

MS10-P7 Comparing electrostatic potentials from experiment, point charges and theoryClaudia M. Wandtke¹, Jens Luebben^{1,2}, Birger Dittrich^{1,2}

1. Georg-August University Göttingen
2. Hamburg University

email: claudia.wandtke@chemie.uni-goettingen.de

A popular analysis for protein structures is the investigation of the electrostatic potential (ESP). It can yield information about possible drug receptor interactions. Therefore, it is also helpful to look at the ESP of a drug molecule. Except for proteins and nucleic acids, charges are not always easily accessible.

This is why we have developed a new, rapid way to a molecular ESP making use of the model compounds in the invariom database [1], for structures of organic molecules. Automatic parameter assignment relies on the local-atomic bonding environment, in this case invariom classification. ESP computation just requires atomic point charges and bond distances to hydrogen atoms from quantum chemistry, and both are provided by the invariom database [1]. These point charges are derived by the RESP [2] procedure for the invariom model compounds and transferred according to invariom name. Hence we call them invariom point charges.

A complementary approach is an ESP from an experimental charge density study, which requires high resolution single crystal X-ray data. Since programs for aspherical scattering factors are complex, we want to assess how well simplified approaches with point charges from different sources can reproduce such an experimental ESP.

A suitable tool for the comparison of ESPs is presented. It is based on MOLISO [3] code and a relative root-mean-square (RRMS) formula known from computational chemistry [4]. Procedures and comparisons will be applied to a series of biologically active organic molecules. ESPs from different methods, including theoretical and experimental charge density, will be compared.

[1] B. Dittrich, C. B. Hübschle, K. Pröpper, F. Dietrich, T. Stolper, J. J. Holstein, *Acta Cryst.* B69 (2013) 91.

[2] C. I. Bayly, P. Cieplak, W. D. Cornell, P. A. Kollman, *J. Phys. Chem.* 97 (1993) 10269.

[3] C. B. Hübschle, P. Luger, *J. Appl. Cryst.* 39 (2006) 901.

[4] D. E. Williams, *Rev. In Comp. Chem.* 2 (1991) 219.

Keywords: Electrostatic potential, invarioms, point charges, charge density.

MS10-P8 BORGES libraries: from phasing to structural bioinformaticsMassimo Sammito¹, Claudia Millán¹, Rafael J. Borges^{1,2}, Lynn T. Eyck³, Isabel Usón^{1,4}

1. Dept. of Structural Biology, Institute of Molecular Biology of Barcelona (IBMB), Spanish National Research Council (CSIC), Barcelona, Spain
2. Dept. of Physics and Biophysics, Biosciences Institute (IBB), São Paulo State University (UNESP), Botucatu, São Paulo, Brazil
3. Dept. of Chemistry and Biochemistry, University of California, San Diego, CA, USA
4. Dept. of Structural Biology, Catalan Institution for Research and Advanced Studies (ICREA), Barcelona, Spain

email: massimo.sammito@ibmb.csic.es

Despite their differences, *de novo* structure prediction in bioinformatics and *ab initio* phasing methods in crystallography share a common baseline: the use of previous structural knowledge to reveal the tertiary structure of unknown proteins. In phasing, the ubiquitous alpha helices have been widely used as structural building blocks in ARCIMBOLDO_LITE^[1]. Nevertheless, some cases require going a step further and moving from secondary to tertiary structure. The emergent strategy, based on fragment libraries as set of initial phases for experimental data, is being the key to success in a number of methods located between Molecular Replacement and pure *ab initio*^[2,3,4,5]. Our approach relies on characterization of nonspecific Local Folds^[5] as small discontinuous composites of secondary structure elements. Due to their sequence variability, LF can only be evaluated through geometrical descriptors, such as Characteristic Vectors^[5], and their spatial constraints. BORGES^[5] program implements this idea combining CV geometry, clustering and optimized structural superposition to build user customized LF libraries. The extraction of this kind of libraries requires data mining over more than 100,000 structures deposited in the Protein Data Bank and the design of a specialized database collecting CVs and relations among them. Statistical analysis of LF distribution and pattern recognition studies can only be afforded with the power of a supercomputer, as the one provided by the San Diego Supercomputer Center in California (US)^[6], and may reveal interesting features which could augment our structural expertise.

[1] Millán, C., et al. (2015) Macromolecular *ab initio* phasing enforcing secondary and tertiary structure. *IUCrJ*, 2(1), 95–105.

[2] Bibby, J., et al. (2012) AMPLE: a cluster-and-truncate approach to solve the crystal structures of small proteins using rapidly computed *ab initio* models. *Acta Crystallogr. D Biol. Crystallogr.*, 68(Pt 12), 1622-3

[3] Keegan, R. M., et al., (2015) Exploring the speed and performance of molecular replacement with AMPLE using QUARK *ab initio* protein models, *Acta Crystallogr. D Biol. Crystallogr.*, 71(2), 338–343.