

## MS02-O4

**Ctrl-D, a versatile tool for analyzing processed diffraction data**Fabio Dall'Antonia<sup>1</sup>, Gleb Bourenkov<sup>1</sup>, Thomas Schneider<sup>1</sup><sup>1</sup>. European Molecular Biology Laboratory, Hamburg Unit, Hamburg, Germanyemail: [fabio.dallantonia@embl-hamburg.de](mailto:fabio.dallantonia@embl-hamburg.de)

Good native data are an asset for phasing, because they allow you to obtain and improve solutions to the phase problem even in the absence of a suitable homologous template. The question often explored in Molecular Replacement (MR) is: Is the model good enough to solve the structure? Here we explore the reverse: Are the data good enough for the distant homolog model to succeed? ARCIMBOLDO\_SHREDDER (1) derives compact model fragments starting from a distant homolog template, evaluating their performance in a process driven by the experimental data, provided that a resolution of at least 2.5 Å is available. This method uses partial fragments that need to be very accurate. The following stages can be distinguished:

- *Partition and annotation of the template*: The template model is dissected into fragments that will be disassembled to give the model additional degrees of freedom.
- *Generation of the models*: The size of the fragments is derived from a target eLLG, set to be large enough to find correct solutions, but small enough to generate potentially accurate fragments.
- *Evaluation against the likelihood rotation-function target*
- *Gyre refinement (2)*: The orientation and relative translation of the rigid groups identified in the first step are refined against the rotation-function target.
- *Translation search*
- *Packing test*
- *Refinement*: Depending on the data and the expected deviation of the models, a set of different strategies can be trialed at this stage: refinement of the r.m.s. error attributed to the model, superposition of the original template on each placed fragment with trimming and refinement, *gimble* refinement against the likelihood translation-function target subdividing the model into the same rigid groups as in *gyre*, or *phaser*'s likelihood-based pruning.
- *Phase combination*: Consistent phase sets can be combined with *ALIXE* in order to complete partial solutions and increase their information content.
- *Density modification and autotracing for expansion of the substructure to a full solution*: The single or combined phase sets are used to calculate starting maps for iterative density modification and autotracing with *SHELXE*.

The method described has been successful in solving previously unknown structures, including SlT (3), a lytic transglycosylase from the pathogen *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. Moreover, it has helped to increase our knowledge about how to best exploit the available data and models in the context of fragment-based MR. In this presentation, both

the method and its application to different cases will be discussed.

References:

- 
- [1.] R. W. Grosse-Kunstleve, et al. (2002). *J. Appl. Cryst.* 35, 126-136.
  - [2.] S. L. S. Storm et al. (2017). *J. Synchrotron Rad.* 24, 19-28.
  - [3.] T. Pape & T. R. Schneider (2004). *J. Appl. Cryst.* 37, 843-844.
- 

Keywords: Data-analysis, SSX, SAD-Phasing