from the respective enzymes are situated a little apart from each other, which is not unexpected since the conformation of the finger domain
differs in Taq polymerase (partly displayed in violet) compared with PolI_G20c, which was crystallized without substrate. Several more residues are involved in the binding and coordination of the incoming dNTPs and DNA that are not described here; however, a detailed description of the polymerase mechanism with each step in chronological order has recently been published (Chim et al., 2021).
3.5.2. $3^{\prime}-5^{\prime}$ exonuclease domain of ExnV1 and Poll_G20c. ExnV1 was also investigated using the $D A L I$ server against the PDB. The search generated 13 matches with a $Z$-value above 20 and all of these models are Klenow fragments of DNA polymerase I from E. coli. The best match was with the previously discussed PDB entry 2 kzz , with a $Z$-value of 22.9 . In Fig. 9(a) residues 339-548 of the Klenow fragment in PDB entry 2 kzz (orange) are superposed on the corresponding residues of PolI_G20c (PDB entry 7r0k, ice blue) and ExnV1 (PDB entry 7r0t, gold).

The major difference between the Klenow fragment, PolI_G20c and ExnV1 is that the latter two have a separate structure towards the end of the $3^{\prime}-5^{\prime}$ exonuclease domain, corresponding to residues 157-222 in ExnV1 and 158-223 in PolI_G20c. This specific structural motif, termed $\mathrm{S} \beta \alpha \mathrm{R}$, consists of two rather long $\beta$-strands in ExnV1, followed by two $\alpha$-helices corresponding to $\alpha$-helices 7 and 8 , with a very short $\beta$-strand 10 between them. In PolI_G20c the supposed two long $\beta$-strands are only seen as one-residue $\beta$-strands 8 and 9 , since there is a gap in the structure, although if all of the


Figure 7
(a) The Klenow fragment (KF; PDB entry 1d9f; chain $A$ in brown) is superposed on PolI_G20c (PDB entry 7r0k; chain $A$ in ice blue) displayed as tubes. The black line indicates the border between the small and large domain as described by Ollis et al. (1985) and the orange line indicates where the larger, palm domain starts at residue 299 in PolI_G20c and residue 228 in KF (corresponding to residue 551 in full-length DNA polymerase I from E. coli) according to InterPro. (b) Superposition of the best-matching structures based on a search using the DALI server. Chain $A$ of DNA polymerase I from G. stearothermophilus (BF; PDB entry 1 knc , gold), KF (PDB entry 2 kzz , orange) and Taq polymerase (PDB entry 5ytf, violet) are superposed on chain $A$ of PolI_G20c (ice blue) as ribbons. The structures match very well; however, $\alpha$-helices 7 and 8 in PolI_G20c differ from all of the other compared enzymes and are part of a novel motif. The images were prepared with CCP4mg.






(c)


Figure 8
Palm domain with active-site residues of PolI_G20c compared with the Klenow fragment, DNA polymerase I from G. stearothermophilus and Taq polymerase. (a) Alignment of PolI_G20c with DNA polymerase I from G. stearothermophilus, E. coli DNA polymerase I and Taq polymerase generated in Jalview. Conserved residues (threshold $100 \%$ ) are coloured according to ClustalX. The catalytic triad residues are marked with red boxes. $\alpha$-Helices 18, 19, 20 and 21 of PolI_G20c, situated between the catalytic residue Asp481 and the two catalytic residues Asp683 and Glu684 in PolI_G20c, are predicted to make the same type of conformational shift, often described in the literature, as made by O-helices in the homologous polymerases. The substrate-coordinating residue Tyr549 is marked with a blue box and the whole palm domain that includes the finger and thumb subdomains as defined in InterPro is underlined with a black arrow. (b) Residues from the palm domain, chain $A$ of BF (PDB entry 1knc, gold), the Klenow fragment (PDB entry 2 kzz , orange) and Taq polymerase (PDB entry 5ytf, violet) are superposed and displayed on the palm domain of PolI_G20c chain $A$ (ice blue). The catalytic amino-acid triad at the bottom of the cleft is displayed in ball-and-stick representation and is also enlarged, where Asp683, Glu684 and Asp481 in PolI_G20c are blue and the corresponding residues are violet in Taq polymerase, brown in DNA polymerase I from E. coli and dark gold in BF. (c) The catalytic residues of $T a q$ polymerase (violet) are superimposed on the corresponding residues of PolI_G20c and the two $\mathrm{Mg}^{2+}$ ions from the Taq model (PDB entry 5ytf) are displayed in grey, along with the DNA substrate in light green, dGTP in dark green and Tyr671 in black, corresponding to Tyr549 in Poll_G20c, which is coloured according to standard atom colours. Part of the finger domain of Taq polymerase is also displayed in violet. The images were prepared with CCP4mg.

