## MS02-O3

# ARCIMBOLDO_SHREDDER: making the most of good data despite having only a poor homolog

Claudia Lucía Millán Nebot[1], Massimo Domenico Sammito[1], Airlie J. McCoy[2], Robert D. Oeffner[2], Teresa Domínguez-Gil[3], Juan A. Hermoso[3], Randy J. Read[2], Isabel Usón[1]

1. Structural Biology Unit 'Maria de Maeztu Unit of Excellence' - Institut de Biologia Molecular de Barcelona (IBMB-CSIC), Barcelona, Spain
2. Cambridge Institute for Medical Research, University of Cambridge, Cambridge, United Kingdom
3. Department of Crystallography and Structural Biology, Instituto Química Física 'Rocasolano' CSIC , Madrid, Spain

**email: cmncri@ibmb.csic.es**

Good native data are an asset for phasing, because they allow you to obtain and improve solutions to the phase problem even in the absence of a suitable homologous template. The question often explored in Molecular Replacement (MR) is: Is the model good enough to solve the structure? Here we explore the reverse: Are the data good enough for the distant homolog model to succeed? ARCIMBOLDO_SHREDDER (1) derives compact model fragments starting from a distant homolog template, evaluating their performance in a process driven by the experimental data, provided that a resolution of at least 2.5 Å is available. This method uses partial fragments that need to be very accurate. The following stages can be distinguished:

- *Partition and annotation of the template:* The template model is dissected into fragments that will be disassembled to give the model additional degrees of freedom.

- *Generation of the models:* The size of the fragments is derived from a target eLLG, set to be large enough to find correct solutions, but small enough to generate potentially accurate fragments.

- *Evaluation against the likelihood rotation-function target*

- *Gyre refinement* (2)*:* The orientation and relative translation of the rigid groups identified in the first step are refined against the rotation-function target.

- *Translation search*

- *Packing test*

- Refinement: Depending on the data and the expected deviation of the models, a set of different strategies can be trialed at this stage: refinement of the r.m.s. error attributed to the model, superposition of the original template on each placed fragment with trimming and refinement, *gimble* refinement against the likelihood translation-function target subdividing the model into the same rigid groups as in *gyre*, or *phaser*'s likelihood-based pruning.

- *Phase combination*: Consistent phase sets can be combined with *ALIXE* in order to complete partial solutions and increase their information content.

- *Density modification and autotracing for expansion of the substructure to a full solution*: The single or combined phase sets are used to calculate starting maps for iterative density modification and autotracing with *SHELXE*.

The method described has been successful in solving previously unknown structures, including Slt (3), a lytic transglycosylase from the pathogen *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. Moreover, it has helped to increase our knowledge about how to best exploit the available data and models in the context of fragment-based MR. In this presentation, both the method and its application to different cases will be discussed.

References:

[1] Millan, C., Sammito, M. D., McCoy, A. J., Nascimento, A. F. Z., Petrillo, G., Oeffner, R. D., Dominguez-Gil, T., Hermoso, J. A., Read, R. J. & Uson, I. (2018). Acta Cryst. D74, 290-304.
[2] McCoy, A. J., Oeffner, R. D., Millan, C., Sammito, M., Uson, I. & Read, R. J. (2018). Acta Cryst. D74, 279-289.
[3] Lee, M.; Batuecas, M.T.; Tomoshige, S.; Domínguez-Gil, T.; Mahasenan, K.V.; Dik, D.A.; Hesek, D.; Millán, C.; Usón, I.; Lastochkin, E.; Hermoso, J.A. & Mobashery S. (2018). Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences DOI: 10.1073/pnas.1801298115