(b)
 DPOT_ECOL/1-928 321 TATVISYDNYYTILDEETLKAWIAKLEKAPVFAFDTETPSLDNI-.. SANLVGLSFAIEPGYAAYIEVAHDYLD.: 391

|  |  | 410 | 420 | 43 | 440 | 450 | 460 | 47 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| EXNV1/1-314 | 72 QPENLS | DLR | AFO |  | RTLG | YGND | MDE | KEV |
| Poll_G20c/1-728 | 73 QPANLP | AVRQ | EALK | AA | AVTLD | YGD | VDE | KE |
| DPO1_ECOL/T-928 | 392 APDQIS | ERALE | EDEK |  | AN- Y |  |  |  |


|  | $\beta$ B] | 500 | $\underline{\beta 9}$ | $520 \alpha 7$ | 530120 | $540 \quad \alpha 8$ | 550 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| EXNV1/1-314 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Poft_G200/1-728 | 150 VNADIEPFELTDEDQVEAVSKNGRRYKKKVHKLKPDWL QRLKDAFLAVHNGGVSYSALYKLL NRAFQQL KNRGYVSYTGS 229 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| DPO1_ECOL//-928 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |




Figure 9
Comparison of the $3^{\prime}-5^{\prime}$ exonuclease domain of ExnV1, PolI_G20c and the Klenow fragment.(a) Residues 339-548 of the Klenow fragment (PDB entry 2 kzz , orange) are superposed on the corresponding residues of PolI_G20c (PDB entry 7r0k, ice blue) and ExnV1 (PDB entry 7r0t, gold). The S $\beta \alpha \mathrm{R}$ region of ExnV1 and PolI_G20c is marked with a red ring and includes $\alpha$-helices 7 and 8 and $\beta$-strands 8,9 and 10 of PolI_G20c, which are marked with arrows. (b) Sequence alignment of ExnV1, PolI_G20c and DNA polymerase I from E. coli generated in Jalview with conserved residues (threshold $100 \%$ ) coloured according to ClustalX. The $3^{\prime}-5^{\prime}$ exonuclease domain as defined in InterPro is underlined with a red dotted line and the $\mathrm{S} \beta \alpha \mathrm{R}$ structural motif is marked with a black box, including $\alpha$-helices and $\beta$-strands of PolI_G20c, which are marked with red and blue lines, respectively, above the alignment. Crucial catalytic residues are marked with red boxes, important residues with secondary roles are marked with orange boxes and Glu357 is marked with a blue box. (c) As (a) but from another angle and with a box indicating an enlarged area containing crucial catalytic residues shown in ball-and-stick representation and important residues with secondary roles, including Glu357, displayed with fat bonds, where residues of the Klenow fragment, Poll_G20c and ExnV1 are coloured brown, blue and dark gold, respectively. (d) An enlarged area of (c). (e) The direct contact between the metal ions and catalytic residues of ExnV1 (numbered according to the corresponding residues of the Klenow fragment). ( $f$ ) As (c) but with the metal ions and substrate from the model of the Klenow fragment displayed in light blue and the nucleotide and metal ions from ExnV1 displayed in violet.
amino acids in the structure were seen we propose that they would resemble the long corresponding $\beta$-strands in ExnV1. The sequence corresponding to the $\mathrm{S} \beta \alpha \mathrm{R}$ region from ExnV1 has greater than $65 \%$ sequence identity to the $\mathrm{S} \beta \alpha \mathrm{R}$ region in PolI_G20c. The separate ExnV1 $\mathrm{S} \beta \alpha \mathrm{R}$ sequence was also analysed by Blast $P$, showing that hits were only found in the previously discussed (Section 3.3) homologous putative DNA polymerases I from the related thermophilic bacteriophages G20c, TSP4, P74-26, P23-45 and PhiFA along with one hypothetical protein from E. coli. To our knowledge, no specific structure motif resembling $\mathrm{S} \beta \alpha \mathrm{R}$ has previously been connected to DNA polymerase I enzymes. Interestingly, there is a report of a specific exonuclease N -terminal region, NTR (Milton et al., 2016), from the single DNA polymerase encoded in the apicoplast (apPOL) of the malaria-causing parasite Plasmodium falciparum. However, even if the NTR involves two rather large $\beta$-strands (PDB entry 5dkt) it cannot be fitted either onto the structure or the amino-acid sequence of ExnV1 or PolI_G20c. The sequence of the $\mathrm{S} \beta \alpha \mathrm{R}$ structural motif is displayed in the black box in the alignment in Fig. $9(b)$, with $\alpha$-helices and $\beta$-strands displayed above the box using the numbering of PolI_G20c as displayed in Fig. 4. The sequence encoding the $\mathrm{S} \beta \alpha \mathrm{R}$ motif appears to interfere with the algorithms predicting the end of the $3^{\prime}-5^{\prime}$ exonuclease domain in InterPro, compared with the alignment of the sequences in Jalview (Fig. 9b). InterPro defines the $\mathrm{S} \beta \alpha \mathrm{R}$ region to be almost completely within the $3^{\prime}-5^{\prime}$ exonuclease domain in ExnV1, whereas a little surprisingly it is not included in this domain at all in PolI_G20c. From the alignment, it could be interpreted that the Klenow fragment has part of the $\mathrm{S} \beta \alpha \mathrm{R}$ region in its $3^{\prime}-5^{\prime}$ exonuclease domain; however, this is not seen in the structure. Furthermore, some of the proposed crucial catalytic residues, as discussed later (marked with red boxes in Fig. 9b), are situated outside the $3^{\prime}-5^{\prime}$ exonuclease domain area as defined by InterPro both in ExnV1 and PolI_G20c. Currently, we do not know whether the $\mathbf{S} \beta \alpha \mathbf{R}$ structural motif has any effect on the efficiency of either the polymerase or the $3^{\prime}-5^{\prime}$ exonuclease activity. PolI_G20c displays both activities, and while the $\mathrm{S} \beta \alpha \mathrm{R}$ structure does not seem to affect the polymerase domain structure in the proximity of the active site, some alterations were found among residues proposed to be important in the $3^{\prime}-5^{\prime}$ exonuclease domain (Derbyshire et al., 1991).

In the Klenow fragment, the mechanism of the $3^{\prime}-5^{\prime}$ exonuclease activity has been shown to involve two divalent metal ions that may be either $\mathrm{Mg}^{2+}, \mathrm{Mn}^{2+}$ or $\mathrm{Zn}^{2+}$ (Freemont et al., 1988) and play a major role in both substrate binding and catalysis of the exonuclease reaction (Derbyshire et al., 1988). Mutagenesis studies have shown that Asp355, Asp424 and Asp501 in the Klenow fragment anchor the metal ions (red boxes in Fig. 9b) and are crucial for catalytic activity. Furthermore, Leu361, Phe473 and Tyr497 (orange boxes in Fig. 9b) are proposed to have a secondary role in the positioning of the substrate and may also facilitate the melting of a duplex DNA substrate by interacting with the $3^{\prime}$-end in a mechanism in which a nucleophilic attack on the terminal phosphodiester bond is initiated by a hydroxide ion coordi-
nated to one of the enzyme-bound metal ions (Derbyshire et al., 1991). Glu357 in the Klenow fragment (marked with a blue box) has also been suggested to be a critical catalytic residue (Freemont et al., 1988; Beese \& Steitz, 1991), although its role is not quite clear (Derbyshire et al., 1991). In the sequence alignment with PolI_G20c and ExnV1, residues corresponding to Leu361 in the Klenow fragment are not conserved; otherwise, all other proposed residues are situated among the conserved residues (Fig. 9b). In the structural alignment Asp355, Asp424 and Asp501 of the Klenow fragment (Fig. 9c, brown ball-and-stick representation) correspond well to the residues of PolI_G20c (blue) and ExnV1 (dark gold). Furthermore, the residues corresponding to Tyr497 and Glu357 of the Klenow fragment (presented in the same colours but as fat bonds) are oriented in almost exactly the same way in all three proteins. However, the residues of PolI_G20c and ExnV1 that seem to correspond to Phe473 in the Klenow fragment in the sequence alignment are situated rather far away on $\alpha$-helix 7, which is part of the $\mathrm{S} \beta \alpha \mathrm{R}$ region in PolI_G20c and ExnV1 (Fig. 9d).

In Fig. $9(e)$ the direct contacts between the metal ions and the more important residues as defined by Derbyshire et al. (1991) are displayed. In the current conformation of ExnV1 (PDB entry 7r0t) the associated metals are in direct contact with the residues corresponding to Asp355, Asp501 and Glu357 in the Klenow fragment and the second O atom of the phosphate group of the substrate nucleotide. All distances in the contacts are between 2.0 and $2.3 \AA$ as interpreted in CCP4mg. Fig. $9(f)$ is the same as Fig. $9(c)$, although here the metal ions and substrate are displayed both for the Klenow fragment and ExnV1.

## 4. Concluding remarks

Even though it was not possible to crystallize seleno-L-methionine-derivatized PolI_G20c, native PolI_G20c was successfully produced in E. coli Origami (DE3) cells and it was possible to crystallize the product. To enable refinement of the PolI_G20c structure, there was a need to find additional models to complement those already available in the PDB. Hence, ExnV1 was identified, produced and crystallized and its structure was determined. The gene encoding ExnV1 originates from a thermophilic virus metagenome and was found to be phylogenetically closely related to PolI_G20c and homologous putative DNA polymerases encoded in the genomes of related bacteriophages. Since ExnV1 only includes a $3^{\prime}-5^{\prime}$ exonuclease domain, the gene encoding ExnV1 is most likely to be truncated. It is concluded that PolI_G20c belongs to the DNA polymerase I family but lacks the typical $5^{\prime}-3^{\prime}$ exonuclease domain. The structure of ExnV1 revealed that the protein has a structural motif, termed $\mathrm{S} \beta \alpha \mathrm{R}$, which we propose to also be present in PolI_G20c. Moreover, based on sequence conservation, the $\mathrm{S} \beta \alpha \mathrm{R}$ structural motif is proposed to be present in related phage polymerases encoded in the genomes of the thermophilic bacteriophages TSP4, P74-26, P23-45, Tth15-6 and phiFA. The $\mathrm{S} \beta \alpha \mathrm{R}$ structural motif consists of two $\beta$-strands followed by two $\alpha$-helices that are
connected to each other via a short $\beta$-strand. $\mathrm{S} \beta \alpha \mathrm{R}$ is situated towards the end of the $3^{\prime}-5^{\prime}$ exonuclease domain and seems to affect the orientation of the conserved residue (corresponding to Phe473 in the Klenow fragment) believed to play a role in substrate binding (Derbyshire et al., 1991). To our knowledge, the $\mathrm{S} \beta \alpha \mathrm{R}$ structural motif has not been reported to be associated with any DNA polymerase I elsewhere. However, a different specific exonuclease N -terminal region is found in the DNA polymerase from the apicoplast of the malariacausing parasite P. falciparum (Milton et al., 2016), indicating the possibility of a common role.

## 5. Data deposition

Atomic coordinates and structure factors for the reported crystal structure of PolI_G20c have been deposited with PDB entry 7 r 0 k and those for the reported crystal structure of ExnV1 have been deposited with PDB entry 7r0t. Raw data have been deposited at https://proteindiffraction.org. The gene for ExnV1 has been deposited with GenBank accession OK094307 and the gene for PolI_Tth15-6 has been deposited with GenBank accession OK037108. The synthetic gene sequence for PolI_G20c has been deposited with GenBank accession ON338039 and the synthetic gene sequence for ExnV1 has been deposited with GenBank accession ON489251.
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